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In Brief
Bariatric surgery is the most effective intervention in morbidly obese individuals. It is followed by extensive weight loss and improved metabolic health status. We applied plasma proteome profiling, a recently developed mass spectrometric strategy, to quantify the levels of more than 500 circulating proteins in a longitudinal cohort of 47 individuals (total of 1,700 plasma proteins). The resulting global correlation map of the plasma proteome contained about 200,000 relationships and revealed functionally connected protein modules. This determined markers of inflammation, insulin sensitivity, and lipid metabolism.
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Over 600 million people are obese (BMI > 30 kg/m²) and a further 1.3 billion overweight (W.H.O., 2016). The proportion of the latter subgroup has doubled since 1980, representing an unprecedented number of people predisposed to or already affected by a broad spectrum of co-morbidities (GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators et al., 2017). These include type 2 diabetes (T2D), cardiovascular disease, endocrine disturbances, neurodegenerative diseases, and several types of cancers.

In response to this global and dramatically increasing burden, the scientific community has devoted substantial efforts to obesity and its clinical consequences, aiming to gain a deeper understanding of the underlying disease mechanisms while developing new treatment possibilities. From a vast array of dietary, behavioral, and pharmacological alternatives, bariatric surgery has emerged as the most effective intervention for treating obesity and T2D. There are different bariatric procedures, with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) among the most established ones, where the creation of a small gastric pouch and bypass of the stomach and upper small intestine, causes accelerated entry of nutrients into the small intestine (Griffen et al., 1977; Mason and Ito, 1967; Mason et al., 1975; Wittgrove et al., 1994). Besides major weight loss (on average 40 kg), the physiological responses to RYGB include broad improvements in cardiovascular status and other measures of metabolic health (Aab et al., 2016; Adams et al., 2017; Cardoso et al., 2017; Madsbad et al., 1994). Interestingly, RYGB strongly increases insulin sensitivity for many individuals suffering from T2D. Hepatic insulin sensitivity tends to improve markedly within a week after surgery, even before substantial weight loss, followed by increased sensitivity in peripheral tissues in weeks (Bojsen-Møller et al., 2014). While gastrointestinal peptides, bile acids, and even the microbiome have been implicated in the rapid and drastic physiological changes after RYGB, the molecular mechanisms are poorly understood (Cummings and Rubino, 2018; Miras and le Roux, 2013).

Metabolic processes are regulated by proteins, and the technology of choice to study changes in the proteome in an unbiased manner is mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics (Aebersold and Mann, 2016). Proteins circulating in the blood can be both mediators of organ cross talk and markers of whole-body states. However, a system-wide approach has only recently become possible due to technological improvements in the proteomic pipeline (Geyer et al., 2017). We recently
developed “plasma proteome profiling” as a robust and automated platform for the reproducible analysis of hundreds of clinical samples. The quantified proteome included the main functional plasma proteins such as the complement system, apolipoproteins, and other transporters and allowed assessment of sample quality (Geyer et al., 2016a). In a subsequent study, we investigated the longitudinal effects of caloric restriction-induced weight loss on the plasma proteome of 52 individuals over more than a year. Analysis of more than 1,000 individual plasma proteomes revealed that protein levels in general were much more stable within individuals over time than between them. We extracted panels indicative of systemic inflammatory status, allowing stratification of the cohorts. The data also highlighted specific proteins correlated to relevant clinical parameters, allowing stratification of the cohorts. The data also highlighted specific proteins correlated to relevant clinical parameters such as BMI, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and insulin sensitivity (Geyer et al., 2016b).

Here, we wished to gain further insights into the global metabolic changes caused by RYGB surgery as reflected in the plasma proteome. To obtain robust and generalizable results, we selected two studies with similar design to investigate improvements in hepatic insulin sensitivity and beta cell function (Bojsen-Møller et al., 2014; Martinussen et al., 2015). We applied an improved version of our plasma proteome profiling pipeline, which doubled our coverage of the plasma proteome. Furthermore, for a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of the plasma proteome, we implemented global correlation maps. These consist of hundreds of thousands of protein-protein connections and protein-clinical parameter associations, highlighting co-regulated proteins and revealing underlying physiological mechanisms.

RESULTS

In-Depth Dataset of the Human Plasma Proteome in RYGB

Study 1 consisted of 20 and study 2 of 27 morbidly obese individuals, with BMI 40 ± 4 kg/m² (Bojsen-Møller et al., 2014) and 42 ± 4 kg/m² (Martinussen et al., 2015), respectively (Figure 1A). Blood was drawn at four time points, once directly before and three times after surgery (0, 1, 12, and 52 weeks). From 19 individuals, we also obtained blood samples at 2 or 4 years post operation (>104 weeks).

Weight declined steadily in the cohorts, stabilizing at an average loss of 28% of total body mass 1 year after surgery (Figure 1A). Insulin resistance of the liver as estimated by homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), decreased from 3.3 ± 0.7 to a near normal value of 2.0 ± 0.9 after 12 weeks.

