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Abstract

Foliar application of zinc (Zn) to crops is an effective way to increase the grain concentration of Zn. However, the development of more efficient foliar Zn fertilizers is limited by a lack of knowledge regarding the distribution, mobility, and speciation of Zn in leaves once it is taken up by the plant. We performed an experiment using radiolabelled Zn ($^{65}$Zn), and in situ time-resolved elemental imaging using synchrotron X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XFM), to investigate the behaviour of two commonly used Zn foliar fertilizers (Zn sulphate and ZnEDTA) in wheat (Triticum aestivum) leaves. Both experiments showed that Zn had limited mobility in leaves, moving <25 mm from the application point after 24 h. Although limited, the translocation of Zn occurred quickly for both treatments; moving more between 3 h and 12 h after application than between 12 h and 24 h. Speciation analysis using synchrotron-based X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) showed that ZnEDTA was in fact taken up in chelated form and not as ionic Zn ($\text{Zn}^2+$). The XANES data also showed that Zn, from both treatments, was then complexed by ligands in the leaf (e.g. phytate and citrate), potentially in response to localized Zn toxicity. The results of the present study provide important insights into the behaviour of commonly used foliar-applied Zn fertilizers, and can be used to optimize current fertilization strategies and contribute to the development of more efficient foliar Zn fertilizers.
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Introduction

Nearly half of the world’s agricultural soils contain inadequate levels of available zinc (Zn), resulting in Zn deficiency in one-third of the global human population (Alloway, 2008a; International Zinc Association, 2010). Zn deficiency in humans is particularly problematic for women and children in developing countries (Kumssa et al., 2015) where the majority of food intake consists of cereal grains (Prasad, 1996; Zou et al., 2012). In staple food crops such as cereals, low concentrations of Zn are caused not only by low soil concentrations but also by the low bioavailability of Zn in soil (Alloway, 2008a).

It was recently proposed that the agricultural sector provides the only sustainable solution to Zn deficiency in humans...
globally' (Cakmak et al., 2017). Soils with low concentrations of available Zn can be improved by adding Zn fertilizers, but this strategy can be ineffective and expensive in highly Zn-fixing soils (Graham and Rengel, 1993). Therefore, to avoid these issues, but still increase grain Zn concentrations, foliar Zn application can also be used (Cakmak, 2008; Zou et al., 2012; Prasad et al., 2014). This method can supplement the nutrient supply, overcoming the problem of low availability of soil nutrients. However, the factors that influence the effectiveness of foliar application are not well understood (Fernández and Eichert, 2009), including how the chemical form in which the nutrient is applied affects its uptake, translocation, and overall efficacy.

Zinc sulphate (ZnSO₄) is the most commonly used inorganic form of soil-applied Zn fertilizer, whereas ZnEDTA is the most commonly used chelated source (Alloway, 2008b). In some soils, the availability of chelated Zn is at least double that of ZnSO₄, however, it is also 5–10 times more expensive (Boawn, 1973; Karak et al., 2005; Alloway, 2008b). When ZnSO₄ and ZnEDTA fertilizers are used for foliar application, it is unclear which treatment is more efficacious; results vary depending on what parameter is used to define ‘agricultural efficacy’. Some studies have shown that foliar-applied ZnEDTA is more effective than ZnSO₄ for increasing grain yield if applied before the tillering stage (Brennan, 1991). However, when dry shoot biomass was used as the measure of agricultural effectiveness, Haslett et al. (2001) found a minimal difference between foliar-applied ZnEDTA and ZnSO₄. When comparing the effects of ZnEDTA and ZnSO₄ on Zn grain concentration in different plant species, the results are also inconclusive. For example, in rice (Oryza sativa L.), the increase in the grain Zn concentration was significantly higher for ZnSO₄ than for ZnEDTA (P<0.05) (Wei et al., 2012), whereas in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.), ZnEDTA resulted in a higher Zn seed content (Kayan et al., 2015).

These past studies are difficult to compare directly as each study used different plants species (at different growth stages) under various environmental conditions (Fernández and Brown, 2013). Furthermore, the experimental methods that were used—total Zn content analysis using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Wei et al., 2012) or atomic absorption spectroscopy (Brennan, 1991), and grain or crop yield (Brennan, 1991)—are not ideally suited to understanding the details of foliar absorption, translocation, and speciation of the different sources of Zn. As a result, the pathways and mechanisms of micronutrient uptake through leaves remain poorly understood, and there is uncertainty regarding the translocation and speciation of nutrients once they penetrate the leaf surface (Fernández, 2013). This lack of knowledge limits the refinement of fertilization strategies and the development of more effective formulations.

