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Summary

Contractile tail bacteriophages, or myobacteriophages, use a sophisticated biomolecular structure to inject their genome into the bacterial host cell. This structure consists of a contractile sheath enveloping a rigid tube that is sharpened by a spike-shaped protein complex at its tip. The spike complex forms the centerpiece of a baseplate complex that terminates the sheath and the tube. The baseplate anchors the tail to the target cell membrane with the help of fibrous proteins emanating from it and triggers contraction of the sheath. The contracting sheath drives the tube with its spiky tip through the target cell membrane. Subsequently, the bacteriophage genome is injected through the tube. The structural transformation of the bacteriophage T4 baseplate upon binding to the host cell has been recently described in near-atomic detail. In this review we discuss structural elements and features of this mechanism that are likely to be conserved in all contractile injection systems (systems evolutionary and structurally related to contractile bacteriophage tails). These include the type VI secretion system (T6SS), which is used by bacteria to transfer effectors into other bacteria and into eukaryotic cells, and tailocins, a large family of contractile bacteriophage tail-like compounds that includes the P. aeruginosa R-type pyocins.

Contractile injection systems

Supramolecular structure of tail sheath-like and tail tube-like proteins

A contractile injection system – a phage tail, R-type pyocin, the Type VI Secretion System (T6SS), the Serratia antifeeding prophage (Afp) and others – can be greatly simplified if we think of it as vertically stacked repeats of a tail tube protein hexamer surrounded by a sheath protein hexamer (Kostyuchenko et al., 2003; Leiman et al., 2003; Leiman et al., 2004; Kostyuchenko et al., 2005; Leiman et al., 2010; Heymann et al., 2013; Clemens et al., 2015; Ge et al., 2015; Kudryashev et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). The ends of this structure are terminated with a baseplate and a capping assembly (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994; Leiman and Shneider, 2012) (Fig. 1). The baseplate coordinates host recognition or another environmental signal with sheath contraction (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994; Goldberg et al., 1994; Leiman et al., 2004; Hu et al., 2015) whereas the capping assembly prevents the tube from sliding out from the sheath during sheath contraction (Leiman et al., 2004). In the phage T4 tail, all but the terminal repeats consist of the same pair of proteins: gene product (gp) 19 forms the tube and gp18 forms the sheath (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994; Leiman et al., 2010). The baseplate-proximal end of the tube is formed by gp48 and gp54 hexamers (Duda et al., 1986; Ishimoto et al., 1988; Watts and Coombs, 1990; Taylor et al., 2016) and the distal end by a gp3 hexamer (Vianelli et al., 2000). Gp3 interacts with the capping protein gp15 (Leiman et al., 2004), which
terminates the sheath and the tube. Gp15 forms a hexamer that is larger than the tube hexamer but much smaller than the sheath disk (Leiman et al., 2004; Fokine et al., 2013).

The traditional view is that the gp48 hexamer is the first segment of the tube (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994; Leiman et al., 2010). However, it could be argued that the tube starts even earlier, from the central spike complex in which the trimeric gp27 hub protein carries a tandem, tube protein-like domain that creates a nearly perfect hexameric ring (Kanamaru et al., 2002) onto which the hexamer of gp48 is built in the assembled baseplate (Taylor et al., 2016). Gp48 is surrounded by gp25, which likely functions as the sheath assembly initiator (Kudryashev et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2016). All other tube proteins – gp54, gp19 and gp3 – interact with the tail sheath protein gp18 using structural motifs that are similar to the gp25–gp48 interface (Taylor et al., 2016). The length of the tube is determined by a tape measure protein gp29 that also participates in the assembly of the baseplate (Abuladze et al., 1994; Leiman et al., 2010). In the assembled tail, gp29 is thought to occupy the central channel of the tube in an extended state, although no high-resolution data confirming this hypothesis is available. Unlike other contractile injection systems, the T6SS does not contain a tape measure protein and the length of a T6SS organelle is often limited by the size of the cell (Basler et al., 2012; Vettiger et al., 2017). However, a newly discovered subtype of the T6SS appears to possess a tape measure protein that gives such T6SS organelles a uniform length (Bock et al., 2017). These T6SS assemblies are related to the Photorhabdus Virulence Cassettes (PVCs) (Yang et al., 2006) and Afps of Serratia (Hurst et al., 2007).

