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Atmospheric chemistry of $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$†

Freja F. Østerstrøm,* Simone Thirstrup Andersen, Theis I. Sølling, Ole John Nielsen and Mads P. Sulbaek Andersen*§

The atmospheric fates of $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ have been studied, investigating the kinetics and the products of the reactions of the two compounds with Cl atoms, OH radicals, OD radicals, and $O_3$. FTIR smog chamber experiments measured: $k(\text{Cl} + Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (2.59 ± 0.47) \times 10^{-11}$, $k(\text{Cl} + E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (1.36 ± 0.27) \times 10^{-11}$, $k(\text{OH} + Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (4.21 ± 0.62) \times 10^{-13}$, $k(\text{OH} + E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (1.72 ± 0.42) \times 10^{-13}$, $k(\text{OD} + Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (6.94 ± 1.25) \times 10^{-13}$, $k(\text{OD} + E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (5.61 ± 0.98) \times 10^{-13}$, $k(\text{O}_3 + Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (6.94 ± 0.70) \times 10^{-22}$, and $k(\text{O}_3 + E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3) = (4.14 ± 0.42) \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ in 700 Torr of air/N$_2$/O$_2$ diluents at 296 ± 2 K. $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ reacts with Cl atoms to give $\text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3$ in a yield indistinguishable from 100%. $Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ reacts with Cl atoms to give (95 ± 10)% $\text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3$ and (7 ± 1)% $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$. $\text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3$ reacts with Cl atoms to give the secondary product $\text{CF}_2\text{ClOC(O)CF}_3$ in a yield indistinguishable from 100%, with the observed co-products $\text{ClOF}_2$ and $\text{CF}_2\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$. The main atmospheric fate for $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ is reaction with OH radicals. The atmospheric lifetimes of $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ are estimated as 27 and 67 days, respectively. IR absorption cross sections are reported and the global warming potentials (GWPs) of $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ for the 100 year time horizon are calculated to be GWP$_{100} = 2$ and 7, respectively. This study provides a comprehensive description of the atmospheric fate and impact of $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$.

1. Introduction

Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) are well-known for their ability to destroy stratospheric ozone and their potency as greenhouse gases. They have several uses, for instance as refrigerants, solvents, in foam blowing and in electronic cleaning. Having recognized their environmental and atmospheric impacts they were phased out and replaced by hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). Generally, the HCFCs and HFCs are more environmentally benign in that the HCFCs and HFCs have shorter atmospheric lifetimes than the CFCs, and that the HFCs do not contain chlorine substituents. However, they are both still long-lived greenhouse gases.

Hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) constitutes a recent class of alternative replacement compounds to the CFCs, HCFCs, and HFCs. They are more reactive in the atmosphere and thereby have a smaller impact on the environment. It is important to know the fate of these compounds before they enter large-scale production and are potentially released to the environment. $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ (1,1,1,4,4,4-hexafluoro-2-butene, HFO-1336mzzm) belong to this class of proposed HFOs. $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ has been proposed to be used for foam blowing with an improvement of the energy efficiency of the process and the $Z$-isomer has been shown to have beneficial properties as a substitute for $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CHF}_2$ (1,1,1,3,3-pentafluoropropane, HFC-245fa) as a refrigerant in organic Rankine cycles. The photochemical reactor setup at the Copenhagen Center for Atmospheric Research (CCAR) was used to study the atmospheric chemistry of the $Z$- and $E$-isomers of $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$. The reactions of the two isomers with Cl atoms, OH radicals, OD radicals, and $O_3$ were studied, investigating the kinetics and the products of the reactions with Cl atoms in order to assess the fates of the compounds in the atmosphere. Only one previous study of the $Z$-isomer by Baasandorj et al. exists in the literature. OH radicals, and to a lesser degree, Cl atoms, and $O_3$ are atmospheric oxidants that initiates the atmospheric removal of $Z$- and $E$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$. The kinetics of the reaction of $Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ with OD radicals was also investigated in the aforementioned study by Baasandorj et al., so OD radical experiments have been included here to be able to compare the present study to their work. We present here the first determination of the Cl atom and $O_3$ initiated chemistry of $Z$-$\text{CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3$ and the first determination of the atmospheric oxidation.
chemistry of \(E\text{-C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\). The findings from the present study are discussed with respect to the atmospheric chemistry of HFOs.

2. Methodology

2.1 Experimental methods

The CCAR photoreactor is a 101.4 L quartz reactor surrounded by 8 UVA (Osram Eversun L100/79 with the main emission peak at 368 nm) or UVB (Waldmann F85/100 UV6, with a wavelength range of 280–360 nm) lamps, and 16 UVC lamps that are used to initiate the experiments. The reactor is interfaced with a Bruker IFS 66 v/s FTIR spectrometer. All spectra were obtained using 32 co-added interferograms with a spectral resolution of 0.25 cm\(^{-1}\) and optical pathlengths of 45.10, 52.67, and 55.55 meters. All experiments were performed at 296 \(^\circ\)C and optical pathlengths of 45.10, 52.67, and 55.55 meters. The pseudo first order rate coefficients \(k\) obtained from the individual experiments are plotted against the varying \(O_3\) concentrations giving the rate coefficient \(k_{12}\) of the reaction as the slope of the line fitted to the data:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{[\text{Reactant}]_t}{[\text{Reactant}]_0} \right) = -k_{\text{pseudo 1st}} \times t = -k_{12} \times [O_3] \times t \tag{II}
\]

The HO\(_3\) formed from \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHO}_2\) or \(\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}\) reacts with NO to give OH radicals:

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \tag{6}
\]

OD radicals were produced by photolysis of \(\text{CD}_2\text{ONO}\) (deuterated methyl nitrite):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CD}_2\text{ONO} + h\nu(\text{UVC}) & \rightarrow \text{CD}_2\text{O} + \text{NO} \tag{7} \\
\text{CD}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CD}_2\text{O} + \text{DO}_2 \tag{8} \\
\text{DO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{OD} + \text{NO}_2 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
\]

\(O_3\) was produced using a commercial ozone generator from O\(_3\)-Technology (Dielectric barrier discharge; model AC-20). The \(O_3\) was pre-concentrated on a silica gel trap to reduce the amount of \(O_2\) introduced to the chamber.

The relative rate method is a well-known method for measuring rate coefficients of gas phase reactions. The reactions of the reactants (\(Z\)- and \(E\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\)) with the oxidants are measured relative to the reactions of reference compounds with the oxidants:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl/OH/OD + Reactant} & \rightarrow \text{Products} \tag{10} \\
\text{Cl/OH/OD + Reference} & \rightarrow \text{Products} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]

Plots the loss of reactant versus the loss of reference the following equation is used:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{[\text{Reactant}]_t}{[\text{Reactant}]_0} \right) = k_{\text{Reactant}} \times \ln \left( \frac{[\text{Reference}]_t}{[\text{Reference}]_0} \right) \tag{I}
\]

where \([\text{Reactant}]_0\), \([\text{Reactant}]_t\), \([\text{Reference}]_0\) and \([\text{Reference}]_t\) are the concentrations of the reactant and the reference at times \(t_0\) and \(t\). \(k_{\text{Reactant}}\) and \(k_{\text{Reference}}\) are the rate coefficients of the reactant and the reference reactions, respectively. The slope of the fit of the experimental data to eqn (I) provides the rate coefficient ratio \(k_{\text{Reactant}}/k_{\text{Reference}}\). For the experiments with CI atoms \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\), \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\), \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\), and \(\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3\) were used as references. For the experiments with OH radicals \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\) and \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\) were used as references. For the experiments with OD radicals \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\) and \(n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_10\) were used as references.

