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Crustose coralline algae (CCA) are key organisms in coral reef ecosystems, where they contribute to reef building and substrate stabilization. While ocean acidification due to increasing CO₂ can affect the biology, physiology and ecology of fully developed CCA, the impacts of elevated CO₂ on the early life stages of CCA are much less explored. We assessed the photosynthetic activity and growth of 10-day-old recruits of the reef-building crustose coralline alga Porolithon cf. onkodes exposed to ambient and enhanced CO₂ seawater concentration causing a downward shift in pH of ∼0.3 units. Growth of the CCA was estimated using measurements of crust thickness and marginal expansion, while photosynthetic activity was studied with O₂ microsensors. We found that elevated seawater CO₂ enhanced gross photosynthesis and respiration, but significantly reduced vertical and marginal growth of the early life stages of P. cf. onkodes. Elevated CO₂ stimulated photosynthesis, particularly at high irradiance, likely due to increased availability of CO₂, but this increase did not translate into increased algal growth as expected, suggesting a decoupling of these two processes under ocean acidification scenarios. This study confirms the sensitivity of early stages of CCA to elevated CO₂ and identifies complexities in the physiological processes underlying the decreased growth and abundance in these important coral reef builders upon ocean acidification.
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INTRODUCTION

Crustose coralline algae (CCA) are abundant in tropical and temperate reef ecosystems, where they provide important ecosystem functions (Littler, 1972; Littler and Doty, 1975). CCA contribute to the stabilization of coral reef frameworks by consolidating and cementing loose rubble and by sealing porous dead corals skeleton against mechanical erosion (Littler, 1972; Adey, 1998). CCA also provide food and habitat for a range of reef organisms such as parrot fish and sea urchins.
(Littler and Doty, 1975; Steneck, 1983) and are preferred settlement substrates for larvae of corals and other invertebrates (Heyward and Negri, 1999; Harrington et al., 2004). The presence of CCA is thus crucial for the health and recovery of coral reefs following disturbances.

The current and projected increase in atmospheric pCO$_2$ and the derived ocean acidification (OA) due to a decrease in seawater pH and calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) saturation state (Ω) is critical for the integrity and fitness of calcifying organisms (Anthony et al., 2008; Kroeker et al., 2010). CCA are among the marine organisms most sensitive to OA as their skeleton is predominantly formed by high-magnesium calcite, a highly soluble form of CaCO$_3$ (Morse et al., 2007). Rates of net calcification and growth of CCA are generally negatively affected by OA (Anthony et al., 2008; Kuffner et al., 2008; Martin and Gattuso, 2009) due to reduced availability of carbonate ions (CO$_3^{2-}$) for calcification (Feely et al., 2004) and increased skeletal dissolution of existing calcium carbonate (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2014). On the other hand, increased atmospheric CO$_2$ increases the concentration of dissolved CO$_2$ and bicarbonate ions (HCO$_3^{-}$) in seawater, which can potentially alleviate inorganic carbon limitation (Larkum et al., 2003) and thus stimulating algal photosynthesis. However, the available experimental evidence shows that the responses of CCA photosynthesis to OA are highly variable, including positive (Semesi et al., 2009) or negative effects (Anthony et al., 2008; Gao and Zheng, 2010; Martin et al., 2013), as well as no significant response (Noisette et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2017). This variability in response to OA may be due to a number of reasons, including use of different experimental setups and time scales (e.g., 1 year experiment with Lithophyllum cabiochaes vs. 3 months experiment with Lithophyllum incrustans Martin et al., 2013; Noisette et al., 2013), different life history stages (e.g., recruits vs. adults), and flexibility of different CCA species in their use of inorganic carbon uptake strategies, i.e., use of carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM) vs. diffusive CO$_2$ uptake (Cornwall et al., 2012, 2015; Diaz-Pulido et al., 2016).

Increased availability of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) is expected to enhance algal photosynthesis and consequently algal growth rates (Roleda et al., 2012). However, growth responses to OA have been less explored in coralline algae compared to fleshy macroalgae (e.g., Kroeker et al., 2013), and in most studies changes in CCA growth rates have been based on changes in crust weight rather than on a direct measurement of algal growth (e.g., Anthony et al., 2008; Johnson and Carpenter, 2012). Changes in crust weight have the innate difficulty of not allowing distinction between new growth and skeletal dissolution (Lewis et al., 2017). The relationship between photosynthesis and growth rates has been previously studied in fleshy macroalgae (e.g., Koch et al., 2013), where elevated CO$_2$ enhanced photosynthetic activity and tissue growth in two species of red algae Gracilaria (Gao et al., 1993), but similar relations in CCA remain unexplored.

