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Abstract. Two sets of complexes of Co-triarylcorrole-bispyridine complexes, Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ and Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ have been synthesized, where TpXPC refers to a *meso*-tris(*para*-X-phenyl)corrole ligand with X = CF$_3$, H, Me, and OMe and Br$_8$TpXPC to the corresponding β-octabrominated ligand. The axial pyridines in these complexes were found to be labile and, in dilute solutions in dichloromethane, the complexes dissociate almost completely to the five-coordinate monopyridine complexes. Upon addition of a small quantity of pyridine, the complexes revert back to the six-coordinate forms. These transformations are accompanied by dramatic changes in color and optical spectra. $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography have confirmed that the bispyridine complexes are authentic low-spin Co(III) species. Strong substituent effects on the Soret maxima and broken-symmetry DFT calculations, on the other hand, indicate a Co$^{II}$-corrole$^{2-}$ formulation for the five-coordinate Co[TpXPC](py) series. The calculations implicate a Co(d$_{z^2}$)-corrole("a$_{2u}$") orbital interaction as responsible for the metal-ligand antiferromagnetic coupling that leads to the open-shell singlet ground state of these species. Furthermore, the calculations predict two low-energy $S = 1$ intermediate-spin Co(III) states, a scenario that we have been able to experimentally corroborate with temperature-dependent EPR studies. Our findings add to the growing body of evidence for noninnocent electronic structures among first-row transition metal corrole derivatives.
**Introduction.** Cobalt-corrole-bispyridine complexes, Co[Cor](py)$_2$, are currently of great interest as efficient catalysts of both proton reduction and water oxidation under ambient conditions.$^{1,2,3,4,5}$ An essential aspect of the catalytic mechanisms is the lability of the axial pyridine ligands which allows the generation of five- and four-coordinate Co corrole intermediates that can engage in further reactivity.$^{6,7,8}$ The coordinatively unsaturated character of these intermediates also facilitates their attachment to carbon nanotubes$^9$ and other nanomaterials, affording nanoconjugates with potentially improved catalytic properties relative to the original molecular catalysts. Somewhat surprisingly, the five-coordinate Co-corrole-pyridine intermediates, Co[Cor](py), remain poorly characterized; indeed, except for Co-corrole-triphenylphosphine complexes,$^{10,11}$ which are stable and readily amenable to structural characterization, five-coordinate Co corroles in general remain relatively little explored. In this study, we have investigated the nature of the Co center in Co[Cor](py) intermediates, in particular, whether it is low-spin Co(III), intermediate-spin Co(III), or for that matter even Co(II), the last in conjunction with an oxidized corrole$^{2-}$ ligand. Toward this end, we examined two sets of complexes, Co[TpXPC](py)$_n$ and Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_n$ (n = 1, 2, Figure 1), where TpXPC denotes a meso-tris(para-X-phenyl)corrole ligand with X = CF$_3$, H, Me, and OMe and Br$_8$TpXPC the corresponding β-octabrominated ligand. Like Co[TPFPC](py)$_2$ [TPFPC = meso-tris(pentafluorophenyl)corrole] studied by Gross and coworkers,$^{8}$ the present Co[Y$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ (Y = H, Br) complexes were found to dissociate essentially completely in dilute dichloromethane solution to afford the five-coordinate complexes Co[TpXPC](py). The latter revert back to the six-coordinate forms upon the addition of a small quantity of pyridine. These interconversions are accompanied by dramatic color changes, since the five- and six-coordinate complexes are yellowish-brown and emerald-green in solution, respectively. The two different coordination states thus could be independently characterized with multiple solution-phase analytical tools, as described below.

