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Temperature Dependence of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins in Simulations: What are We Missing?
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ABSTRACT: The temperature dependence of the conformational properties in simulations of the intrinsically disordered model protein histatin 5 has been investigated using different combinations of force fields, water models, and atomistic and coarse-grained methods. The results have been compared to experimental data obtained from NMR, SAXS, and CD experiments to assess the accuracy and validity of the simulations. The results showed that neither simulations completely agreed with the experimental data, nor did they agree with each other. It was however possible to conclude that the observed conformational changes upon variations in temperature were not at all driven by electrostatic interactions. The final conclusion was that none of the simulations that were investigated in this study was able to accurately capture the temperature induced conformational changes of our model IDP.

1. INTRODUCTION

To understand the structural properties of intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs), it is also necessary to study the possible conformational changes that could arise when the proteins are subjected to changes in temperature. While “regular” globular proteins are generally unfolded upon heating, IDPs have been reported to display the opposite behavior, showing temperature-induced partial folding or secondary structure formation instead.1,2 Several studies have suggested that disordered proteins are in fact locally ordered, possessing a well-defined polyproline II (PPII) backbone structure, and that it is the destabilization of this structure that causes the contraction of IDPs at higher temperatures.3−5

Using computer simulations accompanied by experiments is a good way of studying conformational changes, as the simulations can give more detailed information about the system, while the experiments can validate the simulations as well as give an overview and an overall appreciation of the results. Several studies like this have been performed on IDPs, and quite a few of them have obtained good accuracy when it comes to comparing simulated and experimental results at room temperature. The results are unfortunately not as comparable when performing similar studies at other temperatures than room temperature. In the study by Wuttke et al.,2 Monte Carlo simulations were performed with the OPLS/ABSINTH force field and implicit solvent, in which the force field was modified to also consider the temperature-dependent dielectric constant and solvation free energies. As a result of this, the temperature-induced collapse of a selection of disordered proteins was captured, which highlighted the importance of solvation effects in simulations of IDPs using implicit solvent. Temperature effects have however not been as easily replicated in molecular dynamics simulations with explicit solvent. In 2009, Nettels et al.6 showed that the temperature dependence in protein simulations strongly depends on the selected force field and water model. They noticed that the AMBER ff03* force field7 in combination with the TIP3P water model8 gave an increase in the radius of gyration, $R_g$, with increased temperature, whereas a decrease in the $R_g$ was found when the same force field was used in combination with the TIP4P-Ew water model,9 which again stressed the importance of using an appropriate water model. Using the protein force field OPLS-AA/L with TIP3P also gave a slight collapse of the $R_g$ with temperature.10
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results were observed several years later by Zerze et al., when protein simulations using the AMBER ff03sws force field and the TIP4P/2005 water model managed to qualitatively capture the temperature dependent collapse, although the Rg was always smaller than what experiments showed.

The fact that different water models give different results has been known for a long time. A small temperature dependence study (in which only two temperatures were tested) was done using the TIP4P water model by Martin Neumann in 1986. He showed that the dielectric constant decreases with temperature as it should, although the actual values were found to be too low. In 2004, Horn et al. showed that the general tendency of decreasing dielectric constant with increased temperature was similar to the experimental values when using the TIP4P-Ew water model. The actual values were again too low, even though they were found to be considerably better than those obtained by using the TIP4P water model. Soon after, Abascal and Vega determined that the dielectric constant at 298 K had better agreement with experiments when using the TIP4P-Ew water model compared to the TIP4P/2005 water model. Several studies have reported the dielectric constant of the TIP4P water model at room temperature to be higher than the expected value. However, finding any studies in the literature concerning the temperature dependence of the dielectric constant of the TIP3P water model has been futile.

From the discussion above, it can be concluded that there have been several different studies concerning temperature dependence in simulations of proteins using different force field and water model combinations. While several simulations have shown to be sufficiently accurate at room temperature, the results from different temperatures were more varied. Thus, the question remains: Is it possible for the currently available simulation methods to accurately mimic the experimental temperature induced structural changes in IDPs?