This study aims to provide detailed information on the mobility, distribution, and speciation of foliar-applied Zn in wheat using ⁶⁵Zn-spiked solutions and state-of-the-art synchrotron techniques. Specifically, the objectives of this study are to: (i) assess and quantify the mobility of Zn applied to leaves as ZnSO₄ or ZnEDTA using a radioisotope tracing technique and synchrotron-based X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XFM); and (ii) identify the chemical speciation of Zn, within leaf tissues to which Zn has been applied in ionic or chelated form (ZnSO₄ and ZnEDTA, respectively) using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS).

Ultimately, this experiment will test the hypotheses that ZnEDTA will be taken up more readily than ZnSO₄ and be more mobile, and that ZnEDTA will be taken up and redistributed as the chelated complex.

Materials and methods

Uptake and translocation of zinc using radioisotopes

Two foliar fertilizers, ZnSO₄ and ZnEDTA, were applied as aqueous solutions at the five-leaf growth stage (GS15) (Zadoks et al., 1974). Each treatment was applied to a separate leaf and there were four replicates per treatment (i.e. four leaves per treatment). Both treatments had a Zn concentration of 1000 mg l⁻¹, typical of agricultural Zn foliar sprays (Cakmak and Kutman, 2018), and were labelled with ⁶⁵Zn at a rate of 2 Bq kg⁻¹. To ensure that the ZnEDTA was properly labelled with the Zn radioisotope, ⁶⁵Zn solution was added to the ZnSO₄ solution before EDTA was added. Both fertilizer solutions were adjusted to pH 6 using 100 mM NaOH and contained Tween-20 (0.05%, v/v) as a surfactant. Prior to fertilizer treatment, the leaves were marked with a permanent marker 20 mm from the tip. Three days later, the plants were removed from the growing chamber and the leaves were dipped in the fertilizer solutions up to this mark for 30 s. Plants were then immediately returned to the growing chamber. After 24 h, the fertilizer solutions had dried out on the leaf, and the leaf tip was cut from the leaf where it had been marked. The remainder of the leaf was then cut into fragments to detect the movement of applied Zn away from the application area. The first fragment was cut 3 mm from the point of application (i.e. 23 mm from the tip), followed by another nine fragments collected as follows: three fragments at 3 mm, two fragments at 4 mm, and four fragments at 5 mm (10 sections in total).

The ⁶⁵Zn activity of the samples was analysed using gamma spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer 2480 WIZARD® Automatic Gamma Counter). Each leaf fragment was placed in a vial which was then placed in a gamma counter. The total amount of Zn taken up and translocated was calculated using the specific activity of Zn in the radiolabelled ZnEDTA and ZnSO₄ solutions. The detection limit (L₀), in counts, was determined using Currie’s formula (Currie, 1968):

\[ L₀ = 3.29\sigma \bar{b} \]

where \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the blank count rate, and the probabilities of Type I and Type II errors are assumed to be equal (\( \alpha = \beta = 0.05 \)).

Distribution and translocation of zinc using X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XFM)

The aim of the first XFM experiment (XFM Experiment 1) was to investigate the uptake and translocation of foliar-applied Zn. Specifically, we applied Zn to the leaves for 3 h before removing the unab sorbed Zn...
remaining in the droplet, with subsequent changes in the tissue distribution of Zn then examined over time. In this time-resolved experiment, the same fertilizers were used as for the radioisotope experiment (ZnSO₄ and ZnEDTA, 1000 mg Zn L⁻¹). One treatment was applied per leaf. One 5 µl droplet of each fertilizer (~2.5 µm in diameter, Fig. 2A) was applied on the central vein of the adaxial side of the youngest fully expanded leaf (YFEL) while still attached to the plant. The point of application was then marked with a permanent marker.

To minimize evaporation of the droplet, leaves (still attached to the plant) were placed in covered Petri dishes containing moistened filter paper for 3 h. During this 3 h exposure period, the droplets remained as a liquid and did not dry out. After 3 h, and immediately prior to the first XFM scan, unabsorbed fertilizer was removed from the leaf surface by gently wiping with KimTech wipes and sequentially rinsing with 2% nitric acid (HNO₃), 3% ethanol, and deionized water (Du et al., 2015). The XFM scans of the sample leaves were collected by mounting the plants, still growing in the tube holding the nutrient solution, on the back of a sample holder and gently bending the appropriate part of the leaves in an area that could be positioned, unimpeded, in the path of the X-ray beam. To mount the samples on the sample holder, leaves were partially covered in Ultralene® film (4 µm thick). Scans were carried out after 3, 12, and 24 h (i.e. immediately upon removal of unabsorbed Zn, 9 h after droplet removal, and 21 h after droplet removal, respectively). For the first two scans (t = 3 h and t = 12 h), the analysed leaves were still attached to the plant, whereas, immediately prior to the last scan, the sample leaves were detached.