An absolute rate method was used in the \(O_3\) kinetic experiments. Here the loss of the reactant is monitored over time with an excess of \(O_3\):

\[
\ln \left( \frac{[\text{Reactant}]_t}{[\text{Reactant}]_0} \right) = -k_{\text{pseudo 1st}} \times t = -k_{12} \times [O_3] \times t \tag{II}
\]

All reagents except \(\text{CD}_2\text{ONO}\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}\), and \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHO}_2\) were obtained from commercial sources. \(Z\)- and \(E\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) were produced by SynQuest Laboratories at quoted purities of \(\leq 100\%\). \(Z\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) was supplied to us by Honeywell. Ultrapure \(\text{N}_2\) (\(\geq 99.999\%\)), ultrapure \(\text{O}_2\) (\(\geq 99.995\%\)), synthetic air, \(Z\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) and \(E\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) were used as received. The \(Z\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) sample was devoid of any impurities as analyzed by FTIR. The sample of \(E\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) contained an impurity of 1.8\% \(Z\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\), which was taken into account in the analysis of the IR spectra. \(\text{CD}_2\text{ONO}\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}\), and \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHO}_2\) were produced by the dropwise addition of cold \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) (sulfuric acid) to a mixture of \(\text{NaNO}_2\) (sodium nitrite) and \(\text{CD}_3\text{OH}\) (deuterated methanol), \(\text{CH}_3\text{OH}\) (methanol) or \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHOH}\) (isopropanol), respectively. The produced nitrates were trapped using either an ice bath or an isopropanol/dry ice bath. They were stored cold and in the dark. \(\text{CD}_2\text{ONO}\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}\), and \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHO}_2\) were subjected to freeze-pump-thaw cycling before use. The \(\text{CD}_2\text{ONO}\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}\), and \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHO}_2\) samples were devoid of impurities as analyzed by FTIR.

To test for unwanted loss of reagents due to photolysis, dark reactions and heterogeneous reactions, control experiments were performed to investigate these processes. Samples of \(Z\)- and \(E\)-\(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{H}==\text{CHCF}_3\) in the chamber were irradiated with UV with no observable loss. Reactant/product mixtures obtained after UV irradiation were allowed to stand in the dark for 30 minutes. No loss or changes were observed, indicating that loss processes due to dark reactions or heterogeneous reactions are not a complication in these experiments. Unless otherwise stated,
the quoted uncertainties are two standard deviations from the least-squares fits and our estimated uncertainty of the analysis (typically ±1% of initial concentrations).

2.2 Computational methods

The calculations were carried out with a fourth generation composite method referred to as G4MP2 with the GAUSSIAN 09, suite of programs. G4MP2 theory is approximating a large basis set CCSD(T) single point calculation on a B3LYP/6-31G(2df,p) geometry and is incorporating a so-called higher level correction that is derived by a fit to the experimental values in the G3/05 test set with 454 experimental values. The average absolute derivation from the experimental test set values is 1.04 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which places the G4MP2 results well within chemical accuracy of 10 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The transition structures for the reactions reported in this work have been confirmed in each case by the calculation of vibrational frequencies (one imaginary frequency) and an intrinsic reaction coordinate analysis. Relative free energies stated within the text correspond to G4MP2 values at 298.15 K. The calculated total energies are available as ESI† (Table S1), which also includes the B3LYP/6-31G(2df,p) optimized geometries in the form of GAUSSIAN archive entries (Table S2, ESI†).

The energies of deuterated species were evaluated using the Freq=readiso keyword with an input from the B3LYP/6-31G(2df,p) frequency calculations. The frequencies were also scaled by 0.9854 as for the G4MP2 calculations. The free energy contributions were obtained in this manner together with the relevant partition functions for the evaluation of relative rate coefficients.

Calculations of theoretical IR spectra used in the product studies were performed with the GAUSSIAN 09, suite of programs, using B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) and B97XD/cc-pVTZ frequency calculations. Optimized geometries and IR spectra can be found in the ESI† (Tables S3–S11 and Fig. S1–S9).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Relative rate study of \( \text{Z} \) - and \( E\text{-CF}_3\text{CH} = \text{CHCF}_3 \) + Cl

The rates of reactions (13) and (14) were measured relative to reactions (15–17) and (15), (17), and (18), respectively. The initial reaction mixtures were 1.56–2.61 mTorr \( Z \) - or \( E\text{-CF}_3\text{CH} = \text{CHCF}_3 \), 72.1–83.3 mTorr \( \text{Cl}_2 \), and 10.4–10.5 mTorr \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \), 5.21 mTorr \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \), 7.82 mTorr \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \) or 10.0–10.4 mTorr \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}\text{CH}_3 \) in 700 Torr air. The mixtures were subjected to a total of 25–76 seconds of UV irradiation.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl} + Z\text{-CF}_3\text{CH} = \text{CHCF}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CHClCHCF}_3 \quad (13) \\
\text{Cl} + E\text{-CF}_3\text{CH} = \text{CHCF}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CHClCHCF}_3 \quad (14) \\
\text{Cl} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (15) \\
\text{Cl} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 & \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (16) \\
\text{Cl} + \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}\text{CH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (17) \\
\text{Cl} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 & \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (18)
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 1 shows the loss of \( Z \) - and \( E\text{-CF}_3\text{CH} = \text{CHCF}_3 \) versus the loss of the reference compounds. Linear least squares analyses of the data for \( k_{13} \) in Fig. 1 gives the rate coefficient ratios \( k_{13}/k_{15} = 0.56 \pm 0.06, k_{13}/k_{16} = 0.28 \pm 0.03, \) and \( k_{13}/k_{17} = 11.0 \pm 1.21. \) Using \( k_{15} = (5.07 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-11}, k_{16} = (9.29 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{-11}, \) and \( k_{17} = (2.10 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-12} \) gives \( k_{13} = (2.85 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-11}, \) \( (2.61 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-11}, \) and \( (2.31 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The values for \( k_{13} \) are identical within the ranges of uncertainty. Using a similar approach three rate coefficient values for \( k_{14} \) were obtained. A summary of all the rate coefficient ratios and individual rate coefficient determinations in this work is shown in Table 1. The three values for \( k_{14} \) are also identical within the ranges of uncertainty (see Table 1). We choose to quote final values for \( k_{13} \) and \( k_{14} \) as the averages of the three determinations with uncertainties that encompass the extremes of the individual determinations. Hence, \( k_{13} = (2.59 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-11} \) and \( k_{14} = (1.36 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\).