In this case study, the temperature effects in simulations of the intrinsically disordered model protein histatin 5 (Hst5) have been investigated using both atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) and coarse-grained Monte Carlo (CG MC) simulations. Two of the currently available force fields appropriate for studying IDPs were chosen for the MD simulations: (i) AMBER ff99SB-ILDN and (ii) CHARMM36m. The AMBER ff99SB-ILDN force field was used in combination with the TIP4P-D water model, but also the TIP3P water model, which is known for causing too compact IDP structures when used in combination with this particular force field. The CHARMM36m force field was used in combination with the recommended TIP3P water model. For the CG MC simulations, we chose to use the Molsim simulation package. To validate the simulation methods, the results were compared to data from various experimental methods such as small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), and circular dichroism spectroscopy (CD). The model protein, Hst5, is a small IDP that can normally be found in saliva, where it acts as a defense against fungal infections caused by, for example, C. albicans. Hst5 is comprised of only 24 amino acid residues, of which almost 30% are histidines. In addition, seven of the other amino acid residues are positively charged, but only two are negatively charged. From this amino acid distribution, the conformational preference of Hst5 is predicted to be a polyanalyophilic coil or a polyelectrolytic semiflexible rod or coil, depending on the charge of the histidines. When the histidines are charged, the protein obtains a more polyelectrolytic character. For the sake of simplicity, all simulated histidines were assumed to be neutral in this study. Illustrations of the atomistic and coarse-grained models of Hst5 are depicted in Figure 1.

2. METHODS

2.1. Computational Models and Methods. The model IDP, Hst5, has been simulated at different temperatures using several different combinations of simulation models and methods, see Tables 1 and 2. MC simulations were also performed for two additional CG peptides of equal length as Hst5 but with either (i) all residues being neutral or (ii) all residues having a charge of +1, see Table 2. Although all of the systems were included in the study, the main focus was on the MD simulations and the MC(IW) simulations (IW = implicit water).

Table 1. Abbreviations of the Different Combinations of Force Fields and Water Models Used in the All-Atom MD Simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Force Field</th>
<th>Water Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MD(A3)</td>
<td>AMBER ff99SB-ILDN</td>
<td>TIP3P8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD(A4)</td>
<td>AMBER ff99SB-ILDN</td>
<td>TIP4P-D21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD(C3)</td>
<td>CHARMM36m</td>
<td>TIP3P8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Abbreviations of the Different Combinations of Chain Composition and Ionic Strength, I, Used in the CG MC Simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Chain Composition</th>
<th>I (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC_HST_10</td>
<td>Hst5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC_NEU_10</td>
<td>all neutral</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC_POS_10</td>
<td>all charged</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC(IW)</td>
<td>Hst5</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC_NEU_140</td>
<td>all neutral</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC_POS_140</td>
<td>all charged</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Illustration of the simulation models of Hst5. (a) The coarse-grained model and (b) the atomistic model overlaid with a cartoon representation to elucidate the backbone. The color scheme is as follows: red spheres represent the negative residues, blue spheres represent the positive residues, and the cyan spheres represent the histidine residues. The coarse-grained model also includes the protein termini as charged spheres.
dodecahedron was used as the simulation box for Hst5, with a minimum distance of 1 nm between the solute and the box edges. The protein systems were neutralized by the addition of five chloride ions. Periodic boundary conditions were used in all directions. The equations of motion were integrated using the Verlet leapfrog algorithm with a time step of 2 ps. Energies and coordinates were saved every 5 ps. A Verlet list cutoff scheme was used for the nonbonded interactions, of which the short-ranged interactions were calculated using a pair list with a cutoff of 1 nm. Long-ranged dispersion interactions were applied to the systems’ energy and pressure. The long-ranged electrostatics were managed by using Particle Mesh Ewald with cubic interpolation and a grid spacing of 0.16 nm. A velocity-rescaling thermostat and a Parrinello–Rahman pressure coupling were used to keep the temperature and the pressure constant throughout the simulations. The simulations were performed using a pressure of 1 bar.

