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We focus on a broad class of tetragonal itinerant systems sharing a tendency towards the spontaneous formation of incommensurate magnetism with ordering wave vectors \( \mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta) \) or \( \mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi, \delta)/(\delta, \pi) \). Employing a Landau approach, we obtain the generic magnetic phase diagram and identify the leading instabilities near the paramagnetic-magnetic transition. Nine distinct magnetic phases exist that either preserve or violate the assumed \( C_4 \) symmetry of the paramagnetic phase. These are single- and double-\( \mathbf{Q} \) phases consisting of magnetic stripes, helices, and whirls, either in an individual or coexisting manner. These nine phases can be experimentally distinguished by polarized neutron scattering, or, e.g., by combining measurements of the induced charge order and magnetoelectric coupling. Within two representative five-orbital models, suitable for BaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) and LaFeAsO, we find that the incommensurate magnetic phases we discuss here are accessible in iron-based superconductors. Our investigation unveils a set of potential candidates for the unidentified \( C_2 \)-symmetric magnetic phase that was recently observed in Ba\(_{1-x}\)Na\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\). Among the phases stabilized we find a spin-whirl crystal, which is a textured magnetic \( C_4 \)-symmetric phase. The possible experimental observation of textured magnetic orders in iron-based superconductors opens new directions for realizing intrinsic topological superconductors.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Magnetism constitutes one of the most ubiquitous phases in correlated matter, giving rise to a wide range of remarkable phenomena. Apart from the typical examples of (anti) ferromagnetism, there exists an entire zoo of other magnetic phases, ranging from collinear to noncoplanar orators. The iron-based superconductors (FeSCs) are a prime example of this diversity. The commensurate magnetic stripe (MS) phase, with moments aligned antiferromagnetically along one Fe-Fe direction and ferromagnetically along the other, is prevalent in the undoped compounds. However, recent experiments on a number of hole-doped compounds have revealed the emergence of both collinear [1] and coplanar [2] magnetic orders.

Commensurate magnetism in the FeSCs can be described by two magnetic order parameters \( \mathbf{M}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{M}_2 \), with ordering vectors \( \mathbf{Q}_1 = (\pi, 0) \) and \( \mathbf{Q}_2 = (0, \pi) \) (in the 1Fe/unit cell), related by fourfold (\( C_4 \)) rotations [3–12]. In the event that the two order parameters compete, the single-\( \mathbf{Q} \) MS phase is realized. On the other hand, if the two order parameters coexist, the moments can align in either a parallel (\( \mathbf{M}_1 \parallel \mathbf{M}_2 \)) or perpendicular (\( \mathbf{M}_1 \perp \mathbf{M}_2 \)) fashion. In the case of collinear moments, the resulting magnetic order is dubbed a charge-spin density wave (CSDW) phase, while the case of non-collinear moments is denoted a spin-vortex crystal (SVC) phase. These so-called double-\( \mathbf{Q} \) phases can be challenging to distinguish experimentally, and this generally requires the use of local probe techniques. Signatures of double-\( \mathbf{Q} \) magnetic structures were observed in Ba\(_{1-x}\)Na\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [13,14], Ba\(_{1-x}\)K\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [15–20], Sr\(_{1-x}\)Na\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [1], and in hole-doped CaKFe\(_4\)As\(_4\) [2]. In Sr\(_{1-x}\)Na\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\), Mössbauer spectroscopy captured the existence of a CSDW phase [1], while in CaKFe\(_4\)As\(_4\) a SVC phase was observed [2]. Their discovery has generated considerable attention, and especially the observation of a CSDW phase highlights the itinerant nature of magnetism in these compounds.

Nevertheless, recent experiments suggest that magnetism in FeSCs may not only be limited to the three phases mentioned above. In fact, the commensurate aspect needs to be revisited since direct evidence for incommensurate (IC)
magnetism has been provided by neutron scattering [21–23] on electron-doped FeSCs. In addition, recent thermal expansion measurements [24] performed on hole-doped Ba$_{1-x}$Na$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ have revealed a rich mosaic of single- and double-$\mathbf{Q}$ magnetic phases, including a new $C_2$-symmetric phase of a currently unidentified nature. Importantly, the latter experiment found evidence for the presence of an inflection point in the magnetic transition temperature as a function of doping. This has been interpreted as the onset of IC magnetic order [25, 26], although the presence of IC magnetism in this compound has not yet been confirmed by scattering experiments. We note that the existence of IC magnetic order is entirely expected within an itinerant scenario for magnetism in the FeSCs.

Similar to other high-$T_c$ superconductors [27, 28], superconductivity in the FeSCs emerges via charge doping of the parent compounds. This leads to the possibility of microscopic coexistence between superconductivity and magnetism, which has indeed been observed in certain pnictogen compounds [13, 24, 29–33]. In the context of textured non-collinear and noncoplanar magnetic phases, such a coexistence is of particular interest. These types of orders tie together the orbital and spin degrees of freedom and induce an effective spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [34]. When the induced SOC breaks inversion symmetry, such magnetic orders can give rise to magnetoelectric phenomena, akin to those encountered in spin-Skyrmion crystals [35], multiferroics [36], semiconductors with non-negligible SOC [37, 38], and topological insulators [39]. More importantly, the simultaneous violation of time-reversal symmetry and the generation of SOC renders the aforementioned magnetic textures suitable building blocks for realizing topological superconductors (TSCs) [40–57]. This can be achieved either via proximity of these magnetic textures to a conventional superconductor, or intrinsically, by virtue of their microscopic coexistence with spin-singlet superconductivity. An intrinsic TSC remains a long-sought-after but also elusive phase of matter, and accomplishing it via the above mechanism is challenging due to the antagonistic relation of magnetic order and spin-singlet superconductivity.

Motivated by the recent experimental developments and the possible applications for TSCs, we explore the generic consequences of an IC magnetic ordering vector. Interestingly, we find that this leads to a number of magnetic phases distinct from the previously discussed stripe, collinear, and noncollinear orders. For this purpose, we first carry out a systematic exploration of the accessible IC magnetic orders with wave vectors $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/\pi$ or $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi, \pi)/\pi$ within a generic Landau approach we identify nine possible IC magnetic ground states, and subsequently extract the phase diagram. The appearance of new phases demonstrates that the effect of incommensurability is not limited to a simple generalization of the three known commensurate phases. Among the phases we find a single-$\mathbf{Q}$ magnetic helix (MH) phase along with two double-$\mathbf{Q}$ phases where an IC stripe coexists with a magnetic helix. Additionally, we find a double-$\mathbf{Q}$ phase consisting of two parallel helices, and, finally, two double-$\mathbf{Q}$ phases constituting, as coined here, the spin-whirl crystals. The fundamental properties of all the nine magnetic phases are summarized in Table I, while the respective spatial profiles of the magnetization are depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, and discussed in greater detail below.

While the IC scenario provides a number of candidates for the unidentified magnetic phase appearing in Na-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$, an unambiguous identification requires additional experimental measurements. In order to identify and distinguish these nine magnetic ground states and their possible presence in FeSCs, one can employ a combination of experimental techniques capable of resolving the magnetically induced charge order in the system and the emergence of a nonzero magnetoelectric coupling. The former can be detected in x-ray scattering and the emerging Bragg peaks. For the latter, one can measure the magnetization generated by applying an external electric field $\mathbf{E}$ or via inducing a current $\mathbf{I}$ flow. We predict that a

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Magnetic phase & Rotational symmetry & Induced charge order & Magnetoelectricity & $\mathbf{B}$-induced $\mathbf{C}$ \\
\hline
IC MS ($\mathbf{Q}_1$) & $C_2$ & $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_1\}$ & $\times$ & $\times$ \\
IC CSDW & $C_4$ & $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_1, \pm 2\mathbf{Q}_2, \pm \mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2\}$ & $\times$ & $\times$ \\
IC SVC & $C_4$ & $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_1, \pm 2\mathbf{Q}_2\}$ & $\times$ & $\times$ \\
MH ($\mathbf{Q}_2$) & $C_2$ & $\times$ & $\checkmark (M_0 \propto \mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E})$ & $\times$ \\
MS ($\mathbf{Q}_1$) $\perp$ MH ($\mathbf{Q}_2$) & $C_2$ & $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_1\}$, $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_2\}$, $\{\pm \mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2\}$ & $\checkmark (M_0 \propto \mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E})$ & $\times$ \\
DPMH ($\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1 = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_2$) & $C_2$ & $\{\pm (\mathbf{Q}_1 - \mathbf{Q}_2)\}$ & $\checkmark (M_0 \propto (\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{E})$ & $\times$ \\
SWC & $C_4$ & $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_1, \pm 2\mathbf{Q}_2\}$, $\{\pm \mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2\}$ & $\checkmark$ & $\checkmark (\mathbf{C} = \pm 1)$ \\
SWC$_{1,2}$ of Eq. (14) & $C_2$ & $\{\pm 2\mathbf{Q}_2\}$, $\{\pm \mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2\}$ & $\checkmark$ & $\checkmark (\mathbf{C} = \pm 1)$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Characteristics and experimental signatures of the nine distinct incommensurate magnetic phases, which are extrema of Eq. (1). The wave vectors appearing in the column regarding the induced charge order have been separated into groups. For a given group the Bragg peaks have the same intensity. Note that the wave vectors in light font in the column “Induced charge order,” depending on the parameters, may not lead to a Bragg peak in the spectrum. $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ are Zeeman and electric fields, respectively, and $\mathbf{C}$ denotes the Skyrmion charge.}
\end{table}
homogeneous magnetization can appear in the presence of helices or whirs in the magnetic ground state, depending on the orientation of $E$ or $I$. Our results regarding the experimental signatures of the nine magnetic phases are summarized in Table I.

By adopting two five-orbital models [58,59] based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations for BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and LaFeAsO, respectively, we demonstrate that IC magnetism is a realistic scenario for the family of FeSCs. Remarkably, textured magnetic phases are accessible for both band structure types considered here. In fact, BaFe$_2$As$_2$ supports a variety of textured phases. On the other hand, textured phases can be stabilized in LaFeAsO by taking into account orbital selectivity [60–63], modeled in the present case with orbital-dependent interactions. For the explored parameter regime, we find that a $C_4$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal phase becomes favored in both cases. Remarkably, this can acquire a topologically nontrivial Skyrmion charge by the application of a weak Zeeman field ($B$).

The possible emergence of new magnetic phases in FeSCs does not only add to the established commensurate picture regarding the magnetic phase diagram, it also opens up perspectives for crafting novel topological phases. In fact, the experimentally confirmed microscopic coexistence of magnetism and superconductivity in FeSCs [13,24,32,33] appears as a promising platform for realizing intrinsic TSCs. While symmetry constraints [44] prohibit crafting strong TSCs [39] by solely combining the standard commensurate magnetic phases with spin-singlet superconductivity, the possible emergence of noncoplanar magnetic textures could provide a way around this obstacle.