In this study, we further improved the plasma proteome profiling pipeline by first generating deep plasma libraries by consecutive depletions of pooled plasma from the top6 and the top14 highest abundant proteins. Following depletion, we used the recently developed high-pH reversed-phase “spider fractionator” (Kulak et al., 2017) to generate a deep library of 1,928 plasma proteins and 14,588 peptides (excluding contaminants) (Figure 1B). Cohort samples were automatically prepared without any depletion as described previously (Geyer et al., 2016a) (Figure 1C). The second improvement of our workflow was a new acquisition method termed BoxCar, which results in about a 10-fold increase in dynamic range of peptide signals via an equalized filling pattern of the ion trap. This results in substantial coverage of undepleted plasma samples even without fractionation (Meier et al., 2018), which is visually reflected in isotope patterns detected in the m/z retention time plane for the full scans (Figure S1).

We generated a large plasma proteome dataset, comprising a total of 175 human plasma proteomes. In triplicate analysis of the samples, an average of 1,025 proteins was identified per study participant, more than twice the number in our previous plasma proteome study (Geyer et al., 2016b) (Figure 1D; Table S1). In total, we quantified 1,700 plasma proteins with at least two peptides in the study samples (Figures 1D and 1E). We also evaluated the cohorts based on our previously defined sets of quality markers. None of the samples suffered from extensive erythrocyte lysis or partial coagulation, but we observed a slight trend toward higher erythrocyte lysis in study 2 (Figure S2).

As this is the largest proteome dataset generated by unbiased MS-based proteomics on non-depleted plasma, we provide the data in an easily accessible and minable format for use by the community (Table S1). Ranking the proteins according to their abundance and annotating them according to their function using the Gene Ontology biological process (GOBP) terms and performing an one-dimensional annotation enrichment analysis resulted in 117 statistically significant terms (Figure 1F). At this depth of coverage, processes connected to lipid transport, coagulation, and inflammation are very well reflected in the higher abundance ranges, whereas intracellular processes — presumably as a result of tissue leakage — are enriched in the low abundance ranges (Table S2).

Plasma Proteome Rearranges after RYGB

Our primary aim was to investigate plasma proteome dynamics after RYGB and to relate these to the pathophysiological changes stemming from the procedure. Over the entire time course, the levels of 114 proteins changed significantly (Table S3). We expected the surgery intervention itself to have a strong effect on the plasma proteome. Indeed, most of the significantly altered proteins — 88 in total — changed 1 week after surgery; 39 proteins after 12 and 52 weeks; and 33 proteins after more than 2 years. The two longitudinal studies differed only minimally in their design, allowing us to initially use study 1 as the “discovery cohort” and study 2 as the “validation cohort” according to the “rectangular strategy” for plasma proteome profiling (Geyer et al., 2017). For the first two time points, where the number of samples was most comparable, 90% of the significantly changed proteins in study 1 were also significant in study 2 (Table S3). Due to the high overlap of proteins regulated in the two independent RYGB studies and the fact that we did not detect any significant differences between them, we henceforth integrated the data from both of them.

To investigate plasma proteome dynamics after RYGB, we calculated the median, Z-scored intensities of all significantly altered proteins at each time point. A hierarchical clustering analysis stratified proteins into four main groups based on their response to RYGB (Figures 2A and 2B). Nearly half of these proteins showed a long-term decrease (group 1, 10 proteins; group 2, 40 proteins). The main difference between the two patterns was that group 2 increased at the first post-operative time point before dropping below baseline levels for the entire period of up to 4 years. The 39 proteins in group 3 showed a long-term
increase, with many of them initially exhibiting a decrease after surgery. This was also the pattern of group 4, although here levels only recovered to baseline. The remaining proteins had a more heterogeneous behavior with increased or decreased levels between the different time points.

We annotated each of the 114 significantly changed proteins with GOBP, molecular function (GOMF), and cellular compartment (GOCC) as well as UniProt-KB keyword terms, to reveal the biological processes that were influenced by RYGB. Fisher’s exact test on these keyword annotations yielded 322 connections based on 20 central keywords, drawing a broad picture of biological functions affected by RYGB (STAR Methods; Table S4). These range from inflammation, lipid homeostasis, and protease activity to changes in metal homeostasis.

Next, we performed hierarchical clustering on a Boolean table of the proteins annotated by the 20 keywords, to investigate if proteins belonging to the same cluster also had a functional association (Figure 2C). Terms related to inflammation were most strongly enriched (cluster 2). Manual inspection revealed even more inflammatory proteins, including a frequently clinically used marker of inflammation, C-reactive protein (CRP), the acute phase proteins SAA1, SAA4, and lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP), and the complement factors C1S, CFHR3, and CFHR5. The second most keyword-enriched group belonged to the lipid homeostasis system (cluster 3), as expected upon weight loss, and their individual dynamics will be described below.

“Metal binding” was also significantly enriched, but spread over clusters 1, 2, and 3, indicating that RYGB differently
Figure 2. Longitudinal Trajectories and Functional Interpretation of Significantly Influenced Proteins

(A) Proteins clustered into four main groups according to their patterns of adaption after RYGB. Z-scores are plotted over five time points.

(B) Hierarchical clustering of the plasma proteins in the four groups.