The simulations were performed using a short-ranged attraction of 0.6 ÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅ Å
temperatures. Calculations of the pH at different temperatures were performed by using a d(pKₐ)/dT value of −0.028. Sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate (NaH₂PO₄, Sigma-Aldrich, ≥99.0% purity, CAS Registry no. 10049-21-5) and sodium phosphate dibasic dihydrate (Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich, ≥99.5% purity, CAS Registry no. 10028-24-7) were used to prepare a 20 mM phosphate buffer in Milli-Q water for the CD measurements. The pH of the phosphate buffer was set to 7.0 with NaOH. The ionic strength of the buffer solutions was set to 140−150 mM. NaCl was used to adjust the ionic strength for the SANS measurements, and NaF (VWR International, ≥99.5% purity, CAS Registry no. 7681-49-4) was used for the CD measurements. Before any peptide was added, the buffers were filtered through a 0.2 µm hydrophilic polypropylene membrane ( Pall Corporation). After the peptide was dissolved, a concentration cell (Vivaspin 20, 2.0 kDa MWCO, product no. VS02H92, Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) was used to remove low molecular weight impurities. The samples were rinsed by buffer centrifugation to a maximum of 3500 RCF at 15−18 °C, and the amount of buffer used for rinsing was equal to at least 10 times the sample volume. Dialysis of the SANS samples was done using dialysis cups (Slide-A-Lyzer MINI, 2.0 kDa MWCO, product no. 69580, Thermo Scientific, United States), and the CD samples were dialyzed using dialysis cassettes (Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassettes, 2.0 kDa MWCO, product no. 66205, Thermo Scientific, United States). All samples were dialyzed for at least 12 h in a buffer volume of at least 100× the sample volume to ensure exact background.

2.2.2. SANS Measurements. SANS measurements were performed at beamline BM29 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble, France. The incident-beam wavelength was 0.99 Å, and the distance between the sample and the PILATUS 1 M detector was 2867 nm, giving a scattering vector range of 0.037−4.928 nm⁻¹. For each sample and pure solvent, at least ten successive 1 s frames were recorded and analyzed. Special attention was paid to radiation damage by comparing the successive frames prior to further processing of the data. The measurements were performed at selected temperatures of 10, 20, 37 and 50 °C. Before the SANS measurements, the samples were further centrifuged at 18400 RCF and 6 °C for at least 30 min to remove aggregates. Protein concentrations were measured after preparation and again immediately before the SANS measurements using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ε = 2560 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, λ = 280 nm). To obtain the proper SANS spectra, the background (i.e., the pure solvent) was subtracted from the corresponding sample spectrum. Normalization was done by converting I(0) to an absolute scale by measuring the scattering of pure water.

2.2.3. CD Measurements. Far-UV (185−260 nm) CD spectra were obtained using a Jasco J-715 CD spectrometer with a model PTC-348WI Peltier type temperature control system (Hachioji, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were filtered though a Millex-GV Filter with a pore size of 0.22 µm (Merck Millipore Ltd., Ireland), before being added to a quartz cuvette with a path length of 0.1 cm. Selected temperatures of 10, 20, 37, and 50 °C were used for the measurements. The scanning rate was 20 nm/min, with a bandwidth of 2.0 nm, and a response time of 2 s. At least five scans were performed and averaged for each measurement. All spectra were smoothed using Savitzky–Golay filtering (SGF) with a bandwidth of 75. The background spectra were subtracted from the respective sample spectra and checked for oversmoothing before presenting the final data (see example in the Supporting Information). The smoothing process was done following the protocol of Norma J. Greenfield.

2.2.4. NMR Measurements. All NMR experiments were acquired on a Bruker Avance III 600 MHz (1H) spectrometer equipped with a TCI cryogenic probe. Samples contained 1 mM Hst5 in a 20 mM mixture of Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ (pH 7.0), 10% D₂O, 0.25 mM DSS, and 0.25% (v/v) 1,4-dioxane. Pulsed-field gradient (PFG) NMR diffusion experiments were recorded using a standard Bruker PFG-LED pulse sequence with bipolar gradients and solvent presaturation during the relaxation delay of 3 s. A total of 32 spectra with gradient strengths ranging from 2% to 98% of its maximum value were recorded. The pseudo-2D data was Fourier transformed and baseline corrected in Topspin (Bruker) and subsequently processed in Dynamics Center (Bruker) to obtain peak intensities. Diffusion constants were determined by fitting of peak intensity decays against the gradient strength using the Stejskal–Tanner equation:

\[ I = I_0 e^{-\gamma^2 \delta^2 (\Delta - \delta/3) D} \]

where I is the intensity, g the gradient strength, γ the gyromagnetic ratio of ¹H, δ the gradient length, Δ is the diffusion time, and D is the diffusion constant. The diffusion constants of a selection of peaks from the aromatic and aliphatic side-chain regions were plotted as histograms and fitted to a Gaussian

\[ f(x) = A e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \]

where µ is the mean, σ the standard deviation, and A the amplitude. Different bin sizes were tested to check the robustness of the fit. A small amount of 1,4-dioxane with a known hydrodynamic radius, R₇₅/₇₅₅, of 2.12 Å was used as an internal reference and used for the calculation of R₇₅/₅₅₅ according to Wilkins et al.

