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Waveguide-based spin-photon interfaces on the GaAs platform have emerged as a promising system for a variety of quantum information applications directly integrated into planar photonic circuits. The coherent control of spin states in a quantum dot can be achieved by applying circularly polarized laser pulses that may be coupled into the planar waveguide vertically through radiation modes. However, proper control of the laser polarization is challenging since the polarization is modified through the transformation from the far field to the exact position of the quantum dot in the nanostructure. Here, we demonstrate polarization-controlled excitation of a quantum-dot electron spin and use that to perform coherent control in a Ramsey interferometry experiment. The Ramsey interference reveals an inhomogeneous dephasing time of $2.2 \pm 0.1$ ns, which is comparable to the values so far only obtained in bulk media. We analyze the experimental limitations in spin initialization fidelity and Ramsey contrast and identify the underlying mechanisms.
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Stationary spin qubits coupled to coherent photons are the basis for a variety of quantum information applications including the implementation of quantum gates [1–3], the generation of photonic cluster states [4–6], and the construction of quantum networks [7–11]. These applications require efficient spin–photon interfaces and their integration into photonic systems. Spin–photon interfaces can be realized by coupling a spin to an optical cavity [1–3,7,8,12–14] or, alternatively, to an optical waveguide [9,11,13,15–18]. Waveguide systems are advantageous in terms of reduced fabrication complexity, broadband operation, chiral mode coupling [19–21], and direct integration into complex photonic circuits with functionalities ranging from beam splitters [22] to fast switching [23] and single-photon detectors [24,25].

One of the most promising material platforms for combining stationary qubits with classical control functionalities in photonic integrated circuits is gallium arsenide (GaAs). Waveguide-integrated indium gallium arsenide (InGaAs) quantum dots constitute excellent spin–photon interfaces with photon coupling efficiencies ($\beta$ factor) of $>98\%$ [26], near-lifetime-limited single-photon emission [27,28], multiphoton probability as low as $10^{-4}$ [29], and access to quasipermanent spin qubits with near-unity state preparation fidelities [18]. Furthermore, single-photon nonlinearity [30] and spin-state-controlled photon switching [18] have been demonstrated.

A key functionality for many quantum applications is the ability to prepare a coherent superposition of the two spin eigenstates. Such a state may be prepared using circularly polarized laser pulses [31]. In nanophotonic devices, this procedure poses an experimental challenge since the polarization of the laser pulses changes when it is coupled from the far field into the photonic nanostructure. The proper control of the laser polarization is therefore essential. In addition, nanostructures may deteriorate coherence properties of the quantum dot through surface defect states or modified phonon modes [32].

In this article, we report on the coherent optical control of a quantum-dot electron spin embedded in a nanobeam waveguide. We show that, by sensitively controlling the laser polarization, it is possible to drive a circularly polarized transition of the quantum dot. This polarization setting is then used to demonstrate Ramsey interference with an extracted inhomogeneous dephasing time $T_2^*$ of $2.2 \pm 0.1$ ns, which is limited by the coupling to the fluctuating nuclear spin bath and could potentially be extended...
by narrowing its distribution [33]. The observed dephasing time is comparable to the value reported for quantum dots in bulk media [33–35] and extends previous work in photonic-crystal cavities [36,37].

We explore the spin states of a single electron in an InGaAs quantum dot embedded in a nanobeam waveguide. This device has been studied in Ref. [18], where the detailed description and characterization can be found. All experiments in the present work are conducted on the same quantum dot. A scanning electron microscope image of the device is shown in Fig. 1(a). It is fabricated from a 175-nm-thick membrane suspended above a GaAs substrate. The membrane is grown by molecular beam epitaxy with multiple intrinsic (I), p-doped (P), and n-doped (N) GaAs layers forming a PININ diode structure. A quantum-dot layer is grown in the middle of the membrane along the growth direction (z axis). This structure ensures a modest electric field variation across the quantum dots [38]. The waveguide is 300 nm wide and 16 µm long. It is coupled to a circular grating coupler at each end. In the experiment, the device is mounted in a closed-cycle cryostat at 4 K with optical access along the z axis. The excitation laser light is focused directly on the quantum dot from the top of the sample by coupling through the radiation modes of the waveguide. Subsequently, the fluorescence from the quantum dot is coupled to the waveguide and collected by one of the grating couplers. Figure 1(a) shows a fluorescence microscope image of the device subject to strong excitation at an 830-nm wavelength. Fluorescence from the quantum dot directly and diffracted by the two grating couplers is clearly visible.