(C) A Fisher’s exact test identified 20 main keywords, which were used in a second hierarchical clustering analysis for functional interpretation of the longitudinal trajectories. The dominant keywords — related to inflammation and the lipid homeostasis system — are highlighted by red and blue rectangles, respectively.
affected their functional roles (Table S4). The absorption of iron is compromised after gastric bypass surgery since the antrum, duodenum, and the proximal part of the jejunum, where absorption takes place is separated from the contact with the ingested nutrients and results in anemia, due to malabsorption of micronutrients (Worm et al., 2015). Interestingly, levels of transferrin (TF), the main iron transporter in plasma, increased by 20% (cluster 3), indicating a sensing mechanism for low iron levels. In contrast, ceruloplasmin (CP), which oxidizes Fe2+ to Fe3+ for effective transport by TF, was downregulated and present in cluster 2, which anti-correlates with cluster 3, perhaps because less iron needs to be oxidized.

We traced the significantly regulated proteins connected to protease keyword annotations to members of the complement cascade system and different serine protease inhibitors (SERPINs). SERPINs are a functionally heterogeneous group of proteins, including the inflammation-associated SERPINA1 and SERPINA3 (cluster 2) and also the adipocyte-secreted SERPINF1, whose decrease after operation indicates the loss of body fat (cluster 1).

Encouraged by the fact that plasma proteome profiling revealed specific physiologic changes upon RYGB, we set out to systematically exploit the observed regulation to construct a global network of co-regulated plasma proteins and functional modules.

Global Correlation Maps Reveal Co-regulation of Hundreds of Plasma Proteins

The levels of plasma proteins have traditionally been measured and considered independently; however, proteins often work together as complexes or groups. Treating RYGB as a generic perturbation, we next investigated the co-regulation of the plasma proteome at a systems level to identify protein networks and search for new biomarker candidates. We constructed a global correlation map containing pairwise relationships between all quantified proteins and clinical parameters. In our case, there were up to 175 abundance values for each plasma protein (47 individuals; 5 time points). For instance, the Pearson correlation coefficient between the apolipoprotein proteins APOA1 and APOC1 was 0.57 over all data points (Figure 3A).

We considered only the 580 proteins that were quantified in at least 25% of the samples and added 26 clinical parameters. As a third parameter group, we included the levels of glycated peptides, which are related to blood sugar control and can be determined by proteomics as previously described (Keilhauer et al., 2018).
regulated group of platelet proteins. Although they accurately
the sample analysis procedure. These included a tightly co-
terms with no significant keywords as well as many novel connec-
tions within the clusters.

Interestingly, some clusters contained information about the
sample analysis procedure. These included a tightly co-
regulated group of platelet proteins. Although they accurately
report on sample quality, they do not reflect intrinsic plasma pro-
teome properties and were therefore removed from downstream
analysis (STAR Methods).

To explore the connections between plasma proteins in a
complementary way, we generated a network in which the
connections are given by their correlation coefficients. For all
proteins and clinical parameters in the 20 clusters with the
highest number of nodes, we only selected proteins with corre-
lation coefficients of at least 0.4 to display their relationship
within and between these clusters (Figure 3B). This highlights
the connections within main physiological processes. In the
inflammation cluster, for instance, there are strong relationships
of the complement system to other inflammatory proteins such
as LBP. The network clearly captures the relationship of inflam-
mation, insulin resistance, and lipid metabolism.

**RYG and the Response to Low-Grade Inflammation**

Inflammation-associated proteins were found in the largest
correlated cluster, and they also contained the most highly
regulated ones after RYG (Figures 2 and 4). The connection
of obesity, metabolic diseases, and systemic inflammation has
been investigated extensively on the molecular and cellular level
(Brestoff and Artis, 2015; Osborn and Olefsky, 2012; Quante
et al., 2015). Plasma proteome profiling of a cohort of morbidly
obese individuals undergoing surgical intervention followed by
strong weight loss presents a unique opportunity to investigate
changes in the inflammatory response in a systems-wide and
unbiased manner. In contrast to previous candidate-driven
approaches, our strategy can in principle retrieve association
of any plasma protein with weight-related inflammation. To
define an inflammatory panel in an unbiased manner, we filtered
for relevant keywords (STAR Methods). This resulted in 51 pro-
teins that covered the major pathways, such as complement
activation and acute phase reaction. This inflammatory panel
was quantified with high consistency in the dataset (90% valid
values). We combined the changes of these proteins, normalized
to their starting level, into a single value by taking the median,
which represents a robust and accurate reflection of the global
inflammation status of each individual at each time point. Then,
we aggregated the inflammatory status of all participants over
time. The longitudinal trajectory of this inflammation status had
a maximum at the first post-operative time point with an average
increase of 10% (Figure 4A), presumably as a direct conse-
quence of the surgery (Finnerty et al., 2013). Following this brief
increase, the global inflammation panel decreased gradually,
reaching baseline level 12 weeks after RYG surgery and the
minimum of −11% at the final time point (>104 weeks). This
dynamic regulation was quite consistent across almost all partic-
ips in the study cohort (Figure S3A).