This is the first determination of \( k_{13} \) and \( k_{14} \). The value of \( k_{14} \) is approximately half of \( k_{13} \). The magnitudes of \( k_{13} \) and \( k_{14} \) are consistent with expectations based on the reactivities of similar HFOs such as \( E\text{-CF}_3\text{CH} = \text{CHF} \), \( Z \) - and \( E\text{-CF}_3\text{CF} = \text{CHF} \), and \( \text{CF}_3\text{CF} = \text{CF}_2 \), which have the rate coefficient values
(4.64 ± 0.59) \times 10^{-11}, (4.36 ± 0.48) \times 10^{-11}, (5.00 ± 0.56) \times 10^{-11}, \text{ and } (2.7 ± 0.3) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, \text{ respectively.}

### 3.2 Relative rate study of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH═CHCF$_3$ + OH

The rate of reaction (19) and (20) were measured relative to reactions (21) and (22). The reaction mixtures consisted of 1.60–1.98 mTorr Z-CF$_3$CH═CHCF$_3$ or 2.08 mTorr E-CF$_3$CH═CHCF$_3$, 7.19–7.40 mTorr C$_2$H$_6$ or 7.30 mTorr C$_2$H$_4$, and 73.0 mTorr CH$_3$ONO or 157–224 mTorr CH$_2$ONO in 700 Torr of air. The mixtures were subjected to a total of 265–3180 seconds of UV irradiation.

\[
\text{OH} + \text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3 \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (19)
\]
\[
\text{OH} + \text{E-CF}_3\text{CH}═\text{CHCF}_3 \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (20)
\]
\[
\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (21)
\]
\[
\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad (22)
\]

Fig. 2 shows the loss of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH═CHCF$_3$ versus the loss of reference compounds C$_2$H$_6$ and C$_2$H$_4$ in the presence of OH radicals. Rate coefficient ratios obtained from linear least squares analyses of the data in Fig. 2 are shown in Table 1. Using the rate coefficient values of $k_{21} = (1.1 ± 0.08) \times 10^{-12}$ and $k_{22} = (2.4 ± 0.08) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, gives two values for each $k_{19}$ and $k_{20}$, which are identical within the ranges of uncertainty (Table 1). We choose to quote final values for $k_{19}$ and $k_{20}$ as the average of the two determinations with uncertainties that encompass the extremes of the individual determinations. Hence, $k_{19} = (4.21 ± 0.62) \times 10^{-13}$ and $k_{20} = (1.72 ± 0.42) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Basaandoj et al.\textsuperscript{7} reported a value for $k_{20}$ of $(4.91 ± 0.50) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is in reasonable agreement with the value determined here. The value of $k_{20}$ is approximately 2/5 the size of $k_{19}$. The values for $k_{19}$ and $k_{20}$ determined in the present work are consistent with expectations based on the OH radical reactivities of similar HFOs such as Z- and E-CF$_3$CF═CHF and Z- and E-CF$_3$CH═CHCl, which have rate coefficients of $(1.22 ± 0.14) \times 10^{-12}, (2.15 ± 0.23) \times 10^{-12}, (8.45 ± 1.52) \times 10^{-13}$, and $(3.61 ± 0.37) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>$k_{\text{Reactant}}/k_{\text{Reference}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{Reference}}$ (cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{Reactant}}$ (cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z + \text{Cl}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>(5.07 ± 0.34) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>(2.85 ± 0.29) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z + \text{Cl}$</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(9.29 ± 0.51) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>(2.61 ± 0.26) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z + \text{OH}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.06</td>
<td>(1.1 ± 0.08) $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>(4.14 ± 0.40) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.18</td>
<td>(2.4 ± 0.08) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>(4.27 ± 0.44) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>n-C$<em>3$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.29</td>
<td>(2.74 ± 0.27) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>(7.39 ± 0.80) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{Cl}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(5.07 ± 0.34) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>(1.40 ± 0.14) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{Cl}$</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(5.9 ± 0.06) $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>(1.48 ± 0.15) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.58</td>
<td>(2.1 ± 0.15) $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>(1.22 ± 0.12) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>n-C$<em>3$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.26</td>
<td>(1.1 ± 0.08) $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>(1.52 ± 0.19) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_4$</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.10</td>
<td>(2.4 ± 0.08) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>(1.91 ± 0.23) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.26</td>
<td>(2.74 ± 0.27) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>(5.63 ± 0.71) $\times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E + \text{OD}$</td>
<td>n-C$<em>3$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>(2.76 ± 0.22) $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>(5.39 ± 0.68) $\times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Wallington et al.\textsuperscript{13} \textsuperscript{b} Atkinson et al.\textsuperscript{14} \textsuperscript{c} Grenier.\textsuperscript{36} \textsuperscript{d} Paraskevopoulos and Nip.\textsuperscript{17}
3.3 Relative rate study of \( Z \) - and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 + OD \)

The rate of reactions (23) and (24) were measured relative to reactions (25) and (26). The initial reaction mixtures consisted of 1.68–2.08 mTorr \( Z \)- or \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \), 6.67–8.34 mTorr \( C_2H_6 \), or 7.30–8.24 mTorr \( n-C_4H_{10} \), and 169–230.4 mTorr \( CD_3ONO \) in 700 Torr air. The mixtures were subject to a total of 860–1080 seconds of UV irradiation.

\[
\text{OD} + Z-\text{CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 \rightarrow \text{Products (23)}
\]

\[
\text{OD} + E-\text{CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 \rightarrow \text{Products (24)}
\]

\[
\text{OD} + C_2H_6 \rightarrow \text{Products (25)}
\]

\[
\text{OD} + n-C_4H_{10} \rightarrow \text{Products (26)}
\]

Fig. 3 shows the loss of \( Z \)- and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) versus the loss of the two reference compounds \( C_2H_6 \) and \( n-C_4H_{10} \) in the presence of OD radicals. Linear regression of the data gives the rate coefficient ratios and values of \( k_{23} \) and \( k_{24} \) shown in Table 1. The two values for \( k_{23} \) are identical within the ranges of uncertainty. The same is the case for \( k_{24} \). We choose to quote final values for \( k_{23} \) and \( k_{24} \) as the averages of the two determinations with uncertainties that encompass the extremes of the individual determinations. Hence, \( k_{23} = (6.94 \pm 1.25) \times 10^{-13} \) and \( k_{24} = (5.61 \pm 0.98) \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). The value of \( k_{23} \) determined here is slightly higher than that reported by Baasandorj et al. \((5.73 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\), still they are in agreement within the ranges of uncertainty.

No other studies of OD radicals with HFOs are available in the literature making it difficult to compare the values of \( k_{23} \) and \( k_{24} \) to other similar compounds. The value of \( k_{24} \) is 4/5 the size of \( k_{23} \). This was unexpected, since the reactions of \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) with the other oxidants in this study are significantly lower than the corresponding reactions of \( Z \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \).

3.4 Absolute rate study of \( Z \) - and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 + O_3 \)

Reaction mixtures consisted of 1.53–1.78 mTorr \( Z \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) or 2.08–2.81 mTorr \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \), 0.73–6.41 Torr \( O_3 \), and 0–10.4 mTorr \( c-C_6H_{12} \) (cyclohexane) in 700 Torr air or 140 Torr \( O_3 \) made up to 700 Torr with \( N_2 \). Reaction (27) and (28) were monitored over time.

\[
O_3 + Z-\text{CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 \rightarrow \text{Products (27)}
\]

\[
O_3 + E-\text{CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 \rightarrow \text{Products (28)}
\]

Ozonolysis can be a source of \( OH \) radicals. To avoid complications due to the reaction of \( Z \)- and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) + \( OH \), \( c-C_6H_{12} \) was added to the reaction mixture as an \( OH \) radical scavenger. In the absence of \( c-C_6H_{12} \) the loss of \( Z \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) + \( O_3 \) was found to be approximately 15% higher than when \( c-C_6H_{12} \) was present. Over the ratios of \([c-C_6H_{12}] /[Z- or \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3] = 1.8-6.8 \) no difference in the \( O_3 \) reaction rate was observed. Fig. 4 and Fig. S1 in the ESI† show plots of the pseudo first order rate coefficients for \( Z \)- and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) versus \( O_3 \) concentration, with the former obtained from the slopes of the degradation of \( Z \)- and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \) versus time at different \( O_3 \) concentrations (see insets in Fig. 4 and Fig. S1, ESI†). The slopes of the plots in Fig. 4 and Fig. S1 (ESI†) give the \( O_3 \) rate coefficients for \( Z \) and \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \), respectively. Hence, \( k_{27} = (6.25 \pm 0.70) \times 10^{-22} \) and \( k_{28} = (4.14 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-22} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \).