Existing knowledge on the physiological responses of elevated CO$_2$ on tropical CCA comes from studies on adult crusts (Anthony et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2017). In our previous studies using the reef building CCA Porolithon onkodes, we explored the impacts of OA and warming on spore germination and germling growth and found these processes to be highly sensitive to elevated CO$_2$ (Ordoñez et al., 2017). Roleda et al. (2015) tested the effects of OA on growth of temperate coralline algae recruits and found a direct negative response of crust size upon exposure to OA conditions. Studies of the early life stages of tropical (Kuffner et al., 2008; Fabricius et al., 2015; Ordoñez et al., 2017) and temperate (Bradassi et al., 2013; Roleda et al., 2015; Guenther et al., 2018) CCA have demonstrated a high vulnerability to elevated CO$_2$, as well as other environmental stressors (Santelices, 1990). However, very little is known about the effects of OA on photosynthesis and the coupling between photosynthesis and growth rates in the early life stages of CCA (but see Cornwall et al., 2013). In the present study, we explore the effect of elevated CO$_2$ conditions on the photosynthetic activity and growth rates of recruits of a dominant crustose coralline alga in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. We used O$_2$ microsensors due to the small size of the CCA recruits (around 0.004–0.002 mm$^2$ surface area), and because microsensors can decouple light respiration from gross oxygen production, as compared to chamber based incubation measurements (Revsbech and Jorgensen, 1983; Larkum et al., 2003).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Approach**

The effects of ocean acidification on rates of growth and photosynthesis of CCA recruits were investigated by exposing Porolithon cf. onkodes spores to elevated and ambient CO$_2$ conditions in the laboratory during a 4 week experiment. Dynamics of O$_2$ concentration (measured using O$_2$ microsensors) and crust thickness (measured using scanning electron microscopy, SEM) of 10-day-old recruits were estimated at the end of the experiment. The experiment was conducted in an indoor laboratory at Heron Island Research Station (HIRS), Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Australia during the summer of 2014 (February–March 2014). The species P. cf. onkodes was used for this experiment due to its important role as a reef builder and cementer (Littler, 1972), and because it is a common alga in tropical reefs, especially in the Great Barrier Reef (Ringeltaube and Harvey, 2005; Dean et al., 2015). Identification of P. cf. onkodes (Heydrich) Foslie was obtained with field and laboratory observations. Microscope techniques such as Scanning Electron Microscopy and histology were used to examine morphological and anatomical characteristics. The encrusting coralline alga P. cf. onkodes used for this experiment is usually found on the reef crest (approx. 3–5 m depth), has a pink-orange color surface with granular appearance due to the presence of numerous tightly packed mega cells in horizontal fields (trichocytes fields), reproductive structures (conceptacles) are unipored, small, flush or slightly raised, cell fusions are present and thallus has a non-coaxial organization. DNA sequences of individuals of this species can be found
in GenBank (Accession No. MF979936, see Gabrielson et al., 2018).

**Cultivation of Recruits and Experimental Setup**

To obtain CCA spores for the experiment, spore release from reproductive *P. cf. onkodes* fragments was induced in the laboratory following a combination of methods described in Jones and Moorjani (1973); Ichiki et al. (2000), Roleda et al. (2012), and Ordoñez et al. (2017). Adult *P. cf. onkodes* fragments (3 cm × 3 cm) were collected from the reef crest (ca 5 m depth at highest tide) at Harry’s Bommie (Heron Island, GBR, 23°27′631″S, 151°55′798″E) using hammer and chisel. After collection, fragments were cleaned from epiphytes with a soft brush and rinsed with filtered sterilized seawater. Seawater was filtered twice: first using a hand-made sand filter containing a cotton filter mat and then a house water filter with a 5 μm cartridge (house water filter OMNI OPAQUE), seawater was then sterilized using an artificial metal-halide lamp (Aqua Medic Ocean Light Plus equipped with one 150 W aquarine bulb and two 24 W T5 blue fluorescent bulbs) for 7 h. Immediately after the illumination period, adults commenced spore release and were carefully transferred to the experimental containers (1 L plastic containers) where spore release continued under experimental conditions. Three replicate containers (n = 3) were used for each CO$_2$ treatment (6 experimental containers in total, 3 for ambient CO$_2$ and 3 for high CO$_2$). One transparent polystyrene Petri dish (94 mm × 16 mm diameter) was placed on the bottom of each experimental container to provide substratum for spore settlement and growth. Three adult fragments were randomly assigned to each experimental container to obtain sufficient spores for analysis. However, adults were removed after 4 h, when the first spore attachment was detected, for the following two reasons: (1) To avoid having individuals at different growth stages and (2) to have sufficient number of spores to get a good estimate of their individual growth, but only enough spores to avoid those that had settled close to each other to coalesce. The margin of coalesced spores are difficult to distinguish making individual measurements hard to perform.