FIG. 1. Illustration of the IC generalization of the three well-known commensurate magnetic phases with ordering wave vectors $(0, \pi)$ and $(\pi, 0)$. Here we restrict to a single magnetic unit cell and depict the spatial profile of the magnetization for (a) the $C_2$-symmetric IC magnetic stripe (IC-MS) phase, (b) the $C_4$-symmetric IC charge-spin density wave (IC-CSDW) phase, and (c) the $C_4$-symmetric IC spin-vortex crystal (IC-SVC) phase.

FIG. 2. Novel magnetic phases appearing with the rise of IC magnetism. The color scale signifies the magnitude of the magnetic moment. Here we restrict to a single magnetic unit cell. Contrary to the commensurate case, the incommensurability allows for noncoplanar magnetic textures. In panels (a)–(c) various magnetic helix (MH) order parameters are shown. In panels (b) and (c) the helix coexists with an in-plane or out-of-plane IC stripe (MS//MH or MS⊥MH). In panel (d) we present the $C_2$-symmetric double parallel magnetic helix (DPMH) phase, and in panels (e) and (f) the noncoplanar spin-whirl crystal (SWC$_4$ or SWC$_2$) phase with $C_4$ or $C_2$ symmetry.
as previous proposals on artificial chiral topological SCs [44,45,53–57] suggest. We note that the magnetically engineered TSCs relevant here are distinct from standard SOC-generated TSCs which may also be relevant in some of the FeSCs, as revealed by recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and scanning tunneling microscopy experiments [64,65].

The content of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the general Landau formalism and discuss the emergence of nine IC magnetic phases, among which one finds a number of potential candidates for the recently discovered phase in Ba$_1$xNa$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ [24]. The detailed magnetic structures of these nine phases are presented in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we show the generic magnetic phase diagram, illustrating the leading magnetic instability as a function of the Landau parameters. Section V discusses experimental signatures of the IC phases, focusing on how to distinguish them from one another without relying on, e.g., detailed polarized neutron scattering measurements. In Sec. VI, we turn to FeSCs and derive the Landau functional for the two microscopic five-band models, and include interactions in a mean-field manner using a standard multiorbital Hubbard-Hund Hamiltonian. This allows for a microscopic determination of the Landau coefficients, the thermodynamic magnetic phase diagram, and, subsequently, an analysis of the energetics of the IC magnetic phases. Moreover, we discuss the effects of the inversion-symmetric atomic SOC on the magnetic phase diagram and the appearance of noncoplanar magnetic textures. Finally, Sec. VII summarizes our results and discusses further directions.

II. LANDAU FORMALISM FOR INCOMMENSURATE MAGNETISM

To identify the accessible IC magnetic phases for a generic itinerant system with tetragonal symmetry and ordering wave vectors $Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/\sqrt{2}$ or $Q_{1,2} = (\pi, \delta)/\sqrt{2}$, we write down the Landau functional up to quartic order with respect to the magnetic order parameters $M(Q_{1,2}) \equiv M_{1,2}$. Contrary to the commensurate case ($\delta = 0$), where $M_{1,2} = M_{1,2}^\ast$, in the present IC situation the magnetic order parameters are complex since $M(Q_{1,2}) \neq M(Q_{1,2})^\ast \equiv M(-Q_{1,2})$. This results in the following expression for the Landau free-energy functional:

\[
F = a (|M_1|^2 + |M_2|^2) + \frac{\beta + \bar{\beta}}{2} (|M_1|^2 + |M_2|^2)^2
+ \frac{\beta - \bar{\beta}}{2} (|M_1|^2 + |M_2|^2) + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) (|M_1|^2 |M_2|^2 - |M_1|^4 - |M_2|^4)
+ \frac{\gamma + \bar{\gamma}}{2} (|M_1|^2 |M_2|^2 - |M_1|^4 - |M_2|^4).
\]

The above was previously studied by Schulz [66], restricted, however, to the possible occurrence of IC magnetism in high-$T_c$ cuprates. We note that the free energy given in Eq. (1) is obtainable from a microscopic electronic model, as we demonstrate in Sec. VI.

The Landau functional in Eq. (1) is invariant under complex conjugation ($\mathcal{K}$), time reversal ($\mathcal{T}$), $D_{4h}$ point group operations, $SO(3)$ spin rotations, and translations ($t_a$, with $a$ the direct lattice shift vector). At quadratic level the above symmetry becomes artificially enhanced, yielding a degeneracy among the possible candidate phases for the leading magnetic instability that sets in when $\alpha < 0$. The latter guides us to parametrize the order parameters by

\[
M_1 = M \cos \eta \hat{n}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = M \sin \eta \hat{n}_2,
\]

with $|\hat{n}_{1,2}|^2 = 1$ and $\eta \in [0, \pi/2]$. Note that the complex spin vectors generally satisfy $|\hat{n}_{1,2}|^2 \leq 1$. Translational invariance allows us to arbitrarily and independently choose the overall phase of the vectors $\hat{n}_{1,2}$. On the other hand, spin-rotational invariance allows for further simplifications, for instance setting $Re[\hat{n}_1]$ parallel to the $z$ spin axis. Note that this is not possible if SOC is present since this introduces anisotropy in spin space. The effects of a weak SOC are discussed in Sec. VII C.

Under these conditions, extremizing the Landau functional with respect to $\eta$ yields

\[
\sin(2\eta) = 0, \quad \cos(2\eta) = \frac{|\hat{n}_1|^2 - |\hat{n}_2|^2}{2G + 2G \bar{P} - (|\hat{n}_1|^2 + |\hat{n}_2|^2)},
\]

where we have introduced

\[
G \equiv \frac{g - \bar{\beta}}{\beta - \bar{\beta}}, \quad \bar{G} \equiv \frac{\bar{g} - \beta}{\beta - \bar{\beta}}, \quad P \equiv \frac{\hat{n}_1 \cdot \hat{n}_2^2 + |\hat{n}_1|^2 |\hat{n}_2|^2}{2}.
\]

For $\sin(2\eta) = 0$, we retrieve single-$Q$ phases since $\eta = 0$ ($\eta = \pi/2$) implies that only the order parameter with wave vector $Q_1$ ($Q_2$) appears.

The remaining extrema arise for values of $\eta$ determined by $\cos(2\eta)$, leading to double-$Q$ phases. For $|\hat{n}_1|^2 \neq |\hat{n}_2|^2$, we have $\eta \neq \pi/4$. By observing that $\tan \eta = |M_2|/|M_1|$, we obtain that in this case $|M_1| \neq |M_2|$ and, thus, all the arising double-$Q$ phases violate $C_4$ symmetry, leaving only a $C_2$ subgroup intact. If instead $|\hat{n}_1|^2 = |\hat{n}_2|^2$, not necessarily all double-$Q$ phases are $C_4$-symmetry violating. After excluding special or singular values of the Landau coefficients, we find nine extrema of the free energy. These are presented in Table I and in Sec. III. Further details regarding the corresponding free energy are presented in Appendix A. Finally, note that the two possible values for the sign of $\beta - \bar{\beta}$ give rise to two generic phase diagrams that we present in detail in Sec. IV.
III. INCOMMENSURATE MAGNETIC PHASES

The order parameters of the accessible magnetic phases are identified by the values of \( \eta \) and the spin vectors \( \hat{n}_{1,2} \), which are determined by minimizing the free energy of Eq. (1). In addition to the IC extensions of the three well-known commensurate single-\( Q \) stripe (MS) and double-\( Q \), collinear (CSDW) and noncollinear (SVC) phases, we uncover a single-\( Q \) helix phase along with five further double-\( Q \) phases. These double-\( Q \) phases include a number of \( C_4 \)-symmetry breaking phases.

A. IC extensions of the known commensurate magnetic phases

We commence by considering the IC generalizations of the three well-studied commensurate phases, the \( C_2 \)-symmetric MS phase along with the \( C_4 \)-symmetric CSDW and SVC phases.

**IC magnetic stripe (IC MS).**—This constitutes the generalization of the typical magnetic \( C_2 \)-symmetric phase, prevalent in FeSCs. As a single-\( Q \) phase, it can appear for either \( Q_1 \) or \( Q_2 \). Since the latter are equivalent, they can lead to domains in actual materials. Throughout this work we focus on single-domain realizations and choose the magnetic ordering vector of the IC MS to be \( Q_1 = (\pi - \delta, 0) \) throughout. Thus we obtain

\[
\hat{n}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 0, 0),
\]

which yields the magnetic order depicted in Fig. 1(a).

**IC charge-spin density wave (IC CSDW).**—This is a double-\( Q \) \( C_4 \)-symmetric phase which constitutes the IC extension of the CSDW phase and can be seen as two superimposed IC-MS phases with parallel magnetic-order-parameter spin vectors. An example of this magnetic order with \( Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta) \) and

\[
\hat{n}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 0, 1)
\]

is shown in Fig. 1(b).

**IC spin-vortex crystal (IC SVC).**—This generalizes the commensurate SVC phase. It is a double-\( Q \) \( C_4 \)-symmetric phase, and similarly to the IC-CSDW phase above, it can be viewed as two MS phases superimposed. The difference in this case is that the spin vectors of the magnetic order parameter are oriented in a perpendicular fashion. An example of such a magnetic order with \( Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta) \) is

\[
\hat{n}_1 = (0, 1, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (1, 0, 0).
\]

This phase is depicted in Fig. 1(c). It is worth commenting on the nomenclature of the particular magnetic phase, which reflects the presence of singular points in the spatial profile of the magnetization. The singular points act as sources of vorticity, cf. Refs. [67,68]. This becomes apparent by rewriting the here two-dimensional magnetization vector as follows: \( M(r) = (M_x(r), M_y(r), 0) \equiv |M(r)| (\cos \varphi(r), \sin \varphi(r), 0) \). The vorticity sources are located at points in space for which \( |M(r)| = 0 \) and for which at the same time the phase field \( \varphi(r) \) jumps by \( n \) multiples of \( 2\pi \) as we move around a loop encircling the singularity. Here \( n \) corresponds to the number of vortices units carried by the defect. For the choice of spin vectors in Eq. (7), the coordinates for the vorticity sources are given by \( r_s = [\pi/(2Q)](\pm 1, \pm 1) \). The vorticity points are also depicted in Fig. 1(c), where we have chosen the unit cell such that it contains one of the vortices located at the center. One finds that each singular point contributes with a single unit of vorticity, while within a single magnetic unit cell the total vorticity is zero.