Baasandorj et al.7 reported an upper limit for the reaction of \( Z \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 + O_3 \) of \( k_{27} < 6 \times 10^{-22} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), which is in agreement with the rate coefficient determined in the present work. The measured rate coefficient for reaction (28) is the slowest rate coefficient ever determined using the photochemical reactor at CCAR. Prior to this study the slowest rate coefficient for a similar compound reacting with ozone determined is of the reaction of \( Z \)-\( CF_3CF=CHF + O_3 \), which is determined to be \((1.45 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-21} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \).16 The value of \( k_{28} \) is approximately 2/3 that of \( k_{27} \).

3.5 Reactivity trends

As mentioned earlier, one previous study7 exists in the literature on the reactivity of \( Z \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \), but no studies have ever been conducted involving \( E \)-\( CF_3CH=CHCF_3 \). Furthermore, the kinetic study of Baasandorj et al. was limited to the reactions of \( OH \) radicals, \( OD \) radicals and \( O_3 \). The rate coefficients reported...
by Baasandorj et al.\textsuperscript{7} are all in agreement with our results, although Baasandorj et al.\textsuperscript{7} also conclude that $k_{OD} = k_{OH}$ for Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ within their reported uncertainties. As seen from Table 1, this is contrary to our findings. We find that the rate coefficient for the reaction with OD radicals is larger than that for the reaction with OH radicals for both compounds. Using computational methods, we investigate this further in Section 3.6.

A summary of the final rate coefficients obtained for all the kinetic experiments is shown in Table 2 along with the rate coefficient values determined previously by Baasandorj et al.\textsuperscript{7} as well as rate coefficients of the reactions for other structurally similar HFOs. The Z-isomer has reaction rate coefficients that are greater than those of the E-isomer in the reactions with all the oxidants studied here. This can be explained by the structural differences of the Z- and E-isomers, where more strain is released from the Z-isomer upon addition of the oxidants to the double bond, making it more favorable. Some trends can be observed for the HFOs presented in Table 2. Firstly, the rate coefficients of the reactions with Cl atoms determined here are of the same order as the ones determined for other similar HFOs, but with smaller reaction rate coefficients than the partially fluorinated HFOs, indicating a decrease in reactivity when exchanging a halogen atom (Cl or F) with a CF$_3$ group. For CF$_3$CF$\equiv$CHF and CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCl the reactivities of the Z- and E-isomers towards Cl atoms are identical within the ranges of uncertainty. In this study the reactivity of the Z-isomer of CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ has been found to be greater than that of the E-isomer. Secondly, the reactions of CF$_3$CF$\equiv$CF$_2$, Z- and E-CF$_3$CF$\equiv$CHF with OH radicals proceed with rate coefficients that are one order of magnitude larger than those determined for the other HFOs. This could indicate an increasing effect on the reactivity towards OH radicals by having fluorine on both carbons on the double bond. Additional computational studies could aid the interpretation of this observation. The length of the perfluorinated chain may not be of great importance since the rate coefficient of E-(CF$_3$)$_2$CF$\equiv$CHF + OH is approximately half the size of the one of E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHF + OH and identical to the one of E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCl + OH. Thirdly, the HFOs listed from literature have reactivities towards O$_3$ that are 1–2 orders of magnitude faster than the O$_3$ reactivities of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$. This could be due to differences in reactivity of fluorinated propenes and butenes towards O$_3$. Additional studies on other fluorinated butenes would be needed to verify this.

It is interesting to compare the reactivities of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ to Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCl. For both pairs of isomers it can be seen in Table 2 that both the Cl atom, the OH radical and the O$_3$ rate coefficients obtained for the Z-isomers are faster than the ones obtained for the E-isomers.\textsuperscript{18,19} All the rate coefficients obtained for Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCl are larger than for Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ even though they have the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$k_{Cl}$ (10$^{-11}$)</th>
<th>$k_{OH}$ (10$^{-11}$)</th>
<th>$k_{OD}$ (10$^{-13}$)</th>
<th>$k_{O_3}$ (10$^{-22}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.47</td>
<td>4.21 ± 0.63</td>
<td>6.94 ± 1.25</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.42</td>
<td>5.61 ± 0.98</td>
<td>4.14 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCl</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.84</td>
<td>8.45 ± 1.52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15.3 ± 1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCl</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.72</td>
<td>9.46 ± 0.85</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF$_3$CF$\equiv$CHF</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.48</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>21.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>198 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-CF$_3$CF$\equiv$CHF</td>
<td>5.00 ± 0.56</td>
<td>21.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF$_3$CF$\equiv$CF$_2$</td>
<td>4.64 ± 0.59</td>
<td>9.25 ± 1.72</td>
<td>28.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>&lt;3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-(CF$_3$)$_2$CF$\equiv$CHF</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Baasandorj et al.\textsuperscript{7} \textsuperscript{b} Andersen et al.\textsuperscript{19} \textsuperscript{c} Gierczak et al.\textsuperscript{18} \textsuperscript{d} Sulbaek Andersen et al.\textsuperscript{19} \textsuperscript{e} Hurley et al.\textsuperscript{16} \textsuperscript{f} Søndergaard et al.\textsuperscript{15} \textsuperscript{g} Mashino et al.\textsuperscript{17} \textsuperscript{h} Papadimitriou and Burkholder.\textsuperscript{39}
same number of halogen/CF₃ substituents. This can be rationalized by the fact that the total number of fluorine atoms, and associated electron-withdrawing effect, in Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ is double that found in Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCl. Furthermore, greater steric hindrance is associated with the CF₃ group than that from the Cl atom. In the case of CF₃CF=CHF, the E-isomer has a greater reactivity than the Z-isomer towards Cl atoms, OH radicals and O₃. The Z-versus E-isomer trend can be assessed from the data in Table 2. Further experimental and computational studies of general trends in HFO reactivity would be of interest, but beyond the scope of the present study.

3.6 Computational study of the reactivity of OH and OD radicals

The calculated geometries of the reactant alkenes, Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃, are shown in Fig. 5 together with the geometries of the reaction complexes between the OH radical and the alkenes, the product alkyl radicals, and the connecting transition states. It is worth noting that the transition state in each case closely resembles the OH–alkene complex. We also note that the Z-isomer gives rise to the transition state resembling the complex closest, which is most likely due to the larger strain release in this case, which gives an energetic bonus earlier during the progress of the addition. The free energy changes relative to the separated reactants (alkene + OH and alkene + OD) are shown in Table 3. The formation of a complex between the E-isomer and the OH radical is endothermic by approximately 5.2 kJ mol⁻¹ and there is a barrier for formation of the alkyl radical of 22.6 kJ mol⁻¹. The overall reaction is exothermic by –98.1 kJ mol⁻¹.