The sample leaves were scanned at the XFM beamline at the Australian Synchrotron in Melbourne, Australia as described previously (Du et al., 2015). Briefly, single energy X-rays were selected using a Si(111) monochromator in the energy of 10 500 eV and a 4-element Maia detector, in backscatter geometry. The sample leaf was analysed continuously horizontally with a sampling interval of 12 µm and a vertical step size of 12 µm. To avoid damaging the sample during XFM scanning, the transit time for each pixel was 3 ms (Lombi et al., 2011). The X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectra were analysed using GeoPIXE (Ryan and Jamieson, 1993; Ryan, 2000) and quantification was carried out as described previously (Lombi et al., 2011).

In the second XFM experiment (XFM Experiment 2), the veinal and interveinal distribution of Zn, applied as ZnSO₄, was investigated. Zn fertilizer was applied to the leaves using the same protocol as used in the first XFM experiment. Leaves were left for 6 h in a Petri dishes in the presence of moistened filter paper, with the droplets again remaining as a liquid during the exposure period. The droplets were removed after 6 h, again using 2% nitric acid (HNO₃), 3% ethanol, and deionized water. The leaves were excised and mounted on a sample holder using Ultralene® film. The hydrated leaves were then scanned at room temperature at Sector 13-IDE of the Advanced Photon Source (APS) in Chicago, USA. The electron storage ring operated at 7 GeV in top-up mode, with the X-ray source being a 72 pole, 35 mm period undulator. A cooled Si(111) monochromator and Kirkpatrick–Baez focusing mirrors were used to obtain a monochromatic beam focused onto the specimen. Elemental maps were collected using an incident energy of 10 500 eV and a 4-element Vortex detector. The sample leaf was mounted at a 45° angle relative to the incident beam and analysed continuously horizontally with a sampling interval of 8 µm and a vertical step size of 8 µm. The transit time for each pixel was 10 ms. The spectra were analysed using GSE MapViewer. Leaves treated with ZnEDTA were not investigated in this experiment. However, similar data (although at a lower resolution) were extracted from an XFM scan of a ZnEDTA-treated leaf in XFM Experiment 1.

Statistical analysis

Welch’s two-sample t-test was undertaken using R version 3.4.0 to determine the mobility of different Zn treatments in wheat leaves. This parametric test assumes that the two populations (ZnEDTA and ZnSO₄) have normal distributions. Significant differences were determined at a level of P<0.05.

Results

Mobility and translocation of zinc using radioisotopes

Zinc applied to leaves as radio-labelled ⁶⁵ZnSO₄ was slightly more mobile compared with ZnEDTA (Fig. 1). In the leaf section adjacent to the application point (0–3 mm), there was a significantly greater proportion (P=0.0009) of total ⁶⁵Zn in ZnSO₄-treated leaves compared with ZnEDTA-treated leaves (20% of the total ⁶⁵Zn for ZnSO₄ compared with 3% for ZnEDTA) (Fig. 1). In agreement with this observation, more


$^{65}$Zn appeared to remain at the application point in ZnEDTA-treated leaves (93.8 ± 4.4%) compared with the ZnSO$_4$-treated leaves (75.5 ± 11.3%) (Fig. 1, $P$=0.06). For the leaf fragment 3–6 mm from the application point, there was no significant difference between fertilizer treatments ($P$=0.61) (Fig. 1). $^{65}$Zn was not detected at distances ≥20–25 mm from where ZnEDTA and ZnSO$_4$ were applied, or in any of the control leaves. Note, as the $^{65}$Zn present on the surface of the leaves was not removed, Zn absorption was not directly investigated in this experiment.

### Spatial distribution and translocation of zinc using XFM

Based on XFM analysis, the background concentrations of Zn in the YFELs of ZnEDTA- and ZnSO$_4$-treated plants were 14 mg Zn kg$^{-1}$ and 18 mg Zn kg$^{-1}$, respectively. These concentrations are based on the average Zn concentration in areas as far from the applied droplet as possible (determined by the size of the scanned area). The concentrations in these areas did not change from 3 h to 24 h, so it is most likely that it is background Zn, and not Zn moving away from the applied droplet. XFM Experiment 1 investigated changes in the distribution of Zn in leaf tissues to which Zn had been applied for 3 h before being removed. Areas of high Zn concentration—where Zn treatments were applied to leaves—were clearly visible as circular ‘hot spots’ in the XFM images (Fig. 2A–D). The XFM data showed that the applied Zn did not cause any physical damage to the leaf (i.e. leaf scorch). Leaf scorch, and the consequent loss of water, reduces the thickness of the leaf, and therefore thinner areas of the leaf would appear as a darker colour in the Compton scattering map. As this was not observed in the leaf tissue under the fertilizer application area, it is unlikely that Zn damaged the leaf.

