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ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic non-malignant lung diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and interstitial lung diseases (ILD) result in reduced quality of life (QoL), a high symptom burden and reduced survival. Patients with chronic non-malignant lung disease often have limited access to palliative care. The symptom burden and the QoL of these patients resembles patients with cancer and the general palliative approach is similar. However, the disease trajectory is often slow and unpredictable, and the palliative effort must be built on accessibility, continuity and professional competences. The Danish Health Authority as well as the WHO recommends that there is access to palliative care for all patients with life-threatening diseases regardless of diagnosis. In 2011, the Danish Health Authority requested that the national medical societies would to formulate guidelines for palliation.

Methods: In 2015, a group of members of the Danish Respiratory Society (DRS) was appointed for this purpose. It was composed of experienced ILD and COPD researchers as well as clinicians from different parts of Denmark. A literature review was made, a draft was prepared, and all recommendations were agreed upon unanimously.

Results: The Danish version of the position paper was finally submitted for review and accepted by all members of DRS.

Conclusion: In this position paper we provide recommendations on the terminology of chronic and terminal lung failure, rehabilitation and palliative care, advanced care planning, informal caregivers and bereavement, symptom management, the imminently dying patient, and organization of palliative care for patients with chronic non-malignant lung diseases.

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) has defined palliative care as ‘an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual’ [1].

Palliative care in patients with malignant lung diseases is well established and validated [2], but there is a knowledge gap concerning palliation in patients with chronic non-malignant lung diseases and their access to palliative care [3,4]. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and interstitial lung diseases (ILD) may differ from cancer with respect to pathophysiology and prognosis, but in general palliative care practices remain similar. The treatment goals for all progressive lung diseases are to alleviate symptoms, to slow down disease progression and thereby improve QOL. These goals are best achieved in a collaboration between the informed, active patient, the primary caregiver(s) and a well-prepared pro-active multidisciplinary team [5,6].

It is a common misconception that palliation should only be initiated in the terminal phase of a disease. Palliation should be initiated early in the course of the disease alongside disease-specific treatment, and should aim at easing the disease burden when QOL is reduced.
The hallmark of chronic non-malignant lung disease is its unpredictable course, the considerable uncertainty that may result in prognostic paralysis [15] as well as delay in conversations between the patient and health care professionals regarding end-of-life issues, often due to a fear of addressing these topics too early.

The Danish Health Authority has called for national guidelines for palliative care in non-malignant chronic, progressive, symptomatic diseases [14]. A task force was appointed by the Danish Respiratory Society (DRS), and the present position paper describes the recommendations of the task force [16].

Methods

In 2015, DRS appointed a group of members including experienced COPD and ILD researchers as well as clinicians from different parts of Denmark.

A literature review was conducted and new literature searches were repeatedly updated with new or expanded search criteria. Thus, the current document is a position paper and not a systematic review.

A draft was prepared, and several meetings were held to clarify form and definitions. A primary author wrote the first draft that went through several reviews in the appointed DRS group; all definitions and recommendations were agreed upon unanimously. The position paper was finally submitted for review and accepted by all members of DRS. The Danish version is published on the DRS website (https://www.lungemedicin.dk/fagligt/klaringsrapporter.html).

Terminology

When treating patients with life-threatening diseases, it is important to have a well-defined terminology. Therefore, DRS began by defining the three stages of disease where palliative care should be considered.

Chronic lung failure

Chronic lung failure is defined as a permanently reduced lung function and presence of daily symptoms despite optimal standard treatment. Chronic lung failure is present when the disease limits activities of daily living.

Patients may develop chronic lung failure due to different diseases such as COPD, ILD or bronchiectasis. In patients with chronic lung failure, the disease is so severe that palliative care, as described in this document, can be initiated. In patients with chronic lung failure prognosis may thus be difficult and the definition does not rely on expected time to death.

Terminal lung failure

Terminal lung failure is when death is anticipated to be close. There are no validated clinical tools for assessing the short-time risk of death within for instance 6 months. DRS recommends using the following criteria:

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

The patient is considered to be in the terminal phase of the disease when dependent on help from others because of dyspnea or general weakness over a period of several months, and when at least two of the following criteria are fulfilled:

- At least two hospitalizations because of a COPD exacerbation and/or one hospitalization requiring treatment with non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or a ventilator within the past 6 months.
- Need of long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT)
- Permanent NIV treatment at home
- Body mass index (BMI) <18 despite optimal nutrition, including nutritional supplementation
- Progressive or newly diagnosed severe comorbidity

Interstitial lung diseases (ILD)

The patient is considered to be in the terminal phase of the disease when dependent on help from others because of dyspnea or general weakness over a period of several months, and when fulfilling at least two of the following criteria:

- Two or more respiratory hospitalizations (e.g., due to infection or exacerbation) within the last year
- Peripheral oxygen saturation <88% at rest
- Reduced physical activity level (6-minute walk distance <212 m)
- Pulmonary hypertension
- Forced vital capacity (FVC) <50% of predicted or % FVC decline >10% of predicted or % predicted diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) decline >15% of predicted during the last 6 months
- Progressive or newly diagnosed severe comorbidity
The life expectancy of patients with terminal lung disease is anticipated to span from weeks to a few months.

