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ABSTRACT

Fungus-growing termites are associated with genus-specific fungal symbionts, which they acquire via horizontal transmission. Selection of specific symbionts may be explained by the provisioning of specific, optimal cultivar growth substrates by termite farmers. We tested whether differences in in vitro performance of Termitomyces cultivars from nests of three termite species on various substrates are correlated with the interaction specificity of their hosts. We performed single-factor growth assays (varying carbon sources), and a two-factor geometric framework experiment (simultaneously varying carbohydrate and protein availability). Although we did not find qualitative differences between Termitomyces strains in carbon-source use, there were quantitative differences, which we analysed using principal component analysis. This showed that growth of Termitomyces on different carbon sources was correlated with termite host genus, rather than host species, while growth on different ratios and concentrations of protein and carbohydrate was correlated with termite host species. Our findings corroborate the interaction specificity between fungus-growing termites and Termitomyces cultivars and indicate that specificity between termite hosts and fungi is reflected both nutritionally and physiologically. However, it remains to be demonstrated whether those differences contribute to selection of specific fungal cultivars by termites at the onset of colony foundation.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Mutualisms are widespread in nature, with cooperation between species often providing entry into ecological niches that could not support either species alone (Moya et al., 2008). Yet, the interactions between mutualists vary from short-term co-existence to irreversible obligate symbiosis. In addition, there are varying degrees of interaction specificities; i.e., possible combinations of hosts and symbionts (Aanen et al., 2007). Vertical transmission of symbionts generally leads to a high degree of interaction specificity and co-evolution, whereas horizontal transmission typically leads to less specialized associations between symbionts (Bright and Bulgheresi, 2010). Interaction specificity, however, does not only depend on transmission mode. It is often observed that (metabolic) traits of a symbiont are lost because their functions become redundant if the other partner reliably provides the resources (Visser et al., 2010; Ellers et al., 2012). Such reciprocal specialization can favour obligate symbiotic partnerships and foster co-cladogenesis of symbionts, even in the absence of vertical transmission (Aanen et al., 2007).

An intriguing obligate symbiosis is that between a monophyletic group of termites (family Termitidae, subfamily Macrotermiteinae) and basidiomycete fungi of the genus Termitomyces (Agaricomycetes, Lyophyllaceae), which originated ca. 30 million years ago in sub-Saharan Africa (Aanen et al., 2002; Aanen and Eggleton, 2005; Roberts et al., 2016). Fungus farming enables the termites to utilise food sources they cannot digest themselves, as the fungi convert recalcitrant plant substrates into edible fungal biomass and...
accessible carbohydrates. In return, the termites shelter the fungus from unfavourable abiotic and biotic conditions (Wood and Sands, 1978; Rouland-Lefèvre and Bignell, 2002). There are about 330 described species of fungus-growing termites in 11 monophyletic genera (Aanen et al., 2002; Nobre et al., 2010), all farming Termitomyces fungal symbionts (Aanen et al., 2002; Roberts et al., 2016). Even though most termites acquire their symbionts horizontally (Fig. 1A) (de Fine Licht et al., 2006; Aanen et al., 2007; Nobre et al., 2010), there is a degree of co-cladogenesis between the termite and fungus phylogenies; groups of Termitomyces associate with specific termite clades (Fig. 1B) (Johnson et al., 1981; Sieber, 1983; Darlington, 1994; Aanen et al., 2002, 2009); yet, within these groups, large differences in interaction specificity are observed. Some are highly specific, e.g., all Macrotermes natalensis studied so far associate with members from a single biological species of Termitomyces, whereas others have more diffuse co-evolutionary relationships; for example, most species of the genus Odontotermes associate with a broad range of Termitomyces lineages, which represent multiple species (Aanen, 2006; de Fine Licht et al., 2006; Aanen et al., 2007).

To explain the observed co-cladogenesis and differences in interaction specificity between termite-fungus associations, several studies have suggested that the substrates provided by termites play a role in the selection of a suitable fungal symbiont (Rouland-
Lefèvre, 2000; Nobre and Aanen, 2012). The hypothesis is that the most specific associations have evolved most complementarity in the breakdown of plant biomass. According to this hypothesis, the less specifically cultivated Termitomyces, like the ones cultivated by Odontotermes spp., are expected to have a broader potential substrate range than very specifically cultivated Termitomyces, like the symbiont of M. natalensis. In addition, different termite species and genera collect different plant substrates, which could further select for association-specific metabolic capacities in the fungal symbions (Grassé, 1982; Dangerfeld and Schuurman, 2000; Johjima et al., 2006; Soleymaninejadi et al., 2014; da Costa et al., 2018).