B. New magnetic phases arising by virtue of incommensurability

Apart from the anticipated IC extensions of the three well-known commensurate magnetic phases encountered in the FeSCs, we find additional phases unique to the IC case. These are portrayed in Figs. 2(a)–2(f). In Fig. 2(a) we present a single-\( Q \) magnetic helix phase. In Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) the magnetic helix phase coexists with an in-plane or out-of-plane magnetic spiral. In Fig. 2(d) a \( C_2 \)-symmetric double parallel MH (DPMH) phase is shown, while in Fig. 2(e) a noncoplanar \( C_4 \)-symmetric spin-whirl crystal (SWC\(_{C4} \)) phase is depicted. A \( C_2 \) version (SWC\(_{C2} \)) of this phase is shown in Fig. 2(f).

**Magnetic helix (MH).**—This is a single-\( Q \) \( C_2 \)-symmetric phase. As we are in a position to arbitrarily choose the ordering wave vector, below we present the spin-vector structure for the \( Q_1 \) ordering wave vector:

\[
\hat{n}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 0, 0).
\]

For \( Q_1 = (\pi - \delta, 0) \) the magnetic texture is depicted in Fig. 2(a). Note that this is the only IC magnetic phase for which the modulus of the magnetization in coordinate space \( |M(r)| \) is spatially constant.

**Magnetic stripe with parallel magnetic helix (MS||MH):** This double-\( Q \) \( C_2 \)-symmetric phase consists of a MH with ordering wave vector \( Q_{1(2)} \) and an IC MS with wave vector \( Q_{2(1)} \). The magnetic moment arising from the MS is oriented inside the winding plane of the MH. By choosing one of the above allowed and equivalent wave vector configurations, the spin vectors are given by

\[
\hat{n}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (i \sin \lambda, 0, \cos \lambda),
\]

and the magnetic order is shown in Fig. 2(b) for \( Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta) \). Note that the parameter \( \lambda \) is provided by minimizing the free energy and its expression is presented in Appendix A.
Magnetic stripe with perpendicular magnetic helix (MS⊥MH).—This double-$\mathbf{Q}$ $C_2$-symmetric phase is composed by a MH and an IC MS with magnetic moment of the stripe oriented out of the winding plane defined by the MH. The respective magnetic vectors read

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i, 1, 0),$$

and for $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ the order is depicted in Fig. 2(c). Note that this is an example of a noncoplanar magnetic order.

Double parallel magnetic helix (DPMH).—This is a double-$\mathbf{Q}$ coplanar $C_2$-symmetric phase (in spite of $\eta = \pi/4$) consisting of two helices ordered at $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$, with spin winding within the same spin plane. This phase is described by identical spin vectors:

$$\mathbf{n}_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i, 0, 1).$$

For $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ the order is shown in Fig. 2(d).

$C_4$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal (SWC$_4$).—This is a double-$\mathbf{Q}$ $C_4$-symmetric textured phase with

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = (i \cos \lambda, 0, \sin \lambda), \quad \mathbf{n}_2 = (0, i \cos \lambda, \sin \lambda).$$

For $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ we obtain the coordinate space magnetization profile:

$$\mathbf{M}(r) = \sqrt{2}M \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \lambda \sin (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) \\
\cos \lambda \sin (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}) \\
\sin \lambda [\cos (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \cos (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r})]
\end{pmatrix},$$

plotted in Fig. 2(e) for a specific choice of $\lambda$, whose expression is given in Appendix A.

Similar to the IC-SVC phase, also the present spatial profile of the magnetization contains singular points acting as sources of topological charge located at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_s$. The difference is that, in contrast to the IC-SVC case, here the magnetization is a three-dimensional vector in spin space. Thus, instead of vorticity, which characterizes singularities of a coplanar magnetic texture, we can employ the singular Berry curvature [69] [$\Omega_{ij}(r) = \pi \delta(r - \mathbf{r}_s)$] or $\pi$-Berry flux [67,68,70,71] for assigning topological charge to the point singularities for a noncoplanar magnetic texture [71]. This explains the reasoning behind naming the particular magnetic textures as spin whirls. Note that if we focus on the vicinity of the singular points, the vorticity and the $\pi$-Berry flux coincide since the texture becomes effectively coplanar [72]. Important, one should not confuse the spin whirls discussed here with spin Skyrmions [67]. In the latter case the magnetic profile contains no point singularities, the Berry curvature [73] $\Omega_{ij}(r)$ can be defined for all $r$, and the Skyrmion charge is nonzero. For further details, see Sec. VC.

For the profile of Eq. (13), the singular points are located at $(\pi/Q, 0)$ and $(0, \pi/Q)$, as given by the zeros of the modulus $|\mathbf{M}(r)|$. Each one of the two nonequivalent so-called nodal points contributes with a unit of topological charge. These nodes can be gapped out by either violating the $C_4$ symmetry or the antiunitary symmetry $\Theta = T(\pi/2 - \pi/4 \mathbf{Q})$, consisting of the successive operation of time reversal $T$ and a direct-lattice translation by $(\pi/Q, \pi/Q)$ (see also Ref. [74]). We find $\Theta^2 = -1$, which implies that nodal points of unit topological charge come in pairs, or that the Skyrmion charge is zero if the nodes are gapped out by breaking $C_4$ symmetry, cf. the next paragraph. Any type of a bulk perturbation that does not respect the above $\Theta$ symmetry lifts these nodes, thus resulting in a nonzero Berry curvature in the entire magnetic unit cell. Depending on the perturbation, the latter symmetry breaking can endow the SWC with nonzero Skyrmion charge as discussed in Sec. VC.

$C_2$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal (SWC$_2$).—This phase constitutes a twofold symmetric version of the one above. It consists of an isotropic helix for $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$ coexisting with an anisotropic helix for $\mathbf{Q}_{2,1}$; i.e.,

$$\hat{n}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, i \sin \lambda, \cos \lambda),$$

which for a choice of $\lambda$ is depicted in Fig. 2(f). For the same type of IC magnetic ordering, as discussed for SWC$_4$, we find that the nodal points are gapped out due to the broken $C_4$ symmetry. Nevertheless, the $\Theta$ symmetry is still present and leads to a zero Skyrmion charge.

IV. GENERIC MAGNETIC PHASE DIAGRAMS

Having presented the allowed leading magnetic instabilities and related order parameters of the generic Landau theory of Eq. (1), we now show the generic phase diagram with respect to the rescaled parameters $\gamma - \beta$ and $\tilde{g}$. We consider two cases, depending on the sign of $\beta - \tilde{\beta}$. The resulting phase diagrams are presented in Fig. 3. The $\beta - \tilde{\beta} < 0$ case is very reminiscent of the commensurate phase diagram, while the case with $\beta - \tilde{\beta} > 0$ exhibits four out of the six new phases alongside the IC CSDW. In general, we find that the MH, IC-MS, and IC-CSDW phases dominate in a large part of the phase diagrams.

For $\beta - \tilde{\beta} < 0$, only the three IC extensions of the commensurate phases appear. This resembles the well-known commensurate phase diagram of Refs. [3,8,11]. For $\beta - \tilde{\beta} > 0$, the MH appears for a wide range of values of the Landau parameters. In the lower right corner of Fig. 3(b), we find both the MS⊥MH phase and the SWC$_4$ phase,
while the DPMH phase is confined to a thin sliver for negative $g - \tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{g}$. The remaining part of the phase diagram is occupied by the IC-CSDW phase.

Comparing the two phase diagrams reveals that the IC CSDW occupies almost the same region in both, while the IC MS is replaced by the MH as $\beta - \tilde{\beta}$ changes sign. For $\beta - \tilde{\beta} > 0$, the DPMH and SWC$_4$ phases share a common boundary defined by the critical line $\tilde{g}/|\beta - \tilde{\beta}| = 0$, where a degeneracy among phases with an arbitrary relative orientation of the spin vectors $n_{1,2}$ occurs. In the lower right corner, the MS$\perp$MH phase replaces the IC SVC as $\beta - \tilde{\beta}$ becomes positive. One further observes a certain degree of similarity between the two phase diagrams. Since the phase diagram for $\beta - \tilde{\beta} < 0$ resembles the commensurate one, it is useful to consider the commensurate limit $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ of the IC magnetic wave vectors $Q_{1,2}$, for the textured phases. One obtains MH $\rightarrow$ MS, MS$\perp$MH $\rightarrow$ SVC, DPMH $\rightarrow$ CSDW and SWC$_4$ $\rightarrow$ SVC [75]. The latter limits provide a clear hint regarding the expected position of each textured phase in Fig. 3(b), by virtue of its continuity to the respective commensurate order.

The SWC$_4$ and MS$\parallel$MH do not appear as free-energy minima near the paramagnetic–magnetic transition in the parameter regime studied here. Based on the structure of the generic phase diagrams, we can conjecture that this holds in the entire parameter space. However, this statement is challenging to prove using the analytical expressions discussed in Appendix A. Therefore, we will still consider the appearance of these phases as a possible scenario. A reason to leave such a possibility open is that, since they constitute extrema of the free energy, they may still appear as metastable phases. Even more, these two phases may become thermodynamically stable under the additional presence of external fields or other more general conditions not taken into account in this study.

Finally, we remark that for the values of $g$, $\tilde{g}$, $\beta$, and $\tilde{\beta}$ considered here, the free energy is bounded from below and we can truncate the free-energy expansion at quartic order. Otherwise, one should include appropriate contributions from higher-order terms.

V. SIGNATURES AND EXPERIMENTAL DETECTION

From the previous section it is clear that several IC magnetic phases may become accessible, and therefore it is desirable to identify ways to differentiate them experimentally. While directly probing the spatial profile of the magnetization using spin-resolved means can certainly uniquely identify them, it can be a formidable experimental task. Thus, it is important to elaborate on alternative detection methods that do not rely on the texture’s profile alone, but can still provide an unambiguous identification of the stabilized magnetic order. For this purpose we consider the combination of charge and magnetoelectric measurements. Below we provide more details regarding these two, while we additionally discuss further interesting features of the SWC$_{4,2}$ phases, i.e., that they can be converted into spin-Skyrmion crystal phases via the application of an external magnetic field.

A. Induced charge order

A common characteristic of almost all the IC magnetic phases is that the respective modulus of the magnetization profile $|M(r)|$ is not constant, but rather spatially varying. The only exception is the MH phase. As a consequence of this spatial inhomogeneity, charge order is induced when IC magnetism occurs. For a general magnetic profile with Fourier decomposition $M(r) = \sum_q M_q e^{iqr}$, the induced charge order $\rho(r) = \sum_q \rho_q e^{iqr}$ has Fourier components proportional to the scalar product of the magnetic order parameters’ spin vectors $(M_q = |M_q|\hat{n}_q)$:

$$\rho_{q+p} \propto |M_q||M_p|\hat{n}_q \cdot \hat{n}_p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Note that the above are the components generated at lowest order with respect to the magnetic order parameters, while contributions of higher order will lead to weaker effects (see also Ref. [76]). Given that here the IC magnetic ordering is considered to occur at $Q_{1,2}$, Eq. (15) implies that there exists a set of eight possible induced components at lowest order, $\rho_q$, with $q = (\pm 2Q_1, \pm 2Q_2, \pm Q_1 \pm Q_2)$. The magnetic-charge order coupling, leading to Eq. (15), is derived in Appendix B.
Based on Sec. III we can directly infer the Fourier components of the induced charge order for the different cases. In Table I, we display the resulting induced charge density components depending on the magnetic order. First of all, one observes that the MH, IC-SVC, and DPMH phases can be unambiguously identified via solely using the electronic charge density’s Bragg peaks. The remaining six phases are split into three subsets: {IC MS, MS⊥ MH}, {IC CSDW, SWC\(_4\)}, and {MS∥MH, SWC\(_2\)}. The magnetic phases belonging to the same subset are precisely or practically indistinguishable via this particular experimental approach. However, the magnetic phases of each subset can be discerned based on their different magnetoelectric properties, which are discussed in the next section.