Based on studies of the T6SS (Kudryashev et al., 2015; Clemens et al., 2015) and R-type pyocin (Ge et al., 2015), the whole sheath assembly and contractile function are presumed to rely on a β-strand exchange mechanism (Remaut et al., 2006), whereby gp18 donates to and accepts the N-and C-terminal arms from other gp18 molecules (Leiman and Shneider, 2012). At the baseplate-proximal end, gp25 appears to have a vacancy for accepting gp18 strands of the first sheath layer (Taylor et al., 2016). At the baseplate distal end,
gp15 could donate its N- and C-terminal arms to gp18 subunits that form the last sheath layer.

The conserved part of the baseplate

More than 24 structural proteins and chaperones participate in the assembly of T4 baseplate (Kikuchi and King, 1975b,c,d; Watts and Coombs, 1989; Watts and Coombs, 1990; Watts et al., 1990; Coombs and Arisaka, 1994; Leiman et al., 2010). Conservation of chaperones is unclear. The degree of conservation of structural components decreases with the increasing radius of their location in the baseplate (Taylor et al., 2016). The functions of only eight structural proteins of T4 appear to be universally conserved (Taylor et al., 2016). Four of them – the above-mentioned baseplate centerpiece gp48 and the central spike complex proteins gp5, gp5.4 and gp27 – form a continuation of the tube and the other four – gp6, gp7, gp25 and gp53 – create the bona fide ‘plate’. Homologs of the gp48 centerpiece and gp27 hub are found in non-contractile tails, where they are called Dit and Tal respectively, making the hub component the most conserved baseplate component of all long tail-like systems (Veessier and Cambillau, 2011).

Gp6, gp7, gp25 and gp53 are part of a large assembly intermediate that has been historically called the ‘wedge’ (Kikuchi and King, 1975b), although the shape of this complex is more complicated than that of a simple wedge (Taylor et al., 2016). Earlier biochemical data and the cryo-electron microscopy (cryoEM) derived structure of the T4 baseplate show that the wedge complex contains one copy of each gp7, gp25 and gp53 and two copies of gp6 (Watts and Coombs, 1989; Taylor et al., 2016). The wedge and the central hub assemble independently from each other and six wedges circularize around the hub to give rise to a complete baseplate structure (Kikuchi and King, 1975d).

In T4, all three modules of the central spike are encoded by three separate genes: the hub is gene 27, the spike is gene 5 and the spike tip is gene 5.4 (Miller et al., 2003). Several fusions occur in other systems, most notably of the hub and the spike in T6SS VgrG (Pukatzki et al., 2007; Leiman et al., 2009) or of the spike and spike tip in phage P2 gpV and R-type pyocin PA0616 (Browning et al., 2012). Spike and hub proteins often carry one or several enzymatic domains that can digest the peptidoglycan (e.g., the lysozyme domain of T4 gp5 spike or the peptidoglycan hydrolase domain of A511 gp98 hub) (Nakagawa et al., 1985; Kanamaru et al., 2002; Habann et al., 2014). In T4 and many other systems including the T6SS, the spike tip is formed by a single chain of the spike tip gene product that belongs to the PAAR-repeat superfamily (Shneider et al., 2013).

In P2 gpV and some other phages, the spike tip is a domain of the trimeric spike protein (Browning et al., 2012). The structure is nevertheless conserved as monomeric tip proteins and tip domains in trimeric spikes consist of three similar beta-hairpins that come together to give the protein a pointy shape (Browning et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2016).

The (gp6)2–gp7 heterotrimer constitutes a large fraction of the T4 baseplate wedge (Taylor et al., 2016). The two copies of gp6 in this assembly are not equivalent and only certain regions of gp6 show two-fold symmetry (see below). We termed the hub-proximal part of (gp6)2–gp7 heterotrimer a ‘core bundle’ as it is compact and consists of α-helices (Taylor et al., 2016). Gp25 interacts with the tip of the core bundle, and gp53 clamps the bundle roughly in the middle (Fig. 1). The hub-distal part of the (gp6)2–gp7 heterotrimer forms a ‘trifurcation unit’. This triangular module lies almost flat in the baseplate plane, so that two of its extensions are directed tangentially and the third is radial, away from the baseplate (Fig. 1). The radial extension is formed by gp7 and it connects tail fibers to the trifurcation unit and core bundle. The tangential extensions are formed by the C-terminal domains of two gp6 molecules, which link neighboring (gp6)2–gp7 units (and, by extension, the wedges) into a ring. The dimerization of the C-terminal domains of gp6 (or its ortholog) constitutes the essence of the baseplate circularization mechanism, which is likely to be common to all contraction systems. Thus, the function of the (gp6)2–gp7 heterotrimer is to circularize the baseplate and to link its peripheral interaction-sensing proteins (such as e.g., tail fibers) to the hub and the sheath.