The OD equivalent gives rise to a slightly more weakly bound complex (by 0.3 kJ mol⁻¹) and a barrier, which is slightly lower (0.5 kJ mol⁻¹). The formation of the alkyl radical on the other hand is more exothermic by 1.5 kJ mol⁻¹. In the case of the Z-isomer the barriers and the endothermicities of formation are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔG² (complex)</th>
<th>ΔG¹ (barrier)</th>
<th>ΔG (alkyl radical)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + OH</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>−98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + OD</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>−99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + OH</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>−132.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + OD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>−114.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + Cl</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + Cl</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a G4MP2 values in kJ mol⁻¹ at 298.15 K.
significantly reduced. The barrier for the formation of the alkyl radical from the RCF₃–HO adduct is 7.5 kJ mol⁻¹ whereas the adduct is 2.7 kJ mol⁻¹ less stable than the separated reactants. The alkyl radical is more stable than the reactants by −112.5 kJ mol⁻¹ and the increased stability reflects release of strain from the Z-isomer. The effect of the deuterium substitution is slightly reduced compared to the E-isomer. The barrier for the OD addition is lower by 0.2 kJ mol⁻¹. The values are summarized in Table 3. The lower barrier in the case of OD is attributed to the generally weaker hydrogen bonds that are in play when a hydrogen is replaced by a deuterium.

The relative barrier difference for OH versus OD addition is in qualitative agreement with experimental finding that OD addition proceeds faster than OH addition in the sense that the barrier for OD addition is smaller for both Z- and E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃. The experimental result that the effect is smaller in the case of the Z-isomer is also in agreement with the calculations, because the calculated barrier is less impacted by isotope substitution in the Z-isomer case. At a first glance, the barrier differences seem small compared to the experimental relative rate coefficients. To assess the impact on the rate coefficients we evaluated the ratios of \( k_{OD}/k_{OH} \) for both Z- and E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ from the partition functions, \( Q \), and barrier differences using the equation:

\[
\frac{k_{OD}}{k_{OH}} = \frac{Q_{OH}(TS) \times Q_{OD}(\text{complex})}{Q_{OH}(\text{complex}) \times Q_{OD}(TS)} \times e^{\Delta AG^\ddagger} \tag{III}
\]

where TS is the transition state, complex is the OH/OD–alkene complexes, subscripts on the partition functions denote either the OH or OD radical reaction, and \( \Delta AG^\ddagger \) is the difference between the barrier heights to form the alkyl radical products. The \( k_{OD}/k_{OH} \) ratio is found to be 1.8 for E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ and 1.1 for Z-CF₃CH==CHCF₃. This trend is consistent with the experimental results, showing a larger difference between the OD and OH rate coefficients for E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ than for Z-CF₃CH==CHCF₃. The calculated total energies and optimized geometries can be found in the ESI† (Tables S1 and S2).

3.7 Product study of E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ + Cl

The initial reaction mixtures for the product study of the reaction E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ + Cl consisted of 2.61–4.07 mTorr E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ and 73.2–89.0 mTorr Cl₂ in 700 Torr air/N₂/O₂ diluent. The mixtures were subjected to a total of 33–52 seconds of UV irradiation. The reaction proceeds with the addition of a Cl atom to the double bond creating an alkyl radical, that will react with O₂ forming a peroxy radical (RO₂):

\[
\text{Cl} + \text{E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃} \rightarrow \text{CF₃CHCICHCF₃} \tag{14}
\]

\[
\text{CF₃CHCICHCF₃} + \text{O₂} \rightarrow \text{CF₃CHCICH(O₂)CF₃} \tag{29}
\]

The peroxy radical can react with other peroxy radicals, itself or NO (if present), to form an alkoxy radical (RO):

\[
\text{CF₃CHCICH(O₂)CF₃} + \text{RO₂} \rightarrow \text{CF₃CHCICH(O*)CF₃} + \text{RO} + \text{O₂} \tag{30}
\]

The alkoxy radical can then react with O₂ to give a ketone or decompose via C–C bond scission to give a CF₃CHCl radical and CF₃CHO. CF₃CHO will react with O₂ giving CF₃C(O)Cl:

\[
\text{CF₃CHCICH(O*)CF₃} + \text{O₂} \rightarrow \text{CF₃CHCIC(O)CF₃} + \text{HO₂} \tag{31a}
\]

\[
\text{CF₃CHCICH(O*)CF₃} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{CF₃CHCl} + \text{CF₃CHO} + \text{M} \tag{31b}
\]

\[
\text{CF₃CHCl} + \text{O₂} = \text{CF₃C(O)Cl} + \text{HO₂} \tag{32}
\]

Fig. 6 shows spectra of a mixture of 2.61 mTorr E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ and 73.2 mTorr Cl₂ in 700 Torr air, before (panel A) and after (panel B) 24 seconds of UV irradiation. 59% of E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ was consumed in the irradiation. Panel E is a residual spectrum obtained by subtracting all remaining E-CF₃CH==CHCF₃ from panel B (panel B – 0.41 \( \times \) panel A). IR features present in panel E are assigned to CF₃CHCIC(O)CF₃, as the only observed product. While we do not have sample of CF₃CHCIC(O)CF₃ with which to
obtain a genuine reference spectrum, a calculated spectrum of the compound is shown in panel F. This and other spectra were calculated using the GAUSSIAN 09 suite of programs, revision D.01 at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level (see below). No formation of CF₃CHO (panel C) or CF₃C(O)Cl (panel D) was observed in these experiments. Upper limits for the yields of CF₃CHO and CF₃C(O)Cl were determined as 1% for both compounds. Therefore, we conclude that reaction (31b) is not significant in the Cl atom initiated degradation. Fig. 7 shows the formation of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ as a function of the loss of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ in the presence of Cl atoms.

Calculated spectra of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ and several conformers of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ were calculated at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level. One conformer of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ was calculated at the oB97XD/cc-pVTZ level being in agreement with the other calculations. The optimized geometries and IR spectra are shown in the ESI† (Tables S3–S8 and Fig. S2–S7).

3.8 Product study of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ + Cl

A product study of the reaction of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ + Cl was performed using initial reaction mixtures of 1.12–1.91 mTorr Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃, 75.4–87.3 mTorr Cl₂, and 0 or 10.4 mTorr NO in 700 Torr air or O₂ diluent. The mixtures were subjected to a total of 17–29 seconds of UV irradiation. The reaction of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ + Cl is initiated by the addition of Cl atoms to the double bond of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ creating an alkyl radical as for E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ in reaction (14).

\[
\text{Cl} + \text{Z-CF₂CH}=\text{CHCF₃} \rightarrow \text{CF₂CHClCHCF₃}
\]

After addition of the Cl atom, the Z/E isomery is lost, free rotation of the C=C bond is possible, and the following reactions in the degradation of the Z-isomer will be the same as observed for the E-isomer in reactions (29)–(32).

Fig. 7 Formation of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ versus the loss of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ in the presence of Cl atoms. The shades indicate the O₂ partial pressure: 16 Torr (white), 140 Torr (gray), and 700 Torr (black) in a total of 700 Torr made up with air or N₂.

Fig. 8 Panel A: A mixture of 1.91 mTorr Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ and 75.4 mTorr Cl₂ in 700 Torr air before UV irradiation, panel B: the reaction mixture after 17 seconds UV irradiation, panel C: reference spectrum of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃, panel D: residual spectrum obtained from subtracting features of Z- and E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ (panel B – 0.38 × panel A – 0.03 × panel C) assigned to CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃, and panel E: spectrum of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ obtained from the product study of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ in the presence of Cl atoms. See text for details.

