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Abstract: Postprandial responses to food are highly dependent on the macronutrient composition of the diet. We investigated the acute effects of transition from the recommended moderately high carbohydrate (HC) diet towards a carbohydrate-reduced high-protein (CRHP) diet on postprandial glycemia, insulinemia, lipemia, and appetite-regulating hormones in non-diabetic adults. Fourteen subjects, including five males (Mean ± SD: age 62 ± 6.5; BMI 32 ± 7.6 kg/m²; hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) 40 ± 3.0 mmol/mol; HOMA2-IR 2.1 ± 0.9) were included in this randomized, cross-over study. Iso-caloric diets were consumed for two consecutive days with a median wash-out period of 21 days (range 2–8 weeks) between diets (macronutrient energy composition: CRHP/HC; 31%/54% carbohydrate, 29%/16% protein, 40%/30% fat). Postprandial glucose, insulin secretion rate (ISR), triglycerides (TGs), non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs), and satiety ratings were assessed after ingestion of breakfast (Br) and lunch (Lu), and gut hormones and glucagon were assessed after ingestion of Br. Compared with the HC diet, the CRHP diet reduced peak glucose concentrations (Br 11%, p = 0.024; Lu 11%, p < 0.001), glucose excursions (Br 80%, p = 0.20; Lu 85%, p < 0.001), and ISR (Br 31%; Lu 64%, both p < 0.001) whereas CRHP, as compared with HC, increased glucagon-like peptide-1 (Br 27%, p = 0.015) and glucagon values (Br 249%, p < 0.001). NEFA and TG levels increased in the CRHP diet compared with the HC diet after Br, but no difference was found after Lu (NEFA Br 22%, p < 0.01; TG Br 42%, p = 0.012). Beta-cell glucose sensitivity, insulin clearance, cholecystokinin values, and subjective satiety ratings were unaffected. It is possible to achieve a reduction in postprandial glycemia and insulin without a deleterious effect on beta-cell glucose sensitivity by substituting part of dietary carbohydrate with iso-caloric protein and fat in subjects without type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The metabolic effects are more pronounced after the second meal.
1. Introduction

Obesity, as well as impaired glucose tolerance with a pre-diabetic hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), are associated with increasing risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and coronary heart disease [1,2]. These conditions are often characterized by elevated circulating glucose and insulin concentrations [3,4]. Dietary interventions combined with increased physical activity remain the conventional first-line approaches to treatment of the pre-diabetic state [5,6]. Whereas the effects of weight loss and exercise develop gradually, a change in macronutrient composition might induce more immediate results as the postprandial response is affected acutely [7–9]. The macronutrient composition of the diet has been shown to play a significant role in satiety, gut hormone secretion, glucose metabolism, and insulin secretion in healthy adults and in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [7,8,10–12]. Intake of large amounts of carbohydrates with high glycemic index have been associated with development of T2DM and coronary heart disease in healthy adults [4,13–16]. As hyperinsulinemia can induce insulin resistance and postprandial hyperglycemia contributes to elevated HbA1c, dietary carbohydrate reduction may be beneficial for subjects at risk for developing T2DM by reducing postprandial excursions of glucose and insulin [4,17–20]. When reducing dietary carbohydrates under eucaloric conditions, protein and fat must be added to the diet. High-fat diets have been thought to cause increases in blood lipids and to increase the risk of coronary heart disease, although this has been highly debated [21–25].

The aim of the present mechanistic study was to examine the acute effects on glucose and lipid metabolism of a carbohydrate-reduced high-protein (CRHP) diet compared with an energy-matched currently recommended high-carbohydrate (HC) diet [26,27] in obese and non-obese subjects with normal or pre-diabetic HbA1c in subsequent meals. Breakfast and lunch meals were chosen to assess second-meal phenomenon, as the difference in duration from the last meal is the greatest for these two meals during the day. Moreover, incretin hormones, satiety, and gut hormones related to satiety were measured, as they play a role in postprandial satiety and glucose homeostasis [28,29].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