The first scan was collected 3 h after applying the droplet (and immediately after removing the unabsorbed Zn from the leaf surface). In this first scan, the ZnSO$_4$ spot appeared slightly more intense than the ZnEDTA spot (Fig. 2B), suggesting a higher absorption of Zn when applied as ZnSO$_4$. However, in the scans collected 12 h and 24 h after fertilizer application (i.e. 9 h and 21 h after the unabsorbed Zn had been removed from the leaf surface) (Fig. 2C and D, respectively), the ‘hot spots’ for both treatments were of a similar intensity. Veins of the leaves were also visible as parallel lines in XFM images. To support our hypothesis that the measured Zn was internalized and not on the leaf surface, XFM scans of freeze-dried transverse leaf cross-sections were collected (Supplementary Fig. S2).

While the XFM images were useful for understanding the general distribution of Zn in leaves, visual appearance alone could not give conclusive results regarding the differences in Zn uptake between treatments. Therefore, data were extracted from the XFM images and further analysed (Figs 2E–G). After normalizing these data to compensate for differences in background Zn concentrations, Zn absorption after 3 h was found to be similar between treatments. However, after 12 h and 24 h (i.e. 9 h and 21 h after the unabsorbed Zn had been removed from the leaf surface), Zn applied as ZnSO$_4$ was slightly more mobile than Zn applied as ZnEDTA based on two observations: (i) more Zn remained at the application point in the ZnEDTA-treated leaf; and (ii) after 12 h and 24 h, the distribution of Zn was broader in the ZnSO$_4$-treated leaf compared with the ZnEDTA-treated leaf (Fig. 2F, G). Therefore, despite the visual similarities between XFM images for both treatments, detailed analysis indicates that Zn applied as ZnEDTA was slightly less mobile than Zn applied as ZnSO$_4$. In terms of the rate of Zn movement, Zn from the ZnSO$_4$ treatment was more mobile between 3 h and 12 h.
than between 12 h and 24 h after application (Supplementary Figs S3, S4A). However, for the ZnEDTA treatment, Zn mobility appeared relatively consistent between 3, 12, and 24 h (Supplementary Figs S3, S4B).

In XFM Experiment 2 (performed in situ using hydrated, excised leaves), transverse line scans were collected across the leaf (i.e. perpendicular to the central vein; Fig. 3). Peaks in the XFM transect showed that Zn accumulated in veins (transsects d–h Fig. 3). Zinc was also observed in the smaller transverse veins interconnecting the main longitudinal cross-veins. Moving away from the application point, the amount of Zn decreased more quickly from the interveinal area than from the veins (circled areas in Fig. 3B), suggesting that Zn was translocated through the veins. The same Zn distribution pattern was observed in a ZnEDTA-treated leaf (Supplementary Fig. S5) collected from Experiment 1, where a high number of counts was detected in the veins of the leaf tissue underneath the droplet application site (Supplementary Fig. S5 transects a–c), and then a decrease moving away from the application site (Supplementary Fig. S5 transects d–h). The split peaks in some transects suggest that Zn was translocated within multiple vessels within a single vein, for example the split peaks...
located 1.9 mm from the bottom of the image for transects d–h (Fig. 3B). The variations in sample thickness were accounted for when calculating Zn concentrations.

**Speciation of absorbed zinc using XAS**

First, we compared the XANES spectra of the seven standard compounds, which were found to show some marked differences (Supplementary Fig. S6). These differences were determined by comparing (i) the energy of the white-line peak; (ii) the height of the white-line peak; and (iii) spectral features of each standard. The white-line peak corresponded to an energy of 9665 eV for Zn-phosphate, 9667 eV for Zn-phytate, Zn-histidine, Zn-citrate, Zn-EDTA, and Zn-polygalacturonate, and 9668 eV for Zn-cysteine. This shift to higher edge energies can be explained by the higher electronegativity of S (the thiol group in Zn-cysteine) compared with P (Zn-phosphate). Compared with the white-line energies, the heights of the peaks showed more variation between standards. The white-line peak height decreased in the order Zn-citrate >ZnEDTA >Zn-polygalacturonate >Zn-phytate ~ Zn-phosphate >Zn-cysteine ~ Zn-histidine. Zinc-phytate and
Zn-phosphate were distinguishable from each other not only by their differences in peak energy, but also by spectral differences, such as the broader peak for Zn-phytate (Supplementary Fig. S6). Similarly, for Zn-cysteine and Zn-histidine, the less well defined post-edge features of the Zn-histidine spectrum made it distinguishable from Zn-cysteine.