Before concluding this section, we point out that detecting charge order is more suitable for identifying IC, rather than commensurate, magnetic orders in FeSCs. This is because both commensurate magnetic ordering wave vectors \((\pi,0)\) and \((0,\pi)\), defined in the 1Fe/unit cell, translate to \((\pi,\pi)\) in the 2Fe/unit cell. In the latter, physical, unit cell, commensurate magnetism induces a charge order Bragg peak at \((0,0)\), which coincides with a lattice Bragg peak. However, for IC magnetism, the charge order is also IC and the peaks are shifted away from \((0,0)\) and should, in principle, be detectable.

### B. Magnetoelectric effects

The textured nature of the magnetic phases accessible through incommensurability opens up the possibility of a finite magnetoelectric coupling. This is linked to magnetization profiles in which the spin moment smoothly winds while sweeping particular paths in coordinate space. Notably, such exotic magnetoelectric manifestations are incompatible with commensurate magnetic phases and their related IC extensions.

To be more specific regarding the type of magnetoelectric phenomena to be explored in the present work, we remind the reader that all the six new IC phases discovered here consist of a single- or double-\(Q\) MH configurations. However, it is well known from other studies that a MH is equivalent to a SOC term in the presence of an orthogonally oriented ferromagnetic field [34,41,42]. To make the latter statement transparent, consider the MH Hamiltonian term,

\[
M(r) \cdot \sigma = M[\sin(q \cdot r)\hat{n}_\perp + \cos(q \cdot r)\hat{n}] \cdot \sigma
\]

\[
= M e^{-iqr\hat{n}\cdot\hat{n}_\perp} \sigma \cdot \sigma e^{iqr\hat{n}\cdot\hat{n}_\perp} \sigma ,
\]

note that the unit vectors \(\hat{n}\) and \(\hat{n}_\perp\) comprise the complex spin vector \(\hat{n}_q = (\hat{n} - i\hat{n}_\perp) / \sqrt{2}\) (up to an overall factor). For illustration purposes, let us momentarily consider free electrons under the influence of the above MH term.

Gauging away the spatially varying potential, one obtains the single electron Hamiltonian,

\[
\frac{[p - \hbar q(\hat{n} \times \hat{n}_\perp) \cdot \sigma/2]^2}{2m} + M\hat{n} \cdot \sigma
\]

\[
= \frac{(\hat{q} \cdot p)^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar q^2}{8m} + \frac{\hbar q \cdot p}{2m} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_q \hat{q} \cdot p(\hat{n} \times \hat{n}_\perp) \cdot \sigma + M\hat{n} \cdot \sigma ,
\]

where \(\alpha_q = \hbar|q|/m\) defines the strength of the generated SOC, while we introduced the unit vector \(\hat{q} = q/|q|\). The presence of inversion-breaking SOC mediates a magneto-electric coupling and can lead to a number of so-called direct and inverse spin galvanic phenomena [37]. Here we focus on the generation of a homogeneous magnetization when an electric charge current \((I)\) flows through the system or an electric field \((E)\) is applied. Both situations can be described by coupling the electronic system to a spatially homogeneous but generally time-dependent vector potential \(A(t)\), that in the Coulomb gauge yields the electric field \(E(t) = -\partial_t A(t)\). Instead, if \(A\) is time independent, it corresponds to a current flow bias, i.e., \(I \propto A\).

It is straightforward to infer that a vector potential minimally coupled to the momentum, i.e., \(p \rightarrow p + eA(t)\), will generate a time-dependent but spatially homogeneous magnetization of the form

\[
M_0(t) \propto (\hat{n} \times \hat{n}_\perp)\hat{q} \cdot A(t) \propto (i\hat{n}_q \times \hat{n}_q^*)\hat{q} \cdot A(t).
\]

The derivation of the required magneto-electric coupling leading to the above relation is presented in Appendix C.

Interestingly, via magnetization measurements in the zero-external magnetic field limit, one can arrest the magnetoelectrically generated ferromagnetic moment, as long as the current or electric field is oriented along the MH’s spatial modulation direction \(\hat{q}\). Moreover, the spin orientation of the induced magnetization can provide information regarding the MH’s winding plane, since the former is given by \(i\hat{n}_q \times \hat{n}_q^*\). To further demystify the nature of such vectors, one observes that they can be linked to the magnetic order parameter’s winding in coordinate space along the \(q\) direction, i.e.,

\[
w_q \propto \int_{\text{UC}} dr M(r) \times \left[ \hat{q} \cdot \frac{\partial M(r)}{\partial r} \right] \propto i\hat{n}_q \times \hat{n}_q^*,
\]

with UC denoting the magnetic unit cell and \(w_q\) corresponding to a vector normal to the winding plane defined by the helix.
Based on the above analysis, we find that magnetoelectric phenomena become possible in the IC magnetic phases discussed here when either one of the quantities, \(\mathbf{i}\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_1^2\) or \(\mathbf{i}\hat{n}_2 \times \hat{n}_2^2\), becomes nonzero. In the former (latter) case, the induced SOC involves the electron’s momentum along the \(Q_1\) (\(Q_2\)) direction. For the IC magnetic phases and respective order parameters under investigation, we observe that only the six new phases lead to magnetoelectric phenomena, as summarized in Table I.

In fact, the SWC\(_{4,2}\) Phases consist of two noncoplanar MHs and can give rise to such phenomena for an arbitrary orientation of \(I\) or \(E\), since both external products are nonzero and nonparallel. In the present case, Eq. (18) and Appendix C imply that the magnetization retains contributions from both MHs, and one thus obtains

\[
M_0(t) \propto (i\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_1)Q_1 \cdot A(t) + (i\hat{n}_2 \times \hat{n}_2)Q_2 \cdot A(t).
\]

(20)

In contrast, the remaining magnetic phases induce SOC only along one direction, and thus are not capable of exhibiting magnetoelectric phenomena when the external field is not properly aligned. The reason is that three of those consist only of a single MH that essentially singles out the direction for which such effects become accessible. Interestingly, the same holds for the DPMH phase due to the coplanarity of the underlying MHs. Therefore, we start from Eq. (20) and set \(\hat{n}_1 = \hat{n}_2\) that dictates the DPMH phase. The latter simply yields

\[
M_0(t) \propto (i\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_1^2)(Q_1 + Q_2) \cdot A(t),
\]

(21)

revealing that magnetoelectric phenomena are not accessible when \(E\parallel(Q_1 - Q_2)\).

To this end, let us remark that while we focused here on the generation of a ferromagnetic moment when an electric field is applied or a current flow is induced, reciprocal effects are also possible, by virtue of the magnetoelectric coupling (see Appendix C). This can be particularly useful for the case of the itinerant magnets discussed here, which are expected to be good conductors and, thus, screen the externally applied fields. Therefore, depending on the case, it may appear experimentally more feasible to observe a reciprocal magnetoelectric effect, such as inducing a current by an externally imposed time-dependent homogeneous magnetization or by virtue of the Zeeman coupling to an applied magnetic field. Both need to have an appropriate orientation for the magnetoelectric effects to take place. Based on Appendix C, a field of frequency \(\omega\), \(M_0(\omega)\), generates an electric current \(J(\omega)\), which reads

\[
Q_s \cdot J(\omega) \propto (i\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_1^2) \cdot M_0(\omega).
\]

(22)

In the above, we projected the current along the directions of the magnetic wave vectors \(Q_s\) (\(s = 1, 2\)).

**C. Zeeman-field-induced spin-Skyrmion crystals**

As discussed earlier, the SWC phases are special as, in contrast to the remaining set of magnetic profiles examined here, they are characterized by isolated \(\pi\)-Berry flux sources. This feature is essentially responsible for the observed distinct behavior of these phases regarding the occurrence of magnetoelectric phenomena independent of the orientation of the electric field. When the \(\Theta\) symmetry is broken, one obtains \(|M(r)| \neq 0 \forall r\) and the SWC phases allow for the engineering of more exotic magnetic textures, the so-called spin-Skyrmion crystal phases. The latter are characterized by a magnetic Skyrmion charge retrieved via the Chern number of the magnetic unit vector \(\hat{n}(r) = M(r)/|M(r)|\) [73]:

\[
\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\text{UC}} dr \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial \hat{n}(r)}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial \hat{n}(r)}{\partial y} \right]
\]

\[
\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{UC}} dr \Omega_{\text{sky}}(r),
\]

(23)

with UC denoting the magnetic unit cell, determined by the ordering wave vectors \(Q_{1,2}\). In fact, the SWC\(_4\) phase constitutes a critical magnetic phase, and lies on the border separating two spin-Skyrmion crystal phases of different Skyrmion charge.

To engineer a spin-Skyrmion crystal from the SWC phases it is required to violate the \(\Theta\) symmetry. While it is expected that the incommensurability will violate it in the actual material, the outcome is difficult to predict and, thus, a control knob to induce a nonzero Skyrmion charge is certainly desirable. One way to achieve the latter is to externally apply a homogeneous Zeeman field, that is even under translations and odd under \(T\). Via the application of the Zeeman field we are additionally in a position to control the sign of \(\mathcal{C}\) [55], which also specifies the orientation of the out-of-plane orbital angular momentum in the system [67]. Note that while an arbitrarily weak Zeeman field violates the \(\Theta\) symmetry, \(\mathcal{C}\) is nonzero only within a particular window of the Zeeman energy values, \(E_{\text{Zeeman}}\).

One finds that, given the expressions of Eqs. (12) and (14), the SWC phases become Skyrmionic by applying a Zeeman field \(B = B\hat{z}\). The straightforward calculation of \(\mathcal{C}\) yields that \(|\mathcal{C}| = 1\) for both phases. In the case of the SWC\(_4\) phase, the Skyrmion charge is nonzero for \(E_{\text{Zeeman}} < 2\sqrt{2}|M|\sin \lambda\), i.e., even for infinitesimal applied field. In contrast, we find that a threshold field is required to induce a nonzero Skyrmion charge for the SWC\(_2\) phase, which is proportional to the degree of nematicity of the magnetic order parameter. Specifically, the magnetic profile is Skyrmionic for \(\sqrt{2}|A - B| < E_{\text{Zeeman}} < \sqrt{2}|A + B|\), with \(A = \cos \eta\) and \(B = \sqrt{2}\sin \eta\cos \lambda\). Finally, note that the presence of SOC will pin the magnetic moment direction, assumed free
in Eqs. (12) and (14), and thus constrain the actual orientation of the required Zeeman field. See also Sec. VI C.