Proteins included in our inflammation panel were selected by
keyword annotation, which could be spurious, including proteins
with negative correlation to inflammation. To assess their suit-
ability as markers, we inspected their dynamics and found that
the large majority went up at the immediate post-operative
time point and stabilized at lower levels at 52 and greater than
104 weeks (Figure 4A). Next, we compared our data to a plasma
proteome profiling study where weight loss was induced by
caloric restriction (Cambridge diet), in which 43 participants
lost on average 12% of their body mass during an 8-week period
and maintained their weight for 1 year (Iepsen et al., 2015). From
that study, we had already defined a ten protein inflammation
panel (Geyer et al., 2016b), which was completely contained in
the current one. After exclusion of the first post-operative
time point in the RYGB study, we calculated the slope of the
inflammation proteins in both studies across all time points
(Table S5). Between caloric restriction achieved through the
Cambridge diet and by RYGB surgery, there was an overlap in
31 increased inflammation proteins. Thus, the direction of
changes in the full 51 panel in the previous study generally
agreed with the current one (Figure 4B). CRP, a prominent risk
factor for cardiovascular disease, showed the strongest
response, and its levels increased 5-fold at the 1-week time point
and decreased 14-fold afterwards.

For further insights into the connection of the plasma prote-
one to weight loss, we correlated all 1,700 quantified plasma
proteins directly to BMI. In total, 51 proteins had a significant
correlation, of which 18 were part of our inflammation panel (Fig-
ure S3B; Table S6). CRP, complement factor C3, and S100A8
were the proteins with the strongest correlation (Pearson corre-
lation of 0.63, 0.61, and 0.45, respectively). Together with the
fact that the BMI clustered with inflammation-annotated proteins
in the global correlation map, this underlines the strong connec-
tion between inflammation and obesity.

Core Proteins in Lipid Metabolism Are Significantly
Altered by RYGB

Lipid homeostasis was the second most strongly affected phys-
iological system by RYGB, and it clustered into two distinct
regions of the global correlation map (Figure 2). Many of the
proteins found in this cluster are well-known constituents of
different lipoprotein particles such as APOB or receptors of
these particles such as the LDL receptor (LDLR). To elucidate
their behavior, we filtered the identified plasma proteome for
the Gene Ontology cellular compartment (GOCC) terms “lipid
transport,” “chylomicron,” “high density lipoprotein (HDL),”
“low density lipoprotein (LDL),” “intermediate density lipoprotein
IDL),” and “very low density lipoprotein (vLDL)” particle. To this
set, we added non-annotated proteins well known to be associ-
ated with lipid metabolism such as apolipoprotein D (APOD),
apolipoprotein(a) (LPA), Prolow-density lipoprotein receptor-
related protein 1 (LRP1), and phospholipid transfer protein
(PLTP). Excluding the keyword-annotated inflammation proteins
SAA1, SAA2, and SAA4 resulted in 25 proteins that reflect the
lipid homeostasis system in blood. They were consistently quan-
tified across all patients and time points. Furthermore, their
correlation map reveals a large degree of similarity between
the caloric restriction and RYGB studies (Figures 5A and 5B).
For instance, the highly correlated clusters for apolipoprotein D (APOD),
apolipoprotein(a) (LPA), Prolow-density lipoprotein receptor-
related protein 1 (LRP1), and phospholipid transfer protein
(PLTP). Excluding the keyword-annotated inflammation proteins
SAA1, SAA2, and SAA4 resulted in 25 proteins that reflect the
lipid homeostasis system in blood. They were consistently quan-
tified across all patients and time points. Furthermore, their
correlation map reveals a large degree of similarity between
the caloric restriction and RYGB studies (Figures 5A and 5B).
For instance, the highly correlated clusters for apolipoproteins
APOC1, APOC2, APOC3, APOC4, and APOE, which are LDL
constituents, are almost superimposable. Likewise, the HDL
related proteins — APOA1, APOA2, APOA4, and PON1 — corre-
lated highly.

Inspecting the direction of changes in the expression levels of
proteins, we found that the HDL constituents APOA1, APOA2,
APOA4, and PON1 increased in RYGB but decreased in caloric
restriction, reflecting the HDL laboratory values that were
measured independently in these studies (Figure S4). Further-
more, HDL-associated proteins such as APOC1, APOC2,
APOC4, APOM, PLTP, LPA, and several others that may be

---

**Figure 4. Connection between Global Inflammation Status and
Body Mass**

(A) Changes of the global inflammation status of all study participants. The
global inflammation status is calculated as the median of 51 inflammation
proteins, selected by keyword annotation. Pie charts over each of the post-
operation time points show the number of proteins, which were up- or
downregulated.

(B) Overlap of inflammation proteins in the caloric restriction (CR) and the
RYGB studies. Plus and minus indicate if a protein was decreased in both or in
one of the two studies. The color code shows how many of the proteins were
significantly regulated.

(C) Correlation plot of protein intensities with the BMI in the RYGB study. Inflammation proteins are highlighted in red or orange if they were significantly
or not significantly correlated to the BMI, respectively. All proteins above the
dashed line show significant correlations.
associated were regulated in opposite directions. One of those — APOC1 — has broad physiological functions including inhibition of fatty acid uptake from adipocytes and inhibition of lipoprotein binding to LDL. Interestingly, APOC1 was a member of both the LDL and HDL correlation clusters. In caloric restriction, its levels decreased by 12%, whereas in RYGB they increased by 7%. This could be due to a lower need for transport of fatty acids after caloric restriction but not after RYGB and may reflect the mechanistic differences of these two weight loss interventions. Interestingly, mice overexpressing APOC1 seem protected for obesity and insulin resistance due to reduced fatty acid uptake in adipocytes (Jong et al., 2001).