Despite being composed of two copies of gp6 and one copy of gp7, the core bundle and the trifurcation unit are nearly perfectly threefold symmetric and the structures of the corresponding parts of gp6 and gp7 are essentially identical (Taylor et al., 2016). This strongly suggests that gp6 and gp7 have a common ancestor, but this information is now lost from the sequence of gp7 as well as most of its orthologs. However, bioinformatic tools that employ protein structure in their analysis are able to detect this relationship as was demonstrated by us earlier (see Supporting Information Table S3 in [Taylor et al., 2016]). Further confirming their relationship and common origin, the order of gp6 and gp7 homolog-encoding genes is well conserved in many systems, including more distant orthologs of T4 such as the T6SS (tssF and tssG), with the gene coding for the gp6 homologue preceding the one that encodes the gp7 homologue. Additionally, in many systems the gp25 homolog-encoding gene directly precedes the gp6 homologue-encoding gene (e.g., T4 25–6–7 orthologous genes of phage P2 (W–I–J), R-type pyocin (PA0617–PA0618–PA0619) and T6SS (tssE–F–G) are sequential) (Taylor et al., 2016).
Gp53 performs a critical function in the baseplate, and we have postulated earlier that it is a conserved wedge protein (Taylor et al., 2016). However, today’s bioinformatic tools cannot identify its ortholog in some contractile injection systems (e.g., it is not found in the T6SS). Gp53 and many of its not too distant orthologs contain a LysM domain (e.g., phage P2 gpX, R-type pyocin PA0627 or A511 gp102) and this domain interacts with the (gp6)$_2$–gp7 core bundle. LysM domains are widely distributed in proteins that bind to (peptido)-glycans (Buist et al., 2008) but in gp53 this domain does not display any potential sugar binding interfaces (Taylor et al., 2016). The abundance of LysM domains that do bind sugars likely skews the sequence alignment profiles in favor of such proteins instead of gp53-like LysM domains thus preventing the identification of distant gp53 orthologs. A recent report (Buttner et al., 2016) identifies a protein that is genetically linked to the gp25–gp6–gp7 trio but does not contain a LysM domain. This protein might nevertheless be an ortholog of T4 gp53 and contain a domain with a LysM-like structure, but its sequence is now too divergent for bioinformatics to identify this similarity. This relationship must still be present in the three-dimensional structure as is still found in gp6-like and gp7-like proteins (Taylor et al., 2016).

In phage T4, the baseplate assembly is the first step in the tail morphogenesis pathway (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994). Polymerization of the tube starts from the fully assembled baseplate (Kikuchi and King, 1975a). The tube-baseplate complex serves as a template for assembly of the sheath in the extended state (Arisaka et al., 1979). The sheath subunits are added onto the tube starting from the baseplate (Arisaka et al., 1979; Tschopp et al., 1979). The morphogenesis pathway is less studied in other systems, but similar to T4 the baseplate assembly proceeds through a large precursor or wedge in phage Mu (Buttner et al., 2016) and the T6SS (Taylor et al., 2016), and T6SS sheath polymerizes starting from the baseplate as well (Vettiger et al., 2017). Thus, the baseplate-tube-sheath assembly sequence is likely to be common to all contractile injection systems.

Alternative composition of phage mu baseplate

The composition of the T6SS baseplate wedge produced in vitro is in agreement with that of T4 – the stoichiometry of TssE, TssF and TssG proteins (T4 gp25, gp6 and gp7 orthologs respectively) is 1:2:1 (Taylor et al., 2016). The assembled T6SS baseplate is thus expected to have the T4-like stoichiometry of 6:12:6 (Taylor et al., 2016). The baseplates of phages A511 and CBA120 have a T4-like stoichiometry and similar structure (Ricardo Guerrero and Sergey Nazarov [University of Basel], unpublished observations) showing that this organization is universal.

One recent study (Buttner et al., 2016) finds that the baseplate of phage Mu is different, and the stoichiometry of Mup46–47–48 proteins (T4 gp25, gp6, gp7 orthologs respectively) is 2:2:2 in the wedge and 6:6:6 in the assembled baseplate. The different stoichiometry challenges the conservation of essentially all features of contractile injection system baseplates described earlier – the existence of the (gp6)$_2$–gp7 heterotrimer and the gp6 C-terminal domain-mediated circularization mechanism (Fig. 1).