\[ R_{75/55} = \frac{D_{ref}}{D_{prot}} R_{75/55,ref} \]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, both atomistic MD simulations and coarse-grained MC simulations of Hst5 have been performed at different temperatures. Due to the extensive computer resources needed for the MD simulations, only four temperatures were tested with this method. By using a coarse-grained model for the MC simulations, a substantial amount of computational time was saved, which enabled the study of a wider range of temperatures. Analysis of the simulated data provided Rₐ, probability density functions, as well as the average radius of gyration, (Rₐ), and SANS profiles of each simulated system. Secondary structure analysis could also be obtained from the MD simulations. Discussion about convergence and sampling is referred to the Supporting Information. The results from the experimental methods, that is, SANS, NMR, and CD, were utilized to assess the validity of the simulations and to discern any temperature-dependent conformational changes. In this section, we will start by presenting and discussing changes in (Rₐ) for all the different methods that have been used in this study (if applicable). Subsequently, the shape, flexibility, and secondary structure of
and the temperature was found from SAXS was obtained from PRIMUS using that would arise changes as a function of temperature. only from all di values with this force values at four selected $R_g$. A comparision between the methods at the different temperatures was obtained from the NMR experiments. The conversion factor was computed using the method presented by Nygaard et al. The $R_g$ from SAXS was obtained from PRIMUS using the Guinier approximation with $qR_g(\text{max}) < 0.8$. A comparison between the $R_g$ from all different methods is presented in Figure 2. Figure 2a emphasizes the difference in $R_g$ between all methods at the selected temperatures, and Figure 2b illustrates the $R_g$ changes as a function of temperature.

Linear regression analysis was done on all data sets, assuming statistical significance for $p > 0.05$ (see Table S1 for more detailed information). The $R_g$ values at four selected temperatures are also displayed in Table 3. Visual inspection of Figure 2a revealed that the $R_g$ from all methods except MD(A3) were similar at the selected temperatures. The reason for the lower $R_g$ values with this force field and water type combination has already been frequently and thoroughly discussed and will, thus, not be explained here. However, the observed trends in Figure 2b did give a different view of the results: while the NMR data displayed a distinct decreasing behavior with increased temperature, the $R_g$ from the SAXS measurements showed a small increase until the peak value of 310 K, after which it decreased again. Taking the linear regression analysis into consideration, the $R_g$ from the NMR data was confirmed to have a strong and significant correlation with the temperature. The same could not be concluded about the SAXS results, for which no linear correlation could be identified. The $R_g$ from the MD(A3) and the MD(C3) simulations showed a correlation that was similar to the NMR results, although weaker and not significant in the selected confidence level. An opposite correlation between the $R_g$ and the temperature was found in the MD(A4) simulations compared to the other MD simulations, but this correlation was also proven to be insignificant. The results from the MC(IW) showed a positive correlation with increasing temperature, which was also confirmed to be the only simulated correlation of statistical significance. Because of the known problem of sampling, the lack of significant correlation in the MD simulations was not surprising. However, the change in $R_g$ that would arise because of improved sampling would most likely not be of enough magnitude to change the observed correlations, based on the results found by Hicks and Zhou, where they only observed small differences ($\leq 0.5$ Å) for the $R_g$ with and without enhanced sampling methods. This value corresponds to approximately one-fourth of the bead radius in our coarse-grained model, and is only slightly larger than the error estimates of the MD simulations. In fact, the $R_g$ only changed from 13.00 to 12.92 Å upon extending two of the replicates in the MD(A4) simulation from 1 to 2 μs.