Spores were cultured for a period of 10 days in seawater under (i) ambient CO$_2$ (400.8–448.1 μatm) and pH 8.00–8.03 (National Bureau of Standards/National Institute of Standards and Technology) and (ii) high CO$_2$ (998.9–1070.6 μatm) and pH 7.67–7.70. The high CO$_2$ treatment corresponded to the representative concentration pathway 8.5 scenario (RCP 8.5), i.e., the worst case OA scenario predicted by the end of the century (year 2100) according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2014). To achieve the target pH for the high CO$_2$ treatment, analytical grade CO$_2$ gas (BOC Limited Australia) was injected into a 120 L mixing sump using an aquarium control system (Aquatronica, AEB Technologies, Italy). Seawater pH was monitored in the mixing sump by temperature compensated pH electrodes (inPro4501VP, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland). When seawater pH exceeded the desired threshold, the control system opened solenoid valves to inject CO$_2$ into the mixing sump as previously described in Diaz-Pulido et al. (2011). CO$_2$ conditioned seawater was pumped continuously into experimental containers at a rate of 500 mL min$^{-1}$. The same set up was used for ambient CO$_2$ treatment, but with untreated seawater in the mixing sump. Mixing sumps were constantly fed with seawater from the Heron Island reef flat. pH probes were calibrated daily to 0.01 pH units with three NIST-certified pH buffers (Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland). Temperatures in both sumps (ambient CO$_2$ and high CO$_2$) and in one container of each treatment was constantly monitored every 30 s and recorded by the aquarium control system. In addition, pH and temperature measurements were frequently taken on experimental containers with a portable pH and temperature meter (SG98-B-SevenGo Duo Pro, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland) to ensure the pH and temperature were kept constant. Illumination was provided with metal-halide lamps (Aqua Medic Ocean Light Plus equipped with one 150 W aquarine bulb and two 24 W T5 blue fluorescent bulbs) over a 12 h light: 12 h dark photoperiod under an irradiance of 160 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, as measured by cosine corrected quantum sensor connected to a light meter (Li-COR, United States). Total alkalinity, pH and salinity values were used to estimate the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon (pCO$_2$, HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$) using Microsoft Excel CO$_2$SYS version 2.1 (Pierrot et al., 2006). The saturation state of seawater with respect to high-Mg-calcite was calculated for a 16.4 mol% MgCO$_3$, following a protocol described in (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2012). Carbonate chemistry parameters are shown in **Table 1**.

### **O$_2$ Microsensor Measurements**

Microscale O$_2$ concentration measurements were done with Clark-type O$_2$ microsensors (Revbech, 1989) connected to a pA-meter (PA2000, Unisense A/S, Aarhus, Denmark) interfaced to a PC via an A/D converter (**Figure 1**). The O$_2$ microsensors (OX25; Unisense, Denmark) had a measuring tip diameter of 25 μm, a $t_{90}$ response time of <0.5 s, a stirring sensitivity of the measuring signal of <2–3%, and a detection limit of $\sim$0.3 μM$^{-1}$. The O$_2$ microsensors were linearly calibrated from signal readings in air saturated seawater and anoxic seawater (flushed with N$_2$). The O$_2$ concentration (μmol O$_2$ L$^{-1}$) in air saturated seawater at experimental temperature and salinity was determined using tabulated values of O$_2$ solubility in water at defined temperature and salinity$^1$.