The study was designed as a, controlled, cross-over study with two arms where the subjects received the CRHP and the HC diets in randomized order. Each diet was provided for two consecutive days with a wash-out period of 2 to 8 weeks between interventions. Randomization was performed by a third-party study nurse by drawing blinded ballots. Participants were provided with breakfast and lunch to be ingested at the study site (Endocrine Research Unit at Copenhagen University Hospital at Bispebjerg, Copenhagen, Denmark), while dinner and pre- and post-dinner snacks were provided to be consumed at home. The breakfast and lunch meals also served as mixed meal tests (MMT). Diets were the same on day 1 and day 2. The evening prior to the intervention days, subjects were provided a standardized dinner to be ingested at home. Thirty percent of the subject’s calculated energy expenditure was ingested at breakfast, 30% at lunch and 30% at dinner. The remaining 10% percent was ingested as pre- and post-dinner snacks respectively. For the three days immediately before the interventions, subjects were asked to adjust their carbohydrate intake to 150–300 g daily and to refrain from strenuous physical activity and alcohol intake. On intervention days, no tea or coffee was allowed, and only sedentary activities were permitted. The methods employed for the present study were the same as employed and described in detail in an earlier study of subjects with type 2 diabetes [8]. The study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (ID:NCT02472951) and was approved by The Danish National Committee on Health Research Ethics in accordance with the Helsinki II declaration.
Before any study related procedures were initiated, written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. All subjects were non-smokers and all female participants were post-menopausal. All subjects were weight-stable prior to and throughout the study. Subjects with critical illness, renal or liver disease, steroid treatment, food allergy or intolerance, gut disease, or alcohol abuse were excluded.

2.2. Diet Compositions

The CRHP diet consisted of 31% energy from carbohydrates, 29% energy from protein, and 40% energy from fat, compared with 54% from carbohydrate, 16% from protein, and 30% from fat in the HC diet (Table A1). The diets were energy-matched and weighed out individually for each subject according to their estimated daily caloric expenditure, by trained kitchen personnel at Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg. Each subject’s daily energy requirement was assessed by calculation of daily resting energy expenditure (REE) based on a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry scan (Lunar iDXA; GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA) [30]. As subjects were sedentary throughout the intervention days, REE was multiplied with a physical activity level of 1.4 to calculate daily total energy expenditure (TEE).

The Mixed Meal Tests

After a 10–12 h overnight fast, subjects reported to the Endocrine Research Unit and were placed in a reclining position after voiding the bladder and being weighed. A venous catheter was placed in an antecubital vein to draw blood samples at time points: −10, 0, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, 240, 270, 280, 290, 300, 315, 330, 360, 390, 420, and 450 min. Breakfast was ingested between times 0–30 min and lunch between times 270–300 min. Glucose, insulin, C-peptides, non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs), and triglycerides (TGs) were measured at all time points, while glucagon, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), and cholecystokinin (CCK) were measured at the following time points: 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, and 240 min. Satiety was assessed at 0, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 270, 300, 300, 330, 390, and 450 min and expressed as a composite satiety score (CSS) based on 100-mm visual analogue scales (VAS) with four questions to integrate appetite sensations into one mean index (range 0–100 mm) [7,8,31,32].

2.3. Analytical Procedures

Of each blood sample drawn, the first 2 mL were discarded. Serum was obtained by collecting blood in clot activator tubes left for 30 min at room temperature before centrifugation and plasma was prepared from blood sampled in pre-chilled EDTA tubes, which were centrifuged immediately at 4 °C. Insulin, C-peptides, NEFA, and TG values were analyzed in serum, while glucose, GLP-1, glucagon, and CCK values were analyzed in plasma. Analytical methods have been described in detail previously [8]. In short, glucose was analyzed with YSI 2300 STAT plus (Yellow Spring Instruments). Insulin and C-peptides were analyzed with the IMMULITE 200 Immunoassay System (Siemens Healthcare). NEFA values were analyzed with the ACS-ACOD Method [33,34] by using a commercially available reagent (Wako, NEFA-HR (2), Wako Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany). TG values were analyzed using an enzymatic colorimetric analysis on the Cobas 8000 modular analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA), standardized against isotope-dilution mass spectrometry [35]. Plasma samples were extracted with ethanol (70% v/v), for analysis of GLP-1 and glucagon. Antiserum code no. 89390 was used to measure GLP-1 [36], antiserum code no. 4305 was used to measure glucagon [37] and antiserum code no. 92128 to measure CCK [38].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Means of both days on each diet were calculated. For all fourteen subjects, results, graphs and statistical analyses are presented as means of the two consecutive days on each diet. Fasting concentrations were measured at time 0 min or as a mean of time −10 and 0 min when applicable (glucose, insulin, C-peptides, NEFAs, and TGs). The trapezoidal rule was used to calculate area under curve (AUC) and by subtracting area below individual fasting concentrations from AUC,
netAUC was calculated. Peak concentrations were identified for each individual for all variables (except for NEFA where the nadir concentration was identified). A software program, ISEC (Insulin SEcretion), was used to calculate prehepatic insulin secretion rates (ISR) by deconvolution of C-peptide concentrations [39,40]. As a measure of initial β-cell glucose sensitivity (β-GS), change in ISR per unit change in glucose concentration (ΔISR/Δglucose) from baseline to peak glucose concentration was calculated for each subject during the breakfast and lunch meals, respectively. Insulin clearance during meals was calculated as an index (AUCinsulin/AUCISR).