Since the SAXS and NMR experiments gave different results, it is difficult to determine which one of the temperature-dependent conformational changes is the most reliable. There were several basic factors differing between the two experiments: (i) the buffer, (ii) the pH, and (iii) the ionic strength. However, as already mentioned, neither of these differences is expected to give rise to such huge deviations as was seen in the results. Thus, we are looking for answers elsewhere in future studies. One observation that was done in the SAXS experiments at 310 K and higher, was that the computed

![Figure 2](image-url)
molecular mass became significantly lower (i.e., a deviation of more than 10%) for samples of low concentration, which could potentially mean that there is some sort of destabilization of the peptide under these conditions. This is however only a speculation, and the SAXS data presented in this paper had their molecular masses within the acceptable deviation limits. Another possibility is that the change in $\langle R_g \rangle$ is too small for being properly distinguished by the SAXS.

### 3.2. Shape and Flexibility

By studying the SAXS spectra, information on the shape and flexibility of the peptide can be obtained. Comparing the experimental data to that from simulations provides an important tool in validation of the simulation models. Comparison of the results from the simulations and the experiment in Figure 3 makes it clear that the MD(A4), the MD(C3), and the MC(IW) simulations managed to imitate the experimental form factor very well at 293 K (Figure 3b). The normalized Kratky plot at this temperature (Figure 3g) also agree on the shape of the peptide, which from the plateau indicated a high degree of flexibility. The MD(A3) simulations did not agree with the experiments, which can be seen by the indication of a more globular shape in both the form factor and the Kratky plot. All SAXS results at 293 K were in agreement with previous studies. Visual inspection of the form factor suggested that the agreement between simulations and experiment seems to hold at 283 and 323 K but not at 310 K. Comparison of the Kratky plot does, however, show larger differences between the simulations and the experiments at all temperatures other than 293 K. The deviations are more pronounced at higher $qR_g$ values, where the simulations generally have slightly higher values. It is difficult to discern if this difference is significant or not since the Kratky plot is very sensitive in this region. Even small alterations due to, for example, the background subtraction can cause the Kratky plot to change at high $qR_g$ values. Steeper positive slopes usually indicates increasingly extended or rigid structures, but the difference is expected to be larger for such a significant structural change. Furthermore, it is important to keep in mind that experimental SAXS has quite low resolution compared to the SAXS curves from the simulations. Another reason for the differences between the simulations and the experiments could be that the histidines are assumed to be neutral throughout all of the simulations, even though histidines are known to charge regulate in solution.

Figure 3. Form factor (upper row, a–e) and the normalized Kratky plot (lower row, f–i) from SAXS measurements (gray) compared to computed SAXS curves from simulations at four different temperatures (left to right).

Figure 4. (a) Normalized CD spectra of Hst5 at different temperatures in samples with an ionic strength of 150 mM and (b) the change in ellipticity at wavelengths of 191 and 222 nm with temperature.
does however seem unlikely since a previous study by Kurut et al. has shown that the charge regulation of the histidines is very low at neutral pH in simulations. Additionally, protonation of the histidines results in a more extended structure due to the additional electrostatic repulsion that accompanies the protonation. Inspection of the experimental Kratky curves proved no change in the shape and flexibility of the peptide with the temperature. The differences between the simulated SAXS spectra at different temperatures were also found to be visually negligible for each model and are thus only shown in Figure S5.

### 3.3. Secondary Structure

Temperature dependence in the secondary structure was studied by CD spectroscopy, see Figure 4a. The spectra showed a negative band around 191 and 240 nm, as well as a positive band around 222 nm. The absolute intensity of the bands was shown to decrease as the temperature was increased. The change in ellipticity at 191 and 222 nm as a function of temperature is illustrated in Figure 4b. Linear regression analysis revealed a significant correlation between the ellipticity and the temperature at the selected bands (see Table S1), which indicates destabilization of PPII structure with increasing temperature. Since the PPII structure is quite extended, one could speculate that the peptide becomes less extended when the temperature is increased, which agrees very well with the NMR results. This is, however, not supported by the SAXS results, which indicated no significant change in shape and flexibility with the temperature. Shifts in the bands toward longer wavelengths were observed, which is also associated with a destabilization of the PPII structure. Measurements at high temperatures and shorter wavelengths are however less reliable due to increased tension (HT) voltage. This makes it difficult to deduce whether or not this observation at 191 nm was the expected natural response with some discrepancies because of the limitations of the method or if it was a random variation because of insufficient resolution.