**Results and discussion.** (a) Synthesis and proof of composition. The complexes in both the Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ and Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ (X = CF$_3$, H, Me, OMe) series were synthesized via the interaction of the corresponding free-base corroles$^{12,13}$ with Co(II) acetate in pyridine at 100°C over approximately 30 min, followed by column chromatography on silica gel. For chromatographic purification of the β-unsubstituted Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ complexes, it was necessary to include a small amount (~1-2%) of pyridine in the n-hexane/dichloromethane
eluent mixture, failing which, the complexes underwent severe decomposition in contact with silica. The β-octabrominated complexes proved more stable and could be chromatographed with simply *n*-hexane/dichloromethane as eluent. For long-term stability in solution, however, a small quantity of added pyridine proved essential. For all eight bispyridine complexes, proof of purity came from clean thin-layer chromatograms, electrospray ionization mass spectra, and fully assigned, diamagnetic $^1$H NMR spectra, all obtained in the presence of a small quantity of pyridine. Furthermore, X-ray quality crystals were obtained for two of the complexes, $\text{Co[TpMePC]}(\text{py})_2$ and $\text{Co[Br}_8\text{TpMePC]}(\text{py})_2$, by diffusion of methanol vapor into concentrated $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ or $\text{CHCl}_3$ solutions of the complexes containing a small amount of added pyridine. Again, the presence of added pyridine was crucial. Crystallization in the absence of pyridine led to poor quality crystals of six-coordinate Co isocorrole complexes with a pyridine and a chloride as the axial ligands. Attempts to obtain satisfactory elemental analyses for the bispyridine complexes were also thwarted by the requirement of traces of added pyridine for the stability of the compounds.
Figure 2 depicts the X-ray structures of Co[TpMePC](py)2 and Co[Br₈TpMePC](py)₂ and Tables 1 and 2 present key crystallographic data and metal-ligand bond distances, respectively. While an essentially planar macrocycle was found for Co[TpMePC](py)₂, the corrole macrocycle in Co[Br₈TpMePC](py)₂ was found to exhibit mild ruffling as well as very slight saddling. The ruffling and saddling dihedrals, as defined earlier, were found to range over 13.7-25.7° and 1.9-5.9°, respectively. Because of the rigidity imposed by the C1-C19 bipyrrole linkage, corroles are much more resistant to nonplanar distortions than porphyrins, and ruffling, in particular, is energetically very costly. Thus, only a handful of corrole structures are known that are mildly ruffled and none that is strongly ruffled. The Co-N distances involving the corrole nitrogens are particularly short, 1.88 ± 0.03 Å, and those involving the axial pyridines only slightly longer, 1.98 ± 0.01 Å. These distances, which are in excellent accord with literature values for other Co-corrole-bispyridine structures, are clearly indicative of a low-spin Co(III) center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Co[TpMePC]Cl₂·CH₂Cl₂</th>
<th>Co<a href="py">Br₈TpMePC</a>₂·2CHCl₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Formula</td>
<td>C₅₁H₄₁Cl₂CoN₆</td>
<td>C₅₂H₃₃Br₈N₆Cl₆Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula mass</td>
<td>867.73</td>
<td>1652.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P₂₁/n</td>
<td>P₂₁/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ (Å)</td>
<td>0.77490</td>
<td>0.77490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>18.1623(7)</td>
<td>15.5987(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>9.7531(4)</td>
<td>20.4468(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>24.6826(9)</td>
<td>17.4670(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>109.337(2)</td>
<td>96.297(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>4125.6(3)</td>
<td>5537.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured reflections</td>
<td>65324</td>
<td>67585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>16257</td>
<td>9842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restraints</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_int</td>
<td>0.0555</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ range (°)</td>
<td>2.468 - 37.109</td>
<td>2.113 – 27.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1, wR2 all data</td>
<td>0.0900, 0.1753</td>
<td>0.0483, 0.1202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (GooF) all data</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max/min res. Dens. (e/Å³)</td>
<td>1.057/-0.671</td>
<td>1.909/-0.974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. X-ray structures of (a) Co[TpMePC](py)$_2$ and (b) Co[Br$_8$TpMePC](py)$_2$ (top and side views).
Table 2. Selected crystallographic distances (Å) for Co[TpMePC](py)₂ and Co[Br₈TₚMePC](py)₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Co<a href="py">TpMePC</a>₂</th>
<th>Co<a href="py">Br₈TₚMePC</a>₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>1.8675(17)</td>
<td>Co(1)-N(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>1.9005(17)</td>
<td>Co(1)-N(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>1.9015(16)</td>
<td>Co(1)-N(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>1.8689(17)</td>
<td>Co(1)-N(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1)-N(100)</td>
<td>1.9940(16)</td>
<td>Co(1)-N(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(1)-N(200)</td>
<td>1.9871(17)</td>
<td>Co(1)-N(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b) ¹H NMR spectroscopy.** Sharp, diamagnetic ¹H NMR spectra could be obtained for the nonbrominated Co[TpXPC](py)₂ series in benzene-₆ even without added pyridine. The axial pyridine hydrogens, which integrated as 4:4:2, were found at relatively high field, as a result of the diamagnetic ring current of the corrole macrocycle. Interestingly, in more polar NMR solvents such as CDC₃, CD₂Cl₂, and CD₃CN, only very broad and weak signals could be observed, suggesting rapid dissociation and reassociation of the axial pyridines on the NMR time scale. In contrast, freshly prepared Co[Br₈TₚXPC](py)₂ complexes yielded sharp ¹H NMR spectra even in CDCl₃, attesting to the higher stability of these complexes with respect to dissociation of the axial pyridines.