Next, we compared the XANES spectra for the plant tissues. It was noted that not only were the Zn K_α-edge XANES spectra collected from ZnSO_4- and ZnEDTA-treated leaves different from each other (Supplementary Fig. S7), but these spectra also differed from the spectra collected at a location on the leaf where the maximum signal intensity was 10% of that at the site of application (Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. S8); that is, approaching background concentrations. Indeed, these spectra had a lower peak intensity (Supplementary Fig. S8) and less defined structural features, and the ZnSO_4 spectrum had a higher peak intensity than that of ZnEDTA (Supplementary Fig. S7).

Using LCF, it was predicted for the background tissue that the majority of the Zn was bound to cysteine (73–86%), with the speciation of Zn in the background tissue being the same regardless of the form in which Zn was applied to leaves (Supplementary Fig. S9). Zinc-phytate was predicted to be the second most abundant Zn species (12–32%) in the background leaf tissues (Supplementary Fig. S9). Using predictions from LCF, some similarities in speciation were found between treatments and the background; for example, some Zn was associated with phytate in all samples leaves. Compared with other Zn species, the proportion of Zn-phytate was relatively consistent at different locations along the leaves and ranged from 37% to 64% for ZnSO_4 and from 39% to 50% for ZnEDTA (Fig. 5).

For both treatments, Zn speciation at the point of maximum signal intensity (i.e. the point of fertilizer application) was different from that at other points on the leaf. For example, in ZnSO_4-treated leaves, 21% of Zn was predicted to be associated with phosphate at the point of application but not at any other scanned positions on the leaf (with the exception of a minor amount in one location) (Fig. 5B). Conversely, Zn-cysteine was predicted to be a significant component (≥23%) at all locations analysed, except at the point of application.

For ZnEDTA-treated leaves, Zn-phytate and ZnEDTA were the only two species predicted to be present in the leaf tissues at the point of application (Fig. 5A). Again, the proportion of Zn-phytate was relatively constant between all scanned locations, whereas the proportion of ZnEDTA decreased from 66% to 9% moving away from the application point. There was also a concomitant increase in the proportion of Zn bound to carboxyl (polygalacturonate) and thiol (cysteine) groups.

Fig. 4. Zinc K_α-edge XANES spectra of ZnSO_4 (A) and ZnEDTA- (B) treated leaves. The secondary y-axis indicates the position on the leaf where the spectra were collected with respect to maximum signal intensity (100). XANES maps were collected at 50, 25, and 10% of the maximum signal intensity along the longitudinal axis of the leaf from left (L) to right (R), towards the leaf tip and stem, respectively. The experimental fit (black solid line) and linear combination fit (LCF) (blue dashed line) of reference spectra are shown for each leaf sample. Normalized Zn K_α-edge XANES spectra for the standard compounds used in the LCF are shown in Supplementary Fig. S6.
Discussion

Zinc has limited mobility in wheat leaves regardless of the form in which it is applied.

Results from the radiolabelled experiment and XFM analyses showed that foliar-applied Zn was relatively immobile in leaves. Radiolabelled Zn was not detected beyond 20 mm from where $^{65}$ZnEDTA was applied and 25 mm from where $^{65}$ZnSO$_4$ was applied (Fig. 1). Zinc appeared even less mobile in our XFM analyses where tissue Zn concentrations decreased rapidly at >2.5 mm from the edge of the fertilizer droplet for both treatments. This slight difference in mobility may be due to the sensitivities of both the techniques and instruments used for the analyses, and the large Zn background present which makes the XFM method intrinsically less precise. On the other hand, the XFM method used here allowed us to map precisely the diffusion of Zn away from the point of application on the same leaves over time. To our knowledge, this is the first application of time-resolved elemental imaging in plants using this synchrotron technique. The limited mobility of foliar-applied Zn has been attributed to its poor leaf penetration, and high binding capacity of Zn to leaf tissues (Marschner, 1995; Montalvo et al., 2016); the conditional mobility of Zn in the phloem is likely to be less important (Fernández and Brown, 2013).

Although comparable studies using wheat plants could not be found, our results are in general agreement with previous studies using other plant species that have shown limited mobility of foliar-applied Zn. For example, when Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ was applied as a droplet (400 mg l$^{-1}$) to the YFEL of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants, Zn concentrations in interveinal tissue decreased to background levels within 1.5–3 mm of the edge of the droplet (Du et al., 2015). Zhang and Brown (1999) investigated the distribution of foliar-applied Zn in pistachio (Pistachio vera L.) using a stable Zn tracer ($^{68}$Zn in the form of ZnO). At 25 d after sectioning $^{68}$Zn-treated leaves into three parts (apical, middle, and basal), 84% of applied $^{68}$Zn remained in the midsection (where Zn was applied) and only 4% was detected in the apical sections and 13% in the basal sections. Using synchrotron-based XFM, the mobility of foliar-absorbed Zn in the veins of sunflower (Helianthus annuus) and tomato was limited to 0.96 ± 0.26 mm and 0.88 ± 0.13 mm (mean, n=4), respectively (Li et al., 2017). Zinc was less mobile in the interveinal tissues for both species (Li et al., 2017).