Here we explore the extent to which Termitomyces performance on different substrates is correlated with interaction specificity in the termite-fungus symbiosis. First, we generate a phylogeny of Termitomyces isolates to compare to previous work and confirm interaction specificities (Aanen et al., 2002, 2007). Second, we test whether there are qualitative or quantitative differences in in vitro cultivar growth performance on 35 carbon sources between Termitomyces symbionts of the more specific M. natalensis and two less specific Odontotermes species. Third, as growth substrates are not expected to differ one-dimensionally (i.e., for carbon source only) between termite species, we use a geometric framework to generate nutritional landscapes by which we can visualize in vitro cultivar growth performance upon varying carbohydrate and protein concentrations and ratios simultaneously (Lee et al., 2008; Dussutour et al., 2010; Simpson and Rubenheimer, 2012; Shik et al., 2016). Finally, we identify whether the observed growth patterns, separately for the carbon-source and the nutritional-landscape experiments, reflect the interaction specificity between the fungi and their hosts.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Termitomyces barcoding and phylogenetic analysis

To determine biomass production of Termitomyces strains on different carbon substrates, we used a minimal medium developed for Schizophyllum commune (Dons et al., 1979, Supplementary Table 1), supplemented with 300 mg Urea/L, where glucose was replaced by one of 35 carbon sources as described at www.fung-growth.org, without birch wood xylan and oat spelt xylan that were no longer available and with chitin added (de Vries et al., 2017). The use of this specific set allows for comparison to other studies gathered in the FUNG-GROWTH database (e.g., Benoit et al., 2015; de Vries et al., 2017). Sterilised polycarbonate membranes (Profiltra, 0.1 pore size, 76 mm diameter, Almere, The Netherlands) were placed in each Petri dish to facilitate fungal biomass collection and isolation and sequencing of fungal ITS sequences. We obtained both ITS regions, but not the 5.8S region for Termitomyces from mound Od159. For Mn156 and Mn160 we obtained ITS1 and part of ITS2, and for Od127, part of ITS1, and part of ITS2. Sequences have been deposited to GenBank (MG283253-MG283261) (Table 1). Sequences were aligned using the CLC Genomics Workbench v9.5.3 (https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com); gap insertion penalty 10, gap extension penalty 1.0, alignment mode “Very accurate”. An unrooted tree was obtained by cluster analysis using the UPGMA algorithm with Jukes-Cantor distance measurement, and bootstrap support was assessed using 10,000 pseudoreplicates (Fig. 1C). Using BLASTn, the ITS sequences were compared to haplotypes obtained in a study of South African Termitomyces by Aanen et al. (2007).