If data followed a Gaussian distribution, as determined by the Shapiro–Wilk normality test, results are presented as means with their standard errors (SEM) and if not, results are presented as medians and interquartile range (IQR). Student’s paired t-test was used to calculate simple differences (AUC, peaks etc.) between diets, and Wilcoxon-matched pairs signed rank test was used if a Gaussian distribution was not found. After subtracting baseline values, two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with time and treatment as repeated measures and subjects as fixed effects were used to test for postprandial differences at different time points. To adjust for multiple comparisons at each time point post hoc, Bonferroni’s multiple comparison adjustment was used. Significance level was set to \( p = 0.05 \).

Graphpad Prism for Windows (version 7.02, 13 September 2016; Graphpad software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for statistical analyses and graphical presentations.

3. Results

3.1. Subjects

Fourteen subjects ranging from normal weight-to-obese (BMI range: 22.7–49.3 kg/m\(^2\)) without T2DM (HbA\(_{1c} \) range: 35–45) were included in the study (Table 1).

No differences were found in fasting concentrations on the different treatment days on any of the measured variables.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject (no.)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>BMI (^2) (kg/m(^2))</th>
<th>HbA(_{1c} ) (^3) (mmol/mol)</th>
<th>Fasting PG (^4) (mmol/L)</th>
<th>HOMA2-IR (^5)</th>
<th>TEE (^6) (MJ/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>5 M/9 F</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>45–70</td>
<td>5 M/9 F</td>
<td>78–138</td>
<td>22.7–49.3</td>
<td>35–45</td>
<td>5.0–6.6</td>
<td>1–3.6</td>
<td>8.3–13.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Male/female; \(^2\) body mass index; \(^3\) hemoglobin \(A_{1c}\); \(^4\) Plasma glucose; \(^5\) HOMA2 Calculator Version 2.2.3 (University of Oxford, Oxford, UK); \(^6\) Total energy expenditure.

3.2. Glucose

An interaction between time and treatment was found in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of glucose (\( p < 0.001 \)). The CRHP diet reduced peak glucose concentrations by 11% (0.8 ± 0.3 mmol/L, \( p = 0.024 \)) after ingestion of breakfast and by 11% (0.9 ± 0.2 mmol/L, \( p < 0.001 \)) after ingestion of lunch, respectively, compared with the HC diet. On both diets, peak glucose concentrations were reached 45 min after ingestion of breakfast and lunch, respectively (Figure 1A).
A non-significant reduction in glucose breakfast AUC of 6% and netAUC of 80% was found on the CRHP compared with HC diet (0.3 ± 0.2 mmol/L × 270 min, p = 0.13, and 0.3 ± 0.2 mmol/L × 270 min, p = 0.20, respectively). Compared with intake of the HC diet, the CRHP diet reduced lunch glucose AUC by 12% (0.8 ± 0.1 mmol/L × 180 min, p < 0.001) and netAUC by 85% (0.8 ± 0.2 mmol/L × 180 min, p < 0.001).

3.3. Insulin

An interaction between time and treatment was found in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of insulin (p < 0.001). The CRHP diet reduced median peak insulin concentration by 32% (201 (IQR 12–331) pmol/L, p = 0.042) after ingestion of breakfast and by 33% (154 (IQR 62–243) pmol/L, p = 0.005) after ingestion of lunch, respectively, compared with the HC diet. On both diets, peak insulin concentration was reached 60 min after ingestion of breakfast and after 60 min on the CRHP diet compared with 45 min on the HC diet after ingestion lunch (Figure 1B).

Compared with the HC diet, ingestion of the CRHP diet reduced breakfast median insulin netAUC by 29% (51 (IQR 21–104) pmol/L × 270 min, p = 0.049) and lunch median insulin netAUC by 63% (123 (IQR 69-188) pmol/L × 180 min, p < 0.001), respectively.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1.** Mean ± SEM 7.5-h concentrations of glucose (A) and insulin (B) in 14 non-diabetic subjects after intake of a CRHP or HC breakfast and lunch, respectively (mean of two consecutive days on each diet). * Significant difference (p < 0.05) between the HC and CRHP diet. HC: high carbohydrate; CRHP: carbohydrate-reduced high-protein.

