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Payroll data based description of working hours in the Danish regions

Anne Helene Garde, Johnni Hansen, Henrik A. Kolstad, Ann Dyreborg Larsen, Jacob Pedersen, Jindong Ding Petersen, and Åse Marie Hansen

ABSTRACT
The aim was to describe the organization of working hours in the Danish regions according to sex, age and calendar year. Based on the Danish Working Hour Database (DWHD), individuals were classified according to schedules: Permanent day (57.8%), evening (1.7%), or night (1.2%); day/evening (22.0%); day/night (6.6%); evening/night (0.6%); and day/evening/night (10.2%). More men (9.1%) than women (5.9%) worked day/night, whereas more women (10.9%) than men (7.4%) worked day/evening/night. More young than older employees worked day/evening/night, and fewer worked permanent day or night. From 2008 to 2015 we observed a trend towards more employees working permanent day and fewer employees working other schedules. Altogether DWHD provides a strong tool in research on working hours.
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Introduction
It is well documented that shift work, in particular when including night work, is associated with less and disturbed sleep, fatigue, injuries, and work-life interference (Åkerstedt & Wright, 2009; Jansen et al., 2004). Many studies suggest that shift workers have increased risk of cardiovascular disease, breast cancer, diabetes, and gastrointestinal disorders (Hansen et al., 2016; Kivimaki et al., 2011; Knutsson & Bøggild, 2010; Stevens et al., 2014; Vyas et al., 2012), but a causal relationship remains to be settled.

In order to study associations between working hours and health outcomes and trends in working hours over the years, large epidemiological cohorts with accurate assessment of working hours and diseases are needed, e.g. time of day, working hour duration, intensity, and rest periods between work periods are suggested to be captured in future epidemiological studies (Härma et al., 2015; Stevens et al., 2011). Questionnaires are in general not optimal for obtaining all this information retrospectively because of possible recall bias. In addition, workers with irregular working hours may be particularly difficult to reach rendering studies vulnerable for selective participation. Furthermore, with point estimates of working time, e.g. from questionnaires, little is known about changes in exposure during the follow-up time, which may result in misclassification of the working hours.

One efficient way to improve assessment of working hours is to use daily payroll information on starting and ending times, which may be used to assess different types of shifts, e.g. day, evening, and night shifts, as well as other relevant characteristics related to the scheduling of shifts, e.g. number of consecutive nights and duration of shifts (Garde et al., 2016; Härma et al., 2017). So far, payroll data has been used in a few studies with relatively small study groups and over a limited length of time (Fekedulegn et al., 2013; Garde et al., 2012; Ingre et al., 2012; Nabe-Nielsen et al., 2010; Vedaa et al., 2016) although two larger cohorts have recently been established in Finland (Härma et al., 2015; Härma et al., 2017) and Denmark (Garde et al., 2016; Vistisen et al., 2017). The Danish Working Hour Database (DWHD) provides payroll data for a large number
of employees in the Danish regions that has a major task to run the public hospitals. The aim of the present study was to provide an overall description of the organization of working hours in the Danish regions according to sex, age, and calendar year by use of DWHD.

**Methods**

The study population in DWHD includes all employees in the Danish administrative regions from 2007 (four regions) to 2008 (all regions). So far, there are data from 265 898 unique employees included in the cohort from 2007 to 2015. The number of unique employees for each year is shown in Table 1. A total of 68 840 employees (57% of the 2007 population), who were in the cohort in 2007, were also employed in the regions in 2015.

Linear regression analyses were used for trend analysis by use of the mixed procedure in SAS 9.4. The cohort was approved by The Danish Data Protection Agency (ref no: 2011–41-7046) and the study conforms to international ethical standards (Portaluppi et al., 2010).

**Working hour information**

Payroll data were obtained from two commercial providers of payroll systems: Silkeborg Data (SD; 4 regions; 2007–2013) and KMD (1 region; 2008–2013). This data comprised observations on an individual basis for all payment with description of type of payment. The initial data included 346 387 unique employees with 422 352 645 observations. All observations related to payments for clothing and diets only etc. were excluded leaving 345 260 unique employees. After excluding 79 362 employees without any observations of working hours the final cohort included 265 898 employees. The employees excluded in the last step were mainly clients, e.g. in handicap homes who received allowances, external teachers and invigilators at exams and were therefore not employees with regular working hours.