To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to compare and quantify the mobility of foliar-applied ZnEDTA and ZnSO$_4$ in living wheat leaves at such fine spatial resolution (micromolar to millimolar range). Using similar XFM methods to the current study, Tian et al. (2015) showed that...
Foliar-applied zinc is distributed rapidly in wheat leaves and moves towards the veins

Although limited, the translocation of Zn occurred quickly for both treatments (<24 h). This is in agreement with previous studies which have reported that a substantial amount of foliar-applied $^{65}$Zn was transported to other plant parts within 48 h (Haslett et al., 2001). In our time-resolved XFM experiment (XFM Experiment 1), there appeared to be more movement of Zn between 3 h and 12 h than between 12 h and 24 h for both Zn treatments (Fig. 2B–G). It is unknown why this occurred, but both the nutritional status of the plant and the complexation of Zn may have played a role. In regard to nutritional status, the background concentrations of Zn in the YFEs of ZnEDTA- and ZnSO$_4$-treated plants were 14 mg Zn kg$^{-1}$ and 18 mg Zn kg$^{-1}$, respectively. This indicates a slight Zn deficiency where concentrations >20–24 mg Zn kg$^{-1}$ are considered adequate for this growth stage (Wilhelm and Davey, 2016). However, further investigation is required to determine if this had a significant effect on Zn translocation, as conflicting results have been reported as to whether Zn deficiency promotes or limits Zn translocation (Longnecker and Robson, 1993; Erenoglu et al., 2002; Du et al., 2015).

Analysis of the XANES data, which is further discussed in the following paragraphs, suggests that the second factor (formation of Zn complexes) had an important effect on Zn mobility, and this may have been in response to Zn toxicity. Once Zn was taken up by the leaf, a small proportion of Zn may have been relatively mobile and moved quickly from the application site, whereas a larger proportion was bound by various ligands, greatly limiting Zn mobility. The speciation data, namely binding of Zn with phytate, citrate, and phosphate ligands, and the limited mobility of Zn suggest that the chemical speciation of Zn had considerable influence on the mobility of Zn, and, locally toxic concentrations of Zn may have occurred at the point of fertilizer application.

The forms of Zn taken up differ between ZnEDTA and ZnSO$_4$ foliar fertilizers, which also differ from the form of Zn in the background tissues of wheat leaves

The chemical speciation of Zn in leaf tissues was affected by both the form of Zn supplied and the distance from the fertilizer application point, and may explain the differences in Zn mobility observed for each treatment. At the fertilizer application point, ~40% of Zn in underlying tissues was present as Zn-phytate in both treatments (Fig. 5). This Zn species was the predominant form of Zn at the application point for leaves treated with ZnSO$_4$. However, for ZnEDTA-treated leaves, ZnEDTA itself was the dominant Zn species (66%) at the application point (Fig. 5).

This is the first time, to the best of our knowledge, it has been shown that ZnEDTA penetrates the leaf surface and is redistributed in leaf tissue in chelated form. The uptake pathway of foliar-applied chelated metals is not well understood. However, it has been suggested that the leaf cuticle is the primary barrier for the absorption of foliar nutrients (Fernández et al., 2017). While the uptake of hydrophilic compounds may simply occur through dissolution–diffusion processes, hydrophilic moieties (such as ZnEDTA and Zn$^{2+}$) may cross the cuticle where it is damaged, or cross the leaf surface through epidermal structures such as the stomata, trichomes, specialized epidermal cells, or ‘aqueous pores’ (Rio et al., 2016). While further investigation is required to understand the specific uptake pathway of hydrophilic chelated complexes, the results show that larger hydrophilic compounds such as ZnEDTA can cross the leaf surface.

