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Change in Job Strain as a Predictor of Change in Insomnia Symptoms: Analyzing Observational Data as a Non-randomized Pseudo-Trial

Jaana I. Halonen, PhD; Tea Lallukka, PhD; Jaana Pentti, BSc; Sari Stenholm, PhD; Naja H. Rod, PhD; Marianna Virtanen, PhD; Paula Salo, PhD; Mika Kivimäki, PhD; Jussi Vahtera, MD

Study objectives: To examine whether change in job strain leads to change in insomnia symptoms.

Methods: Among 24873 adults (82% women, mean age 44 years) who participated in a minimum of three consecutive study waves (2000–2012), job strain was assessed at the first and second wave and insomnia symptoms at all three waves. We analyzed observational data as a “pseudo-trial” including participants with no job strain in the first wave and no insomnia symptoms in the first and second wave (n = 7354) to examine whether the onset of job strain between the first and second waves predicted the onset of insomnia symptoms in the third wave. We used a corresponding approach, including those with job strain in the first wave and insomnia symptoms in the first and second wave (n = 2332), to examine whether the disappearance of job strain between the first two waves predicted remission of insomnia symptoms in the third wave.

Results: The onset of job strain predicted the onset of subsequent insomnia symptoms after adjustment for sex, age, marital status, education, smoking, physical activity, alcohol consumption, body mass index, and comorbidities (odds ratio compared to no onset of job strain 1.32, 95% CI 1.16–1.51). The disappearance of job strain was associated with lower odds of repeated insomnia symptoms (odds ratio compared to no disappearance of job strain 0.78, 95% CI 0.65–0.94). Further adjustment for shift work or sleep apnea did not change these associations.

Conclusions: These results suggest that job strain is a modifiable risk factor for insomnia symptoms.
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INTRODUCTION

A two-dimensional job strain model was originally described by Karasek and further developed in collaboration between Karasek and Theorell. The model suggests that work-related psychosocial stress is likely to arise from a combination of high psychological demands (eg, an employee having to work intensively to a tight deadline) and low control (or low decision latitude, eg, not having a say in what tasks are to be done and how). This two-dimensional model has been widely used in research that focuses on psychosocial factors at work.

Some studies suggest that an additional third component, low social support, may further increase the disease risk, but this component refers to the quality of interpersonal relations at the workplace rather than the characteristics of the job.

Insomnia symptoms tend to increase with age and work-life stress has been linked with decreased sleep. Disturbed sleep is further linked to increased work disability and occupational accidents as well as ill-health. However, relatively little is known about the natural course of insomnia symptoms during work life, particularly about how changes in psychosocial risk factors such as job strain and its components, high job demands, and low job control, contribute to the onset or remission of insomnia symptoms.

There are some limitations to the longitudinal evidence on the association between psychosocial work factors and insomnia symptoms. Most of these studies have relied on data from two measurement points only while using several measurements would enable the study of temporal relationships and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the direction of the association. One study demonstrated an association between the transition from a non-high-strain job to a high-strain job and an increase in sleep-related complaints, although no association was observed between transitions from a high-strain to a non-high-strain job. A limitation of this study was that the temporal relationship between job strain and insomnia symptoms could not be established. Another longitudinal study found that, high job demands predicted subsequent disturbed sleep. However, we are not aware of any studies that have examined whether the disappearance of job strain could improve sleep quality.

To address some of these limitations, we sought to examine (1) whether the onset of job strain is associated with a subsequent onset of insomnia symptoms among those free of insomnia symptoms at baseline, and (2) whether the disappearance of job strain is associated with the remission of insomnia symptoms at follow-up among those with insomnia symptoms at baseline. To strengthen the assessment of the temporal order between the changes in job strain and insomnia symptoms, we analyzed observational data as non-randomized trials, using strict a priori inclusion and exclusion criteria.
METHODS