VI. INCOMMENSURATE MAGNETIC PHASES IN THE IRON-BASED SUPERCONDUCTORS

Having obtained the possible leading magnetic instabilities and their distinctive characteristics for the general class of tetragonal itinerant systems described phenomenologically via the Landau free energy of Eq. (1), we may now proceed by exploring the possibility of such phases appearing in FeSCs. To accomplish this task we extract the coefficients of the free-energy functional from two representative multiorbital microscopic models. These are supplemented with standard Hubbard-Hund interactions that can drive the system to a magnetic instability. Below, we present the details of this analysis.

A. Microscopic model

We adopt a microscopic Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$, with

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_k \sum_{\alpha \beta} [\epsilon_{\alpha}(k) - \mu \delta_{\alpha \beta}] c_{k \alpha}^\dagger c_{k \beta},$$

provided by tight-binding fits to DFT calculations. Here we consider two five-orbital band structures, adopted from Refs. [58,59], appropriate for BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and LaFeAsO, respectively. The orbital basis is $(xz, yz, xy, x^2 - y^2, z^2)$. Although, the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ band structure is three dimensional, here we restrict to the $k_z = 0$ plane. The band structures are supplemented by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$ consisting of Hubbard-Hund interactions [77,78]:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{U}{N} \sum_q \sum_a n_{qa \uparrow} n_{qa \downarrow} + \frac{U'}{N} \sum_q \sum_{\alpha \beta} n_{qa \alpha} n_{qa \beta} + \frac{J}{2N} \sum_{kkq} \sum_{\alpha \beta} c_{k+q\alpha \sigma}^\dagger c_{k\beta} c_{k-q\alpha \sigma}^\dagger c_{k'\alpha' \sigma},$$

where, by imposing SO(3) spin-rotational invariance on the sum of the interaction terms and further assuming orbital-independent interactions, we obtain $U' = U - 2J$ and $J = J'$. In the above expressions, $c_{k\alpha \sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{k\alpha \sigma}$) creates (annihilates) an electron in orbital $a$ with momentum $k$ and spin $\sigma$. $N$ denotes the number of momentum states.

The above interaction terms are treated at the mean-field level via a Hubbard-Stratonovich decoupling in the magnetic channel, yielding an effective action for the magnetic order parameters. Expanding to quartic order yields an extension of the magnetic free energy in Eq. (1) to include the orbital content of the magnetic order parameters:

$$F = \sum_q \sum_{abcd} [(U-1)_{abcd} - \lambda_0^{abcd}(q)] M_{ab}^\dagger(q) M_{cd}^\dagger(-q) + \sum_{efgh} \beta_{efgh} (M_{1e}^\dagger \cdot M_{1f}^\dagger) (M_{1g}^\dagger \cdot M_{1h}^\dagger) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{efgh} (\beta_1 - \tilde{\beta}_1)_{abcd} (M_{1e}^\dagger \cdot M_{1f}^\dagger) (M_{1g}^\dagger \cdot M_{1h}^\dagger) + \sum_{efgh} \gamma_{efgh} (M_{2e}^\dagger \cdot M_{2f}^\dagger) (M_{2g}^\dagger \cdot M_{2h}^\dagger) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{efgh} (\beta_2 - \tilde{\beta}_2)_{abcd} (M_{2e}^\dagger \cdot M_{2f}^\dagger) (M_{2g}^\dagger \cdot M_{2h}^\dagger) + \sum_{efgh} \sigma_{efgh} (M_{3e}^\dagger \cdot M_{3f}^\dagger) (M_{3g}^\dagger \cdot M_{3h}^\dagger) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{efgh} \eta_{efgh} (M_{1e}^\dagger \cdot M_{2f}^\dagger) (M_{3e}^\dagger \cdot M_{3h}^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{efgh} \phi_{efgh} (M_{1e}^\dagger \cdot M_{3f}^\dagger) (M_{2e}^\dagger \cdot M_{2h}^\dagger).$$

We note that the coefficients of the free energy are tensors in orbital space and are entirely determined by the microscopic band structure. The expressions for these coefficients are given in Appendix D. Here we retained the $q$ dependence of the magnetic order at quadratic level to identify the transition from commensurate to IC magnetism. In contrast, the quartic order terms are calculated for fixed $q$, i.e., $Q_{1,2}$, which are set by the quadratic term.

To determine the magnetic transition temperature, we follow the procedure described in Ref. [11] and consider the generalized eigenvalue problem,

$$[D^{-1}_{\text{mag}}(q)]^{abcd} v_{cd}(q) = \lambda(q) v_{\alpha \beta}(q),$$

where
The order parameter with ordering vector of electron filling (see insets in Fig. 5). The orbital weight of the order parameter at the magnetic transition differs from the bare susceptibility with the peaks at higher temperatures. The quartic coefficients are required for the determination of the leading magnetic instability. The relation between the quartic coefficients and the microscopic band structure is provided by the above Hubbard-Stratonovich decoupling, and due to the IC nature of the ordering vectors it is necessary to truncate the expressions. We accomplish this by assuming that only the lowest harmonics contribute, an assumption justified by comparing the magnitude of the peak at $Q_{1,2}$ in the bare susceptibility with the peaks at higher integer multiples of $Q_{1,2}$, as shown in Figs. 4(d) and 4(j), for the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and LaFeAsO band structures. The eigenvector $v_{ab}(q = Q_{1,2})$ encodes the orbital content of the magnetic order parameter at the magnetic transition [11]. Note that this object contains information solely about the magnetic order parameter’s orbital structure, and not its magnitude. Below, we encourage the reader to compare and contrast these quantities with the incommensurate band structures of Ref. [58].
employ the matrices $v_{ab}(Q_{1,2})$ to project Eq. (26) onto the leading instability. Thus, we obtain the free energy of Eq. (1) with the coefficients obtained from the microscopic models; see Eqs. (D2)–(D7) of Appendix D.

The incommensurability evident in Figs. 4(d) and 4(j) is caused by a change in the Fermi surface nesting properties induced by sufficient hole or electron doping. In Figs. 4(a) and 4(g), we show the Fermi surfaces for both the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and LaFeAsO band structures in the para-magnetic phase, at a doping leading to commensurate magnetic order, i.e., $\langle n \rangle = 5.90$. We also show the Fermi surfaces for systems with substantial hole doping, leading to IC magnetic orders; see Figs. 4(b) and 4(h). Note that the doping necessary to drive the IC transition is substantial, i.e., the commensurate order is preserved even under moderate doping, consistent with experimental observations. In the case of BaFe$_2$As$_2$ the Fermi surface is not drastically modified as the magnetic transition becomes incommensurate; see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). For LaFeAsO, on the other hand, the IC transition occurs as a result of the change in topology of the electron pockets at X and Y; see Fig. 4(h). This is reflected in the suppression of the magnetic transition temperature in Fig. 5(c).

FIG. 5. Magnetic transition temperatures, leading instabilities, and free energies as a function of the filling $\langle n \rangle$ for two representative five-orbital models corresponding to (a),(b) BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and (c),(d) LaFeAsO. The type of incommensurability, $(\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ or $(\pi, \delta)/(-\delta, \pi)$, is illustrated above (a) and (c). For BaFe$_2$As$_2$ we find the usual MS phase in the majority of the commensurate region of the phase diagram; see (a). Prior to the transition to an incommensurate phase, a $C_4$ SVC phase sets in. Incommensurate magnetism occurs for $\langle n \rangle > 5.95$ and $\langle n \rangle < 5.81$. In both cases $Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$, with $\delta$ evolving smoothly from zero. We find the MH, DPMH, and IC-CSW phases for $\langle n \rangle > 5.95$ and the SWC$_4$, DPMH, and IC-CSW phases for $\langle n \rangle < 5.81$. In (b) the free energies of the various phases in the IC region are shown, indicating the relative proximity of all the IC phases. The full expressions are provided in Appendix A. In (c), the leading instabilities for LaFeAsO are depicted. The electron-doped side with $\langle n \rangle > 6$ exhibits IC magnetic order with ordering vector $Q_{1,2} = (\pi, \delta)/(-\delta, \pi)$ and IC-MS order. In the commensurate region, the usual MS phase is found. Magnetism becomes incommensurate again on the hole-doped side for $\langle n \rangle < 5.75$, although in this case $Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$. However, this commensurate-to-incommensurate transition occurs due to the change in topology of the Fermi surface shown in Fig. 4(h) and $\delta$ jumps from zero to a finite value, $\delta \approx \pi/10$. The magnetic phase in the IC region is the IC-SVC phase. (d) Magnitude of $4F^{(4)}/M^4$ for the different IC magnetic phases for $U = 0.95$ eV and $J = U/4$. As the magnetic order becomes incommensurate, the IC-SVC phase is favored. The inset shows an enlargement of the lower left-hand corner.
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In contrast, for the LaFeAsO band structure, electron
doping yields a region with \( Q_{1,2} = (\pi, \delta)/(0, \pi) \) and IC
magnetic stripe order, as seen in Fig. 5(c). In this case, \( \delta \)
also smoothly increases from zero. Substantial hole doping
(i.e., \( \langle n \rangle \approx 5.75 \)) yields the IC magnetic wave vectors
\( Q_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta) \), similar to the case of the
BaFe
As
band structure. However, in this case \( \delta \) exhibits
a jump from zero to a finite value, associated with the
change in topology of the Fermi surface shown in Fig. 4(b).
This also leads to a suppression of the magnetic transition
temperature, as seen in Fig. 5(c). The commensurate
magnetic stripe phase occupying a large part of the phase
diagram is succeeded by the IC-SVC phase, which persists
until the end of the magnetic dome.

In Figs. 5(b) and 5(d) we show the free energy of the
various phases in the IC region. More specifically, we plot
the value of \( 4 F^{(4)}/M^4 \), where \( F^{(4)} \) is the quartic part of
the free energy in Eq. (1), as discussed in Appendix A. Note
that this number does not take into account the effect of the
increasing magnitude of the magnetic order parameter.
Thus, it is valid only in the vicinity of the transition. For the
BaFe
As
band structure, many phases appear in close
proximity, leading to a number of different leading insta-
bilites, as seen in Fig. 5(a). In the case of LaFeAsO
[Fig. 5(d)], the IC-SVC phase is favored throughout the IC
region, although the remaining phases appear close in energy.