The imminently dying patient

The patient is imminently dying when the disease has progressed to a stage where only symptomatic treatment is warranted. All other treatment possibilities are futile, and death is expected within hours or few days.

Rehabilitation and palliative care

The American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) definition of pulmonary rehabilitation: ‘Pulmonary rehabilitation is a comprehensive intervention based on a thorough patient assessment followed by patient-tailored therapies that include, but are not limited to, exercise training, education, and behavior change, designed to improve the physical and psychological condition of people with chronic respiratory disease and to promote the long-term adherence to health-enhancing behaviors [17].’

Pulmonary rehabilitation is a multidisciplinary effort aiming at, but not limited to, improving the physical function, nutrition, knowledge of the disease and change towards a more healthy and active lifestyle for the individual patient. Pulmonary rehabilitation is designed to improve both the physical and psychological state and to promote a continued health behavior change [18,19].

Ideally, palliation and rehabilitation are based on a comprehensive assessment of the needs and wishes of the individual patient and caregiver. Rehabilitation is an important component of palliative care and should be tailored to meet the individual patient’s needs. Some patients may need exercise programs to increase their physical capacity, while others may aim to reduce their decline in physical function and their need for continuous training and support. The concept of personalized management is a combination of rehabilitation and palliative care (Figure 1).

Rehabilitation is expected to result in improved symptom control and functional capacity, and thus improved overall QOL [20]. Rehabilitation should be offered to all patients with symptomatic chronic lung diseases, even to those in the terminal phase of the disease [18].

Recommendation 2. Rehabilitation and palliative care

Palliation and pulmonary rehabilitation aim to improve the ability of patients and caregivers to live an active life according to their individual values despite their chronic lung disease.

Patients and caregivers should be involved in the design of an individualized treatment plan.

Recommendation 3. Advance care planning (ACP)

ACP is one or preferably several conversations where the patient, the multidisciplinary team and the informal caregiver discuss realistic treatment goals and the patient’s perceptions of living with the disease. Communication is the key in active management of patients with chronic lung failure.

All patients with chronic lung failure should be offered ACP conversations once a year. ACP topics should focus on the patient’s needs and wishes and should never develop into a checklist.

Definition

Advance care planning (ACP) is not a well-defined concept in the international literature. Therefore, DRS started by making a Danish guide [21] for ACP. The DRS defines ACP as: A communication process between the patient, the informal caregiver and the multidisciplinary team where focus is on living with a disease and symptoms. In this process issues like treatment limitations including end-of-life decisions can be discussed; however, ACP should not be limited to these topics.

There is no evidence that clearly defines the optimal timing of ACP; however, it is agreed that ACP should preferably be performed in a clinically stable phase of disease and not during hospitalization due to e.g. an acute exacerbation [22]. An important aspect of the ACP is to involve both the formal and informal caregivers [23].

It is recommended to allocate sufficient time for ACP conversation, as these are typically more time consuming than routine follow-up visits [24]. A Danish pilot study showed that ACP in patients with COPD lasted from 28 to 47 min and for up to 112 min in other diseases [25].

It must be kept in mind that ACP is not a checklist, but is intended to increase the understanding of the individual patient’s values and choices and to provide a better understanding of the disease for both the patient and the caregiver(s) [26,27]. The goal of ACP is not necessarily to reduce or stop treatment, but to ensure a balanced treatment based on an understanding of the needs and wishes of the individual patient.

Communication

During routine follow-up visits, health care providers do not always gain insight into what the patients perceive as their most troublesome symptoms [28,29]. Detailed knowledge of symptoms and disease burden is a prerequisite for targeted treatment and symptom relief. As in all patients with chronic diseases, communication is crucial for treatment adherence and lack of a common understanding of the disease, symptoms and treatment can hamper the benefits of palliation.
Bereaved caregivers

A chronic disease affects not only the patient but also the caregiver(s). The feeling of being able to help a loved one is called *caregiver benefits*. However, living with a loved one who suffers from an increasingly symptomatic disease can be stressful; this is called the *caregiver burden*. Comparative studies have shown that it is not the underlying disease that determines the burden of disease on informal caregivers, but rather the psychosocial resources of the caregiver [30]. If the informal caregivers perceive themselves as sick or as economically poor, the feeling of the caregiver burden is increased [31].