The O$_2$ microsensors were mounted on a PC-controlled motorized micromanipulator for automatic profiling (Pyro Science GmbH, Germany) at an angle of 15° relative to the vertically incident collimated light from a fiber-optic tungsten-halogen lamp (KL-2500, Schott GmbH, Germany), equipped

$^1$http://www.unisense.com
TABLE 1 | Summary of water chemistry parameters for the different CO$_2$ levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Temperature $^\circ$C</th>
<th>TA $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pCO$_2$ $\mu$atm</th>
<th>HCO$_3^-$ $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$</th>
<th>CO$_3^{2-}$ $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$</th>
<th>pHigh Mg Calcite 16.4 $\text{mol}%$ MgCO$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control CO$_2$</td>
<td>26.1 ($\pm$0.3)</td>
<td>2323.7 ($\pm$4.8)</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>425 ($\pm$6.4)</td>
<td>1801.76 ($\pm$10.1)</td>
<td>213.34 ($\pm$2.8)</td>
<td>1.14 (±0.02) (1.06–1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(25.0–27.2)</td>
<td>(2319.35–2333.61)</td>
<td>(±0.005)</td>
<td>(400.8–448.1)</td>
<td>(1763.1–1846.1)</td>
<td>(201.7–220.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High CO$_2$</td>
<td>26.1 ($\pm$0.3)</td>
<td>2347.4 ($\pm$8.9)</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>1026.67 ($\pm$7.6)</td>
<td>2065.06 ($\pm$7.6)</td>
<td>115.95 ($\pm$1.4)</td>
<td>0.61 (±0.01) (0.58–0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(25.0–27.2)</td>
<td>(2311.55–2379.98)</td>
<td>(±0.004)</td>
<td>(98.9–107.0)</td>
<td>(2038–2096.9)</td>
<td>(110.1–120.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent means ($\pm$SEM) (range) for n = 8 biological replicates.

FIGURE 1 | Setup for O$_2$ microsensor measurements on crustose coralline algae. General overview of the set-up showing a flow-chamber with a mounted CCA sample (A,B), with an O$_2$ microsensor mounted in a manual micromanipulator, illumination via a fiber-optic halogen lamp, and observation of the sample via a dissection microscope (B). (C) Close up image (via the dissection microscope) of an O$_2$ microsensor performing O$_2$ measurements on CCA recruits (pink crust).

with a heat filter and a collimating lens. Positioning of the microsensor as well as data acquisition of microsensor signals was facilitated by customized software (Profix, Pyro-Science GmbH, Germany). Experiments were conducted with CCA recruits placed in a custom-made black acrylic flow chamber supplied with seawater at a flow velocity of $\sim$1–2 cm s$^{-1}$ (Brodersen et al., 2014). We used the same seawater as in the different CO$_2$ treatments. The downwelling photon irradiance (PAR, 400–700 nm) was measured for defined lamp settings with a calibrated cosine corrected quantum sensor connected to a light meter (LI-250A, Li-COR). For the high CO$_2$ treatment, the seawater taken from the treatment sumps was exchanged every 20–30 min in order to account for changes in pH related to atmospheric CO$_2$ exchange. The pH in the water was monitored constantly with a portable pH meter (SG98-B-7evenGo Duo Pro, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland) calibrated with NIST-certified pH buffers (Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland) to 0.01 pH units. Recruits from 3 ambient and 2 high CO$_2$ experimental tanks were used for O$_2$ microsensor measurements. Only two replicates could be used from high CO$_2$ treatments due to unexpected experimental constrains. However, around 6–9 measurements were taken on different spots within a single recruit with the objective of including and understanding spatial variations within the recruit.

After measurements of steady-state O$_2$ concentrations at the surface of CCA samples under defined photon irradiance levels, the microsensor tip was positioned at the CCA surface, where the local volume-specific gross photosynthesis rate (in units of nmol O$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) was measured from the immediate O$_2$ depletion rate during a brief 1–3 s darkening according to the microsensor light–dark shift technique (Revsbech and Jorgensen, 1983); for these measurements, microsensor signals were recorded on a fast-responding strip-chart recorder (BD25, Kipp & Zonen, Netherlands).

The diffusive flux of O$_2$ between CCA and the overlaying water across the diffusive boundary layer (DBL), J, was determined from steady state O$_2$ concentration profiles using
FIGURE 2 | Scanning electron microscopy images of surface view (A,C) and cross section (B,D) of 10-day-old Porolithon cf. onkodes recruits developed under ambient CO$_2$ (CO$_2$ between 400.8 and 448.1 µatm, pH 8.0–8.03) and high CO$_2$ (CO$_2$ between 998.9 and 1070.6 µatm, pH 7.67–7.70) conditions. Arrows in panels (B,D) indicate vertical growth direction. Short white arrows in panel (A) indicate non-collapsed epithallial/perithallial cells and in panel (C) collapsed epithallial/perithallial cells. White T in panels (A,C) indicate first appearance of mega cells (trichocytes).