The chemical shifts of the pyridine protons were found to exhibit some interesting features. Thus, the chemical shifts of the ortho protons, which are the most strongly shielded by the corrole’s aromatic ring current, were found to undergo a marked downfield shift with increasingly electron-donating character of the meso-aryl para substituent X. For example, the pyridine ortho-H’s of Co[TpCF₃PC](py)₂ resonate at 2.54 ppm, whereas those of Co[TpOMePC](py)₂ resonate at 3.70 ppm (Figure 4). Interestingly, the chemical shifts of the pyridine ortho protons of the Co[Br₈TₚXPC](py)₂ series were found not to exhibit a similar substituent dependence. Instead, they were found to exhibit a strong solvent effect. Changing the NMR solvent from CDCl₃ to benzene-₆ result in strong upfield shifts for the meta and para protons (but not the ortho protons). Thus, for Co[Br₈TₚOMePC](py)₂, the pyridine meta-H’s of shift from 5.41 ppm in CDCl₃ to 4.09 benzene-₆, while the same change of solvent shifts the para-H’s from 6.29 ppm to 4.90 ppm (Figure 5). The reasons underlying these solvent effects are not entirely clear, but stacking interactions involving the axial pyridines and benzene may provide a potential rationale.
Figure 3. $^1$H NMR spectra encompassing the pyridine protons of Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ derivatives; the substituent X is specified in blue.

Figure 4. Comparison of $^1$H NMR chemical shifts for pyridine protons for Co[Y$_8$TpOMePC](py)$_2$ (Y = H, Br): (a) Co[TpOMePC](py)$_2$ in benzene-$d_6$, (b) Co[Br$_8$TpOMePC](py)$_2$ in benzene-$d_6$, and (c) Co[Br$_8$TpOMePC](py)$_2$ in CDCl$_3$. 
(c) UV-vis spectroscopy. The bispyridine complexes Co[TpXPC](py)2 and Co[Br₈TpXPC](py)₂, which are very dark green in the solid state, dissolve in noncoordinating solvents such as dichloromethane or chloroform to yield yellowish-brown solutions. Upon addition of a small quantity of pyridine (~0.5%), the solutions turn a brilliant emerald-green, accompanied by dramatic changes in the optical spectra, which include a strongly redshifted Soret band and a greatly intensified Q band (Figure 3 and Table 3). Following earlier studies by Guilard et al.6,7 and Gross et al.,8 the dark green solutions are most reasonably assigned to the six-coordinate bispyridine complexes, whereas the main chromophore in the brown solutions is thought to be the five-coordinate Co[Cor](py) form.

A key motivation for studying the two series of complexes with varying meso-aryl para substituents was to examine substituent effects on their Soret maxima, which can shed light on the innocence or noninnocence of the corrole macrocycle, an expectation that proved amply rewarded. Over a long series of studies,23 we have shown that Soret maxima of innocent metallotriarylcorroles, such as CrO and MoO corroles,24 TcO25 and ReO26 corroles, RuN27 and OsN28 corroles, and Au29,30,31 corroles, are insensitive to the meso-aryl para substituent X. In contrast, the Soret maxima of noninnocent metallotriarylcorroles, of which Mn,32 Fe,32,33,34,35,36,37 Cu,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46 and certain Pt47 corroles provide salient examples, undergo marked redshifts with increasing electron-donating character of the substituent X. As shown in Figure 3 and Table 3, we encounter both behaviors in this study. Thus, the Soret maxima of the bispyridine complexes, Co[TpXPC](py)₂, are largely insensitive to X consistent with an innocent low-spin–CoII-corrole³– electronic description. In contrast, in the absence of added pyridine, the Soret maxima of the brown solutions containing five-coordinate Co[TpXPC](py) complexes redshift monotonically with increasing electron-donating character of X, shifting from 386 nm for X = CF₃ to 402 nm for X = OMe, suggesting a noninnocent CoII-corrole²–-like formulation.