After RYGB, insulin sensitivity of the liver rapidly improves (Bojsen-Møller et al., 2014). In line with this, we observed increases in APOA4, another surrogate marker of hepatic insulin sensitivity (VerHague et al., 2013).

Improvement in Insulin Sensitivity after RYGB Is Reflected in the Plasma Proteome

The immediate positive effect of RYGB on insulin sensitivity has been widely discussed, but any reflections of this in the plasma proteome have not been described. In both RYGB cohorts, we determined hepatic insulin resistance by the HOMA-IR based on C-peptide, and, in study 1, we further measured “hepatic insulin sensitivity” by tracer estimation of hepatic glucose production, “peripheral insulin sensitivity” by the hyperinsulinenic euglycemic clamp and “oral glucose insulin sensitivity” from oral glucose tolerance test, resulting in a system-wide assessment of insulin sensitivity (Figure S5).

Connecting the insulin sensitivity measures to the plasma proteome profile retrieved 75 significantly correlating proteins (Figures 6A and 6B; Table S7). Adiponectin, sex hormone-binding globulin, vitamin K-dependent protein S, cholinesterase, the complement factors C3, CFB, and C4-binding protein alpha chain correlated with all four assessments. We calculated a summed insulin sensitivity correlation, taking the strength and number of correlations into account and followed this measure over time since surgery (Figure 6C; Table S8). This factor reached its maximum 1 year after the gastric bypass operation. Interestingly, the summed insulin sensitivity correlation was almost superimposed in both studies (Figure 6B), validating the individual correlating proteins.

Reassuringly, adiponectin, a classical marker for insulin sensitivity, was the protein with the highest score (ADIPQ; S:16), and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG; S:8) and complement factor C3 (C3; S:8) were likewise in the top group. Strikingly, another 25 proteins and the “peptide glycation” factor correlated or anti-correlated highly with insulin sensitivity (Figure 6A). Other proteins in this heterogeneous group included pigment epithelium-derived factor (SERPINF1; S:11), afamin (AFM; S:10), antithrombin-III (SERPINC1; S:9), and apolipoprotein A1 (APOA1; S:8). Note that some of these correlations may simply reflect the weight loss, rather than a more specific effect on insulin sensitivity. For instance, SERPINF1, a protein secreted by adipocytes, is clearly and highly significantly anti-correlated to insulin sensitivity — especially to hepatic insulin sensitivity (Pearson’s R = –0.66; p < 10^-9). Of note, we had previously found a strong association of SERPINF1 and weight loss in the caloric restriction study as well as a correlation to insulin resistance based on HOMA-IR (Geyer et al., 2016b). It would be interesting to determine if SERPINF1 has a functional involvement in insulin sensitivity or if it mainly reflects total fat mass.

Conversely, a group of high-scoring proteins reflecting the inflammatory state and lipid transport likely represent functional connections: both systemic inflammation and dysregulated lipid homeostasis. These included several components of the complement system and a number of apolipoproteins, which also correlated or anti-correlated with insulin sensitivity measures in our global correlation map (Figure 3; Table S9). Clearly, our protein correlation profiles reflect the complex relationships between insulin sensitivity, lipid homeostasis, and inflammation. However, the majority of proteins correlated with insulin sensitivity had no reported connection to these two physiological

Figure 5. Response of Lipid Homeostasis Regulating Proteins to RYGB and Caloric Restriction

(A) Correlation maps of lipid homeostasis-associated proteins in the RYGB study.
(B) Correlation maps of lipid homeostasis-associated proteins in the caloric restriction study.
(C) Median of protein intensities showing increased or decreased expression after RYGB and caloric restriction (CR). Significantly changed proteins are marked with an asterisk.
processes. As insulin has a broad effect on different organs, it is not surprising that proteins of different physiological processes correlated to insulin sensitivity, which makes them interesting candidates to study as cause or consequence of insulin resistance.

**DISCUSSION**

Bariatric surgery fundamentally alters human metabolism, and much remains to be learned about the molecular mechanisms underlying surgical therapies such as RYGB. The majority of research has focused on anthropometric as well as clinical and physiological data (Puzziferri et al., 2014), whereas there are few hypothesis-free approaches (Arora et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2016). In this work, we aimed to describe global rearrangements of the plasma proteome in a systems-wide view and discover protein markers of gastric bypass surgery with possible functional relevance. This was enabled by a recently developed robust and highly reproducible pipeline for MS-based investigation of plasma, which we termed plasma proteome profiling (Geyer et al., 2016a). In the current study, we integrated several technological advances into the workflow including the spider fractionator to generate deep peptide libraries and combined it for the first time with the BoxCar scan method to increase the dynamic range of our measurements (Meier et al., 2018).

Together, this achieved the most extensive quantitative plasma proteome so far, providing the community with concentration estimates of over 1,700 proteins and their bioinformatic keyword annotations.