Fick’s first law of diffusion: $J = -D_0 \frac{dC}{dz}$, where $dC/dz$ is the slope of the O$_2$ concentration profile in the DBL, and $D_0$ is the molecular diffusion coefficient of O$_2$ in seawater at experimental temperature and salinity ($2.1707 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), as taken from tabulated values$^2$.

To examine the effects of elevated CO$_2$ on the photosynthetic performance of the CCA, we measured gross and net photosynthesis as a function of increasing photon irradiance (P-E curves). Each specimen was incubated for about 15 min at each irradiance level before microsensor measurements commenced to provide steady state O$_2$ conditions. Gross photosynthesis data was fitted to an exponential function (Webb et al., 1974): $P = P_{max} \cdot (1 - e^{-\alpha \cdot E / P_{max}})$, where $P_{max}$ is the maximum photosynthetic rate and $\alpha$ is the photosynthetic efficiency, i.e., the initial slope of the P-E curve. The saturation irradiance ($E_k$) was calculated as $E_k = P_{max}/\alpha$, and describes the photon irradiance above which photosynthesis approaches saturation. Net photosynthesis data were fitted to the modified exponential function used in Roberts et al. (2002): $P = P_{max} \cdot (1 - e^{-\alpha \cdot E / P_{max}}) + R$. This function considers the term of respiration (R) and assumes absence of photoinhibition.

**Vertical and Marginal Growth Measurements**

Vertical growth of the juvenile CCA samples was estimated at the end of the experiment by measuring the crust thickness using a scanning electron microscope (JSM-6510 series, JEOL). To obtain cross sections, recruits attached to the petri dish were carefully detached from the substrate and sliced into sections using a razor blade. The resulting fragments were mounted on a metal stub with adhesive. Samples were carbon coated using a sputter coater (JFC-1600 auto fine coater, JEOL) for SEM analyses. Images were taken with a magnification of $\times 3000$ at a high voltage of 5 kV with a spot size of 50 nm and a working distance (WD) of 12–16 mm. We measured a total of 15 points along the crust from different fragments. To estimate thickness of the crust, the distance from the surface of the crust to the bottom was measured using the SEM software (JEOL Scanning electron microscope software) (Figures 2B,D). Growth rates in units of µm crust increment day$^{-1}$ were obtained by normalizing crust thickness to the duration of growth.

$^2$http://www.unisense.com
the experiment, i.e., 10 days. Marginal growth was estimated by measuring the change in surface area. Final and initial photographs of individual recruits were taken and images were analyzed using Image J software (University of Wisconsin-Madison).

Statistical Analyses
Photosynthetic parameters were compared between treatments using one-way ANOVA. Pearson correlation was used to test the relationships between vertical growth and gross photosynthesis. Normal distribution of data and homogeneity of variances were tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Cochran’s test and data were arc-sin transformed when needed. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 25).

RESULTS

Photosynthesis and Respiration
The measured P-E curves showed a significant difference between recruits growing under elevated CO₂ and recruits growing under ambient CO₂ conditions (Figures 3A,B). Maximum gross photosynthesis was 50% higher under elevated CO₂ [mean $P_{\text{max}} = 75.36$ nmol O$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (±9.7 SE)] as compared to ambient CO₂ conditions [mean $P_{\text{max}} = 37.36$ nmol O$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (±7.8 SE)], ANOVA $p = 0.044$). Photosynthetic efficiency and saturation irradiance were slightly higher under high CO₂ when compared to ambient CO₂, but the difference was not statistically significant (ANOVA, $\alpha = 0.22$, $E_k = p = 0.12$). At the maximum photon irradiance used in the experiment (340 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), gross photosynthesis was 39% higher under high CO₂ than under ambient CO₂ conditions but the difference was not significant (ANOVA $p = 0.081$, Figure 4A).