The DWHD includes information on regular working hours, overtime, and on-call work. Consecutive observations of working hours, e.g. a shift followed by overtime work at the same workplace were collapsed into one registration defined by the starting time and ending time if they were 60 minutes or less apart. Gaps of more than 60 minutes were considered time off and working hours prior and subsequent to the gap were recorded as two independent shifts. Thus there may be more than one shift per day. The DWHD also includes information on a daily basis about absence due to (a) sickness, (b) holidays, (c) maternity leave, (d) care days, and (e) work-related injury (not included in sickness absence). Care days are economically compensated days of leave due to taking care of a sick person often children’s first and/or second day of sickness. Data has been cleansed so that there are no double registrations, e.g. there is no overlap between periods of absence and (on-call) work. For this purpose the following priority was applied: work > absence due to holiday > injury > parental leave > sickness in family > own sickness > sick child > on-call work. DWHD furthermore includes information on sex and date of birth from the Danish personal identification number, as well as information related to workplace, e.g. job type, hospital, department, and degree of employment. Comparison with information from 4-week shift plans in paper from 157 employees (4088 shifts) from hospitals (N = 67), psychiatric wards (N = 68), and a handicap home (N = 22) showed that 99.6% of all shifts and 100% of absences were matched by date and time.

In the present study, 190 438 405 observations of working hours were included (4 097 926 on-call shifts were excluded). Shifts were classified as: Day (D; ≥3 hours between >06:00 and <21:00); Evening (E; ≥3 hours between ≥18:00 and <02:00); and Night (N; ≥3 hours between ≥23:00 and ≤06:00). The definitions were not mutually exclusive and shifts were following prioritised: night>evening>day. For each person and year, shifts were further classified into schedules based on the work by Härmä et al. (Härma et al., 2015; Härma et al., 2017): Permanent day: <6.7% evening shifts and <6.7% night shifts (corresponding to more than 130 day shifts and less than 10 evening and 10 night shifts for employees with 150 shifts/year); permanent evening: <6.7% D and <6.7% N; permanent night: <6.7% D and <6.7% E; day/ evening: ≥6.7% D, ≥6.7% E, and <6.7% N; day/ night: ≥6.7% D, <6.7% E, and ≥6.7% N; evening/ night: <6.7% D, ≥6.7% E, and ≥6.7% N per year;
Table 1. Distribution of employees with permanent day (D), evening (E), or night (N) work and shift work (day/evening (D/E), evening/night (E/N), evening/night (E/N), and day/ evening/night (D/E/N)) in the Danish regions by sex, age and job (2015) and calendar year (2007–2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D/E</th>
<th>D/N</th>
<th>E/N</th>
<th>D/E/N</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>68.51</td>
<td>2 120</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1 320</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>27 487</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt; 30 yrs</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3 513</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-50 yrs</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>1 536</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>15 320</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 50 yrs</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>3 290</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1 368</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>11 653</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td>Nursing personnel</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2 109</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1 583</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5 755</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2 124</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>27 687</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>48.16</td>
<td>1 688</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7 280</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2 950</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>27 687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>75.12</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3 030</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2356</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>32 744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>76.38</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3 096</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2417</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>33 868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>75.34</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3 245</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2 518</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>32 920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>74.67</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2 932</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2 315</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>30 971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>81.60</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2 655</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2 184</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>30 474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>85.64</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2 531</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2 158</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>31 415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>88.16</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2 528</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1 736</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>33 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>87.85</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2 571</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1 780</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>33 492</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimate(sd) | 2219(42.3) | -135.5(20.7) | -103.0(22.9) | -0.43(197) | -20.1(13.7) | -28.7(9.1) | -189(91.8) |

p-value* | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.998 | 0.192 | 0.020 | 0.085 |
and day/evening/night: \(\geq 6.7\% \) D, \(\geq 6.7\% \) E and \(\geq 6.7\% \) N.