We described longitudinal trajectories of 114 significantly altered proteins in response to RYGB and applied functional annotation analysis to reveal the underlying physiological mechanisms. This identified two main groups, belonging to the inflammation and lipid homeostasis systems. We found a strong overlap of inflammation proteins in RYGB with our previous study on caloric restriction-induced weight loss (Geyer et al., 2016b) with the same regulation and tight correlation to BMI. We conclude that body mass is a major determinant of systemic low-grade inflammation levels and that weight loss — regardless of the mode by which it is achieved — is its most important driver. These dramatic changes are illustrated by regulation of 51 inflammation-associated proteins, the most prominent of which is CRP that decreased 14-fold during the average weight reduction of 28%. Note that a few of these proteins were upregulated, for instance, the complement factor C7. Interestingly, our unbiased analysis revealed that the weight loss-driven decrease over the subsequent year was as strong as the immediate surgery-induced increase. Such dramatic changes in systematic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Hepatic insulin sensitivity</th>
<th>HOMA-IR</th>
<th>Peripheral insulin sensitivity</th>
<th>Oral glucose insulin sensitivity</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADIPOQ</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINE1</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFH</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHBG</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINE1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4BP</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROS1</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOCHE</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOA1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APCS</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDN1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANBA</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOD</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoC1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBA1/HBA2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF4</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRB2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF3</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAN</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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inflammation could affect the risk for cardiovascular events (Danesh et al., 2000).

In contrast to the inflammation system, the lipid homeostasis system was differently regulated between caloric restriction and RYGB. While LDL-associated proteins decreased in both interventions, the HDL-associated cluster was only increased after RYGB. This is interesting given the link between high HDL levels and a lower risk of coronary heart disease in associative studies but not when increased by pharmacological intervention (Rader and Hovingh, 2014). At this point, the majority of differently regulated proteins between both types of weight loss cannot be directly linked to clinically measured HDL levels alone.

Lipoprotein particles can be sub-classified into several types that interact or are converted into each other (Kontush et al., 2013). Proteins are the defining elements of all of them and could be the basis for more rational classification of the lipid homeostasis system. In our study, several cardiovascular risk markers from the lipid homeostasis system such as the LDL-associated APOB and LPA dropped in both caloric restriction and RYGB, whereas APOA1, whose levels positively correlate with decreased cardiovascular risk (Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration et al., 2012), significantly increased only in RYGB. A number of such factors emerged from our analyses (Table S9), and it would be interesting to study them in-depth for a possible differential involvement in cardiovascular diseases. More generally, it has been widely discussed which types of cholesterol particles are the most relevant to disease risk (Krauss, 2010), and our data now suggest that it may be promising to focus on the different lipoprotein-associated proteins themselves (Hegele, 2009). For the future, we imagine that plasma proteome profiling of cardiovascular risk studies may help to unravel the role and biomarker potential of individual proteins.

The plasma proteome profiles of a well-characterized longitudinal cohort with comprehensive clinical characterization provided the first opportunity to systematically study the dynamics of the human plasma proteome. For this purpose, we here employed global correlation maps, in which we correlated the quantitative levels of all proteins and clinical parameters to each other. We sorted the resulting matrix by hierarchical clustering and applied bioinformatic enrichment analysis. This disentangled complex processes in the plasma proteome and associated proteins to common physiological functions and clinical risk measures.

Standard clinical parameters for insulin resistance including insulin levels themselves, C-peptide levels, and HOMA-IR, clustered with proteins of the inflammation system. Hepatic insulin sensitivity, peripheral insulin sensitivity, and oral glucose insulin sensitivity all tended to associate with proteins of the lipid homeostasis system. Network analysis highlighted an anti-correlation between those two systems (Figure 3B). This is consistent with the detrimental effect of systemic inflammation on the risk of metabolic disease and the positive and negative effects of the levels of different cholesterol lipoprotein particles (Dali-Youcef et al., 2013; Glass and Olefsky, 2012). Thus, we here recapitulated the relationships between insulin sensitivity, the lipid homeostasis system, and systemic inflammation purely from an unbiased assessment of the plasma proteome in a human intervention study for diabetes. Interestingly, our analysis revealed that known single protein markers of insulin sensitivity, such as adiponectin (Li et al., 2009), were indeed the highest scoring ones, and that there are many others that have not yet been connected to insulin sensitivity (Figure 6; Table S8).

In conclusion, plasma proteome profiling is a powerful technology for studying the effects of physiological interventions such as RYGB in humans, which may provide new molecular markers and targets for the treatment of metabolic syndrome and diabetes. The approach can assess changes in circulating proteins resulting from any metabolic perturbation. Our bioinformatic analysis integrates these data with existing knowledge, thereby providing a functional and clinical context for interpretation. Further streamlining of the analytical methods developed here will make it possible to measure many clinical studies, building up a comprehensive “knowledge base” of the human plasma proteome in health and disease states (Geyer et al., 2017).
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KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological Samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYGB study 1</td>
<td>Bojsen-Møller et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Clinical trial NCT01202526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYGB study 2</td>
<td>Martinussen et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Clinical trial NCT01993511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Affinity Removal Spin Cartridge Human 6</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies</td>
<td>Cat#5188-5230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Select™ Top14 Abundant Protein Depletion Midi Spin Column</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A36371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'iST' Kit for proteomic sample preparation</td>
<td>PreOmics GmbH</td>
<td>P.O. 00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteomics data</td>
<td>PRIDE ProteomeXchange</td>
<td>PXD009348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and Algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaxQuant</td>
<td>Cox and Mann (2008)</td>
<td>Version 1.5.9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perseus</td>
<td>Tyanova et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Version 1.5.5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Matthias Mann (mmann@biochem.mpg.de).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