The responses of net photosynthetic rates to the CO₂ treatments followed a similar trend as the gross photosynthesis, with increased $P_{\text{max}}$ and slightly higher $\alpha$ and $E_k$ values under elevated CO₂ than under ambient CO₂ conditions. However, the difference across CO₂ treatments was less pronounced and not statistically significant (ANOVA, $p = 0.12$, $p = 0.78$, $p = 0.35$, for $P_{\text{max}}$, $\alpha$ and $E_k$, respectively, Figure 3B).

Dark respiration was significantly enhanced by elevated CO₂, with recruits showing a 48.7% increase in respiration rates compared to recruits from ambient CO₂ (ANOVA, $p = 0.0001$, Figure 4B). In addition, surface dynamics of O$_2$ concentration during experimental dark-light shifts showed higher O$_2$ production and faster response of CCA recruits under elevated CO₂ compared to measurements under ambient CO₂ (Figure 5).

Growth Rates
Vertical and marginal growth rates were significantly affected by high CO₂ (ANOVA, $p = 0.01$ and 0.03, respectively). $P$. cf. onkodes recruits grown under ambient CO₂ conditions showed thicker and larger crusts as compared to recruits exposed to high CO₂ conditions (Figures 6A,B). Crust vertical growth rates were reduced from $2.8 \pm 0.24$ µm d$^{-1}$ under ambient CO₂ to $2.05$ µm d$^{-1}$ (±0.04 SE) under high CO₂, i.e., a 28.7% change. Marginal growth rates were also reduced by 19%. Structural damage of the cells under high CO₂ was observed on the crust surface, where epithelial/perithelial cells seemed to have collapsed (Figure 2C) as opposed to observations of the crust surface of germlings growing under ambient conditions where cells seem to preserve their structure (Figure 2A). Furthermore, cross sections of CCA recruits showed cells with irregular shapes and thinner cell walls in samples exposed to elevated CO₂ (Figure 2D) compared to those under ambient conditions (Figure 2B).

Growth vs. Photosynthesis
We found a negative correlation between gross photosynthesis and crust thickness of $P$. cf. onkodes recruits at an incident
Effects of elevated CO$_2$ on (A) surface gross photosynthesis, and (B) dark respiration of 10-day-old CCA recruits under two treatments: ambient CO$_2$ (CO$_2$ between 400.8 and 448.1 µatm, pH 8.0–8.03) and high CO$_2$ (CO$_2$ between 998.9 and 1070.6 µatm, pH 7.67–7.70). Gross photosynthesis was measured at the CCA surface at a photon irradiance of 340 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Data on panel (A) are means ± SE (n = 3 for ambient CO$_2$ and n = 2 for high CO$_2$; biological replicates). Data on panel (B) are means ± SE (n = 9 for each CO$_2$ treatment).

**DISCUSSION**

The impacts of ocean acidification (OA) on adult coralline algae have been relatively well documented (Hofmann and Bischof, 2014), and there is a growing body of evidence supporting the sensitivity of the early life history stages of coralline algae to OA. In particular, recent studies have shown reduced spore germination (Bradassi et al., 2013; Ordoñez et al., 2017) and attachment (Guenther et al., 2018) and declined germling abundance [e.g., % cover, Kuffner et al. (2008); Ordoñez et al. (2014); Fabricius et al. (2015); Ordoñez et al. (2017)] and growth (Roleda et al., 2015; Ordoñez et al., 2017) under elevated CO$_2$ conditions. However, whether metabolic processes such as photosynthesis and respiration of early life stages of CCA also show a negative response to elevated CO$_2$ has not been well documented. Our study tests for the first time the effects of elevated CO$_2$ on the photosynthesis and respiration of recruits of a major reef building coralline alga. We demonstrate that increased CO$_2$ can potentially enhance gross photosynthesis and dark respiration, but can reduce the growth of *P. cf. onkodes* recruits. Our findings also reveal a possible decoupling between photosynthesis and growth rates in CCA recruits, suggesting that the carbon fixed during the photosynthetic process does not necessarily translate into enhanced algal growth. Understanding the relationships between physiological and vital processes under OA, in particular on vulnerable early life stages, is essential to improve our understanding of OA impacts on coral reef organisms and the important ecosystem services they support.