Table 3. Soret maxima (nm) for the Co complexes studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>para-substituent X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CF₃</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">TpXPC</a></td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">Br₈TpXPC</a></td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">TpXPC</a>₂</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂ + 0.5% py</td>
<td>442, 453 (sh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">Br₈TpXPC</a>₂</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂ + 0.5% py</td>
<td>447, 460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. UV-vis spectra of (a) Co[TpCF3PC](py)$_2$, (b) the Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ series in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, (c) the Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ series in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0.5% pyridine, (d) Co[Br$_8$TpCF3PC](py)$_2$, (e) the Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ series in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, (f) the Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ series in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0.5% pyridine.

Interestingly, for the β-octabrominated Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ series, the Soret maxima are relatively invariant with respect to the substituent X even in neat CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Although it is tempting to interpret this observation as suggesting a relatively innocent octabromocorrole ligand in the five-coordinate monopyridine complexes, we believe that that is in fact not the case. TDDFT studies on Cu corroles suggest that the key substituent-sensitive feature under the Soret envelope
consists of one or more aryl-to-corrole$^{2-}$ charge transfer transitions.\textsuperscript{48} For planar $\beta$-octabromo-
meso-triarylcorrole complexes, steric inhibition of resonance is thought to inhibit such
transitions, thus providing a rationale for the relative substituent-insensitivity of the Soret
maxima. A similar difference in behavior between the TpXPC and Br$_8$TpXPC has also been
noted for FeNO corroles, which are also believed to be noninnocent.\textsuperscript{36}

(d) DFT calculations. DFT calculations have long provided a qualitatively excellent
description of ligand noninnocence in metalloporphyrin and metallocorrole systems.\textsuperscript{49,50} Here as
well, all-electron B3LYP-D3/STO-TZP calculations on Co[TPC](py) (TPC = meso-
triphenylcorrole, i.e., TpXPC with X = H) afforded compelling support for the Co$^{II}$-corrole$^{2-}$
formulation of the five-coordinate monopyridine complexes. The use of a $C_s$ symmetry
constraint allowed us to evaluate three different solutions, a broken-symmetry $M_S = 0$ solution
and two $M_S = 1$ solutions with A$'$ and A$''$ symmetry. The ground state was found to correspond
to the $M_S = 0$ solution ($S_0$). An examination of the valence MOs and broken-symmetry spin
density profile clearly revealed a Co(II) center antiferromagnetically coupled to a corrole radical
via a Co(d$_{z^2}$)-corrole("a$_{2u}$") orbital interaction (Figure 6). It is worth noting that this orbital
interaction is very common for five-coordinate first-row transition metal corroles and in
particular has been noted for MnCl,\textsuperscript{32} FeCl,\textsuperscript{32} and FeNO\textsuperscript{35,36} corroles. The lowest triplet state
($T_1$), at an energy of 0.13 eV relative to the ground state, turned out to be not the corresponding
ferromagnetically coupled state, but rather an intermediate-spin Co(III) state with a
d$_{xy}^2$d$_{z^2}$d$_{yz}$d$_{x^2}$ electronic configuration, where the Co(d$_{yz}$) orbital transforms as a$''$ under $C_s$
symmetry. Another intermediate-spin Co(III) state with a d$_{xy}^2$d$_{xz}$d$_{yz}$d$_{z^2}$ electronic configuration
($T_2$) was found to be only 0.09 eV higher than $T_1$.

(e) EPR spectroscopy. Solutions of Co[TpXPC](py)$_2$ (X=H, Me) and
Co[Br$_8$TpXPC](py)$_2$ (X=H, Me) in 2:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/toluene, where the five-coordinate monopyridine
forms are expected to dominate, all yielded similar X-band EPR spectra at room temperature (SI,
Fig. S25). In all cases, they were centered around $g = 2$, moderately broad (FWHH $\approx 50$ G), and
devoid of resolvable hyperfine interactions, as expected for strongly delocalized spin systems.
The room-temperature solution spectra exhibited distinct inflection points, consistent with a
slightly split triplet and/or a narrow distribution of $g$-values. In frozen glasses at low temperature
($T = 69-125$ K), the inflection points were smeared out, suggesting that they result from slight
anisotropies rather than unresolved hyperfine couplings. (Figure 7).
Figure 6. Inset: Broken-symmetry spin density plot (contour 0.005 e/Å$^3$), Mulliken spin populations, and skeletal bond distances (Å) for the $S_0$ ground state. Also shown are spin density plots for the $T_1$ and $T_2$ states.