RYGB study 1: Ten obese patients with type 2 diabetes (age 43.6 ± 3.4 years, male/female 4/6, BMI 38.9 ± 1.6 kg/m², HbA1c 7.0 ± 0.3%, diabetes duration 3.3 ± 1.0 years) and ten obese patients with normal glucose tolerance (age 40.1 ± 2.8 years, male/female 3/7, BMI 40.2 ± 0.8 kg/m², HbA1c 5.4 ± 0.1%) scheduled for laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) were investigated before (n=20), 1 week (n=16), 3 months (n=20) and 1 year (n=18) postoperatively (Clinical trial NCT01202526), as described previously (Bojsen-Møller et al., 2014). At 4 years post-RYGB, an extension study (NCT03046147) was initiated and 16 patients of the initial cohort accepted to be included. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Municipal Ethical Committee of Copenhagen in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and by the Danish Data Protection Agency. Triple sampling at fasting was performed at all study visits, while hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps (HEC) including basal glucose tracer infusions were performed at all except the 4 year’s visit as previously described in detail. Oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) were done on separate study days before, 3 months, 1 year and 4 years after RYGB. Antidiabetic agents were discontinued ≥ 3 days before each study day, and participants were instructed to refrain from strenuous physical activity and alcohol for 3 days and to fast overnight (10-12 h) prior to all experiments. Calculations: HOMA2-IR was calculated from all study visits based on a triple sampling of fasting glucose and C-peptide concentrations using the HOMA2. Hepatic insulin sensitivity and peripheral insulin sensitivity were estimated from HEC experimental days as the tracer determined basal rate of appearance (Ra) of glucose (in mg per minute) with correction for C-peptide concentrations and the tracer determined rate of disappearance (Rd) of glucose during the HEC expressed as mg per min per kg fat free mass (ffm), respectively. The Oral Glucose Insulin Sensitivity index was estimated from all OGTTs and has recently been validated against the HEC in this particular cohort (Bojsen-Møller et al., 2017).

RYGB study 2: Participants: Ten obese patients with type 2 diabetes (age 46.1 ± 2.8 years, male/female 4/6, BMI 41.2 ± 1.3 kg/m², HbA1c 45.1 ± 2.2 mmol/mol, diabetes duration 3.6 ± 1.3 years), seven obese patients with impaired glucose tolerance (age 41.8 ± 3.0 years, male/female 2/5, BMI 40.3 ± 1.6 kg/m², HbA1c 40.0 ± 1.0 mmol/mol) and ten obese patients with normal glucose tolerance (age 41.6 ± 3.0 years, male/female 4/6, BMI 43.8 ± 1.5 kg/m², HbA1c 35.0 ± 1.3 mmol/mol) were examined before (n=23) and 1 week (n=25) and 3 months (n=25) after RYGB (NCT01993511) as previously described (Martinussen et al., 2015). A few of the patients were also investigated after 1 year (n=6) and 2 years (n=3) postoperatively. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Municipal Ethical Committee of Copenhagen in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and by the Danish Data Protection Agency. Triple sampling at fasting was performed at all study visits. Oral glucose tolerance testing was done on separate study days before (n=23) and 3 months (n=23) and 1 year (n=5) after RYGB. Antidiabetic medication was discontinued >3 days (>10 days for Liraglutide) prior to surgery and patients were instructed to fast overnight (10-12 hours) prior to testing. Calculations: HOMA2-IR and oral glucose insulin sensitivity was obtained as described for study 1.
**METHOD DETAILS**

**High Abundant Protein Depletion for Building a Matching Library**
To construct a library of peptide identifications, we used two commercial depletion kits that together remove the top 14 highest abundant proteins in plasma (Geyer et al., 2016a). Following depletion, we separated our samples into 24 fractions, using our recently developed high-pH reversed-phase ‘Spider fractionator’ (Figure 1B) (Kulak et al., 2017).

**Sample Preparation for Study Samples**
Plasma samples for the quantitative analysis of the two bariatric surgery cohorts were prepared for all samples according to the previously published Plasma Proteome Profiling pipeline (Geyer et al., 2016a). In brief, this involved optimized conditions for denaturation, alkalylation, digestion and peptide purification, and liquid chromatography and MS settings. Sample preparation was carried out in an automated liquid handling platform (Agilent Bravo) in a 96 well format. For sample preparation, we used the ‘iST’ Kit for proteomic sample preparation (P.O. 00001, PreOmics GmbH). Depletion was only used for the library and not for the study samples.