Cluster analysis was performed to acquire a central, or representative, structure of each simulation, on which secondary structure analysis was performed in addition to the complete MD trajectories (see Figure S6). Contrary to the experiments, the representative structures did not show any traces of PPII structure for any force field or temperature, see Table 4. Instead the representative structures were found to be dominated by bends (S), turns (T), and random coils (−). These structures were also dominating in the complete simulation trajectories. As expected, the MD(A3) simulations gave rise to more compact turn and helical structures (H, α-helix; G, 3_10-helix) at a temperature of 293 K and above. At 283 K, the central part of the peptide was instead interpreted as an extended strand (E). The turn content was more distinguished in the MD(C3) simulations compared to the MD(A4) simulations. One deviation from the unstructured behavior was found for MD(C3) at 310 K, which representative structure suggested a 3_10-helix toward the C-terminus of the protein. Visual inspection of the representative structures from the MD(A4) and MD(C3) simulations showed similar conformations and are, thus, aligned in the last column of the table to visually enhance the comparison. In the simulations using the AMBER force fields, a few residue sequences were found to consistently adopt turn conformations. In the MD(A3) simulations, the preserved structure was found around His-3, Ala-4, and Lys-5. These residues were found in a turn conformation at all temperatures except 323 K, where they were found in an α-helix conformation instead. The turn in the MD(A4) was not present at 283 K but could otherwise be found at His-8 and Gly-9. The MD(C3) was not seen to possess such specific structure propensity. It is not unreasonable to expect turns around these type of amino acids since they are known to be disorder-promoting. However, further studies are required to discern if this structural preservation is a true effect or simply due to insufficient sampling. While $\langle R_g \rangle$ might not change significantly, the

---

**Table 4. Cartoon Depiction of the Representative Structures from the MD Simulations and Their Corresponding Secondary Structure Per Amino Acid According to DSSPPII Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>MD(A3)</th>
<th>MD(A4)</th>
<th>MD(C3)</th>
<th>MD(A4) / MD(C3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image16.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
secondary structure analysis is more likely to benefit from enhanced sampling. Although improbable, inadequate sampling could also be a reason for the lack of PPII structure in the simulations. Only extending the simulations is not likely to be sufficient to change the conformation of the representative structure of the simulation. This was observed when comparing the 5 μs version of the MD(A4) simulation to the extended 7 μs version, for which the structures were identical (see Figure S7). However, since we are only interested in the overall secondary structure content and not specific details about every possible conformation, and although it would be interesting to expand the study using enhanced sampling methods, this is out of scope in our study.

3.4. Temperature-Dependent Interactions in the Simulations. To exclude the possibility that the water models could cause an inaccurate temperature dependence in the MD simulations, pure water simulations were also performed using the AMBER ff99SB-ILDN force field in combination with the two water models. The dielectric constant was computed from the water simulations at different temperatures, and compared to the reference values, see Figure 5. The figure showed that the dielectric constant of both the TIP3P and the TIP4P-D water model decreased with increased temperature, as expected. The actual magnitudes of the obtained dielectric constants were, however, shown to deviate, resulting in larger values than the reference values while using the TIP3P water model, and values smaller than the reference values while using the TIP4P-D water model. Since decreasing correlations were captured in the water models, that is, a correct temperature dependence was observed, any possible erroneous contribution to the behavior of the Hst5 simulations can most likely be disregarded.

Further investigation of the dielectric constant in the simulations was done by performing additional MC simulations using the dielectric constants from the MD simulations instead of the standard reference values. The resulting \( \langle R_g \rangle \) values were found to be basically identical to those from the MC(IW) simulations (and are thus not shown), which indicates that the electrostatic contribution is not dominating the temperature dependence in the MC simulations. This observation was further explored by performing additional coarse-grained MC simulations of two other chains of equal length as Hst5, but consisting completely of (i) neutral beads and (ii) beads with a charge of +1 each (see Table 2). The results are shown in Figure 6, where the temperature dependence of the \( \langle R_g \rangle \) was found to be the same regardless of what fraction of charge the chain possesses. The temperature dependence was also found to be independent of the ionic strength. These results combined strengthens the notion of that the temperature dependence is not electrostatically driven in the coarse-grained MC simulations.