3.4. C-Peptides

An interaction between time and treatment was found in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of C-peptides (p < 0.001). The CRHP diet reduced peak C-peptide concentrations by 23% (802 ± 183 pmol/L, p < 0.001) after ingestion of breakfast and by 29% (1026 ± 103 pmol/L, p < 0.001) after ingestion of lunch, respectively, compared with the HC diet. Peak C-peptide concentration was reached 60 min after ingestion of both breakfast and lunch, respectively, on both diets (Figure 2A).

Compared with intake of the HC diet, the CRHP diet reduced breakfast C-peptide netAUC by 31% (432 ± 97 pmol/L × 270 min) and lunch C-peptide netAUC by 63% (890 ± 139 pmol/L × 180 min) (both p < 0.001).

3.5. Insulin Secretion Rate

As ISR was calculated by deconvolution of C-peptides, an interaction between time and treatment was found in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of ISR (p < 0.001). The CRHP diet, as compared with the HC diet, reduced peak ISR by 27% (3.5 ± 0.7 pmol/kg per min) after ingestion of breakfast and
by 31% (3.6 ± 0.4 pmol/kg per min) after ingestion of lunch (both \( p < 0.001 \)). Peak ISR was reached 60 min after ingestion of both breakfast and lunch on both diets (Figure 2B).

Intake of the CRHP diet, as compared with HC diet, reduced breakfast ISR netAUC by 31% (1.3 ± 0.2 pmol/L × 270 min, \( p < 0.001 \)) and lunch ISR netAUC by 64% (3.3 ± 0.5 mmol/L × 180 min, \( p < 0.001 \)).

![Figure 2](image-url) *Figure 2. Mean ± SEM 7.5-h concentrations of C-peptides (A) and ISR (B) in 14 non-diabetic subjects after intake of a CRHP or HC breakfast and lunch, respectively (mean of two consecutive days on each diet). * Significant difference (\( p < 0.05 \)) between the HC and CRHP diet. HC: high carbohydrate; CRHP: carbohydrate-reduced high-protein; ISR: insulin secretion rate.*

### 3.6. β-Cell Glucose Sensitivity and Insulin Clearance

No difference in initial β-GS was found after ingestion of CRHP compared with HC breakfast (5.7 ± 1.0 vs. 5.1 ± 0.6 pmol/kg per min per mM, \( p = 0.60 \)) or lunch (5.3 ± 0.8 vs. 4.3 ± 0.6 pmol/kg per min per mM, \( p = 0.34 \)), respectively.

No difference in insulin clearance index was found after ingestion of the CRHP compared with HC breakfast (44 (IQR 28–67) vs. 42 (IQR 32–64) kg/l × min, \( p = 0.43 \)) or lunch (40 (IQR 30–62) vs. 40 (IQR 30–54) kg/L × min, \( p =0.33 \)), respectively.

### 3.7. Non-Esterified Fatty Acids

An interaction between time and treatment was found in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of NEFA (\( p < 0.001 \)). The CRHP diet increased nadir NEFA concentration by 20% (0.30 ± 0.02 vs. 0.25 ± 0.02 mmol/L, \( p = 0.027 \)) after ingestion of breakfast and by 29% (0.37 ± 0.03 vs. 0.29 ± 0.02 mmol/L, \( p = 0.001 \)) after ingestion of lunch, respectively, compared with the HC diet. Nadir NEFA concentration was reached after 90 min on the CRHP diet compared with after 120 min on the HC diet after ingestion of breakfast and 120 min after ingestion of lunch on both diets, respectively (Figure 3A).

Compared with intake of the HC diet, the CRHP diet increased breakfast median NEFA netAUC by 22% (0.06 (IQR 0.01–0.08) mmol/L × 270 min, \( p = 0.005 \)). No difference was found in lunch NEFA netAUC between diets.

### 3.8. Triglycerides

An interaction between time and treatment was found in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of TG (\( p < 0.001 \)). No difference in peak TG concentrations was found between diets after ingestion of either breakfast or lunch. Peak TG concentration was reached after 240 min on the CRHP diet compared with after 210 min on the HC diet after ingestion of breakfast and after 30 min on the CRHP diet compared with 45 min on the HC diet after ingestion of lunch, respectively (Figure 3B).