Study Population
The study population consisted of participants of the Finnish Public Sector (FPS) study, a prospective cohort study of employees from ten towns and six hospital districts in Finland. The original cohort included all participants who had worked in the participating organizations for a minimum of 6 months between 1991 and 2005. The cohort was refreshed to include all participants who were employed by the participating organizations at the time of the study surveys (study waves) conducted at 4-year intervals in 2000 (n = 48 598, response rate 68%), 2004 (n = 48 076, response rate 66%), 2008 (n = 52 891, response rate 71%), and 2012 (n = 53 133, response rate 69%). The selection of the participants for the analysis samples of this study is presented in a flow chart (Figure 1). The participants were from a wide range of occupations and varied from semi-skilled cleaners to administrative personnel and physicians. The ethics committees of the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health and the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa approved the FPS study.

Study Setting
We treated longitudinal observational data as non-randomized “pseudo-trials,” which mimic the selection process of clinical trials (Figure 2). To temporally distinguish the onset/remission of insomnia symptoms from changes in job exposures, our study required participation in three consecutive waves. This setting is in line with procedures used in previous studies and it enabled us to examine the direction of the associations in observational data by taking into account the temporal order of the onset/disappearance of job strain and the subsequent changes in insomnia symptoms.

The four study waves enabled us to create two nested cohorts (“pseudo-trials”) with the baseline in either 2000 (n = 18 432) or in 2004 (n = 17 757). Of the 24 287 participants with three

![Figure 1—Selection of study sample for non-randomized pseudo-trials. *not employed by a participating organization. †Selection criteria for the onset analysis: no job strain in first wave and no insomnia symptoms in first two waves; for the disappearance analysis: job strain in first wave and insomnia symptoms in first two waves.](https://academic.oup.com/sleep/article-abstract/40/1/zsw007/2706414)
consecutive measurements in either cohort, 11 902 had measurements from all four waves and thus contributed to two cohorts resulting in a total of 36 189 person-observations. For the analyses of the onset of job strain, we used information from participants who (1) reported no job strain (or its components high job demands and low job control) and no insomnia symptoms in the first wave, $T_x$, (2) either reported or did not report job strain, or its components, but in both cases still had no insomnia symptoms in the second wave, $T_{x+1}$, and (3) had information on insomnia symptoms from the third wave, $T_{x+2}$ (Figure 2A). In total, 7354 participants with three repeated measures were included in the two nested cohorts, providing 10 959 observations for the analysis. For the analyses of the disappearance of job strain, we included participants who

**A) Onset analysis:**

- $n$ participants = 7354

| Pseudo-trial 1 | start year 2000 | $n$ observations = 5594 |
| Pseudo-trial 2 | start year 2004 | $n$ observations = 5365 |
| Total $n$ observations = 10 959 |

| Survey wave 1 | 2000 |
| Survey wave 2 | 2004 |
| Survey wave 3 | 2008 |
| Survey wave 4 | 2012 |

$T_x$, participants with no job strain and no insomnia symptoms; $T_{x+1}$, onset of job strain but no insomnia symptoms; $T_{x+2}$, change in insomnia symptoms measured.

**B) Disappearance analysis:**

- $n$ participants = 2332

| Pseudo-trial 1 | $n$ observations = 1866 |
| Pseudo-trial 2 | $n$ observations = 1642 |
| Total $n$ observations = 3508 |

| Survey wave 1 | Ty |
| Survey wave 2 | $T_y+1$ |
| Survey wave 3 | $T_y+2$ |

$T_y$, participants with job strain and with insomnia symptoms; $T_{y+1}$, disappearance of job strain and with insomnia symptoms; $T_{y+2}$, change in insomnia symptoms measured.

Figure 2—Setting of pseudo-trials used in (A) onset analysis and (B) disappearance analysis.
(1) reported job strain (or its components high job demands and low job control) and insomnia symptoms at T2, (2) either reported or did not report job strain, but in both cases still had insomnia symptoms at T2, and (3) had information about insomnia symptoms at T2 (Figure 2B). In total, 2332 participants with three repeated measures were included in the two nested cohorts providing 3508 observations for the analysis.