2.3. Single nutrient assay: performance on different carbon sources

Table 1 Termitomyces isolate codes, GenBank accession numbers for fungal ITS sequences, and geographical locations and their GPS coordinates for where fungus comb samples were collected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Termitomyces species</th>
<th>GenBank accession numbers for termitomyces identification</th>
<th>Termitomyces Isolate code</th>
<th>GenBank accession numbers for Termitomyces identification</th>
<th>Excavation location</th>
<th>Excavation GPS coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odontotermes sp.</td>
<td>KJ28906901</td>
<td>Od127</td>
<td>MG283255</td>
<td>Experimental farm</td>
<td>S25 44.562 E28 15.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontotermes sp.</td>
<td>KJ28906911</td>
<td>Od128</td>
<td>MG283254</td>
<td>Experimental farm</td>
<td>S25 44.544 E28 15.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontotermes cf. badus</td>
<td>MF0928012</td>
<td>Od145</td>
<td>MG283258</td>
<td>Experimental farm</td>
<td>S25 45.118 E28 15.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontotermes cf. badus</td>
<td>MF0928022</td>
<td>Od150</td>
<td>MG283257</td>
<td>Rietondale</td>
<td>S25 43.666 E28 14.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontotermes cf. badus</td>
<td>MF0928034</td>
<td>Od151</td>
<td>MG283256</td>
<td>Rietondale</td>
<td>S25 43.650 E28 14.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontotermes sp.</td>
<td>MF0928044</td>
<td>Od159</td>
<td>MG283253</td>
<td>Experimental farm</td>
<td>S25 44.621 E28 15.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrotermes natalensis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mn156</td>
<td>MG283261</td>
<td>Experimental farm</td>
<td>S25 44.578 E28 15.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrotermes natalensis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mn160</td>
<td>MG283260</td>
<td>Experimental farm</td>
<td>S25 44.578 E28 15.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrotermes natalensis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mn162</td>
<td>MG283259</td>
<td>Mookgophong</td>
<td>S24 39.693 E28 47.559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and weighing after incubation. Termitomyces strains were cultured for 15 d on MYA before roughly the same amount of fungal material from each strain was harvested and added to each of six Eppendorf tubes containing 750 μL 0.6% saline. The suspensions were subsequently pooled in a 12 mL tube and vortexed. Ten μL of this hypha/spore suspension was used as the inoculum. Three inoculates were placed on one plate; three replicate plates were inoculated per fungal strain per carbon substrate (total of nine replicates per fungus, per carbon source). The plates were incubated for 21–31 d (Supplementary Table 2), after which biomass from each Petri dish was collected, separately and weighed (g wet weight). Contaminated plates were discarded (18% out of 945 plates). Plates without membranes were incubated and photographed for visual inspection (Supplementary Fig. 1). Area could, however, not be evaluated, because of the small number of replicates. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on the average biomass per strain, per carbon source (35 measurements per strain) in R v. 3.3.2 (R Core Team) using the FactoMineR package (Le et al., 2008). Contamination led to missing data points, for which values were estimated using missMDA in R (Josse and Husson, 2016). The carbon sources that contributed the most to the PCA patterns were evaluated by their cumulative percentage of variance explained, loading values, and eigenvalues (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4).

2.4 Geometric framework assay: performance across protein:carbohydrate ratios and concentrations

Termitomyces cultivar biomass formation and radial growth was assessed on media with nine protein:carbohydrate (P:C) ratios (1:9, 1:6, 1:3, 1:2, 1:1, 2:1, 3:1, 6:1, and 9:1) in four different concentrations (8, 32, 56 and 80 g/L protein + carbohydrate) (Dussutour et al., 2010; Shik et al., 2016). The mixtures of carbohydrate and protein were prepared in 250 mL distilled water and 4 g [1.6% (wt:vol)] agar and autoclaved at 121 °C. Carbohydrates were provided by even parts glucose and starch (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Protein was provided by even parts Bacto peptone, Trypticase peptone, and Bacto tryptone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Micro-nutrients were provided by crushed vitamins added at 2% of the cumulative mass of protein and carbohydrates (Centrum) (modified from Shik et al., 2016) (for full media recipe, see Supplementary Table 5). Sterilised polycarbonate membranes (Profilter, 0.1 pore size, 76 mm diameter; Almere, The Netherlands) were placed in each plate to facilitate fungal biomass retrieval after incubation.

Prior to inoculation, Termitomyces strains were cultured for 20 days on PDA plates, and they were harvested and inoculated as described above, except that each plate was inoculated with only a single inoculum. After 24 days, the Petri dishes were photographed (Supplementary Fig. 2), and contaminated plates excluded (1.3% of the 972 plates). The images were used to measure growth area in ImageJ (NIH Image, v.1.50g). After photographing, fungal biomass was harvested and weighed. To analyse differences in biomass formation for different P:C ratios among fungal strains, a PCA was performed in R v. 3.3.2 (R Core Team) using the FactoMineR package (Le et al., 2008). Cumulative percentage variance, loading and eigenvalues were calculated to establish which ratios of P:C contributed the most to the separation observed in the PCA (Supplementary Tables 6 and 7).

To visualize Termitomyces growth, we generated P:C landscapes upon which we mapped variation in fungal area (cm²) and biomass (g wet weight) after 24 days. We did this using the “fields” package in v.2.14.0.R to generate non-parametric thin-plate splines (Lee et al., 2008; Dussutour et al., 2010), setting topological resolution of response surfaces to \( \lambda = 0.001 \) as a smoothing parameter (Shik et al., 2016). We tested whether colony identity influenced growth, performing GLM analyses (proc GLM, SAS V9.3) for each of the three fungal strains (i.e., fungal strains from nests of a given termite host species). Models for biomass and area included the factors protein, carbohydrate, protein \( \times \) carbohydrate, protein squared, carbohydrate squared, colony identity, and interactions between colony identity and each of the protein and carbohydrate effects. Colony identity was never a significant factor (Supplementary Table 8), so we generated performance landscapes based on strain-level means. For subsequent interpretation of P:C landscapes, we used least-square regressions based on strain-level means with both linear and quadratic terms to evaluate the main and interactive effects of protein and carbohydrates on performance (Supplementary Tables 9, 10, and 11).