The small free-energy separations observed in Figs. 5(b)
and 5(d) suggest that the phases stabilized are sensitive to
specific details of the microscopic models. To highlight
this sensitivity, we consider the effect of small changes to
the interaction parameters, inspired by recent proposals of
orbital selectivity [62,63,79–81]. Hence, we allow the
interactions in Eq. (25) to exhibit orbital dependence.
Despite the fact that such an orbital dependence is typically
ignored, it is well known to be present in realistic models,
and recent experimental and theoretical works [62,63,79–
81] have reemphasized its important role. Nevertheless, the
consideration of such an orbital dependence introduces a
large parameter space, and an exhaustive treatment is
beyond the scope of the current work. Instead, we focus
on varying the interactions of the \( xy \) orbital. This is
expected to be the most relevant for the present analysis
since it is associated with the largest orbital weight, as seen
in Fig. 4. To assess the impact of such orbital dependence,
we vary the \( xy \) component of \( (U^{-1})^{abcd} \) in Eq. (28)
and repeat the above analysis for the LaFeAsO band. We take
modified by the addition of the term \( T \). At leading order, the free energy is unaffected by the presence of SOC, as can be inferred from Eq. (29). On the other hand, the appearance of the IC SVC (IC CSDW) is hindered if \( \alpha_5 \) (\( \alpha_1 \) or \( \alpha_2 \)) is the smallest coefficient. In such situations the IC SVC or IC CDSW will only appear as the result of secondary transitions. Similarly, this is always the case for the six textured magnetic phases which are generally disfavored when SOC is present. The appearance of these phases becomes possible only if they minimize the quartic term \( F^{(4)} \) of the free energy. Specifically, these transitions can occur once \( T \) is lowered sufficiently to allow for the modulus of \( M \) to grow, and the quartic part \( F^{(4)} \) to overcome the SOC energy. At the temperature \( T_{\text{mag}}^{(2)} \), where this secondary transition takes place, the magnetic order reorganizes and develops additional components in order to minimize \( F^{(4)} \) [93].

We proceed by providing the implications of a non-negligible SOC on the phase diagrams depicted in Figs. 5(a), 5(c), and 6(a). The IC-MS region is unaffected by the addition of SOC. On the other hand, the IC CSDW and IC SVC are affected if \( \alpha_1 \), \( \alpha_2 \), and \( \alpha_3 \) are the smallest, respectively. Starting with BaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) and \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) being the smallest, we find that the free-energy hierarchy of Fig. 5(b) implies that the IC phases of Fig. 5(a), DPMH, IC CSDW, and SWC\(_4\), are all replaced by the IC-SVC phase, while the MH is replaced by the IC-MS phase. On the contrary, if \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the IC-CSDW phase replaces the other IC phases, while the commensurate SVC phase is replaced by the commensurate CSDW phase. The phase diagram in the case of LaFeAsO, Fig. 5(c), is unchanged if \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) is the smallest. On the other hand, if \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the IC-MS phase is stabilized in the IC regions of the phase diagram, as can be gleaned from Fig. 5(d). In Fig. 6, the SWC\(_4\) phase is replaced by the IC-SVC phase in the case where \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) is the smallest. In the case where \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the IC-CSDW phase replaces both the SWC\(_4\) and the IC-SVC phases. For \( (n) = 5.77 \), the IC-CSDW phase is nearly degenerate with the MS||MH phase, and is thus hidden in Fig. 6.

Note that, while the phases to be replaced do not constitute leading instabilities in the presence of SOC, they still minimize the \( F^{(4)} \) part of the free energy. As a result, additional magnetic-order-parameter components will appear at lower temperatures, possibly resulting in an admixture of phases [94]. In this manner, all the phases below, the results obtained with SOC remain relevant by virtue of secondary transitions.

As seen from Eq. (29), if either \( \alpha_1 \) or \( \alpha_2 \) is the smallest, the magnetic moments align in the FeAs plane, either parallel or perpendicular to the ordering vector. If instead \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the moments point out of plane. Thus, only a subset of the nine IC magnetic phases found earlier are compatible with the magnetic moment directions fixed by the SOC. This subset consists of the IC generalizations of the three commensurate phases: IC-MS, CSDW, SVC. The possible emergence of the IC-MS phase is completely unaffected by the presence of SOC, as can be inferred from Eq. (29). On the other hand, the appearance of the IC SVC (IC CSDW) is hindered if \( \alpha_5 \) (\( \alpha_1 \) or \( \alpha_2 \)) is the smallest coefficient. In such situations the IC SVC or IC CDSW will only appear as the result of secondary transitions. Similarly, this is always the case for the six textured magnetic phases which are generally disfavored when SOC is present. The appearance of these phases becomes possible only if they minimize the quartic term \( F^{(4)} \) of the free energy. Specifically, these transitions can occur once \( T \) is lowered sufficiently to allow for the modulus of \( M \) to grow, and the quartic part \( F^{(4)} \) to overcome the SOC energy. At the temperature \( T_{\text{mag}}^{(2)} \), where this secondary transition takes place, the magnetic order reorganizes and develops additional components in order to minimize \( F^{(4)} \) [93].

We proceed by providing the implications of a non-negligible SOC on the phase diagrams depicted in Figs. 5(a), 5(c), and 6(a). The IC-MS region is unaffected by the addition of SOC. On the other hand, the IC CSDW and IC SVC are affected if \( \alpha_1 \), \( \alpha_2 \), and \( \alpha_3 \) are the smallest, respectively. Starting with BaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) and \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) being the smallest, we find that the free-energy hierarchy of Fig. 5(b) implies that the IC phases of Fig. 5(a), DPMH, IC CSDW, and SWC\(_4\), are all replaced by the IC-SVC phase, while the MH is replaced by the IC-MS phase. On the contrary, if \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the IC-CSDW phase replaces the other IC phases, while the commensurate SVC phase is replaced by the commensurate CSDW phase. The phase diagram in the case of LaFeAsO, Fig. 5(c), is unchanged if \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) is the smallest. On the other hand, if \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the IC-MS phase is stabilized in the IC regions of the phase diagram, as can be gleaned from Fig. 5(d). In Fig. 6, the SWC\(_4\) phase is replaced by the IC-SVC phase in the case where \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) is the smallest. In the case where \( \alpha_3 \) is the smallest, the IC-CSDW phase replaces both the SWC\(_4\) and the IC-SVC phases. For \( (n) = 5.77 \), the IC-CSDW phase is nearly degenerate with the MS||MH phase, and is thus hidden in Fig. 6.

Note that, while the phases to be replaced do not constitute leading instabilities in the presence of SOC, they still minimize the \( F^{(4)} \) part of the free energy. As a result, additional magnetic-order-parameter components will appear at lower temperatures, possibly resulting in an admixture of phases [94]. In this manner, all the phases
predicted by the spin-isotropic free energy are recovered through secondary phase transitions, provided we remain within the regime of weak SOC. We remark that the above analysis holds when one of the $\alpha_{1,2,3}$ coefficients is smaller than the other two. Otherwise, possible degeneracies between pairs of these coefficients can allow for textured phases to survive as leading instabilities or significantly narrow down the difference $|T_{\text{SOC}}^{\text{mag}(-2)} - T_{\text{mag}}^{\text{mag}}|$. Interestingly, the smaller this difference becomes, the more difficult it may be to experimentally distinguish the two transitions.

D. Connection to the unknown $C_2$-symmetric magnetic phase of $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$

Here we comment on the connection between our findings and the experimental results presented in Ref. [24]. In Ref. [24], an additional $C_2$-symmetric phase was observed, which was shown to be distinct from the usual MS phase. It is challenging to account for such a phase within the usual commensurate scenario. Indeed, there are no commensurate candidates resulting from second-order phase transitions from the paramagnetic phase. On the other hand, allowing for IC magnetism introduces six additional phases, five of which are $C_2$ symmetric. As discussed above, however, the presence of SOC also precludes the appearance of these phases as a result of a second-order phase transition from the paramagnetic phase. The experimental observations can be reconciled with the above facts provided that the magnetic transition is first order. In this case, the intermediate IC MS, IC SVC, or IC CSDW dictated by the finite SOC is no longer required, and a direct transition between the paramagnetic phase and one of the five new textured IC $C_2$-symmetric phases is possible. At this stage, very little is known about the properties of the newly observed $C_2$-symmetric magnetic phase. Therefore, to resolve the nature of this phase additional experimental results are warranted, in particular to confirm whether the magnetic order is incommensurate in this region or not.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In the present work we shed light on aspects of IC magnetism and provide a general classification of the possible magnetic phases that appear at the paramagnetic-magnetic transition for particular types of incommensurability. Our study is motivated by the marked tendency of the FeSCs towards magnetism and the observation of a puzzling $C_2$-symmetric phase, distinct from the magnetic stripe, in Na-doped $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ [24]. By employing two realistic five-orbital models, we demonstrate that such IC scenarios are feasible in these systems. Our findings reveal that a subset of these new phases, consisting of the magnetic helix, double parallel magnetic helix, and the $C_4$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal, can emerge in the $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ band structure upon electron or hole doping. On the other hand, an IC spin-vortex crystal phase can emerge on the substantially hole-doped side of the $\text{LaFeAsO}$ phase diagram. A $C_4$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal phase is made possible in this case by employing orbital-dependent interactions.

To detect the IC phases studied here in FeSCs and other materials, suitable experimental methods have to be employed. Similar to the predictions of Ref. [95] for the three standard commensurate magnetic phases, experimental fingerprints of the novel IC phases are expected to become evident in spin-resolved scanning tunneling microscopy. However, since directly probing the spin structure of the order parameter by, e.g., polarized neutron scattering can be challenging, we propose alternative routes for diagnosing the underlying magnetic order. These indirect measurements rely on inferring the induced charge order and magnetoelectric coupling. The induced charge order is expected to be detectable via the observation of Bragg peaks at particular wave vectors from the set $\{\pm 2Q_1, \pm Q_1 \pm Q_2\}$, depending on the magnetic phase. The magnetic helix, the IC spin-vortex crystal, and the double parallel magnetic helix phases can be directly distinguished via this method. In contrast, the remaining six order parameters can be uniquely identified by complementary magnetoelectric measurements. Textured magnetic phases induce inversion-symmetry-breaking SOC that makes direct and inverse spin-galvanic effects accessible. In more detail, one can induce a ferromagnetic moment via a current flow or the application of an electric field, while reciprocal phenomena are also possible. The orientation of the induced magnetization is given by the spin vectors’ cross products $\mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{n}_s^\prime (s = 1, 2)$, while its appearance strictly depends on the orientation of the abovementioned external perturbations.