Insomnia Symptoms
Information on insomnia symptoms was based on self-reported symptoms during the 4 weeks preceding each study wave. Insomnia symptoms were measured using the Jenkins Sleep Problem Scale with questions on difficulty falling asleep, difficulty maintaining sleep during the night, awakening too early in the morning, and non restorative sleep. Participants reported on the frequency (never, once/month, once/week, 2–4 times/wk, 5–6 times/wk, and nearly every night) of each insomnia symptom that we used to create a variable for “any insomnia symptom” based on the most frequent insomnia symptom reported by the participant. This variable was dichotomized using the response category of 2–4 times/wk as the cut point, which in the text is referred to as symptoms ≥2 versus <2 times/wk. This roughly corresponds to the cut-off point of 3 times/wk, which is used as one diagnostic criterion for an insomnia disorder in the DSM-5.

Job Strain and its Components
Job strain and its components were ascertained using questions from the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ) and measured in each study wave. The FPS study surveys included job control and job demands scales from the shorter version of the JCQ, thus information was available for only a selected set of questions. “Job demand” was based on three statements: “I have to work very hard,” “I am expected to perform excessive amounts of work,” and “I do not have enough time to get my job done.” “Job control” was based on nine statements about decision freedom and learning at work such as: “I can make independent decisions,” “My job requires learning new skills,” and “I have a lot of say regarding my duties.” Each item had five response categories from “Totally agree” to “Totally disagree.” Each participant’s mean response scores were calculated for job demand and job control. Those who reported higher than the median of the demands scores in the 2000 survey were defined as being exposed to high job demands. Those who reported lower than the median of the job control scores in the 2000 survey were defined as being exposed to low job control. The study-specific median is a commonly used cut-off point for two-dimensional job strain in epidemiological studies. The presence of “job strain” in each wave was defined as having high demands and a low control score based on the year 2000 cut-off points. The Cronbach α for the job control scale in these data was 0.82 (in six international data samples it has varied between 0.77 and 0.96). For the job demands scale, this index was 0.70 (in six international data samples for five demand items it has varied between 0.51 and 0.72).

The onset of job strain (high demands and low job control) was defined as reporting job strain (high demands and low job control) in the first wave, T1, but not in the second wave, T2.

Covariates
Covariates were drawn for the year of the baseline survey of each individual (T1 or T2 in Figure 1A and B), that is, from either 2000 or 2004. Information on sex, age, and marital status (married or cohabiting vs. other) was obtained from the employers’ registers. The highest educational degree (high = university degree, intermediate = high school or vocational school, low = comprehensive school) was obtained from Statistics Finland’s register of completed education through linkage using personal identification codes. From the baseline study wave questionnaires we obtained information on factors possibly affecting sleep: physical inactivity (based on weekly hours spent on leisure or commuting physical activity multiplied by the activity’s typical energy expenditure expressed as daily metabolic equivalent task hours, <14/wk was used as a cut-off point based on physical activity recommendations), smoking (current smoker vs. not), and heavy alcohol consumption (>16 drinks/wk for women, >21 drinks/wk for men corresponding to the medium risk levels of daily consumption set by the World Health Organization), comorbidities (“Has a doctor ever told you have any of the following conditions: asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, diabetes, or depression?” yes (at least one condition) vs. no), as well as height and weight. Using height and weight we calculated body mass index (BMI) as weight in kg/height in meters squared. Information regarding shift work (normal daily working hours vs. not) and sleep apnea (“Have you ever been diagnosed with sleep apnea?” yes vs. no) was also obtained from the questionnaires.