The strength of the electrostatic interactions is dependent on the temperature through the dielectric constant, and the interactions become stronger at higher temperatures. Consequently, if the electrostatic interactions were dominating, the simulated peptide would most likely either (i) become more extended at higher temperatures because of increasing repulsion between the positive residues in the chain, (ii) show an increased interaction between residue Glu-16 and any of the positive amino acid residues, or (iii) display a combination of these two effects. Since neither of the proposed effects was observed in the MD results, this could indicate that the effect of temperature on the conformational properties of...
Hst5 is not primarily driven by the electrostatic interactions in the MD simulations. Assuming that the electrostatic interactions are not the main driving force for the temperature dependence of conformational changes in Hst5 in the simulations leaves only one option: the entropic effect. To evaluate the conformational entropy in the simulated systems, the FWHM of \( p(R_g) \) (Figure S2) was determined. The results were normalized and compared to the normalized \( \langle R_g \rangle \), see Figure 7. Linear correlation between the FWHM and the temperature was found for the MD(A4) and the MC(IW) simulations only. Since the correlations were found to be positive, this means that the chain entropy was increasing with the temperature. Unfortunately, several of the data points of the remaining two methods cannot be considered reliable because of bad Gaussian fitting caused by seemingly two or more radius distributions under the same curve (e.g., 310 K in Figure S2a). Thus, another fitting model or improved sampling would be needed to properly evaluate the conformational entropy of the MD(A3) and MD(C3) simulations. Another observable correlation was identified between the \( \langle R_g \rangle \) and the FWHM in the MC(IW) simulation, which suggests that the conformational changes heavily depend on the entropic forces. To investigate the correlation further, linear regression analysis was performed to prove its significance (see Figure S3 and Table S1).

To summarize, the results indicated that the temperature dependence of the conformational properties of Hst5 most likely is driven more by entropic effects than by the electrostatic interactions in the simulations, especially in the case of the MC simulations. This observation is in agreement with the loss of PPII structure that was observed in the experiments. Nevertheless, neither of the simulations was able to predict any accurate temperature dependence of the conformational ensemble, which gives rise to the question in the title of this study: What are we missing? Considering the MD simulations, both the TIP4P-D water model and the CHARMM36m force field have been modified to sustain more favorable protein–water dispersion interactions. As mentioned in the introduction, MC simulations with added solvation free energies have also been shown to give more accurate results. Thus, although several studies have shown that proper balancing of protein–solvent interactions is a key element to obtain good simulation results, it is obviously not enough to only scale these interactions to capture conformational properties of IDPs at other temperatures than room temperature. In addition, since the electrostatic interactions and the entropic effects are the only temperature dependent interactions in the simulations, these are either not completely

Figure 7. \( \langle R_g \rangle \) compared to the FWHM as a function of temperature for the four different simulation methods. All points are normalized with their respective value at room temperature.
accurate, or it might also be needed to adjust the strength of the short-ranged interactions with respect to the temperature.

4. CONCLUSION
The case study presented here has focused on investigating the accuracy and validity of several simulation models and methods considering temperature induced structural changes. The simulated results have been compared to each other, but also to experimental results. As noted in several previous studies, we again concluded that the choice of force fields and water models heavily influences the outcome of the MD simulations, and also when it comes to temperature effects. It was observed that all three studied MD systems displayed different responses upon changes in temperature. Weak correlations between the temperature and the \( \langle R_g \rangle \) were seen, but these were statistically insignificant, which is most likely attributed to the known problem of insufficient sampling. While enhanced sampling would decrease the errors and stabilize the values, and thereby also give more prominent correlations, it is unlikely that it would change the general trends observed in this study. The only simulation method that gave an actual significant temperature correlation was the CG MC method. This correlation was not supported by the NMR experiments, which instead suggested the opposite temperature dependence. Secondary structure analysis of the MD simulations was also unable to match the experimental results. The CD strongly indicated a loss of PPII structure, but this structure was not found in any of the simulated systems, and neither did these systems show any consistent correlation in secondary structure changes. However, since the secondary structure analysis was based on the representative structure from each simulation, enhanced sampling could possibly lead to a different distribution of secondary structure without significantly change averages of the other studied properties. Furthermore, we also deduced that it is unlikely that the water models are responsible for the different temperature behaviors and that the temperature induced correlations are not electrostatically driven in neither of the simulation methods. In conclusion, this study has shown that several of the simulation models and methods that are currently available for simulations of IDPs do not manage to capture the temperature induced structural changes, since none of the methods presented here was able to accurately explain the experimentally observed correlations.
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