**Effects of Elevated CO$_2$ on Photosynthesis**

*Porolithon cf. onkodes* recruits growing under high CO$_2$ conditions showed significantly higher maximum gross photosynthetic rates at the thallus surface compared to those under ambient CO$_2$ conditions, suggesting that photosynthesis in our experimental CCA was carbon limited under ambient CO$_2$ conditions, in line with, e.g., measurements on epilithic algal layers on coral tiles (Larkum et al., 2003). The photosynthetic responses of coralline algae to elevated CO$_2$ (reduced pH) documented in the literature are highly variable and depend on the nature of the experiments, the techniques employed to quantify O$_2$ dynamics, and the species of algae considered in the experiments. For example, two studies using adult *Porolithon onkodes* from French Polynesia found no effect of elevated CO$_2$ on gross photosynthesis and respiration (Johnson et al., 2014;
FIGURE 6 | Effects of elevated CO$_2$ on (A) vertical growth rate, and (B) marginal growth rate of CCA recruits in response to two treatments: ambient CO$_2$ (CO$_2$ between 400.8 and 448.1 µatm, pH 8.0–8.03) and high CO$_2$ (CO$_2$ between 998.9 and 1070.6 µatm, pH 7.67–7.70). Columns with error bars represent means ± SE [(A): n = 3 for ambient CO$_2$ and n = 2 for high CO$_2$; (B) n = 6, biological replicates].

Comeau et al., 2017), although in the Johnson et al. (2014) study, high CO$_2$ decreased net photosynthesis, similar to results from the Great Barrier Reef using the same CCA species (Anthony et al., 2008). Studies using different taxa from temperate regions found gross photosynthesis to remain constant under elevated CO$_2$ [e.g., articulated algae Corallina officinalis (Hofmann et al., 2012), Corallina elongata (Noisette et al., 2013), CCA Lithophyllum incrustans, Lithothamnion corallioides (Noisette et al., 2013), Lithothamnion glaciale (Kamenos et al., 2013)]. Enhanced productivity in response to elevated CO$_2$ has been observed for some adult tropical corallines (Semesi et al., 2009; Yildiz et al., 2014). Using O$_2$ microsensors on adult CCA, Hofmann et al. (2016) found elevated photosynthetic rates under reduced pH, similar to the findings in our study.

Enhanced photosynthesis in response to elevated CO$_2$ concentrations may indicate that photosynthesis in early life stages of *P. onkodes* is limited by intracellular inorganic carbon availability. There are two main mechanisms by which algae acquire CO$_2$ for carbon fixation within the cell: (1) the active uptake of HCO$_3^-$ via a number of carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCMs), and (2) the diffusive uptake of CO$_2$ (Giordano et al., 2005; Raven et al., 2005). These mechanisms could be altered by elevated seawater CO$_2$ concentrations. Coralline algae have CCMs that facilitate active transport of HCO$_3^-$ across cellular membranes leading to higher concentrations of CO$_2$ at the site of RuBisCO (Comeau et al., 2013; Hofmann and Heesch, 2018) and given the high availability of HCO$_3^-$ in seawater, enhanced CO$_2$ may thus not significantly enhance DIC transport to the site of photosynthesis. However, since CCM activity is energetically costly, it is likely that elevated seawater CO$_2$ alleviates the use of CCMs, effectively downregulating CCM activity upon enhanced and energetically less costly diffusive CO$_2$ uptake under OA conditions. Flexibility in the use of carbon acquisition strategies under OA has been suggested for a number of articulated coralline algae including *C. officinalis* (Cornwall et al., 2012) and *Arthrocardia corymbosa* (Cornwall et al., 2013). Our study cannot discern the mechanistic basis for the increased rates of photosynthesis observed in our recruits under OA, but could suggest that photosynthesis in our early life stages of CCA is carbon limited. The majority of studies examining the effects of elevated CO$_2$ on CCA photosynthesis have used incubation chambers with larger O$_2$ sensors and have shown negative or no response of OA on photosynthetic activity (Anthony et al., 2008; Gao and Zheng, 2010; Hofmann et al., 2012; Cornwall et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2013; Noisette et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2017). However, when microsensors have been used to test CO$_2$ effects on coralline algae photosynthesis, increased O$_2$ production has been detected (e.g., Hofmann et al., 2016, but see Cornwall et al., 2013). Microsensors measure O$_2$ dynamics directly at the surface of the CCA, with minimum interference from epiphytic algae (e.g., diatoms, Roleda et al., 2015) or bacteria, or endolithic algae (e.g., Anthony et al., 2008), presumably providing a more local and direct measurement of the effects of CO$_2$ on CCA physiology.
Declined Growth and Relationship With Photosynthesis