3. Results

3.1 Termitomyces barcoding and phylogeny

All fungal isolates from M. natalensis had identical ITS sequences, apart from the occasional SNP between the two nuclei of the heterokaryons, while there were larger differences in ITS sequence and length between fungal isolates from Odontotermes spp. All the sequences were compared to sequences available in GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). Sequences of two isolates from Odontotermes sp. (Od127 and Od128) only differed in two insertions/deletions, and they were most similar to a fungal strain previously isolated from Odontotermes latericius (Aanen et al., 2007). Odontotermes sp. (Od159) was most similar to an isolate from O. cf. badius (Od150) and both were most similar to an isolate from O. latericius (Aanen et al., 2007). The other two O. cf. badius isolates (Od145 and Od151) were most similar to fungal strains previously isolated from the same termite species (Aanen et al., 2007). The placement of the strains in the phylogeny we generated based on our ITS sequences corroborated the phylogeny of the most similar strains generated by Aanen et al. (2007).

3.2 Termitomyces performance across single carbon sources

Contrary to the hypothesis that the less specifically cultivated Termitomyces are able to grow on a broader range of substrates, we did not observe qualitative differences between Termitomyces strains in growth on different substrates. We did, however, find consistent quantitative differences between Termitomyces strains associated with M. natalensis and Odontotermes spp. The PCA showed that the Termitomyces strains associated with M. natalensis clustered together and were separated from Odontotermes spp. strains (Fig. 2A). Loading values of the PCA indicated that the main contributors to the separation in the first principal component were cotton seed pulp, cellulose, alfalfa meal, arabinogalactan, soybean hulls (negative loading values), and by-D-galacturonic acid, D-glucose, L-arabinose, casein and soluble starch (positive loading values) (Supplementary Table 3). The main contributors to the separation in the second component were D-glucuronic acid and lignin (negative loading values), and apple pectin and beechwood xylan (positive loading values) (Supplementary Table 3). There was no effect of incubation time on biomass formation (correlation analysis: \( y = 0.0047x + 0.028 \), \( R^2 = 0.0043 \)).

Overall, Termitomyces strains grew best on complex substrates such as wheat bran, citrus pulp, rice bran, cottonseed pulp, alfalfa meal and soybean hulls, and on the disaccharide cellobiose (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Table 12). Sugar beet pulp was the only complex substrate with limited Termitomyces growth. Among the monosaccharides, D-glucose was the only one that allowed substantial biomass formation across all strains. None of the strains formed substantial biomass on lignin. Also, little biomass was formed on sucrose, even though some biomass was formed on D-glucose and
D-fructose, the monosaccharides that build sucrose. Odontotermes spp. strains grew slightly better on D-glucose, D-fructose, and chitin than M. natalensis strains, while M. natalensis strains showed slightly better growth on arabic gum (Fig. 2B).

### 3.3. Cultivar performance across protein:carbohydrate landscapes

In contrast to the results obtained on biomass formation patterns on different carbon sources, the PCA of biomass on different P:C ratios and concentrations indicated clustering based on termite species (Fig. 3C). Fungal cultivar performance was maximized by specific blends of protein and carbohydrates (Supplementary Tables 13 and 14), with strains exhibiting carbohydrate-biased ‘bulls eyes’ for maximal biomass production around concentrations of 35 g/L carbohydrates and 20 g/L protein (Fig. 3A). The effects of carbohydrates on fungal biomass production interacted with protein availability (i.e., quadratic terms for C were significant for each of the strains; Supplementary Table 11), indicating that single nutrient assays of cultivar performance (e.g., the carbon source test) need to be interpreted with caution. Visualized on the performance landscapes, the same carbohydrate concentration (i.e., a horizontal line extending from the y axis at 32 g/L) that was associated with the highest cultivar biomass when present in slightly higher abundance relative to protein (i.e., red bullseye at 1:2 to 1:3 P:C) was also associated with low biomass production at higher protein levels (i.e., blue areas to the right of red bullseyes, with diets > 2:1 P:C) (Fig. 3A).