Fig. 8 shows spectra of a reaction mixture of 1.91 mTorr Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ and 75.4 mTorr Cl₂ in 700 Torr air, before (panel A) and after (panel B) 17 seconds of UV irradiation. 62% of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ was consumed in the irradiation. Panel C shows a reference spectrum of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ and panel D is a residual spectrum obtained by subtracting all remaining features of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ [0.38 × panel A] and E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ [0.03 × panel C] from panel B. This spectrum is assigned to CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃. Panel E shows the residual spectrum of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ obtained from the product study of E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ in the presence of Cl atoms. See text for details.

Fig. 9 shows the formation of CF₂CHClC(O)CF₃ and E-CF₂CH=CHCF₃ versus the loss of Z-CF₂CH=CHCF₃. The shades of the symbols indicate different O₂ partial pressures and the presence or absence of NO. No effect of varying the O₂
partial pressure was observed. The formation of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 is evidence of isomerization. After a Cl atom has added to the double bond in Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 (reaction (13)), the center C-C bond has free rotation and the double bond will reform to give 100% CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3. No evidence of isomerization was observed in the degradation of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3. During the course of the reaction of Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 with Cl atoms the apparent yield of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 decreases and the concentration of CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 increases in a non-linear way. Fits to the data are based on reactions (34a), (34b) and (14):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl} + \text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 & \rightarrow \alpha \text{E-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 + \text{Cl} \quad (33a) \\
\text{Cl} + \text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3 + \text{HO}_2 \quad (33b) \\
\text{Cl} + \text{E-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3 & \rightarrow \beta \text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3 + \text{HO}_2 \quad (14)
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the initial yields of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 and CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3, respectively. A method described by Meagher et al.,\textsuperscript{20} is used to fit the E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 and CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 data. The equation describing the fractional conversion of Z- to E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 is:

\[
\frac{[\text{E-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}{[\text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}_t = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \frac{k_{14}}{k_{34}}} \left(1 - x\right) \left(1 - x^{(k_{14}/k_{34}) - 1}\right) 
\]

(VII)

Here \( k_{14} \) and \( k_{34} \) are the rate coefficients of the reactions of Cl + Z- and E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 determined above, where \( k_{34} = k_{13} \), and \( x \) is the conversion of Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3, defined as:

\[
x = \frac{1 - \frac{[\text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}{[\text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}_0}{\frac{[\text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}{[\text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}_0 - 1}
\]

(V)

In this fit, the \( k_{14}/k_{34} \) ratio is known, so this value is fixed to be:

\[
\frac{k_{14}}{k_{34}} = \frac{1.36 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}}{2.59 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}} = 0.526
\]

This gives an initial yield of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 of \( x = [6.9 \pm 0.8] \% \). The fit to the CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 data uses eqn (IV) as a modification to a linear fit, accounting for reaction (14), describing the conversion of Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 to CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3:

\[
\frac{[\text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3]}{[\text{Z-CF}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHCF}_3]}_0 = \beta x + x
\]

\[
- \frac{\alpha}{1 - \frac{k_{14}}{k_{34}}} \left(1 - x\right) \left(1 - x^{(k_{14}/k_{34}) - 1}\right) 
\]

(VIII)

Fitting eqn (VII) to the CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 data with the known values of \( k_{14}/k_{34} \) and \( x \), the initial yield of CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 is \( \beta = (95 \pm 10) \% \) in the absence of NO. Combining the initial yields of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 and CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 mass balance is obtained. It is clear that at atmospheric pressure, the dominant pathway of the reaction of Cl + Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 is via reaction (34b).

In the presence of NO the yield of CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 is slightly decreased compared to the experiments in the absence of NO. The yield of E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 is not affected by the presence of NO, so eqn (VII) can be used to fit the CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 data using the known values of \( k_{14}/k_{34} \) and \( x \). This gives an initial yield of CF_3CHClC(O)CF_3 of \( \beta = (81 \pm 8) \% \) in the presence of NO. The formation of ClNO and ClNO_2 was observed in these experiments. A minor product with an IR absorption peak at 1699 cm\(^{-1}\) was observed, which, assuming conserved mass balance, could have a yield of 12%. This compound was not positively identified, but could be due to the formation of an organic nitrate compound.

While we did not perform any comprehensive OH radical initiated product studies of Z- and E-CF_3CH=CHCF_3, Baasandorj et al. identified some products of the reaction of Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 with OH radicals.\textsuperscript{7} They observed C(=O)F_2 and CF_3CHO as the main F-containing products, suggesting that C-C scission of the central carbon bond could be a more significant pathway in the atmospheric degradation initiated by OH radical than what we have been able to observe above in the Cl atom initiated reaction.

Calculated IR spectra of two conformers of Z-CF_3CH=CHCF_3 at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level are supplied in the ESI\textsuperscript{†} (Tables S9, S10 and Fig. S8, S9) and show good agreement with the experimental spectrum.
3.9 Isomerization of Z- and E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃

Experiments were performed to investigate any potential isomerization in the reactions of Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ with OH or OD radicals giving E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ as observed for the reaction of Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ with Cl atoms. Reaction mixtures consisting of 1.21–1.37 mTorr Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ and 43.9 mTorr CH₃ONO or 42.9 mTorr CD₃ONO in 700 Torr air diluent were subjected to a total of 110–210 seconds of UV irradiation. No formation of E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ was observed in these experiments. Calculations were performed of the energy of the initial alkyl radical formed from the addition of Cl atoms to Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃. The alkyl radical energy is approximately twice that of the alkyl radical formed with OH or OD radicals (see Table 3), making it more likely that the reaction can proceed in the reverse direction eliminating the Cl atom and reforming CF₂CH─CHCF₃. The E-isomer has less strain than the Z-isomer thus making it more likely to be formed. A similar calculation was performed of the alkyl radical formed from the reaction of Cl + E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃. The energy of this initial alkyl radical is slightly smaller than that from the Z-isomer (see Table 3), but in the experiments, no isomerization was observed. The optimized structures of both initial alkyl radicals formed from Cl atoms are shown in Fig. S11 in the ESI. Comparing the barriers of formation of the alkyl radicals from OH/OD radicals, the E-isomer barriers are more than double those of the Z-isomer, making the barriers the potential limiting factor of isomerization. Similar barriers can be expected from the Cl atom additions to Z- and E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃, explaining why no isomerization was observed for the reaction Cl + E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃. It would be expected that E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ will not isomerize in the reactions with OH or OD radicals for the same reasons as discussed above. No additional experiments were performed with OH/OD + E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ besides the ones used for determining the rate coefficients.

3.10 Product study of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ + Cl

To further investigate the oxidation of the primary product, CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃, experiments were conducted in which all of the starting material, Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃, was allowed to react with Cl to generate CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃. Only then, the loss of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ and the subsequent formation of products was monitored, normalizing the products to the initial concentration of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃. All E-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ had also reacted at this point. The initial reaction mixtures were 1.12–1.91 mTorr Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ and 75.4–87.3 mTorr Cl₂ in 700 Torr air or O₂. The mixtures were subjected to a total of 3150–5400 seconds of UV irradiation after all Z-CF₂CH─CHCF₃ was converted to CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃. Three products are observed in the degradation of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃: CF₃C(O)Cl, C(O)F₂, and CF₃O₂CF₃. Fig. 10 shows IR spectra recorded before (panel A) and after (panel B) UV irradiation of a mixture of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ and Cl₂. Panel C shows the resulting spectrum after subtracting all features of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ in panel A from panel B (panel B – 0.56 x panel A). Panels D and E show reference spectra of C(O)F₂ and CF₃C(O)Cl, respectively. The residual spectrum in panel F is assigned to CF₃O₂CF₃. See text for details.