In addition to these features, the spin-whirl crystal phases are of particular interest, since they can acquire a nonzero Skyrmion charge via applying a Zeeman field, but more importantly, they can be employed for realizing intrinsic two-dimensional topological superconductors. The possible microscopic coexistence of textured phases with spin-singlet superconductivity opens the door for actualizing intrinsic topological systems harboring Majorana fermions [44,45,53–57]. The latter become accessible by virtue of the inversion-symmetry-breaking SOC and magnetoelectric effects induced by the textured phases. Hence, a transition from a topologically trivial to a nontrivial SC phase is expected to take place as the system enters the coexistence phase between IC magnetism and superconductivity. As we demonstrate, textured phases can be stabilized in FeSCs, with the most prominent for engineering topological superconductivity being the $C_4$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal phase, found as a stable minimum of the free energy for both band structure types considered here.

Interestingly, the IC nature of these magnetic textures implies that a nonzero ferromagnetic moment will be
generated in a finite-sized two-dimensional FeSC. In the event that this net-magnetization field is felt by the entire bulk (only by the surface) of the FeSC material, the resulting magnetic superconductor will belong to the class of chiral (helical) topological superconductors. In the case of a gapped bulk energy spectrum, these magnetic superconductors are characterized by a $Z (Z_2)$ topological invariant [39,44] that, as long as bulk-boundary correspondence remains intact [96], yields the number of chiral (helical) Majorana modes per edge. Instead, if the bulk energy spectrum exhibits nodal gap closings, then flatband or other more complex types of Majorana edge modes become accessible [82].

The potential experimental observation of microscopic coexistence of magnetism and superconductivity in FeSCs opens novel paths for crafting topological superconductors, distinct from the already existing mechanisms involving FeSe [64,86,97–99] or other hybrid structures consisting of two-dimensional magnetic textures [45,54–57] in proximity to conventional superconductors.
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APPENDIX A: INCOMMENSURATE MAGNETIC PHASES

As we show in the main text, there exist nine distinct incommensurate magnetic phases which extremize the Landau functional considered in Eq. (1). For each one of these phases we present the configuration of the respective $\mathbf{n}_{1,2}$ spin vectors, the corresponding (or other symmetry-equivalent) magnetization profile $M(r)$, and also the corresponding normalized and shifted quartic free-energy term $F^{(4)} = 4F^{(4)}/M^4 - 2\beta$ (the quadratic term is the same for all phases).

1. Incommensurate magnetic stripe

This single-$Q$ $C_2$-symmetric phase has a commensurate analog, appears only for $\beta - \beta > 0$, and has the following characteristics:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{n}_1 &= (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 0, 0), \\
M(r) &= 2M(0, 0, \cos(Q_1 \cdot r)), \\
F^{(4)} &= 2(\beta - \beta).
\end{align*}
\]

2. Incommensurate charge-spin density wave

This double-$Q$ $C_4$-symmetric phase has a commensurate analog, appears only for $\bar{\beta} < 0$, and is described by

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{n}_1 &= (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 0, 1), \\
M(r) &= 2M(0, 0, \cos(Q_1 \cdot r) + \cos(Q_2 \cdot r)), \\
F^{(4)} &= 2(\beta - \beta) + \frac{\tilde{G} + 1}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

3. Incommensurate spin-vortex crystal

This double-$Q$ $C_4$-symmetric phase has a commensurate analog, appears only for $\beta > 0$, and has the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{n}_1 &= (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 1, 0), \\
M(r) &= 2M(\sin(Q_2 \cdot r), \cos(Q_1 \cdot r)), \\
F^{(4)} &= 2(\beta - \beta) + \frac{1}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

4. Magnetic helix

This single-$Q$ $C_2$-symmetric phase is new and appears only for $\beta - \beta > 0$. One finds

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{n}_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, 0, 0), \\
M(r) &= 2M(\sin(Q_1 \cdot r), 0, \cos(Q_1 \cdot r)), \\
F^{(4)} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

5. Incommensurate magnetic stripe

and || magnetic helix

This double-$Q$ $C_2$-symmetric phase is new and we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{n}_1 &= (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (i \sin \lambda, 0, \cos \lambda), \\
F^{(4)} &= 2(\beta - \beta) \frac{(\tilde{G} + 2G)^2}{(\tilde{G} + 2G)^2 + 8G(G - 1)}; \\
M(r) &= 2M(\sin \eta \sin \lambda \sin(Q_2 \cdot r), 0, \cos \eta \cos(Q_1 \cdot r) + \sin \eta \cos \lambda \cos(Q_2 \cdot r)),
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos(2\eta) &= -\frac{\tilde{G}^2 - 4}{(\tilde{G} + 2G)^2 + 8G(G - 1)} \quad (A6a)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos(2\lambda) &= -\tilde{G} \frac{2G + \tilde{G}}{(G + 2G) + 4(G - 1)} \quad (A6b)
\end{align*}
\]

Note that the helix becomes isotropic, i.e., $\lambda = \pi/4$, only for $\tilde{G} = 0$.\v
6. Incommensurate magnetic stripe and $\perp$ magnetic helix

This double-$\mathbf{Q}$ C$_2$-symmetric phase is new and has the following features:

$$\hat{n}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 1, 0),$$

$$M(r) = \sqrt{2} M(\sin \eta \sin (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r), \sin \eta \cos (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r)), \quad \sqrt{2} \cos \eta \cos (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r),$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G^2}{2G - 1}, \quad \text{with} \quad \cos(2\eta) = \frac{1}{2G - 1}.$$

7. Double parallel magnetic helix

This double-$\mathbf{Q}$ C$_2$-symmetric phase (in spite of $\eta = \pi/4$) is new, appears for $G \neq \pm 2$, and is described by

$$\hat{n}_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 0, 1),$$

$$M(r) = M(\sin (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r) + \sin (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r), 0, \cos (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r) + \cos (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r)), \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G + \tilde{G}/2}{G - 2}.$$

8. C$_4$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal

This double-$\mathbf{Q}$ C$_4$-symmetric phase is new, appears for $\tilde{G}(1 - G) + 4G \neq 0$, and one finds:

$$\hat{n}_1 = (i \cos \lambda, 0, \sin \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, i \cos \lambda, \sin \lambda),$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G(G + 4) + \tilde{G}}{2(G + 4)}, \quad M(r) = \sqrt{2} M(\cos \lambda \sin (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r), \cos \lambda \sin (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r), \sin \lambda \cos (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r) + \sin \lambda \cos (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r)),$$

with $\cos(2\lambda) = \tilde{G}/(G + 4)$. The latter implies $\cos(2\eta) = 0 \Rightarrow \eta = \pi/4$. Note also that for $\tilde{G} = 0$, we obtain $\cos(2\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \pi/4$, and leads to a symmetric double-$\mathbf{Q}$ noncoplanar C$_4$-phase $M(r) = M(\sin (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r), \sin (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r), \cos (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r) + \cos (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r))$.

9. C$_2$-symmetric spin-whirl crystal

This new double-$\mathbf{Q}$ C$_2$-symmetric phase consists of an isotropic magnetic helix for $\mathbf{Q}_1$, coexisting with an anisotropic magnetic helix for $\mathbf{Q}_2$:

$$\hat{n}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{n}_2 = (0, i \sin \lambda, \cos \lambda),$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{(G + 4G)^2}{(G + 4)^2 + 16(2G - 1)},$$

$$M(r) = 2M \left( \frac{\cos \eta}{\sqrt{2}} \sin (\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot r), \sin \eta \sin \lambda \sin (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot r) \right),$$

$$(A10) \quad \cos(2\eta) = -\frac{G^2}{(G + 4)^2 + 16(2G - 1)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G^2}{G(G + 4) + 16G}.$$

APPENDIX B: INDUCED CHARGE ORDER

Here we derive the coupling term between magnetic and charge order. For simplicity, and without loss of generality, we restrict ourselves to be near the magnetic critical temperature, for which an expansion in terms of the magnetic order parameters and the charge density is permissible. We will further assume that the orbital weight $\hat{v}_q$ of the magnetic order parameters $\hat{M}_q$ is fixed by the spin susceptibility, i.e., $\hat{M}_q = M_q \hat{v}_q$ (see Sec. VI A).

We start from a general multiorbital model Hamiltonian for an itinerant system, rewritten in $\mathbf{k}$ space and within the framework of second quantization: $\hat{H}_0 = \sum_k \psi_k \hat{1}_2 \otimes \hat{H}_0(k) \psi_k$, where we introduced the electron creation and annihilation operators acting in orbital and spin spaces. Note that $\hat{H}_0(k)$ is a matrix in orbital space, and the complete Hamiltonian is diagonal in spin space, since SOC has been omitted from the outset. We then add the term

$$\mathcal{V} = \sum_{k,q} \psi_{k+q} \psi_{k-q} \hat{M}_q \cdot \sigma \otimes \hat{v}_q + \rho_q \hat{1}_2 \otimes \hat{1}_5 \psi_k$$

and perform a perturbative expansion of the resulting free energy to the lowest allowed coupling between charge and magnetic order terms. The lowest-order coupling term appears at cubic order of perturbation and the corresponding free-energy contribution is

$$F = \frac{1}{3\beta} \sum_q \sum_{i,k} \text{Tr}[\hat{1}_2 \otimes \hat{G}(ik_n,k)\hat{V}_q] \times \hat{1}_2 \otimes \hat{G}(ik_n,k - q)\hat{V}_p \hat{1}_2 \otimes \hat{G}(ik_n,k - q - p)\hat{V}_{q-p}], \quad \text{(B2)}$$
with the trace being over spin and orbital indices, \( 1/\beta = k_B T \), \( k_n \) denotes the fermionic Matsubara frequencies, \( \hat{G}_0(i_k, n) = [i_k, n - \hat{H}_0(k)]^{-1} \) defines the bare Matsubara orbital space matrix Green’s function, and \( \hat{\gamma}_q \equiv M_q \cdot \sigma \otimes \hat{\gamma}_q + \hat{\gamma}_q \hat{I}_2 \otimes \hat{I}_5 \). By carrying out the trace over the spin degrees of freedom and after taking into account all the products of the interaction terms, we have the general result:

\[
F = \sum_{k,n} \left\{ \frac{2}{\beta} \sum_{i_k, k} \text{Tr}_{\text{orbital}} \left[ \hat{G}_0(i_k, n) \hat{\gamma}_q \hat{G}_0(i_k, n - q) \right] \times \hat{\gamma}_q \hat{G}_0(i_k, n - q) \right\} |M_q||M_p|\hat{n}_q \cdot \hat{n}_p (q + p).
\]

(B3)

Therefore, we can obtain induced charge order for the set of wave vectors \( \{ \pm 2Q_{1,2}, \pm (Q_1 \pm Q_2) \} \), depending on which spin-vector inner products become nonzero. Note that there exist additional higher-order contributions to the free energy that yield (i) corrections to the above and (ii) charge order at additional wave vectors. However, these contributions will lead to Bragg peaks with lower intensity compared to the one found at cubic order, and thus can be experimentally differentiated.