Coherent control (rotation) of quantum-dot spin states in a bulk medium has been demonstrated using ultrafast laser pulses in the Voigt geometry, where a magnetic field is oriented in the sample plane (the xy plane here) [31]. An energy level diagram of a quantum dot under a magnetic field in the Voigt geometry ($B_x = 2.0$ T) is shown in Fig. 1(b). The ground states are given by $|E\pm\rangle = (|\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, where $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ are the eigenstates of an electron spin in the z basis. Similarly, the excited states (trion) are given by $|T\pm\rangle = (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, where $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ are the eigenstates of a heavy hole spin in the z basis. Four optical transitions are coupled to linearly polarized light.

To determine the level structure, we measure the fluorescence intensity of the quantum dot as a function of laser detuning and bias voltage. A narrow-linewidth continuous-wave laser is used to excite the quantum dot with a linear polarization along the waveguide (the y axis) for the best extinction of the laser background. The result, which is typically referred to as a plateau map, is shown in Fig. 1(c). The voltage range from approximately $-0.79$ to $-0.68$ V corresponds to the single-electron-charged states (plateaus). The two plateaus are separated by 14.5 GHz primarily due to the Zeeman splitting of the ground states with an electron g factor of $-0.5$. The Zeeman splitting of the excited states is close to the linewidth of the trion transitions and thus they cannot be resolved in the plateau map. Between the plateau edges, optical pumping occurs, resulting in weak fluorescence as the electron is prepared in the state that is not resonant with the laser. At the edges of the plateaus, strong cotunneling with the back contact of the diode prevents optical pumping, resulting in strong fluorescence seen as four bright regions in Fig. 1(c) [39].

The rotation of spin states of a quantum dot using ultrafast laser pulses can be described in terms of an ac Stark
shift [40]. In this picture, a circularly polarized rotation laser pulse drives only one of the transitions in the $z$ basis, resulting in an energy shift between the two ground states. This energy shift is equivalent to a rotation in the $x$ basis defined in the Voigt geometry. Therefore, circularly polarized laser pulses can effectively rotate the spin states of a quantum dot. The situation is complicated when the quantum dot is embedded in a waveguide. In general, a transition dipole, which is well coupled to the waveguide mode, is weakly coupled to free-space modes and the polarization transformation when coupling through radiation modes depends on the spatial position of the quantum dot. The Supplemental Material [41] presents numerical simulations of the polarization transformation. At some particular positions, pure chiral coupling to the waveguide is possible [20]. Consequently, selecting the polarization in the far field in order to precisely excite a certain quantum-dot transition is a nontrivial task.

In order to determine the far-field polarization that is required to excite the circular dipoles in the waveguide, it is convenient to operate first in the Faraday geometry, where a magnetic field is applied along the $z$ axis. An energy level diagram of a quantum dot under a magnetic field in the Faraday geometry ($B_z = 1.0$ T) is shown in Fig. 2(a). The ground states are coupled to the corresponding excited states via circularly polarized transitions with opposite helicities (vertical lines). The two diagonal transitions (wavy lines) are weakly allowed due to light-heavy hole mixing and hyperfine interactions [42]. The measured plateau map in the Faraday geometry with $B_z = 1.0$ T is shown in Fig. 2(b). We determine the resonance frequencies at the edges of the plateaus (dashed lines), corresponding to the two circular dipoles, as shown in Fig. 2(a). These two frequencies will be used to study the far-field polarization required to excite the two circular dipoles.

In the experiment, a narrow-linewidth laser is tuned to the two frequencies, respectively, and resonance fluorescence intensity as a function of bias voltage is recorded. The ellipticity and orientation of the polarization of the laser are scanned by a combination of a half-wave plate and a quarter-wave plate on motorized rotation stages. The measured fluorescence intensities as a function of bias voltage for four different polarizations are shown in Figs. 2(c)–2(f) (see Supplemental Material for complete polarization space). In Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), linear and circular polarizations are used, respectively, resulting in excitation of both of the two circular dipoles. We find that, at two particular elliptical polarizations, the contrast between the two dipoles is maximized, as shown in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) with a ratio of about 10. For these two polarizations, the excitation of one of the circular dipoles is suppressed, indicating that the laser polarization is orthogonal to this dipole. The polarization in Fig. 2(f) will be used for coherent control of the spin states.