Statistical Methods
We examined whether job strain (a combination of high job demands and low job control) and the individual components of job strain predicted changes in insomnia symptoms. We used a generalized estimating equation (GEE)-based approach (PROC GENMOD in SAS) to account for within-person correlation from those participants who contributed observations to two nested “pseudo-trials.” Due to the observational nature of the FPS study, we were unable to randomize the exposure and we had to analytically adjust for possible confounders to approximate exchangeability between those who remained unexposed and those with onset of exposure between the first two waves. We used two analytical models: (1) a crude model adjusted for trial number only (Model 1), and (2) a model adjusted for trial number, sex and baseline age, marital status, education, physical inactivity, smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, BMI, and comorbidities. As a sensitivity analysis, we also adjusted for shift work and sleep apnea. These variables were only included as additional confounders because we wanted to keep the main model parsimonious and because they had no influence on the effect estimates. To examine the possibility of effect modification by sex or education, we tested the interactions between job strain and sex, and between job strain and education (job strain T3 × sex; job strain T3 × education). The results are presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).
using those with “no onset job strain” and “no disappearance of job strain” as the reference groups.

RESULTS
Descriptive statistics of the total study sample and the analytic samples for the onset and disappearance analyses are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the total population was 43.5 ($SD = 7.6$), of the onset analysis sample 42.9 ($SD = 7.5$) and that of the disappearance analysis sample 45.0 ($SD = 7.1$). The mean BMI of the population was 25.0 ($SD = 4.1$), that of the onset sample 24.9 ($SD = 4.0$), and that of the disappearance sample 25.5 ($SD = 4.3$).

The onset of high job demands, low job control, and job strain (combination of high job demands and low job control) were all predictive of new-onset insomnia symptoms. The $OR$s for the crude model are presented in Supplementary Table 1. Adjustment for the confounders did not change the estimates (Figure 3): the fully adjusted $OR$ for the onset of insomnia symptoms was 1.23 (95% CI 1.09–1.39, $p$ value .0001) after the onset of high job demands, 1.22 (95% CI 1.07–1.40, $p$ value .004) after the onset of low job control, and 1.32 (95% CI 1.16–1.51, $p$ value .0001) after the onset of job strain.

Table 1—Descriptive Statistics of All Participants and Those Included in the Onset and Disappearance Analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total (n = 24287)</th>
<th>Onset analysis$^a$ (n = 7354)</th>
<th>Disappearance analysis$^b$ (n = 2332)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>4431</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>19,856</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>5753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (missing)</td>
<td>(239)</td>
<td>(54)</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>5403</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cohabiting</td>
<td>18,645</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>5771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education (missing)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate</td>
<td>8499</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>2420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>13,748</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>4377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>(632)</td>
<td>(157)</td>
<td>(52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>19,518</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>5950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current</td>
<td>4137</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (missing)</td>
<td>(128)</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no/light</td>
<td>22,170</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>6869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moderate/heavy</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (missing)</td>
<td>(242)</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>active</td>
<td>18,286</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>5793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inactive</td>
<td>5759</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>1527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity (missing)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>17,441</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>5877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>6846</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>1477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift work (missing)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>16,177</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>5089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8110</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>2265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apnoea (missing)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>24,039</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>7319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in exposure$^c$</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$No exposure to job strain and no insomnia symptoms at baseline study wave.
$^b$Exposure to job strain and insomnia symptoms at baseline study wave.
$^c$Change in exposure status between wave 1 and 2.
p value <.0001) after the onset of job strain. Goodness of model fit improved with the adjustments; for example, the quasi-likelihood information criterion (QIC) for demands model without adjustments was 8170, whereas for the fully adjusted model the QIC was 7797, when smaller value indicates better model fit. All QICs for the onset models are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Among participants who reported repeated insomnia symptoms in the first two study waves, disappearance of high job demands, low job control, and job strain were associated with lower odds of repeated insomnia symptoms in the third wave. Adjustment for confounders did not change the crude estimates shown in Supplementary Table 2. The fully adjusted ORs for having repeated insomnia symptoms were 0.79 (95% CI 0.69–0.91, p value .001) among those who no longer experienced high job demands. Corresponding risk estimates were 0.80 (95% CI 0.68–0.94, p value .006) after disappearance of low job control, and 0.78 (95% CI 0.65–0.94, p value .008) after job strain disappeared (Figure 3). Goodness of fit values for all disappearance models are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

In the sensitivity analysis, additionally adjusting for shift work or sleep apnea did not change any of the results (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). The results obtained from the main analysis were not dependent on sex or education (in the test of interactions all p values > .26).