At the site of fertilizer application, the speciation of Zn was different from that in the background tissues. Although Zn-phytate, ZnEDTA, and Zn-polysalic acidutonate were the dominant Zn species in leaves treated with Zn, for background tissues the predominant form was Zn-cysteine (>70%), with lesser amounts of Zn-phytate (<32%) (Supplementary Fig. S9). In ZnSO$_4$-treated leaves, only a minor proportion was predicted to be present as Zn-cysteine (<14%; Fig. 5) at the application point. Further, in ZnEDTA-treated leaves, Zn-cysteine was not detected at the application point. There are conflicting results regarding the fraction of leaf Zn present as Zn-cysteine. Terrazzo et al. (2008) found that ~50% of foliar Zn was associated with cysteine in rocket (Eruca vesicaria L. Cavaleri), whereas in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) grown in...
The observations above raise several important points regarding the form in which foliar applied Zn is taken up and its chemical speciation when transported in the leaf away from the application site. As stated above, there was a significant interaction between Zn and phtyate in leaves exposed to both Zn treatments and for background Zn. Foliar application of ZnSO₄ and ZnEDTA substantially increased the proportion of Zn associated with phtyate (45% for ZnSO₄ and 49% for ZnEDTA) compared with that in the control leaves (24%). Phytate is the primary storage form of P in cereals and legumes, comprising 40–85% of the total P in seeds and grains (Reddy et al., 1982, 1989; Noack et al., 2012). However, little is known about the contribution of phytate to total P in other plant parts under subtoxic conditions. Using 3¹P NMR spectroscopy, Noack et al. (2014) did not detect any phytate in the leaves of mature wheat plants; orthophosphate (85%) and glycerophosphate (15%) were the most abundant forms of P. In the seed, stems, and chaff, on the other hand, phytate was detected. The stability of the Zn²⁺-phytate complex is influenced by pH and the stoichiometry of the complex which in turn is affected by the initial Zn²⁺:phytate ratio (Crea et al., 2008). In plants and soil, phytic acid can bind with metal ions to form stable insoluble complexes (Martin and Evans, 1986; Yan et al., 2014). There is extensive literature on the bioavailability of P and Zn in soil and plant grains, yet it is uncertain how Zn toxicity affects P conditions, and, conversely, how high P concentrations affect Zn mobility in vegetative plant parts.

Zinc-polygalacturonate, Zn-citrates, and Zn-cysteine made a minor contribution to the overall speciation of Zn in Zn-treated leaves; however, their presence provides important insights into the fate of foliar-applied Zn. A substantial proportion of Zn was predicted to be associated with polygalacturonic acid in ZnSO₄-treated leaves (8–29%), but only at three of the seven scanned locations in ZnEDTA-treated leaves (Fig. 5). Polygalacturonic acid is a major component of pectin (pectic polysaccharides), which is most abundant in plant primary cell walls and the middle lamella (Caffall and Mohnen, 2009). This suggests that when ZnSO₄ is applied to wheat leaves, absorbed Zn²⁺ will bind to the negatively charged cell walls, whereas for ZnEDTA this pathway is less important. Homogalacturonan is one of the most abundant pectin biopolymers in cell walls and consists of linear chains of α-1,4-linked d-galacturonic acid (GalA) residues (Ridley et al., 2001). In aqueous solution, it has been shown that Zn²⁺ can bind to GalA residues in pectin, and that the lower the degree of esterification in these residues the higher the Zn²⁺ binding capacity (Khotimchenko et al., 2008).

Away from the point of ZnSO₄ fertilizer application, Zn was predicted to be associated with citrate (23–42%) (Fig. 3). In this study, the citrate ligand can be considered representative of any carboxyl group of an organic acid (e.g. malate or oxalate). At neutral pH, the stability constant for Zn-citrate is higher than that for Zn-cysteine or Zn-oxalate, but, under acidic conditions, oxalate forms a stronger Zn complex (Jones, 1998). Therefore, the pH of cell vacuoles—where organic acids are primarily found (Wang et al., 1992)—can influence the speciation of intracellular Zn in wheat leaves. The results are in agreement with previous studies which have shown a high proportion of leaf Zn to be associated with citrate in cowpea (Wang et al., 2013) and in the hyperaccumulator Alpine Penny-cress (Noccaea caerulescens, formerly Thlaspi caerulescens) where large amounts of Zn can be stored with organic acids in the vacuoles of leaf epidermal cells (Küpper et al., 1999; Frey et al., 2000; Schneider et al., 2013). Zn-citrates was not a significant component in the LCF of ZnEDTA-treated leaves. This may be attributed to the lower stability constants of Zn-citrates compared with ZnEDTA and/or that substantially less foliar-applied ZnEDTA is stored in and transported to cell vacuoles in wheat.

The stability of Zn complexes is likely to have had a strong influence on the speciation of Zn in leaf tissues. For example, in ZnEDTA-treated leaves, Zn-cysteine was not predicted to be present at the application site, but its predicted contribution increased to 34% away from this point. It is unclear why this occurred. One possibility is that Zn²⁺ is released from the EDTA complex when it is transported in the plant and preferentially binds to cysteine ligands. Alternatively, the proportion of ZnEDTA relative to other Zn chemical species may simply decrease further away from the application point. We believe the latter is the most likely scenario given the high stability constant of ZnEDTA (logK = 17.5; Norvell, 1991). The high stability of this complex can affect the abundance of other Zn complexes, where those that have a lower stability constant—such as Zn-citrates (logK ~5; Wyzykowski and Chmuryński, 2010)—are less favourable. This may also explain why Zn-citrates was not detected in the ZnEDTA-treated leaf (Fig. 5). This chelation of Zn by organic ligands can act to decrease the transport of Zn in leaf tissue and restrict its translocation.