The P:C ratios and concentrations that maximized growth area differed visually from those for biomass formation (Fig. 3A) and statistically, as the quadratic term for P was significant in each of the radial area regressions (but not in the biomass regressions, Supplementary Table 11). Regions of maximal growth area also appeared to differ across Termomyces strains from different termite species (Fig. 3B). The strains from M. natalensis showed a P:C diet maximum for radial growth that was comparable to the maximum for biomass formation (between 1:2 and 1:3, and between 32 and 56 g/L) and a second at P:C 3:1 and 6:1 at 8 g/L (Fig. 3B). The strains from O. cf. badius were similar to M. natalensis, while Odontotermes sp. strains only displayed one maximum (P:C 3:1—9:1 at 8 g/L) (Fig. 3B).

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Termite-fungus interaction specificity and its associations with Termomyces growth

Our phylogeny of fungi isolated from M. natalensis and two species of Odontotermes revealed patterns of interaction specificity consistent with previous work (Aanen et al., 2002, 2007), demonstrating that M. natalensis colonies associate with a single Termomyces species, while symbionts associated with Odontotermes spp. are genetically more variable and without one-to-one termite-to-fungus species relationships. Our in vitro assays suggest that Termomyces nutritional requirements could contribute to interaction specificity with termite hosts. The patterns of biomass formation on different carbon sources separated M. natalensis from Odontotermes spp. strains, but not Odontotermes spp. from each other (Fig. 2B), consistent with higher specificity in M. natalensis-Termomyces interactions. Yet, the in vitro growth patterns in our
two-factor geometric framework experiment, also separate the strains of the two Odontotermes species.

4.2. Termitomyces performance of different carbon sources

The termitoid species included in this study primarily harvest decaying wood and leaf litter (Wood and Sands, 1978), and it was thus unsurprising that all Termitomyces strains performed well on complex carbon sources (Visser et al., 2011; Poulsen et al., 2014). Our findings do, however, suggest that M. natalensis should preferentially collect leaf litter and dead wood that are rich in cellulose, while Odontotermes should preferentially collect substrates richer in mono-, di- and oligosaccharides (Fig. 2B). Substrate preference is generally poorly understood in fungus-farming termites, but variation does indeed exist: fresh leaves (e.g., Macrotermes mülleri, Macrotermes ivorensis, Macrotermes michaelseni, and Odontotermes formosanus; Grassé, 1982; Dangerfield and Schuurman, 2000; Soleymaninejadian et al., 2014), grass stalks (e.g., Macrotermes bellicosus and Macrotermes subhyalinus; Grassé, 1982), roots (e.g., M. michaelseni, Ancistrotermes sp., and Odontotermes sp.; Dangerfield and Schuurman, 2000), and mammal dung (e.g., Odontotermes sp., O. cf. badius, M. natalensis, M. michaelseni; Dangerfield et al., 1978; da Costa et al., 2018). Consistently, a recent comparison found higher expression of cellulases in M. natalensis than in Odontotermes sp. fungus combs (da Costa et al., 2018), and Johjima et al. (2006) found that pectinases and hemicellulases were expressed more than cellulases in Termitomyces associated with Macrotermes gilvus, which harvests grasses and leaves.

All Termitomyces strains formed more biomass on cellulose than on D-glucose, the building block of cellulose. This may appear counterintuitive, since the degraded entities that are taken up by the fungus are monosaccharides (Allaway and Jennings, 1970), but is likely because we standardized carbon source concentrations and not carbon content, and cellulose has more total carbon (and hence higher energetic value) than D-glucose. This appears to be unproblematic for our interpretations, as there was no overall correlation between the number of carbon molecules and biomass formed for the mono-, di- and oligosaccharides for which we could estimate energetic values ($y = 0.003x + 0.038, R^2 = 0.019$). Biomass formation is thus more likely associated with metabolic adaptations to certain carbon sources, consistent with the higher expression of enzymes targeting these carbon sources (e.g., cellbiohydrolases) in fungus combs (da Costa et al., 2018). Cellbiohydrolases convert cellubiose to monosaccharides, which likely leads to increased glucose levels in guts of old workers at the final step of plant decomposition (da Costa et al., 2018), and consistent with gut bacteria putatively breaking down and assimilating simple sugars (Poulsen et al., 2014). The potential importance of nutrient co-limitation (evident from the geometric framework experiment) represents a caveat to the above interpretation, since carbohydratemediated cultivar growth depends on protein availability.