Photosynthesis is the major source of carbon for CCA algal tissue construction, therefore, it is generally expected that increased photosynthetic rates and consequently the amount of fixed carbon would be positively correlated with algal growth. As detailed earlier, photosynthetic rates of the experimental recruits increased with increased CO$_2$ concentration, however, this increase in CO$_2$ did not translate directly in enhanced algal growth. On the contrary, both vertical and marginal growth rates of the algal crusts were depressed under high CO$_2$ (a 28 and 19% decrease under high compared to ambient CO$_2$, Figures 6A, B), and we additionally observed a significant inverse relationship between algal photosynthesis and growth (Pearson correlation $R^2 =$ 0.89, $p = 0.01$, Figure 7). The decreased growth of CCA under elevated CO$_2$ shown in our study agrees with findings from several studies using both adult and early life stages of CCA (Kuffner et al., 2008; Bradassi et al., 2013; Ordoñez et al., 2017). The decoupling between increased photosynthetic rates and algal growth rates may be explained by two key processes. First, and perhaps the most important process, high CO$_2$ enhances skeletal dissolution of the coralline algal crusts. In fact, SEM images showed distorted crust tissues, collapsed epithelial cells (Figure 2C), and apparently a thinning of calcified cell walls (Figure 2D), similar to observations on Lithothamnion glaciale from the Mediterranean (Ragazzola et al., 2012) and Hydrolithon samoense from Japan exposed to high CO$_2$ (Kato et al., 2014). Several studies have attributed such reduction in growth of CCA recruits (e.g., Bradassi et al., 2013; Roleda et al., 2015) and adults (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2014) to increased skeletal dissolution due to undersaturation of seawater with respect to the dominant form of calcium carbonate in the CCA, i.e., high-magnesium-calcite. Table 1 shows a high Mg-calcite saturation state <1 in the high CO$_2$ treatment, indicating undersaturation of seawater calcium carbonate and hence conditions for skeletal dissolution. Undersaturation may also affect deposition of new calcium carbonate in the algal skeleton. Secondly, since algal growth is a function of photosynthesis minus algal respiration and excretion of photosynthates, this suggest that carbon losses due to respiratory and excretion/secretion processes are higher than carbon gains from the photosynthetic process (Falkowskii and Raven, 2013). In this regard, respiration rates were indeed higher under high CO$_2$, which may explain the observed reduced growth, albeit net photosynthesis was also slightly higher under elevated CO$_2$. It is also likely that a significant fraction of photosynthetically fixed carbon is excreted/secreted as dissolved organic carbon (DOC) to the external environment, leaving less carbon readily available for algal growth (e.g., Iñiguez et al., 2016, 2017) and potentially for calcification. This situation may be particularly important when nutrients limit algal growth, as shown in symbiotic algae (Yellowlees et al., 2008) and polar algae (Iñiguez et al., 2016). Recent work shows that high CO$_2$ concentrations stimulated the release of DOC in a range of tropical reef algae, particularly red algae (Diaz-Pulido and Barron, personal observation). The mechanisms explaining the decoupling of algal photosynthesis and growth under OA conditions require further investigations.

Although our study did not directly quantify the calcification rate of the early life stage of P. cf. onkodes, we expect that the observed reduction in both vertical and marginal crust growth is associated with a lowering in the amount of calcium carbonate deposited by the algal crusts. On the other hand, a direct positive relationship between photosynthesis and calcification has also been postulated (Borowitzka, 1981; Hofmann et al., 2016), although decoupling between photosynthesis and calcification on adult coralline algae has also been shown (Semesi et al., 2009). Our finding of increased photosynthetic rate under elevated CO$_2$ does not seem to be coupled with elevated rates of calcification, given the reduced growth observed when exposed to high CO$_2$, and the considerable alterations in crust thickness and ultrastructure. Any positive effect of elevated DIC (both CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$) on CCA photosynthesis and potentially on calcification may thus not be sufficient to compensate for the reduction in high-Mg-calcite saturation state and resulting skeletal dissolution, as discussed by Comeau et al. (2013) and Bradassi et al. (2013). The very thin crusts of the early life stages of P. cf. onkodes could contribute to some extent to the high vulnerability of these recruits to OA, thus CCA recruits may represent one of the most sensitive life stages to OA. A further understanding of the metabolic processes underpinning decreased growth and calcification is fundamental for understanding the underlying causes for decreased abundance in key reef building coralline algae.
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