High zinc concentrations in foliar sprays may determine zinc chemical speciation

The concentration of Zn in commercial foliar Zn sprays can be exceptionally high (up to 1500 mg l⁻¹), potentially higher than the rate used in the present experiments (1000 mg Zn l⁻¹). At these concentrations, Zn can cause leaf damage (scorch) under the area where the droplets sit. Therefore, localized toxicity may have occurred in the leaf given that Zn was absorbed relatively quickly and had limited mobility. This hypothesis is supported by the Zn chemical speciation data (Fig. 5). Previous studies have shown that when various agronomic plant species are exposed to high Zn concentrations (40–300 μM) via the roots, a large proportion of Zn accumulates as Zn–phytate (van Steveninck et al., 1994; Kopittke et al., 2011). Both authors suggested that this may be a defence mechanism that acts to limit the transport of high concentrations of Zn to shoots. Therefore, the increased proportion of Zn–phytate observed in ZnEDTA-treated (39–50%) and ZnSO₄-treated leaves (37–64%) compared with the control leaves (12–32%) may be an important Zn detoxification mechanism where complexation with phytate decreases the mobility and bioavailability of Zn in leaves. Also supporting this hypothesis is the presence of Zn–phosphate (21%) at the ZnSO₄ application point (where the highest concentration of Zn was observed).
It has been suggested that this chemical species is important for the detoxification of high concentrations of Zn in plants. For example, in the leaves of rocket grown in Zn-contaminated soil, ~50% of Zn was predicted to be present as Zn-phosphate (Terzano et al., 2008). Therefore, in the current study, plant toxicity defence mechanisms may have had a major influence, and possibly been the key determinant of, Zn chemical speciation in leaves.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated the limited mobility of Zn in wheat leaves when applied as ZnEDTA and ZnSO4 foliar fertilizers. By using 65Zn radiolabelled foliar treatments, we were able to detect subtle differences in the mobility of ZnSO4 and ZnEDTA, where ZnSO4 was slightly more mobile in leaves. Synchrotron-based XAS analyses were used to determine the transformation and subsequent speciation of foliar-applied Zn. The ZnEDTA complex was taken up in chelated form and transported in this form most probably due to the high stability of this complex compared with organic acids. High concentrations of Zn in foliar fertilizers are likely to affect Zn chemical speciation and mobility strongly due to localized Zn toxicity in the plant leaf. Therefore, Zn foliar fertilizers with slower release rates may be advantageous as they could act to reduce toxicity on a local scale, thereby limiting the subsequent detoxification mechanisms that decrease Zn bioavailability. This study focused on the immediate uptake and behaviour of foliar-applied Zn; longer term plant studies are recommended to understand how Zn speciation affects the agronomic effectiveness of ZnEDTA and ZnSO4 foliar fertilizers.
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Supplementary data are available at JXB online.


Table S1. Distributions of Zn species in wheat leaves treated with foliar application of ZnEDTA and ZnSO4, and the percentage variation in the calculated values shown in parentheses.

Fig. S1. X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XFM) image of an untreated leaf of wheat showing the presence of Zn in the veins of the leaf, but not in the interveinal areas.

Fig. S2. Transverse cross-section of a wheat leaf showing the internalization of Zn.

Fig. S3. X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XFM) images of wheat leaves showing the distribution of Zn following foliar application of ZnSO4 and ZnEDTA.

Fig. S4. Distribution of Zn in wheat leaves 3, 12, and 24 h after the foliar application of ZnSO4 and ZnEDTA from XFM data.

Fig S5. XFM image of a leaf treated with a droplet of ZnEDTA (1000 mg Zn l−1) and removed after 3 h.

Fig. S6. Zinc Kα-edge XANES spectra of standard compounds used in the linear combination fitting of sample spectra.

Fig. S7. Zinc Kα-edge XANES spectra showing the difference between the spectra for Zn in the leaf tissues at the site of fertilizer application following exposure to ZnSO4 or ZnEDTA.

Fig. S8. Zinc Kα-edge XANES spectra showing the difference between speciation at the site of fertilizer application and at a location on the leaf where the maximum signal intensity is 10% of that at the site of application.

Fig. S9. The background distribution of Zn species in ZnSO4- and ZnEDTA-treated leaves as determined from LCF of the Kα-edge XANES spectra.
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