![Fig. 10 Panel A: IR spectrum of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ + Cl₂ before UV irradiation, panel B: spectrum of the reaction mixture after 25 minutes of UV irradiation, panel C: the resulting spectrum of subtracting the remaining features of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ from panel B (panel B – 0.56 x panel A), panel D: reference spectrum of C(O)F₂, panel E: reference spectrum of CF₃C(O)Cl, panel F: residual spectrum, when subtracting C(O)F₂ and CF₃C(O)Cl from panel C, and panel G: reference spectrum of CF₃O₂CF₃. The residual spectrum in panel F is assigned to CF₃O₂CF₃. See text for details.](image-url)

The degradation of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ is initiated by Cl atoms by abstraction of the hydrogen atom forming an alkyl radical that reacts with O₂ forming a peroxy radical:

\[
\text{CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃} + \text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{CF₃CClC(O)CF₃} + \text{HCl} \quad (35)
\]

\[
\text{CF₃CClC(O)CF₃} + \text{O₂} \rightarrow \text{CF₃C(O₂)ClC(O)CF₃} \quad (36)
\]

The peroxy radical will then react with itself or NO (if present) or another peroxy radical forming an alkoxy radical:

\[
\text{CF₃C(O₂)ClC(O)CF₃} + \text{RO₂} \rightarrow \text{CF₃C(O*)ClC(O)CF₃} + \text{RO} + \text{O₂} \quad (37)
\]
The alkoxy radical formed will break by C–C scission to give 
CF₃C(O)Cl and a C(O)CF₃ radical that will either decompose to give CO and a CF₃ radical or react with O₂ to give a peroxy radical:

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{C(O)}\text{Cl} + \text{C(O)CF}_3 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{C(O)Cl} + \text{C(O)CF}_3 \]  
(38)

\[ \text{C(O)CF}_3 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{CF}_3 \]  
(39)

\[ \text{C(O)CF}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{(O}_2\text{)C(O)CF}_3 \]  
(40)

The alkyl radical, C(O)CF₃, will predominantly react with O₂ (97% under laboratory conditions, 99.5% in the atmosphere) via reaction (40) and the remainder (3% or 0.5%) will decompose via reaction (39). The peroxy radical formed in reaction (40) will then react with itself or another peroxy radical giving an alkoxy radical, which decomposes to give a CF₃ radical and CO₂:

\[ \text{(O}_2\text{)C(O)CF}_3 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \]  
(41)

\[ \text{C(O)CF}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CF}_3 \]  
(42)

The CF₃ radical will react with O₂ forming a peroxy radical that can react with another peroxy radical to give an alkoxy radical and O₂:

\[ \text{CF}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{O}_2 \]  
(43)

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \]  
(44)

The formed alkoxy radical CF₃O can abstract a hydrogen atom from a hydrocarbon (RH) forming an alcohol. This alcohol will eliminate HF forming C(O)F₂:

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{O} + \text{RH} \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{OH} + \text{R} \]  
(45)

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{C(O)F}_2 + \text{HF} \]  
(46)

The peroxy radical CF₃O₂ and the alkoxy radical CF₃O can also react with each other forming the trioxide CF₃O₃CF₃ (bis-(trifluoromethyl)trioxide):

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{O} + \text{CF}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{O}_3\text{CF}_3 + \text{M} \]  
(47)

Fig. 11 shows the formation of products versus the loss of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃. The solid lines through the CF₃C(O)Cl data are linear least squares analyses of the data. The slopes give yields of CF₃C(O)Cl of (78 ± 8)% in the experiments where UVB lamps were used for Cl₂ photolysis and (102 ± 10)% when using UVA lamps to photolyze Cl₂. The dotted lines through the C(O)F₂ and CF₃O₃CF₃ data are trend lines to serve as visual aids for inspection of the data. As a measure of the combined yield of CF₃ radicals formed in the degradation of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃, diamonds show the sum of the fractional formation of C(O)F₂ and twice the fractional formation of CF₃O₃CF₃. As described above the CF₃ radicals will eventually give either C(O)F₂ or CF₃O₂CF₃. CF₃O₂CF₃ will react on the walls of the chamber and form two C(O)F₂ molecules. This is evidenced in Fig. 11, by the decrease in the slopes of formation of CF₃O₂CF₃ and the increase in the slopes of the formation of C(O)F₂ during the course of the experiments. The solid lines through the diamonds in Fig. 11 are linear regressions giving yields of CF₃ radicals of (95 ± 10)% and (122 ± 13)% for the experiments using UVA and UVB lamps, respectively. UVB lights are expected to photolyze CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ as has been observed for similar fluorinated ketones. The products observed in the experiments using UVB lamps are therefore a combination of the reaction with Cl atoms and of the photolysis. The experiment using UVA lamps gives products of the reaction with Cl atoms only. Here, the yield of the reaction is indistinguishable from 100% of CF₃C(O)Cl and the co-formed CF₃ radical (observed as C(O)F₂ and CF₃O₂CF₃). In the experiments using UVB lamps, (78 ± 8)% of the loss of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ is via reaction with Cl atoms observed as CF₃C(O)Cl and the remaining is loss by photolysis. The photolysis of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ gives an alkoxy radical that will break into two radicals; CF₃CH and C(O)CF₃:

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{CHClC(O)CF}_3 + h\nu(\text{UVB}) \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CHC(O)CF}_3 + \text{Cl} \]  
(48)

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{CHC(O)CF}_3 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CH} + \text{C(O)CF}_3 \]  
(49)
The CF₃CH radical will react with O₂ forming a peroxy radical and then proceed to react with another peroxy radical to form CF₃CHO:

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{CH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CHO}_2 \]  
(50)

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{CHO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{CHO} + \text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \]  
(51)

CF₃CHO has a fast reaction with Cl giving a C(O)CF₃ radical:25,26

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{CHO} + \text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{C(O)CF}_3 + \text{HCl} \]  
(52)

The C(O)CF₃ radical decomposition will follow the reaction pathway outlined in reactions (39)–(47) yielding a CF₃ radical that will react to give either C(O)F₂ or CF₃O₂CF₃. The total observed formation of CF₃ radicals in the experiments with UVB lamps is 122% (as mentioned above). Of this, 78% is the co-product of CF₃C(O)Cl from the Cl atom reaction, leaving 44% CF₃ radicals formed from the photolysis of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃. The photolysis of CF₃CHClC(O)CF₃ and the reaction with Cl atoms balances the mass in the experiments using UVB lamps. No change in the product yields was observed with an O₂ partial pressure varying between 140 and 700 Torr.