**DISCUSSION**

The novel approach used in this observational study allowed us to determine temporality between changes in job strain and insomnia symptoms with high protection against bias. We found that the onset of job strain was associated with an increased risk of a subsequent onset of insomnia symptoms. We also found that among those with insomnia symptoms in the first two waves, the disappearance of job strain was associated with lower odds of repeated insomnia symptoms in the third wave.

Our study can be considered methodically stronger than prior observational studies, due to the strict inclusion/exclusion criteria we applied, which permitted us to determine the temporality between the onset and disappearance of job strain and changes in insomnia symptoms. One way in which to approximate a test of causality in observational settings would be a case-crossover (or fixed effects) approach that uses data in which each participant has had a change in the outcome measure between the two measurement points making the participants cases as well as their own controls in the analysis. However, this approach would have been less valid for the current study, in which both exposure and outcome were self-reported at the same time. No previous studies on work and sleep disturbances have been conducted using the present approach and thus, the direction of the associations has so far remained uncertain. Nevertheless, results from previous studies with only two measurement time points support our findings, as they have generally suggested an association between job strain and insomnia symptoms. Previous FPS research has also linked low job control to an increased risk of insomnia symptoms, although one Swedish study did not observe such an association. Our study also confirmed the previous findings of a smaller-scale study suggesting that a transition from a non-high-strain to a high-strain job was associated with an increased risk of sleep complaints. Our study is among the first to examine whether the disappearance of job strain is associated with a reduction in insomnia symptoms. We are aware of only one study that has examined the transition from high-strain to non-high-strain job, but this study observed no association with sleep outcomes. With sleep measured only twice, the study could not examine the sequence of the changes in the exposure and sleep complaints. The potential mechanisms linking lower job strain to an increased...
likelihood of remission of insomnia symptoms included the alleviation of negative psychological processes that may underlie disturbed sleep, such as perceived stress and worries about work-related issues.38

**Strengths and Limitations**

The major strength of this study is its large study population with repeated measures of job strain and sleep. Although we could not randomize the exposure, we were able to control for a broad range of individual-level confounding factors.

The generalizability of our findings may be limited by the fact that the vast majority of the study population was of Caucasian ethnicity, and that the study focused on mainly female public sector employees in a country with high social welfare. A further limitation is the use of self-reported data on job strain and insomnia symptoms, which may cause concern regarding common method bias, that is, those who score high on a risk factor measure also tend to score high on the outcome measure. This type of bias was, however, less of a concern in a study that examined changes in job strain and sleep within the same individual.

Self-reported insomnia symptoms are not a specific measure of clinical insomnia, but are commonly used in epidemiological studies.12,20,21,37 We used a summary outcome of any insomnia symptoms, and to confirm the appropriateness of this approach, we conducted similar analyses for individual insomnia symptoms. The results were consistent with those presented. Although the temporal order of the exposure and the outcome were predefined by the study design, the time lag between the study waves was fixed at 4 years. Thus, it is possible that the changes in insomnia symptoms over such a long period of time after the onset/disappearance of job strain were affected by other unmeasured factors that occurred between the study waves.

**CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS**

Our results are consistent with the hypothesis that job strain may affect sleep and that the disappearance of job strain may reduce insomnia symptoms among those with sleeping problems. These findings have important implications for interventions and the prevention of insomnia specifically within the workplace. Job strain is modifiable through interventions involving increased employee participation, training at different levels of the organizational hierarchy, and job redesign.2,39 As work stress has been associated with poor sleep,11 and there is evidence to suggest that such interventions may reduce stress levels among employees,40,41 the alleviation of insomnia symptoms also seems plausible, a hypothesis supported by the present analysis, which mimicked a non-randomized trial design. However, further intervention studies are needed to examine this directly and to determine the extent to which the reduction of job strain might also affect the consequences of disturbed sleep, such as sickness absenteeism, work disability, and occupational accidents.42
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