Compared with intake of the HC diet, the CRHP diet increased breakfast TG netAUC by 42% (0.12 ± 0.04 mmol/L × 270 min, \( p = 0.012 \)). No difference was found in lunch TG AUC between diets.
3.9. Glucagon-Like Peptide-1

An interaction between time and treatment was found in the 4-h repeated measures analysis of GLP-1 ($p < 0.001$). GLP-1 concentrations were significantly higher 90–240 min after ingestion of the CRHP compared with the HC breakfast. Peak concentration was reached 120 min after ingestion of both diets. A 17% (4.2 ± 1.9 pmol/L, $p = 0.045$) higher peak was found in the plasma concentration of GLP-1 after ingestion of the CRHP compared with HC breakfast (Figure 4A). Likewise, the GLP-1 netAUC value was 27% (3.2 ± 1.1 pmol/L × 240 min, $p = 0.015$) higher after ingestion of the CRHP compared with the HC breakfast.

3.10. Glucagon

A time-treatment interaction was found in the 4-h repeated measures analysis of glucagon ($p < 0.001$). Compared with the HC diet, ingestion of the CRHP breakfast significantly increased glucagon concentration at all time points, increased peak concentration by 39% (7.2 ± 1.2 pmol/L, $p < 0.001$) and netAUC by 249% (7.5 ± 1.2 pmol/L, $p < 0.001$) (Figure 4B).

3.11. Cholecystokinin

A time-treatment interaction was found in the 4-h repeated measures analysis of CCK ($p < 0.01$). Compared with the HC diet, ingestion of the CRHP breakfast significantly increased CCK concentration.
at individual time points 180 and 240 min, although no significant differences were found in peak concentration or netAUC between diets (Figure 5A).

3.12. Composite Satiety Score

A trend for interaction was found between time and treatment in the 7.5-h repeated measures analysis of CSS ($p = 0.057$). At individual times of measurement, satiety was significantly higher 180 and 240 min after ingestion of the CRHP compared with HC breakfast. No difference in peak satiety score was found between diets. On both diets, peak satiety was reached 30 min after ingestion of breakfast and lunch, respectively (Figure 5B). No difference between diets was found in CSS breakfast or lunch netAUC.

3.13. Explorative Analyses

No correlation was found between HOMA2-IR, BMI, HbA$_{1c}$, or fasting glucose and effect size of reduction in postprandial glucose excursion or insulin secretion (Spearman $p > 0.2$ for all). No difference was found in effect size of reduction in postprandial glucose excursion or insulin secretion after stratification of subjects in two equal size groups based on HbA$_{1c}$ (35–40 and 41–45), BMI (<30 and >30), and fasting glucose (<6 and >6) (all $p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

The major new finding in this study in obese and non-obese subjects with prediabetes or normal glucose tolerance was a highly significant and clinically relevant acute reduction in postprandial insulin and C-peptide responses on a diet reduced in carbohydrate and increased in protein and fat. This was found both after ingestion of breakfast and lunch without altering β-cell glucose sensitivity and insulin clearance. Furthermore, the postprandial glucose excursion was reduced following lunch and glucose peak concentrations were reduced following both breakfast and lunch meals. This is important as postprandial glucose excursions contribute relatively more to HbA$_{1c}$ in subjects with lower fasting glucose concentrations [17,18] and may suggest that remission of prediabetes can be achieved with a carbohydrate-reduced high-protein diet in obese adults [19].

We have previously shown that the hallmark of early T2DM, i.e., elevated glucose and insulin excursions following meals, can be ameliorated by a reduction in dietary carbohydrate content with iso-caloric replacement of fat and protein [8]. The findings in the present study show that similar effects may also be found in subjects without T2DM. Reduction of postprandial hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia...
may be an effective strategy to prevent development of insulin resistance and obesity in subjects at risk, as both have been associated with obesity and development of diabetes [16,41–45]. Although more research is needed to establish a causal relation, hyperinsulinemia has been associated with a plethora of pathological conditions, e.g., hypertension, malignancy, stroke and coronary heart disease, implying a possible benefit of less insulinogenic diets for subjects at risk for these conditions [42,46–50]. Furthermore, it is important to note that no acute adverse effect was found in β-cell glucose sensitivity on the CRHP diet despite a reduced insulin excursion and a reduced suppression of circulating NEFA concentrations after breakfast.