**High Pressure Liquid Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry**
Samples were measured using LC-MS instrumentation consisting of an EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), which was combined with a Q Exactive HF Orbitrap (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a nano-electrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Purified peptides were separated on 40 cm HPLC-columns (ID: 75 μm; in-house packed into the tip with ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 μm resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH)). For each LC-MS/MS analysis, around 1 μg peptides were used for the 45 min gradients and for the fractions of the deep plasma data set. Peptides were loaded in buffer A (0.1% formic acid, 5% DMSO (v/v)) and eluted with a linear 35 min gradient of 3-30% of buffer B (0.1% formic acid, 5% DMSO, 80% (v/v) acetonitrile), followed by a 7 min increase to 75% of buffer B and a 1 min increase to 98% of buffer B, and a 2 min wash of 98% buffer B at a flow rate of 450 nl/min. Column temperature was kept at 60°C by a Peltier element containing in-house-developed oven. MS data were acquired with a Top15 data-dependent MS/MS scan method (topN method) for the library and the BoxCar scan method (Meier et al., 2018) for the study samples. Target values for the full scan MS spectra was 3 x 10^6 charges in the 300-1,650 m/z range with a maximum injection time of 55 ms and a resolution of 120,000 at m/z 200. Fragmentation of precursor ions was performed by higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) with a normalized collision energy of 27 eV. MS/MS scans were performed at a resolution of 15,000 at m/z 200 with an ion target value of 5 x 10^4 and a maximum injection time of 25 ms.

**Data Analysis**
MS raw files were analyzed using the MaxQuant software (Cox and Mann, 2008) and peptide lists were searched against the human Uniprot FASTA database with the Andromeda search engine (Cox et al., 2011). A contaminants database was employed and cysteine carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification and N-terminal acetylation and methionine oxidations as variable modifications. False discovery rate (FDR) was 0.01 for both the protein and peptide level with a minimum length of 7 amino acids for peptides and this FDR was determined by searching a reverse sequence database. Enzyme specificity was set as C-terminal to arginine and lysine as expected using trypsin and LysC as proteases, and a maximum of two missed cleavages were allowed. Peptides were identified with an initial precursor mass deviation of up to 7 ppm and a fragment mass deviation of 20 ppm. ‘Match between run algorithm’ in MaxQuant (Nagaraj et al., 2012) was performed after constructing a matching library consisting of depleted plasma samples. All proteins and peptides matching to the reversed database were filtered out. For label-free protein quantitation (LFQ) we required a minimum ratio count of 2 (Cox et al., 2014). Glycated peptide were identified as previously reported (Keilhauer et al., 2016).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
All bioinformatic analyses were done with the Perseus software of the MaxQuant computational platform (Cox and Mann, 2008; Tyanova et al., 2016). For statistical analysis of significantly changed proteins before and after RYGB, we used a one-sample t-test with a false discovery rate of <0.05 after Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Correlation to clinical parameters like BMI and insulin resistance data to MS-based proteomic data were also done within the Perseus environment.

**Global Correlation Analysis**
Proteins were only considered for the analysis, if they were present in at least 25% of all samples, which is equal to 44 out of the 175 measured samples. After removal of potential contaminants like platelet proteins, this left 580 proteins. Peptide glycation: Quantified glycation sites were also filtered for at least 25% valid values and Z-scored over all individuals and time points. The median of this normalized index over 203 glycation sites is reported as the ‘peptide glycation’ factor.

**Inflammation System**
We filtered for proteins of the inflammation system by including keywords enriched in the analysis of Figure 2 (complement pathway, immunity, innate immunity, membrane attack complex, cytolysis, complement alternate pathway, inflammatory response, antimicrobial) and further included the term ‘acute phase’ as it added well-known inflammation proteins like the CRP.
Lipid Homeostasis System
We filtered the plasma proteome for the gene ontology cellular compartment (GOCC) keywords lipid transport, chylomicron, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), intermediate density lipoprotein (IDL) and very low density lipoprotein (vLDL) particles. We further included non-annotated proteins well known to associate with lipid metabolism: apolipoprotein D (APOD), apolipoprotein(a) (LPA), Prolow-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) and phospholipid transfer protein (PLTP), and excluded the keyword annotated inflammation proteins SAA1, SAA2 and SAA4, which are not associated with lipoprotein particles. Filtering for at least 10% valid values, resulted in a set of 25 proteins (Table S9). The median changes of the proteins were calculated across all time points.

Scoring System for Insulin Sensitivity
The correlation analysis were controlled by a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05 to take multiple hypothesis testing into account. We ranked proteins correlating to the four insulin sensitivity parameters (‘homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance’ (HOMA-IR), ‘hepatic insulin sensitivity’, ‘peripheral insulin sensitivity’, ‘oral glucose insulin sensitivity’) according to their Pearson correlation coefficient. The five proteins with the highest correlation to each of the assessments were assigned the score 4, the next five proteins 3, the following five 2 and the rest 1 (Table S8).

Insulin Sensitivity Score
We defined an overall score for each protein adding the individual scores in each of the four insulin sensitivity assays. Next, we normalized the protein trajectories over time, using baseline level before surgery for each individual as 100%. The score weighted the impact of each of the proteins and the median was plotted for both RYGB studies over time.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY
The MS-based proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository and are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD009348.