Figure 7. Solution and solid-state (frozen-glass) X-band EPR spectra of Co[Br$_8$TpMePC](py). Modulation 1 G; microwave power 63 mW. The relative intensities are arbitrary.
For the frozen-glass samples, the EPR signal intensities were found to increase with temperature, contrary to what would be expected for a relaxation-broadened Co-centered system. The temperature variation for the frozen solution of Co[Br₃TpMePC](py) could be modeled with a Boltzmann expression (see Figure S26 in SI), assuming an EPR-silent ground state and a triplet state ~0.01 eV higher in energy. Although this singlet-triplet gap is smaller than that obtained from the calculations, the overall picture of a singlet ground state with thermally accessible triplet states is corroborated.

(f) Electrochemistry. The complexes synthesized were also examined with cyclic voltammetry with the goal of obtaining additional insight into the nature of the five-coordinate monopyridine complexes. Measurements in dichlororomethane without added pyridine generally revealed two reversible oxidations and two quasireversible or irreversible reductions. The relatively high first reduction potentials, $\sim -0.32 \pm 0.04$ V for Co[TpXPC](py) and $-0.06 \pm 0.03$ V for Co[Br₃TpXPC](py), are consistent with a CoII(py)-corrole²⁻/CoII(py)-corrole³⁻ reduction (Figure 8 and Table 4). In the presence of 0.5% pyridine, however, the reductions proved complex, reversible in some cases and irreversible for others, and generally not interpretable in the absence of additional spectroscopic studies. Interestingly, the presence of pyridine led to only small changes in the first oxidation potentials. Following Kadish and coworkers, the first oxidations of the bispyridine complexes are expected to be corrole-centered, i.e., $\text{Co}^{III}(\text{py})_2\text{-Cor}^{3⁻} \rightarrow \text{Co}^{III}(\text{py})_2\text{-Cor}^{2⁻}$. It is not unreasonable, in our view, that oxidation of the five-coordinate monopyridine complexes, i.e., the CoII(py)-corrole²⁻ $\rightarrow$ [CoIII(py)-corrole²⁻ ↔ CoII(py)-corrole⁻] process, occurs at approximately the same potential.
Figure 8. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) Co[TpCF₃PC](py)₂ and (b) Co[Br₃TpCF₃PC](py)₂ in different solvents, each with 0.1 M TBAP. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s.
Table 4. Redox potentials of the complexes synthesized in two different solvent systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$E_{ox2}$</th>
<th>$E_{ox1}$</th>
<th>$E_{red1}$</th>
<th>$E_{red2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">TpXPC</a>$_2$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>CF$_3$</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>−1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
<td>−1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
<td>−1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>−1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">TpXPC</a>$_2$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 1% py</td>
<td>CF$_3$</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>−0.36$^a$</td>
<td>−1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.44$^a$</td>
<td>−1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.46$^a$</td>
<td>−1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−0.43$^a$</td>
<td>−1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">Br$_3$TpXPC</a>$_2$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>CF$_3$</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>−1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co<a href="py">Br$_3$TpXPC</a>$_2$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 1% py</td>
<td>CF$_3$</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>−0.09$^a$</td>
<td>−1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>−0.21$^a$</td>
<td>−1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−0.21$^a$</td>
<td>−1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>−0.19$^a$</td>
<td>−1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ peak potential during anodic sweep

**Conclusion.** Our X-ray crystallographic and $^1$H NMR studies have confirmed that Co-triaarylcorrole-bispyridine complexes are authentic Co(III) complexes, as long supposed. More interestingly, substituent effects on the Soret maxima and broken-symmetry DFT calculations strongly support a Co$^{II}$-corrole$^{2−}$ formulation for the corresponding five-coordinate monopyridine complexes. Such a ground state corresponds to an antiferromagnetically coupled, open-shell singlet, where a Co($d_{z^2}$)-corrole("$a_{2u}$") orbital overlap mediates the metal-ligand spin coupling. The calculations also predict low-energy, potentially thermally accessible triplet states with intermediate-spin Co(III) centers, a scenario that has been experimentally corroborated with EPR spectroscopy. The study underscores – yet again$^{23}$ – the broad prevalence of ligand noninnocence among first-row transition metal corrole derivatives.
Experimental section