However, there are some problems with these measurements. These findings are based on size exclusion chromatography data and densitometric analysis of SDS-PAGE gels. It is prudent to point out that size exclusion chromatography cannot be used to conclusively establish the composition in this case because the difference between the 1:2:1 (116 kDa) and 2:2:2 (154 kDa) complexes is within the experimental error for a size exclusion column. The densitometric analysis, despite its precision, can be rather inaccurate because it depends on how the Coomassie stain interacts with the protein of interest, which in turn depends on the protein sequence (Tal et al., 1985). The final composition of the baseplate was derived from comparison of intensities of Coomassie-stained bands of Mup46–47–48 proteins with those of the central hub proteins, which were assumed to be trimeric, but were not shown to be so experimentally in this particular case (e.g., the hub in this case could be a dimer of trimers). At present, it is difficult to reconcile these observations with all other data and structural information on T4, CBA120, A511 and T6SS, which are very consistent with each other. Furthermore, the composition and structure of the R-type pyocin baseplate, a system that is structurally very similar to phage Mu, fully agrees with the T4 baseplate (Hong Zhou [UCLA], unpublished observations). As it was pointed out above, the likely culprit of the Mu baseplate being a putative outlier is an inconsistency in Coomassie staining (most probably, the Mu47 protein simply did not stain as well as expected). Finally, we would like to point out that a number of proteins in the T4 baseplate have somewhat abnormal Coomassie staining properties and size exclusion and SDS polyacrylamide gel mobility (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994). As a result, their stoichiometry in the baseplate remained incorrect until the crystal structure was solved (e.g., the trimeric gp11 protein [Leiman et al., 2000] was originally reported to be a dimer [Plishker and Berget, 1984]).

Sheath contraction triggering

It is important to understand that tail sheath contraction must occur only upon correct attachment of the...
bacteriophage to the host organism because the contraction is irreversible and bacteriophages have only one shot to successfully inject their genome into the host cell (Leiman et al., 2004). Inadvertent activation of tail sheath contraction will preclude the bacteriophage from replicating. Likewise, the production of a contractile injection system (e.g., T6SS) is a great expenditure of energy and material for a bacterium and its activation and triggering should be tightly regulated (Brackmann et al., 2017).

Sheath contraction is initiated by a structural transformation of the baseplate, which switches from a ‘hexagonal’ (pre-host cell attachment) to ‘star’ (post-attachment) conformation (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994). The latter is triggered by or coordinated with binding of the proteins comprising the periphery of the baseplate to their receptors (Leiman et al., 2004). In bacteriophage tails, these receptors are host cell surface structures (Goldberg et al., 1994). In the case of T6SS, the activation entities are unknown (but see below).

The conformational transformation of the baseplate is accomplished by a reorientation of the (gp6)\(_2\)→gp7 heterotrimers, which includes a small rotation and a radial translation (Taylor et al., 2016). The result of this transformation is the release of the hub from the rest of the baseplate and rotation of gp25 into a position that is similar to the orientation of the sheath protein gp18 in the contracted tail. Thus, gp25 appears to be important for initiation of sheath polymerization and for triggering sheath contraction.

Comparison of the baseplate structure in its two endpoint conformations shows that the transformation is accomplished by rigid body movement of domains or even complete proteins (Taylor et al., 2016). However, a small part of gp7 undergoes a significant refolding. One of its structured loops (residues 841–862) performs a massive, jump rope-like movement by as much as \(~38\) Å from one side of a \(\beta\)-barrel domain that is positioned ‘below’ the trifurcation unit to its other side. This jump rope loop might function like a cocking device for the entire baseplate structure. This mechanism involves a large energy barrier, which needs to be overcome during attachment of the phage to the host cell, when several long tail fibers bind to the cell surface.

The initial pre-attachment conformation of the baseplate is a metastable state and its ability to switch to the post-attachment state is somehow ‘built into’ this complex structure during assembly. How this is accomplished is unclear at the moment. We assume the jump rope loop is not universally conserved as it is part of T4 gp7 that is very divergent (Taylor et al., 2016). However, equivalent mechanisms must exist in other systems. All these systems are required to have a metastable state where a significant energy barrier needs to be overcome to arrive to the lower energy contracted state. This transition has to be temporally regulated to prevent an untimely firing event.