It is therefore important to improve the ability of caregivers to cope and live with serious illness in the close family [32]. The active involvement of caregivers in pulmonary rehabilitation has been shown to result in improved coping strategies in patients and families and in psychosocial well-being [33]. It is common that the needs for information among caregivers and patients are not similar, and ACP can be one way to address this [34] and optimize communication between patient and caregiver(s) [34].

Dyspnea

Dyspnea is the patient’s perception of shortness of breath or air hunger. The perception of dyspnea is complex and depends on a variety of somatic and psychological factors such as deconditioning, underweight, anxiety, depression and other comorbidities such as cardiac disease [35]. Dyspnea is closely related to QOL and treatment and relief of dyspnea is of major importance. In pain management, the concept of ‘total pain’ describes the physical, mental, social, cultural and spiritual component of pain sensation. This broad understanding of ‘total dyspnea’ should be used in patients with chronic lung disease [36,37].

In addition to the pharmacological treatment of dyspnea, patient education, relaxation, breathing techniques and exercises are helpful [38,39]. Dyspnea may result in decreased physical activity and thus an inactive lifestyle, resulting in loss of muscle mass and deconditioning, which may further worsen dyspnea [40]. Physical rehabilitation is therefore an important way to improve dyspnea.
Dyspnea-related anxiety and depression

Dyspnea is terrifying for most patients and anxiety may worsen the situation. Dyspnea and dyspnea-related anxiety are difficult to distinguish and should therefore be considered as a single symptom. Anxiety in patients with COPD increases the risk of hospitalization and results in a self-reinforcing relationship [41,42]. In some patients, anxiety is seen as a direct part of the underlying disease and is therefore perceived as a marker of disease severity rather than a co-morbidity [42].

Opioids

There is a general consensus on the benefits of low-dose opioids to relieve dyspnea. This is supported by some evidence in COPD, while the evidence in ILD is limited [10,36,43–46]. When opioids are used, it is recommended to start with a low dose of morphine and to increase the dose slowly. Doses of 2.5 to 5 mg of oral morphine are often used as an initial dose, slowly increased until a beneficial effect is achieved. Opioid doses of up to 30 mg daily have not been associated with an increased rate of hospitalization or mortality in COPD, regardless of hypercapnia [47]. A small study on patients with IPF suggested the benefit of a single dose to relieve dyspnea without oxygen desaturation [48].

Benzodiazepines

Benzodiazepine treatment in COPD may be associated with a slightly increased mortality [47,49,50] and its effect on the perception of dyspnea is not fully elucidated [11,38,43]. Benzodiazepines reduce anxiety and can be tried when anxiety is a major component [11,50].

Corticosteroids

Low-dose prednisolone of 5–10 mg daily is sometimes used to relieve dyspnea. Corticosteroids have a beneficial effect on fatigue, but also significant side effects. There is a dose-effect relationship between adverse events but even at low doses, physical adverse events such as weight gain, gastrointestinal bleeding, osteoporosis, glaucoma, hypertension, increased risk of diabetes [51], and psychological side effects such as delirium, confusion, depression and mania have been observed [52]. Corticosteroids may in selected cases be helpful to stabilize and increase wellbeing and appetite, but the risk of long-term adverse events should be balanced against disease severity and life expectancy.

Long-term oxygen treatment (LTOT)

LTOT may be a necessary and life-prolonging treatment in COPD and pulmonary fibrosis. However, LTOT may result in immobilization and dry out the mucous membranes, which may outweigh the benefits in some patients. In normoxic patients, it is questionable whether oxygen relieves dyspnea and it is therefore only prescribed as palliation after careful individual assessment [38].

Cough

Persistent cough is common in patients with COPD or ILD [53]. Patients should be evaluated and treated for underlying causes such as reflux or sinusitis. Moreover, attention should be paid to side effects from other drugs such as ACE inhibitors. In COPD, use of inhalers and inhalation medications should be optimized.

When no other cause for cough is found, low dose opioids can be tried. However, the scientific evidence is limited [53,54]. There is a growing interest in chronic cough and its similarity to neuropathic pain; gabapentin has been shown to improve the condition at acceptable side effects [55–57].

Malnutrition

Malnutrition and particularly loss of muscle mass is related to poor survival in COPD. While underweight is a bad prognostic sign, the same has not been shown for overweight. The relationship between obesity, the worsening of comorbidities and the symptom burden is poorly investigated [58]. Recent studies suggest that nutrition therapy may improve the condition of severely underweight patients, particularly in combination with a rehabilitation program [58–60].

Recommendation 6. Imminently dying patients with lung disease

The presence of ‘Imminently dying’ is based on a clinical assessment of the patient’s current situation, comorbidity status, and the patient’s personal wishes.

Treatment of the imminently dying patient focuses solely on symptom treatment and relief.