3.11 Atmospheric lifetimes of Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃

Compounds such as Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ can leave the atmosphere via photolysis, wet and dry deposition or reaction with atmospheric oxidants: NO₃ radicals, O₃, OH radicals and Cl atoms. Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ does not absorb light in wavelengths < 200 nm, so photolysis in the troposphere is not important.27 Compounds such as Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ are likely to be in the gas phase rather than aqueous phase, so wet deposition is not likely to be an important atmospheric sink. The volatility of both compounds will render dry deposition unlikely as an atmospheric removal mechanism. The reaction with NO₃ radicals and O₃ is too slow to be of significance when unlikely as an atmospheric removal mechanism. The reaction with atmospheric oxidants: NO₃ radicals, O₃, OH radicals and Cl atoms. Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ determined to be (2.51 ± 0.13) × 10⁻¹⁶ and (2.96 ± 0.15) × 10⁻¹⁶ cm molecule⁻¹, for Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃, respectively.

Using the method described by Pinnock et al.,33 the radiative efficiencies for Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ were found to be 0.334 and 0.300 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹, respectively. The value for Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ is in agreement with the value estimated by Baasandorj et al. of 0.38 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹ using the same method.7 This method assumes that the gases are well-mixed in the atmosphere. For gases such as Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ this is not the case since their atmospheric lifetime is not long enough for vertical mixing. Therefore we employ a correction factor, \( f(\tau) \), dependent on the atmospheric lifetime of the compounds, \( \tau \), as described by Hodnebrog et al.,34

\[ f(\tau) = \frac{a r^b}{1 + c r^d} \]  
(VIII)

3.12 IR spectra, radiative efficiencies, and global warming potentials of Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃

The IR spectra in absorption cross section \( \sigma \) of Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ are shown in Fig. 12. The integrated absorption cross sections of the two spectra (550–2000 cm⁻¹) have been determined to be (2.51 ± 0.13) × 10⁻¹⁶ and (2.96 ± 0.15) × 10⁻¹⁶ cm molecule⁻¹, for Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃, respectively.

The global average atmospheric concentration of Cl atoms is generally low; approximately [Cl] = 1 × 10³ atom cm⁻³,29 which is 3 orders of magnitude lower than the average global of OH radical concentration of [OH] = 1 × 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³.30 Locally Cl atom concentrations can be significantly higher, e.g. [Cl] = 1.8 × 10⁴ atom cm⁻³ in the marine boundary layer, so in some cases the Cl atom the reactions can compete with the OH radical reaction.31 The rate coefficients of the reactions with OH radicals determined here are at a temperature of 296 ± 2 K, but the appropriate temperature used to estimate atmospheric lifetimes based on OH radical reactions is 272 K.32 This needs to be considered when estimating the atmospheric lifetimes of the two compounds. Baasandorj et al. performed their study of the kinetics of the reaction of Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + OH radicals at temperatures of 212–374 K and found an Arrhenius expression for temperatures < 300 K giving \( k_{19} = (5.19 ± 0.53) × 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at 272 K, showing a slight temperature dependence.7 This value is indistinguishable from our value for \( k_{19} \) determined at here at 296 K ± 2 K. It is assumed that the reaction of E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ + OH will have a similar temperature dependency. Hence, we use the rate coefficients determined in this study at 296 ± 2 K to estimate atmospheric lifetimes of Z- and E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃, of 27 and 67 days, respectively. Baasandorj et al. estimated the lifetime of Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ as 22 days, in reasonable agreement with our present estimate.7

Fig. 12 IR spectra of 1.30 mTorr Z-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ (panel A) and 2.25 mTorr E-CF₃CH=CHCF₃ (panel B). The insets show the linearity of the absorbance.
where \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) are constants with values of 2.962, 0.9312, 2.994, and 0.9302, respectively. The correction factors were calculated to be \( f(t) = 0.21 \) and 0.38, for \( Z \) and \( E \)-CF\(_3\)CH\(\equiv\)CHCF\(_3\) respectively. This gives effective values of the radiative efficiencies for \( Z \) and

![Chemical reaction diagram]

Fig. 13  Cl atom initiated degradation mechanism of \( Z \) and \( E \)-CF\(_3\)CH\(\equiv\)CHCF\(_3\). The observed products are indicated by the boxes.
E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ of 0.069 and 0.113 W m$^{-2}$ ppb$^{-1}$, respectively. The radiative efficiencies and atmospheric lifetimes are used in the calculation of the global warming potentials (GWPs) of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ using the following equation,

$$\text{GWP}(x(t')) = \frac{\int_0^{t'} F_x \exp(-t'/\tau_x) \text{d}t'}{\int_0^{t'} R_{CO_2} \text{d}t'}$$

(IX)

where $F_x$ is the radiative efficiency of $x$ (with an exponential decay, $t'$ is the time horizon (typically 20, 100, and 500 years), $R_{CO_2}$ is the radiative efficiency of CO$_2$, and $R(t)$ is a response function that describes the decay of an instantaneous pulse of CO$_2$. The GWP is calculated relative to CO$_2$ mass for mass, and the denominator in eqn (IX) is the absolute global warming potential (AGWP) values of CO$_2$. These have been determined to be AGWP(CO$_2$) = 2.49 $\times$ 10$^{-14}$, 9.17 $\times$ 10$^{-14}$, and 32.2 $\times$ 10$^{-14}$ W year$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$, ($= 0.196$, 0.722, and 2.534 W year$^{-1}$ ppb$^{-1}$) for 20, 100, and 500 year time horizons. Thus we estimate the GWPs for Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ to be 2, 2, and 2 for time horizons of 20, 100, and 500 years, respectively. The GWPs for E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ are estimated as 26, 7, and 2 for time horizons of 20, 100, and 500 years, respectively.

4. Conclusions and atmospheric impact

The present work provides a comprehensive description of the atmospheric chemistry and fate of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$. The kinetics of the reactions of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ with Cl atoms, OH radicals, OD radicals, and O$_3$ have been determined. This is the first kinetic study of E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ and the first determination of the rate coefficients of the reactions of Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ with Cl atoms and O$_3$. Using the obtained rate coefficients for the reactions with OH radicals we find that both Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ have short atmospheric lifetimes of 27 and 67 days, respectively. GWP values for both compounds are small; 2 and 7 for the 100 year time horizon for Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$, respectively. The Cl atom initiated degradation mechanism of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ is summarized in Fig. 13. For both Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ the primary degradation product is CF$_3$CHClC(O)CF$_3$. E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ gives CF$_3$CH(CIC(O)CF$_3$. In a yield indistinguishable from 100% and Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ gives (95 $\pm$ 10)% CF$_3$CHClC(O)CF$_3$ and (7 $\pm$ 1)% E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$, CF$_3$CHClC(O)CF$_3$ reacts with Cl atoms to give the secondary product CF$_3$ClC(O)CF$_3$ in a yield indistinguishable from 100%, co-formed with a CF$_3$ radical observed as C(O)CF$_3$ and CF$_3$O$_2$CF$_3$. Calculations were performed to investigate differences in the reactivities of Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ towards OH and OD radicals and the observed isomerization of Z-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ giving E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ in the experiments with Cl atoms. Energies of a pre-reaction complex, transition states and the formed alkyl radical were calculated for both alkynes for the reactions with OH and OD radicals as well as the energies of the alkyl radicals of the reaction of both alkynes with Cl atoms. The calculated energies are in good agreement with the experimental observations and in agreement with the observed reactivity trends. Based on the short atmospheric lifetimes, the small GWP values and the non-toxic products of the Cl atom initiated degradation, the atmospheric impact of both Z- and E-CF$_3$CH$\equiv$CHCF$_3$ is negligible. Further studies of the OH radical initiated products are needed to assess the atmospheric impact of the products formed by atmospheric degradation.
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