For the demonstration of the coherent control of the spin states through the Ramsey interference, we switch to the Voigt geometry. Figure 3(a) shows the energy level diagram and the laser schemes. A narrow-linewidth laser resonantly drives the two higher-energy transitions and performs optical pumping for the state initialization and readout. A red-detuned ($\Delta = -0.8$ THz) laser is used to rotate the spin states with the polarization shown in the
Resonance fluorescence intensity (red dots) is measured as around 10 and 13 ns. The red-detuned laser pulses do not only rotate the ground state via the lower-energy transitions. Furthermore, the coherent control sequence, the rotation laser adversely affects the electronic state by decreasing the off-resonant fidelity. The optical pumping oscillations, which indicates that the Rabi frequency is limiting. It exhibits Rabi oscillations during the resonant laser pulse approximately 5 ns long. The measured fluorescence intensity decreases due to optical pumping. The limited length of the optical delay line. The fit model (blue curves) is a cosine function with a Gaussian envelope due to the coupling to the nuclear spin bath [33–35]. The extracted Larmor frequency \((\omega L/2\pi)\) is 12.70 ± 0.02 GHz, corresponding to the factor of −0.45. The fit yields an inhomogeneous dephasing time \(T_2^*\) of 2.2 ± 0.1 ns for the quantum dot in a nanobeam waveguide, which is similar to the typical value found in bulk media [33–35].

The demonstration of coherent spin-state rotations and bulk-like dephasing time indicates that quantum-dot-nanobeam-waveguide systems are promising spin-photon interfaces. However, in the present experimental implementation, a limited Ramsey contrast of \(C \approx 0.04\) is observed (cf. Fig. 4), where \(C \approx (I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})/(I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}})\), with \(I_{\text{max}}\) and \(I_{\text{min}}\) being the maximum and minimum intensities in the first oscillation period, respectively. We attribute this limitation to the significantly higher laser power (approximately 25 \(\mu\)W mean power on the quantum dot) required for a \(\pi/2\) rotation compared to that for quantum dots in bulk media with a similar frequency detuning \(\Delta\). A high rotation-laser power is required due to the high coupling efficiency of the quantum dot to the waveguide with a \(\beta\) factor of approximately 80% and, therefore, low coupling efficiency to the laser in free space.

We identify several mechanisms through which the rotation laser can lead to a reduced Ramsey contrast. During the coherent control sequence, the rotation laser adversely excites the trion population as seen in Fig. 3(b). Populating the excited states directly reduces the rotation fidelity. More seriously, the rotation laser also creates free charge carriers in the waveguide. In the experiment, the resonance voltage of the quantum-dot transitions shifts from \(-0.74\) V without the rotation laser [Fig. 2(d)] to \(-1.41\) V with the rotation laser, which indicates that a more negative bias voltage is needed to compensate the internal electric field built by the free charge carriers. The linewidth in bias voltage is also broadened from 0.02 V without the rotation laser [Fig. 2(d)] to 0.1 V with the rotation laser (see Supplemental Material for details). The increased linewidth leads to an increased repumping via the lower-energy transitions. At the same time, the excess free charge carriers are likely to cause an increase in the spin-flip rate of the quantum dot. Both the line broadening and increased spin-flip rate reduce the optical pumping fidelity and are, together with the trion excitation by the rotation laser, responsible for the low contrast of the Ramsey interference.

We simulate the dynamics of the quantum dot using a four-level model and take these three effects into account. By matching the fluorescence time trace [Fig. 3(b)] and the Ramsey contrast we obtain an initialization fidelity of 54% and a spin-flip rate of 90 \(\mu\) s\(^{-1}\). In contrast, a fidelity of 96% and a spin-flip rate of 0.2 \(\mu\)s\(^{-1}\) have been observed on the same quantum dot in the absence of the rotation laser [18]. Despite a low initialization fidelity, we obtain

---

**FIG. 3.** Ramsey interference experiment of an electron spin in a quantum dot embedded in a nanobeam waveguide. (a) Energy level diagram of a quantum dot under a magnetic field in the Voigt geometry. The in-plane \(g\) factor of the hole of this particular quantum dot is nearly zero and thus the excited state is almost degenerate. A narrow-linewidth laser drives two optical transitions \((\text{green arrowed lines})\) and performs optical pumping for state initialization and readout. Red-detuned \((\Delta = -0.8\) THz) laser pulses are used to rotate the ground states \((\text{red arrowed curve})\). (b) Time traces of laser pulses (top) and fluorescence intensity (bottom) in the measurement of the Ramsey interference. The resonant laser pulse \((\text{green})\) is approximately 5 ns long. A pair of rotation laser pulses \((\text{red})\) 6 ps in width is separated by a variable delay time \(\tau\). The polarization of the rotation pulses is the same as in Fig. 2(d). The fluorescence intensity during the resonant laser pulses decreases due to optical pumping.