APPENDIX C: MAGNETOELECTRIC EFFECTS

The aim of this section is to illustrate the emergence of the magnetoelectric effects discussed in the main text. The \( q = 0 \) ferromagnetic component of the magnetization \( M_0 \) couples to a generally time-dependent electromagnetic vector potential \( A \), and generates an electric field \( (E) \) or current \( (I) \). The coupling of the itinerant system to the vector potential will be restricted to the paramagnetic contribution, sufficient for the lowest-order coupling with respect to \( A \) that is pursued here. Therefore, we employ a similar approach as in the section above and focus near the magnetic critical temperature, where the magnetic order parameters can be treated perturbatively. Then we add to the Hamiltonian, in the Schrödinger picture, the perturbation term

\[
\mathcal{V} = \sum_q \psi_{k+q}^\dagger \left\{ M_q \cdot \sigma \otimes \hat{\gamma}_q + \left[ M_0(i_0) \cdot \sigma \otimes \hat{I}_5 + \frac{e}{\hbar} \hat{I}_2 \right] \otimes \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0(k)}{\partial k} \cdot A(i_0) \right\} \psi_k.
\]

(C1)

with \( e > 0 \). We thus perform a perturbative expansion of the resulting free energy to the lowest allowed coupling between the vector potential, the ferromagnetic moment, and the \( q = \pm Q_{1,2} \) magnetic order terms. The lowest-order coupling term appears at quartic order of the perturbation term, and the corresponding free-energy contribution reads

\[
F = \frac{1}{4\beta} \sum_{q_i, q_2, q_3, q_4} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{I}_2 \otimes \hat{G}_0(k) \hat{\gamma}_q \hat{I}_2 \otimes \hat{G}_0(k - q_1) \hat{\gamma}_q \\
\times \hat{I}_2 \otimes \hat{G}_0(k - q_1 - q_2) \hat{\gamma}_q \hat{I}_2 \otimes \hat{G}_0(k - q_1 - q_2 - q_3) \hat{\gamma}_q \right],
\]

(C2)

where we introduced the four vectors \( k = (i_k, n) \) and \( q = (i_0, n, q) \), where the latter consists of the bosonic Matsubara frequency \( i_0 \) and wave vector \( q \). The respective perturbation terms read

\[
\hat{V}_q \equiv \frac{M_q \cdot \sigma \otimes \hat{\gamma}_q \delta q_{0,0} + \left[ M_0(i_0) \cdot \sigma \otimes \hat{I}_5 \right.}{\left. + \frac{e}{\hbar} \hat{I}_2 \otimes \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0(k)}{\partial k} \cdot A(i_0) \right] \delta q_{0,0}.
\]

(C3)

For the itinerant systems of interest, inversion symmetry is present; therefore, \( \hat{H}_0(k) = \hat{H}_0(-k) \) and \( \hat{H}_0(k)/\partial k_n = -\hat{H}_0(-k)/\partial k_n \). As a result, we find that the magnetoelectric coupling can be nonzero only when \( \hat{q} |\hat{q} \rangle \) with \( \hat{q} = q/|q| \). By tracing over the spin indices and after taking into account all the possible combinations, we obtain:

\[
F = \frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_{i_0, q_i} \sum_{\Delta \in \pm 2Q_{1,2}} |M_q|^2 M_0(-i_0) \cdot (i_0 \times i_0) \hat{q} \cdot A(i_0) \frac{2}{\beta} \sum_{k,n,k}
\times \text{Tr}_{\text{orbital}} \left[ \hat{G}_0(i_k, n) \hat{q} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0(k)}{\partial k} \hat{G}_0(i_k - i_0, n) \hat{q} \hat{G}_0(i_k, n - q) \hat{q} \right]
- \text{Tr}_{\text{orbital}} \left[ \hat{G}_0(i_k, n) \hat{q} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0(k)}{\partial k} \hat{G}_0(i_k - i_0, n) \hat{q} \hat{G}_0(i_k - i_0, n - q) \hat{q} \right]
+ \text{Tr}_{\text{orbital}} \left[ \hat{G}_0(i_k, n) \hat{q} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0(k)}{\partial k} \hat{G}_0(i_k - i_0, n) \hat{q} \hat{G}_0(i_k - i_0, n - q) \hat{q} \right].
\]

(C4)
In order to investigate the arising magnetoelectric response, one has to perform the analytical continuation, \( i\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\theta^+ \), to the real frequencies \( \omega \).

**APPENDIX D: HUBBARD-HUND MODEL, MAGNETIC ORDER PARAMETERS, AND ORBITAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CASE OF IRON-BASED SUPERCONDUCTORS**

The magnetic transition temperature is obtained by identifying the first zero eigenvalue of the static part of the inverse magnetic propagator matrix when evaluated as a function of temperature and \( \omega \). The latter is defined as \( \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}_{\text{mag}}(q) = \tilde{U}^{-1} - \tilde{\chi}_0(q) \), with \( \tilde{\chi}_0(q) \) denoting rank-4 tensors in orbital space. Note that for our calculations we neglect the Hartree shift of the chemical potential induced by interactions. The orbital weight of the magnetic order parameter is obtained from the eigenmatrix associated with the zero eigenvalue, i.e., \( \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}_{\text{mag}}(q_1,2)\tilde{\chi}_{1,2} = \tilde{0} \). As incommensurability sets in, the orbital content acquires imaginary parts; e.g., for LaFeAsO we find [in the basis \( (xz, yz, xy, x^2 - y^2, z^2) \)]

\[
\hat{\chi}_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0.395 & 0 & i0.045 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.501 & 0 & i0.028 & -i0.025 \\
i0.045 & 0 & 0.574 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & i0.028 & 0 & 0.335 & -0.038 \\
0 & -i0.025 & 0 & -0.038 & 0.377
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\hat{\chi}_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0.501 & 0 & 0 & i0.028 & i0.025 \\
0 & 0.395 & -i0.045 & 0 & 0 \\
i0.028 & 0 & 0 & 0.335 & 0.038 \\
i0.025 & 0 & 0 & 0.038 & 0.377
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( \hat{\chi}_1 \) is plotted in Fig. 4(d) in the main text. The quartic coefficients of the free energy are computed by performing a Hubbard-Stratonovich decoupling in the magnetic channel and expanding the trace-log to fourth order in the magnetic order parameters. The expression is truncated by assuming that only the lowest harmonics contribute. This is justified by comparing the magnitude of the peak at \( \Omega_{1,2} \) in the bare susceptibility with the peaks at higher integer multiples of \( \Omega_{1,2} \), as shown in Figs. 4(d) and 4(j). The quartic coefficients are rank-8 tensors in orbital space, and to determine the magnetic order at the instability the coefficients are projected onto the leading instability using the orbital content provided by the above eigenmatrices.

The expressions for the quartic coefficients of the free energy are related to the microscopic band structure through

\[
\tilde{\beta}_{1,2}^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{16\beta N} \sum_k \left[ G^{ab} G^{cd} G^{ef} G^{gh} + G^{ce} G^{df} G^{gh} G^{ad} - 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} - 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
\tilde{\beta}_{1,2}^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{16\beta N} \sum_k \left[ G^{ab} G^{cd} G^{eg} G^{fh} + G^{eg} G^{hf} G^{cd} G^{ba} - 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} - 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
(\beta_1 - \tilde{\beta}_1)^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{16\beta N} \sum_k \left[ -G^{ab} G^{ce} G^{gh} G^{de} + 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
(\beta_2 - \tilde{\beta}_2)^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{16\beta N} \sum_k \left[ -G^{ab} G^{ce} G^{gh} G^{de} + 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
g^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{8\beta N} \sum_k \left[ G^{ab} G^{cd} G^{ef} G^{gh} - G^{de} G^{cf} G^{gh} G^{ad} + G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} - G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
g^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{8\beta N} \sum_k \left[ -G^{ab} G^{ce} G^{gh} G^{de} + 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} - G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
g^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{8\beta N} \sum_k \left[ -G^{ab} G^{ce} G^{gh} G^{de} + 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} - G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]

\[
g^{abcd,efgh} = \frac{1}{8\beta N} \sum_k \left[ -G^{ab} G^{ce} G^{gh} G^{de} + 2 G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} - G^{gh} G^{cde} G^{aef} G^{bdf} \right],
\]
with $N$ denoting the number of sites in $k$ space. Here, $k = (i k_n, \mathbf{k})$ and $G_{1,2}^{ab}$ is the fermionic Green function centered at $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$:

$$G_{1,2}^{ab} = \sum_{\nu} \frac{u^a_\nu(k + \mathbf{Q}_{1,2}) u^b_\nu(k + \mathbf{Q}_{1,2})^*}{i k_n - E_\nu(k + \mathbf{Q}_{1,2})},$$

with $k_n$ a fermionic Matsubara frequency. In the above we also employed the shorthand notation $f_{1+2} \equiv f_{\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2}$.

Note that the tensorial coefficients, e.g., $\beta_1^{abcd efgh}$ and $\tilde{\beta}_1^{abcd efgh}$, are not identical but are related by $C_4$ rotations. To proceed we project onto the leading magnetic instability utilizing the orbital content provided by the matrices $v_{ab}(\mathbf{Q}_{1,2})$ as in Ref. [11]; for instance, we have

$$\beta_1 - \tilde{\beta}_1 = \sum_{a e c f g} (\beta_1 - \tilde{\beta}_1)^{abcdefgh} v_{ab}(\mathbf{Q}_1) \times v_{cd}(\mathbf{Q}_1) v^{*}_{ef}(\mathbf{Q}_1) v^{*}_{gh}(\mathbf{Q}_1).$$

Note that the contracted coefficients, such as $\beta_1 - \tilde{\beta}_1$ and $\beta_2 - \tilde{\beta}_2$, are identical.
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[74] $\Theta$ is a symmetry of the IC magnetization profile alone since, due to the IC nature of $Q_{12}$, the involved translations are not contained in the ones given by the crystalline periodicity of the FeSCs lattice. Nevertheless, as long as the low-energy properties of these itinerant magnets are primarily dictated by the Fermi-surface electrons, we expect $\Theta$ to be effectively present. This is because the electrons near the Fermi surface can be described by an effective continuum model which is unaware of the lattice constant.

[75] Notably, taking the commensurate limit for the magnetic profiles of DPHM and SWC$_4$, without any further considerations, is ambiguous since $\{\text{DPHM, SWC}_4\} \rightarrow \{\text{CSDW, SVC}\}$. This is due to the translational invariance of the system and our freedom to pick alternative spatial profiles other than the ones chosen in Sec. III. Nonetheless, by additionally demanding that the free-energy minimum varies continuously when taking this limit, Fig. 3(b) yields DPHM $\rightarrow$ CSDW and SWC$_4$ $\rightarrow$ SVC.
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[93] Note that the potential inclusion of SOC terms at quartic order would lower $T^\text{mag}_{\text{sc}}$, and if they were sufficiently strong, they could even prevent the occurrence of the secondary transition. However, such a situation is beyond the scope and applicability of the present analysis.