Communication with the imminently dying patients and their caregivers requires sufficient time, an appropriate environment and an empathetic disclosure of the information.

No reliable or definite prognostic signs can define when a patient is imminently dying. The assessment is based on thorough knowledge of

(1) The patient’s overall disease burden, comorbidities and potentially reversible acute conditions.
(2) Daily physical activities prior to hospitalization
Restrictions in treatment and discontinuation of treatment

Limitation of treatment covers the considerations on treatment such as transfer to an intensive care unit, non-invasive ventilation, ventilator therapy, or resuscitation in the case of cardiac arrest.

Central to the communication on treatment limitations is the description and explanation of the underlying medical reasons. Communication about limitations of treatment should always be disclosed with empathy and respect for the patient and caregivers.

When a patient is imminently dying, the treatment should be appropriately adjusted. It is important to regularly inform the patient and informal caregivers about changes in treatment goals i.e. from disease modifying treatment to symptom relief.

If the patient is awake, oxygen therapy can be adjusted in accordance with the patient’s perception of dyspnea. Oxygen dries out the mucous membranes and is therefore only indicated in the imminently dying patient to relieve dyspnea.

Fluid therapy and nutrition should be stopped after thoroughly informing the patient and informal caregivers.

In the imminently dying patient, it may be difficult to distinguish between anxiety, dyspnea or other disorders; opioids and also benzodiazepines may be helpful.

Communication about death with the dying patient and informal caregivers

Communication about death with the dying patient and the informal caregivers requires communicative skills and empathy. Conversations about these topics should be allowed sufficient time [24]. The need for information to both patient and caregivers is often underestimated by health professionals [54]. Caregivers may have difficulties realizing the severity of an exacerbation when their loved one has previously survived other exacerbations. In such cases, it may be helpful to discuss the daily activity and worsening over time before the actual hospitalization to allow the caregivers to formulate and accept that the patient’s underlying chronic lung disease has progressed and is worse than before.

Case manager

The goal of a case manager model is not to reduce the number of contacts to the health care system but to improve the patient’s feeling of security, to reduce anxiety and if possible also to reduce acute hospitalizations. A case manager model requires highly trained case managers, easy accessibility and frequent contacts between the patient and health care professionals [6,61].

Symptom screening

An understanding of patients symptoms and needs is central to palliation and rehabilitation. Several validated questionnaires exist. In patients with COPD, we recommend use of the COPD Assessment Test (CAT). The CAT consists of eight questions covering the most common COPD symptoms. CAT is validated and correlates with the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) [62]. CAT can also be used in patients with ILD.

Multidisciplinary team

The key participants in the pulmonary palliative team are physicians and nurses specialized in pulmonary medicine. Other relevant participants may include general practitioners, physiotherapists, palliative care specialists, occupational therapists, dieticians, psychologists, hospital chaplains and social workers.

The symptomatic, severely ill patient often consults different specialists. A multidisciplinary team should in addition to taking care of the patient, also coordinate those involved in treatment and care provision. Positive results with multidisciplinary team conferences within existing budgets have been reported in England [63].

Telemedicine

There is considerable political interest and optimism about the field of telemedicine, although evidence of the effect is still modest [64]. Obviously, the digitalization of our society will result in changes in future communication forms. Introduction of telemonitoring itself does not necessarily improve QOL or reduce the number of hospitalizations [65–67]. If introduced, it is important to adjust the teleintervention to fit the patient’s needs and technical skills [66]. Telemedicine might be able to improve communication between health care providers and patients with severe dyspnea and reduce the need for physically attending appointments in the out-patient clinic.
Overall approach

Studies have shown that patients’ behavior can be changed by a case manager, patient education and self-management plans. In COPD, this approach has in some studies resulted in reduced healthcare costs [68], a lower rate of hospitalization due to COPD exacerbations as well as for other reasons [69]. In contrast, other well-designed studies have found excess mortality in self-help plan groups [70] and no effect of nurse-controlled interventions [71]. These contradictory results illustrate the complexity of severe chronic lung disease and highlight the need for personalized management.

There is limited knowledge of the optimal organization of a comprehensive palliative care program for patients with non-malignant chronic lung disease. Education and training in palliative care should be a part of the pulmonology training programs for both physicians and nurses.

Conclusion

Palliative care is based on a shared understanding of the individual patient’s symptoms, needs, fears and hopes. It is recommended to offer annual ACP conversations with the patient and, if possible, the caregivers. When a mutual understanding is achieved and a plan is formulated, the multidisciplinary team should start treatment according to the identified needs and resources.

Early palliation allows for better systematization of the different treatment needs, and for the development of a long-term strategy.

The goal of palliative care is to support the patient and caregivers to improve QOL throughout the disease trajectory.
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