NEFA levels were less suppressed after intake of the CRHP compared with HC at breakfast, while both diets elicited a similar postprandial decrease of NEFA after ingestion of lunch. The difference in postprandial NEFA excursions following breakfast can be partly explained by the suppression of lipolysis and increased re-esterification of NEFA by insulin [51,52], while the excursion following lunch must be interpreted together with the excursion in TG because insulin also increases lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity after a delay, causing some NEFAs from TG-rich lipoproteins to ‘spill-over’ back to the circulation at the site of action, i.e., the luminal surface of endothelial cells in capillaries [33–57]. Much to our surprise, the same trend was found in the postprandial triglyceride excursions, i.e., the postprandial TG excursion was increased by the CRHP as compared with the HC meal at breakfast but not lunch. Previous studies suggested this phenomenon to be caused by an increased postprandial insulin response to the HC diet, resulting in increased hepatic production rate of very low-density lipoprotein-TGs (VLDL-TGs). An increase in VLDL-TGs in turn reduces clearance of chylomicron-TG from the second meal, as both are cleared by LPL in a competitive manner [58–60]. The present study underscores the importance of interpreting these two important energy substrates in man in conjunction and during several meals to achieve a sufficient duration to assess the underlying hormonal regulation. Accordingly, a single meal test may be misleading and confound interpretation of the effect of the dietary interventions evaluated. This seemingly paradoxical pattern in postprandial TG excursions on sequential meals may explain the reduced fasting concentrations of TG on low carbohydrate diets in long term trials found in a recent review [61].

As expected, glucagon responses were increased by the CRHP compared with HC diet due to the higher content of protein, as seen in previous studies [7,8,62]. GLP-1 responses also increased, reflecting the fact that both protein and fat are potent stimuli for GLP-1 secretion. In our previous study in subjects with T2DM, the CRHP diet, as compared with HC diet, did not increase GLP-1 levels, leading us to speculate if the GLP-1 response to protein and fat ingestion might be blunted in subjects with T2DM [8]. As both glucagon and GLP-1 have been associated with satiation [7,63,64], these responses could perhaps explain the higher satiety scores found at 180 and 240 min after ingestion of breakfast on the CRHP diet in the present study. Furthermore, the increase in GLP-1 secretion may, in part, explain the maintained β-cell glucose sensitivity despite the reduced glucose excursion after CRHP diet ingestion. The CCK response was increased 180 and 240 min after ingestion of the CRHP compared with HC breakfast due to increased fat content [65] in the CRHP (40E%) compared with HC (30E%) diet and coincided with the increase in satiety scores at these time points. Interestingly, we found, in our previous study, that CCK was increased to a larger extent by a CRHP compared with HC diet in subjects with T2DM concurrently with increased satiety. The putative mechanism might be an exacerbated response of CCK and thus satiety to increased fat in subjects with T2DM [9].

A limitation of the present study is the broad metabolic range of subjects regarding glucose metabolism, which could confound the findings and interpretation of results, but no correlation was found between degree of impaired glucose tolerance (e.g., HOMA2-IR, HbA1c, or fasting glucose) and effect size of reduction in postprandial glucose excursion or insulin secretion, though. A strength of the present study is the measurement of postprandial responses to two subsequent meals, as the response to a second meal can differ from the response to the first meal, as discussed above.
5. Conclusions

Our group has previously found reduced hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia and increased satiety on a CRHP diet in subjects with T2DM. The present study suggests a similar promising effect in non-diabetic subjects. Future studies are needed to evaluate the complete diurnal postprandial effects of a shift in macronutrient composition in subjects with and without T2DM and long-term studies are needed to evaluate whether these effects are sustained or even amplified over time. As adherence is a major issue in dietary trials, long-term studies should emphasize the importance of adherence, for instance through diet provision, in evaluations of the true potential for carbohydrate-reduced diets to prevent or treat T2DM.
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Appendix

Table A1. Macronutrient composition and ingredients of the test meals standardized at 10 MJ/day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CRHP 1 Diet</th>
<th>HC 2 Diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breakfast</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (E%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (E%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (E%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g/MJ)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingredients (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>192.3</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive oil</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rye flour yoghurt topping</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ham</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyr (icelandic yoghurt) with vanilla</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry jam</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almond</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk, acidophilus cultured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>198.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lunch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (E%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (E%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (E%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g/MJ)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (g)</th>
<th>CRHP 1 Diet</th>
<th>HC 2 Diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>137.3</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive oil</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>142.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring onion</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell pepper</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>245.3</td>
<td>142.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feta cheese</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chick peas</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesto</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 CRHP, carbohydrate-reduced high-protein; 2 HC, high carbohydrate.
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