Termitoid species forage on different substrates in different geographical locations (da Costa et al., 2018), so Termitomyces growth likely varies in response to the availability of substrates in given habitats. Analyses of a Termitomyces genome from M. natalensis indicate that the cultivar should be able to decompose most complex polysaccharides (Poulsen et al., 2014). This implies that the substrates should not govern symbiont selection alone; however, variation in forage availability between habitats could affect what enzymes are expressed. Further, because multiple fungal symbiotic species associate with multiple Odontotermes species, genome content and enzymatic capacity variation between symbionts could be larger and allow termite host species to utilise a wider range of substrates. Additional -omics approaches will be needed to fully characterise the standing variation and the relative roles of genome differences vs. gene expression differences between Termitomyces species.

4.3. Fungal performance across gradients of P:C blends and termite species-specific farming strategies

The geometric framework provides a powerful graphical
approach for visualizing how diverse foraging organisms from slime molds (Dussutour et al., 2010) to humans (Simpson and Raubenheimer, 2012) prioritize competing nutritional requirements when confronted with imbalanced resources. We used this approach to visualize nutritional landscapes and test for nutritional specificity differences between cultivar strains. While cultivars exhibit nearly identical nutritional P:C targets for maximum biomass production (Fig. 3A), they appear to exhibit strain-specific targets for growth area (Fig. 3B). Thus, if nutrients act as a filter among competing strains in incipient nests, they likely favour cultivars best able to exploit nutrients through rapid radial growth than those able to acquire most biomass over time. This may be driven by heightened starvation responses, with low macronutrient concentrations (especially carbohydrates) inducing extensive radial growth (cf., Boddy, 1999; Boswell et al., 2002; Heaton et al., 2010).

An important next step will be to define nutritional mixtures present in each of the resources harvested by termite colonies in nature. By overlaying these maps of nutrient availability on growth maps, the degree of overlap between the ‘realized niche’ (nutrients actually provided by termites) and the ‘fundamental niche’ (resources that maximize cultivar performance) can be evaluated (Raubenheimer, 2011). A reasonable hypothesis is that the substrates available to termite foragers in nature have low overall protein concentrations and low nutritional variability. For instance, the carbohydrate bias of optimal biomass formation may reflect that dead wood can contain less than 0.5% nitrogen (LaPage and Nuttering, 1978) and fungus combs contain 1.9% and 41% nitrogen and carbon, respectively (Arshad and Schnitzer, 1987). The P:C cultivar growth has additional eco-evolutionary importance, since the existence of nutritional ‘bullseyes’ implies that termites may benefit from providing cultivars with a specific blend of nutrients (i.e., to target the fundamental niche of their cultivar). This in turn implies a basis for selection of sensory mechanisms in the termite host, and potentially among termite species growing different cultivar species, to identify and select those nutritional blends.

4.4. Termite colony foundation and forage use may affect fungal cultivar selection

The ecological dynamics by which fungus-growing termites select their symbionts during early phases of colony development remain unknown. One possibility is that the first termite workers selectively pick up Termitomyces spores from the species with which they normally associate, along with plant substrates to start the fungal comb. This would, however, require recognition capabilities in the termite host (Grasse and Noiriot, 1958; Sands, 1960; Aanen et al., 2002). Sieber (1983) demonstrated that provisioning of Termitomyces spores to incipient Odontotermes montanus and M. michaelensi laboratory colonies allowed for the colonization of a primordial fungus comb (composed of soil) by Termitomyces. However, these experiments did not involve testing whether only specific fungal species were collected. Alternatively, multiple Termitomyces species may randomly be collected by the first termite workers if spores are brought in with plant forage coincidently. In this case foraged plant material could contribute to drive the subsequent competitive selection within the nest prior to the establishment of a monoculture. Our findings provide support to the interaction specificity between fungus-growing termites and Termitomyces and indicate that specificity between termite hosts and fungi is not only visible from phylogenetic reconstructions, but also reflected physiologically. Differences between fungal symbionts of different hosts could facilitate variation in fungal colonization success of the substrate collected by specific termites in incipient nests, potentially contributing to maintaining termite-fungus interaction specificity if physiological differences cause variable growth during competition between multiple fungal strains at the onset of fungus garden formation.

4.5. Data accessibility

Termitomyces ITS sequences have been deposited in GenBank.
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