Structure of the baseplate periphery

Proteins comprising the peripheral part of the baseplate are very diverse (Taylor et al., 2016). In bacteriophages, these include tail fibers and tailspikes, which can range in their complexity from a single gene product (e.g., bacteriophage P2 [Haggard-Ljungquist et al., 1992]) to convoluted multicomponent complexes (e.g., tail fibers of A511 [Habann et al., 2014], CBA120 [Kutter et al., 2011] or AR9 [Belyaeva and Azizbekyan, 1968]) that surpass even the T4 tail fiber network in complexity, and which all but dwarf the conserved part of their baseplates.

The apparent function of the fibers is to attach the particle to the host cell surface. However, they do a lot more than just binding to some moieties on the cell surface. They are required to combine their structural and sequence diversity, which stems from an almost infinite set of ligands (cell surface structures), with a task of orienting the particle on the cell surface and positioning the baseplate such that the tube possesses sufficient thrust to penetrate the cell envelope. At the moment, it is unclear how the fiber makes it possible for the particle to ‘stand up’ on the cell surface, and how this property is related to the binding affinity of the fiber to its surface ligand. On the other hand, the mechanism that is employed in regulating the length of the fiber is easier to understand.

A prominent theme in the organization of T4 tail fibers is the use of sequence repeats that fold into small compact domains (Cerritelli et al., 1996; Taylor et al., 2016). Sequential duplication of a single functional unit allows the fiber to attain its elongated shape. The length of the fiber can then be controlled by the number of these units. For example, T4 short and long tail fiber proteins gp12, gp34 and gp37 contain several repeating elements (so called motifs A and B) (Cerritelli et al., 1996). We have recently described (Taylor et al., 2016) how the previously published model of the 33 kDa gp12 fragment (PDB code 1H6W [van Raaij et al., 2001a]) could be extended to give a complete structure of the repeating domain formed by motifs B and A (residues 220–236 and 237–253 respectively). In the process of writing this paper, we noticed that the electron density for amino acids 90–131 comprising another motif B-A domain was also of sufficient quality for model building (Fig. 2). These residues contact the next ordered layer in the crystals, as was proposed after the examination of the crystals by electron microscopy (Fig. 4 in [van Raaij et al., 2016]).
et al., 2001b]). We deposited the new gp12 coordinates to the Protein Data Bank under the accession number 5LYE. Recently, several B-A motifs were also resolved in the proximal long tail fiber protein gp34 (Granell et al., 2017).

In contrast to bacteriophages, the T6SS does not have tail fibers. We have previously proposed that its peripheral network contains trimeric TssK proteins, which can serve as sensors that trigger the conformational change of the baseplate and subsequent sheath contraction, similar to phage tail fibers (Taylor et al., 2016). Recent cryoEM reconstruction of the T6SS supports this hypothesis and places TssK at the periphery of the baseplate where it connects the baseplate to the T6SS membrane complex (Nazarov et al., 2017), which is further corroborated by experimental data that show this interaction (Nguyen et al., 2017). Furthermore, parts of TssK are homologous to the shoulder domain of receptor binding proteins (or ‘tail fibers’) of bacteriophages 1358 and P2 (Nguyen et al., 2017). Thus, similar to the peripheral proteins in the T4 baseplate, TssK likely performs a sensory, conformation-triggering function in the T6SS baseplate.

Evolution of contractile injection systems

Certain structural features of T4 baseplate and their conservation across other contractile injection systems, allow us to propose a pathway by which the baseplate could have evolved starting from a simpler assembly (Fig. 3). The key component of the conserved part of the baseplate is the (gp6)2–gp7 heterotrimer. Domains of gp6 and gp7 that form this heterotrimer have the same structure and thus are likely to have a common ancestor with a gp6-like fold (Fig. 3A). The N-terminus of this protein was able to form a homotrimeric core bundle whereas its C-terminal domain could dimerize and bind to some structure on the bacterial cell surface. Emergence of a separate gene encoding a gp7-like protein allowed for specialization of the receptor-binding function leading to an increased binding affinity (Fig. 3B). Finally, a duplication of the receptor-binding domain of the gp7 gene resulted in a bona fide tail fiber gene and allowed for fine-tuning and speeding up the evolution and adaptation process resulting in an even more specific recognition of the target cell (Fig. 3C).