**Results**

In 2015, 79\% of the employees were women, 17\% were aged <30 years, 48\% were 30–50 years, and 35\% were >50 years.

Table 1 shows that the cohort includes 140 000 or more employees/year from 2008 onwards. In 2015, 87 859 worked permanent day (57.8\%), and <2\% worked permanent evening (1.7\%) or night (1.2\%). Approx. 30 000 worked day/ evening (22.0\%) and 15 000 had a 3-shift schedule (10.2\%). More men (9.1\%) than women (5.9\%) worked day/night, whereas more women (10.9\%) than men (7.4\%) worked day/ evening/night in 2015. Employees <30 years of age were more likely to work day/ evening/night, and less likely to work permanent day or night than older employees. There is a small but significant trend towards more employees working permanent day and fewer employees working other schedules from 2008 to 2015.

**Discussion**

We found that almost half of the employees in the Danish regions work in non-standard schedules (not permanent day) with a small decrease in non-standard and an increase in daytime workers over the past 8 years. In the same period there has been a large focus on reducing health care expenses, e.g. by reducing time in hospital. As an example the number of days in hospital for women giving birth has been reduced from 5–10 days to 1–3 days for primiparae and 3–6 hours for multipara, and the number of beds in public hospitals has decreased from 18 141 in 2007 to 15 849 in 2013 corresponding to a reduction of 12.6\%. Correspondingly, the number of in-patients has decreased from 4.9 to 4.1 million/year and the number of out-patients has increased from 6.0 to 7.9 million/year, which may at least partially explain the changes in number of employees with evening and night work.

The accurate daily information on working hours is a major strength of the study. In addition to estimation of schedules as in this study, the DWHD provides the possibility to derive specific working hour arrangements, e.g. number of consecutive nights and duration of different shifts and prospectively link them to the studied outcomes in future studies. Another strength is the inclusion of a large number of employees, and that the cohort includes all employees in the regions with compulsory registration in the database. Further, all subjects can be individually linked with information from other Danish databases on health, early retirement and disability pension.

The validity regarding timing of working hours is expected to be high, since it is closely related to payment. In contrast, the validity of length of shifts and weekly working hours is expected to be somewhat under-estimated among, e.g. physicians and other academic personnel where unpaid extra working hours are more common. The database covers only employees in the regions, and there is no information on working hours for periods, where employees have left employment in the regions and worked elsewhere.

In the future, the DWHD may be used to improve the quality of research on health consequences of shift work by overcoming some of the limitations in previous studies. For outcomes which have short latency and are independent of previous working hours exposures, e.g. short term sickness absence and accidents, the DWHD offers the opportunity to use fixed effects models by comparing periods with e.g. night work to periods without night work within the same individual and thereby reducing potential bias due to individual factors. For long-term effects, e.g. cancer, the lack of information on working hour arrangement before 2007 is a limitation of DWHD. The day-to-day information collected over many years offers the opportunity to use advanced study designs have a wash-out period from previous night work by exclusion of employees with night work for a period before allocating into exposed and reference groups, thus using observational data to mimic trials (Hernan et al., 2008).

The detailed information on starting and ending time of working hours in the DWHD has been used to examine how different definitions affect the proportion of shifts classified as night shifts (Garde et al., 2016). It was concluded that the
proportion of classified night shifts differs very little when using definitions including a period of time during the night, e.g. at least 3 hours between 00:00 and 05:00 in this cohort (Garde et al., 2016). Risk of breast cancer with recent night work has been studied by linking the DWHD to seven Danish registries on an individual basis. No increased risk of all breast cancer was found among women who had night work during the past five years compared to women who did not (Vistisen et al., 2017). Current studies use DWHD to study cardiovascular disease, mental disorders, long and short term sickness absence, pregnancy related outcomes, and injuries in relation to specific work schedules, e.g. evening or night work, consecutive night shifts, quick returns (<11 hours between shifts), and permanent night work. Altogether DWHD provides a new strong tool in the research on how to arrange working hours in order to provide recommendations on the best way to organize working hours to employees, employers, work environmental professionals, and regulators.
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