inset of Fig. 2(f). The rotation laser background is filtered out from the collected light using a grating setup before reaching the detector. The laser-pulse sequence for the Ramsey experiment is shown in Fig. 3(b). A pair of rotation laser pulses 6 ps in width is separated by a variable delay time \(\tau\). The laser power is calibrated for a rotation of \(\pi/2\) in the Bloch sphere (see Supplemental Material for the calibration). The resonant laser pulse is approximately 5 ns long. The measured fluorescence intensity as a function of time is shown in Fig. 3(b). The fluorescence intensity decreases during the resonant laser pulse due to optical pumping, but it does not completely decay, implying a low optical pumping fidelity. It exhibits Rabi oscillations, which indicates that the Rabi frequency is larger than the optical pumping rate. The optical pumping fidelity is limited by the ratio of the excited-state decay rate to the ground-state spin-flip rate and also by off-resonant repumping via the lower-energy transitions. Furthermore, the red-detuned laser pulses do not only rotate the ground states, but also excite the trion states, as visible in Fig. 3(b) around 10 and 13 ns.

Figure 4 shows the result of the Ramsey experiment. Resonance fluorescence intensity (red dots) is measured as a function of delay time \(\tau\) at \(B_z = 2.0\) T. The plot is constructed from three separately measured data sets due to
FIG. 4. Ramsey interference of an electron spin in a quantum dot embedded in a nanobeam waveguide. The fluorescence intensity oscillates as a function of delay time \(\tau\) between the two rotation pulses at 2.0-T magnetic field. Three sets of data are separately measured and combined due to the limited length of the optical delay line. The fit model is a cosine function with a Gaussian envelope. The time constant of the Gaussian function is a measure of the inhomogeneous dephasing time \(T^*_2\) of the spin states. The extracted value of \(T^*_2\) is 2.2 ± 0.1 ns. The data exhibit a contrast of 0.04 for the first oscillation period.

a high rotation fidelity of 99% from the model assuming the trion excitation is the only source of error (see Supplemental Material for details).

We would like to point out possible methods to mitigate the experimental limitations induced by the rotation laser. In our device, the top \(p\)-doped layer is overetched during the fabrication, resulting in a thin layer with a large resistance, which is less efficient for suppressing charge noise in the device. As a result, the linewidth of the trion transitions of 1.8 GHz is significantly larger than the lifetime-limited value of 0.2 GHz. For the same reason, the excess free charge carriers created by the rotation laser could not be efficiently removed. We note that, in our next-generation devices with improved designs, lifetime-limited linewidth is achieved [27]. We anticipate that the detrimental effects of the rotation laser are much weaker on these devices. Finally, we propose to couple the rotation laser through the waveguide for a chirally coupled quantum dot [20,21]. In this way, the laser field can efficiently interact with the circular dipoles of the quantum dot, reducing the required laser power.

In summary, we investigate an electron spin in a quantum dot that is efficiently coupled to photons via a nanobeam waveguide as a spin-photon interface. The spin state is controlled by laser pulses with a predetermined polarization required for optically accessing the quantum dot in the waveguide-modified dielectric environment. We determine the required polarization by mapping out the free-space-to-waveguide polarization transformation. This method can be directly applied to other photonic structures such as fiber tapers and photonic-crystal waveguides and cavities. We subsequently use this polarization to demonstrate Ramsey interference. The extracted inhomogeneous dephasing time of 2.2 ± 0.1 ns is similar to the typical values of quantum dots in bulk media. However, we find a low contrast of 0.04 in the Ramsey interference. We identify as a main mechanism a significant spin-flip rate due to the free charge carriers induced by the strong rotation laser. These effects could be mitigated on optimized noise-free devices or by coupling the rotation laser via the waveguide mode to a chirally coupled quantum dot. The demonstration of coherent optical control unleashes the full potential of waveguide-based spin-photon interfaces for quantum information applications.
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[41] See Supplemental Material at https://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.11.031002 for the numerical simulations of the polarization transformation, the measured contrast of the two circular dipoles, the calibration of the rotation laser power, tuning and broadening induced by the rotation laser, and modeling of the Ramsey experiment.