Common ancestry of various domains within the tail fiber network of T4 follows from their structural similarity. For example, gp10, gp11, gp12 and gp37 contain a “flower motif” domain that is likely important for folding and trimerization (Leiman et al., 2000, 2006, 2010; Bartual et al., 2010; Taylor et al., 2016). Furthermore, gp11 and gp12 use a homologous N-terminal domain to attach to domains 2 and 3 of gp10, which are themselves homologous to each other (Extended Data fig. 6d in [Taylor et al., 2016]). This modular “mix-and-match” evolution of tail fibers appears to be a common theme for bacteriophage tail fibers, as it can be found in other bacteriophages such as CBA120 (TSP1–4) (Kutter et al., 2011), phages with HK620/P22/Sf6-like tailspikes (Leiman and Molineux, 2008) and K1-specific bacteriophages (Stummeyer et al., 2006).

On ‘missing’, ‘extra’, ‘split’ and ‘fused’ homologues in related systems

Certain components of the T4 tail do not (appear to) have homologs in some contractile injection systems. For example, T4 and its close relatives are unique in that they have two tube initiation proteins (gp48 and gp54), whereas there is only one tube initiator protein in most other systems (e.g., phage Mu, P2 or R-type phycocyanin) (Leiman and Shneider, 2012). Furthermore, bioinformatics cannot identify neither tail tube initiator nor
terminator in the T6SS, suggesting that its tube protein Hcp serves as the tube initiator. Recent cryoEM structure of the T6SS complex shows a protein indistinguishable from Hcp at both ends of the tube (Nazarov et al., 2017). It remains to be understood how such versatility or functional degeneracy of Hcp influences the assembly, 'power stroke' and recycling of the T6SS organelle. Binding of effectors (T6SS secreted substrates) to the central spike complex (Shneider et al., 2013) or to Hcp (Whitney et al., 2014) could make either or both competent for tube polymerization. In fact, tube polymerization in phages requires the tape measure protein and its chaperone in addition to the hub-like tube initiator (Coombs and Arisaka, 1994).

Whereas the aforementioned cases indicate the presence of fewer proteins that are required to create a contractile tail-like structure, the opposite is also possible. For example, the anti-feeding prophage Afp contains three proteins that show homology to the 'minimal' sheath protein (Hurst et al., 2004) (two tube-proximal domains of T4 sheath protein (Aksyuk et al., 2009; Leiman and Shneider, 2012) or a complete R-type pyocin sheath protein (Ge et al., 2015)). It is possible that these duplicated proteins have a function in the initiation or termination of the tail sheath.

Various splitting and fusions of components can be found in different contractile injection systems (in addition to the ones already pointed out for the central spike complex). Sometimes, the function of a component is divided into separate gene products (compared to the consens). For example, the T6SS sheath subunit is composed of two gene products (TssB and TssC), that together create a structure that is very similar to the T4 sheath subunit gp18 (Aksyuk et al., 2009; Clemens et al., 2015; Kudryashev et al., 2015). Conversely, the function of two proteins can be combined into a single gene product, such as is the case for Listeria bacteriophage A511, where protein gp102 contains a gp25- and gp53-like module (Habann et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2016).

Conclusions

The recent structure determination of the bacteriophage T4 baseplate in its pre- and post-host attachment states (Taylor et al., 2016) has revealed, for the first time, the organization of a contractile injection system baseplate, as well as its transformation upon host attachment. The structure also allowed us to analyze the assembly pathway of the baseplate in quantitative terms. The baseplate is a circular, multicomponent structure with many possibilities of dividing it into six equal parts that would correspond to six putative wedges. A wedge that contains a (gp6)2–gp7 heterotrimer described above (comprising the core bundle and the trifurcation unit, Fig. 1) has the lowest free energy of solvation strongly suggesting that this complex represents the true assembly precursor. The same complex is likely to be found in the
T6SS and, most probably, phage Mu baseplate wedges. Fittingly, this complex is one of the most conserved features of the baseplate, and the structure suggests its possible evolutionary origin (Fig. 3).

Many questions remain unanswered however. One of them is the quantitative role of the T4 long tail fibers in triggering the baseplate conformational switch. An answer to this question requires the knowledge of the atomic structure of the proximal part of the fiber that interacts with the baseplate (this component was not present in the T4 tube-baseplate sample). Another fundamental question is how the sheath is polymerized onto the baseplate in the extended state and why the interaction of the first layer of the sheath with the baseplate during assembly does not switch it to the post-attachment star conformation. The sheath subunit has to have a higher affinity to the post-attachment baseplate for the baseplate to be able to initiate sheath contraction by its own conformational change.
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