Materials. All reagents and solvents were used as purchased unless otherwise noted. Silica gel 150 (35-70 μm particle size, Davisil) was used as the stationary phase for flash chromatography and silica gel 60 preparative thin-layer chromatographic (PLC) plates (20 x 20 cm, 0.5 mm thick, Merck) were used for final purification of the products. CHROMASOLV® HPLC-grade n-hexane and dichloromethane were used as solvents for column chromatography. For electrochemical measurements, anhydrous dichloromethane was predried with CaH₂ and stored over 3Å molecular sieves prior to distillation. Tetrakis(n-butyl)ammonium perchlorate (Sigma-Aldrich, TBAP), recrystallized three times from absolute ethanol, vacuum-dried at 40°C for two days, and stored in a desiccator for at least two weeks, was used as the supporting electrolyte. The starting materials, free-base corroles H₃[TpXPC]₁² and free-base β-octabromocorroles H₃[Br₈TpXPC]²¹,₁³ (X = CF₃, H, Me, OMe), were synthesized as previously reported. Cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate (Merck) and pyridine (≥ 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) were both used as received.

Instrumentation. UV-vis spectra were recorded on an Agilent Cary 8454 UV-Visible spectrophotometer in CH₂Cl₂. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed with an EG&G Princeton Applied Research Model 263A potentiostat equipped with a three-electrode system consisting of a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire counterelectrode, and a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE). The reference electrode was separated from bulk solution by a fritted-glass bridge filled with the solvent/supporting electrolyte mixture. All potentials were referenced to the SCE. A scan rate of 100 mV/s was used. The anhydrous dichloromethane solutions were purged with argon for at least 5 min prior to electrochemical measurements and an argon blanket was maintained over the solutions during the measurements. X-band EPR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Elexsys E500 equipped with a Bruker ER 4116 DM dual-mode cavity, an EIP 538B frequency counter and an ER035M NMR gaussmeter. Low-temperature measurements were conducted by use of an Oxford Instruments Mercury iTC temperature controller, using liq. N₂ as a coolant. Pumping allowed a base temperature of 69 K. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a 400 MHz Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm BB/¹H (BB = ¹⁹F, ³¹P, ¹⁵N) SmartProbe in CDCl₃ and C₆D₆. High resolution electrospray ionization (HR-ESI) mass spectra were obtained on an LTQ Orbitrap XL spectrometer.
**Synthesis of cobalt-triarylcorrole-bispyridine complexes.** A detailed procedure is described below for Co[TpCF<sub>3</sub>PC](py)<sub>2</sub>. A similar procedure was also followed for synthesis of the other Co[TpXPC](py)<sub>2</sub> complexes, except for details of the chromatographic purifications, which are specified below.

**Synthesis of Co[TpCF<sub>3</sub>PC](py)<sub>2</sub>.** A 50-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with free-base tris(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)corrole (0.035 g, 0.048 mmol) dissolved in pyridine (10 mL). To this solution was added 10 equiv of Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub>•4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.12 g, 0.48 mmol). The reaction flask was then fitted with a reflux condenser and heated on an oil bath at 100°C with stirring for 25-30 min, whereupon completion of metal insertion was confirmed by UV-vis spectroscopy and/or mass spectrometry. Upon cooling, the solution was rotary evaporated to dryness under high vacuum to yield. The resulting dark greenish-brown residue was redissolved in a minimum volume of dichloromethane containing a couple of drops of pyridine and chromatographed on a silica gel column (10 cm in height) with n-hexane/dichloromethane/pyridine (2:1:0.02, subsequently 1:1:0.02) as eluent. The front-running, emerald-green band was collected and identified as the title compound. Recrystallization from a mixture of 3:1 n-hexane/dichloromethane with a few drops of pyridine afforded the pure product with 87.5% yield. UV-vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>λ</sub><sub>max</sub> [nm, ε x 10<sup>-4</sup> (M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>): 386 (10.02). UV-vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5% pyridine) <sub>λ</sub><sub>max</sub> [nm, ε x 10<sup>-4</sup> (M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>): 442 (8.13), 453 (sh) (7.24), 582 (1.14), 623 (3.31). ¹H NMR (benzene-<sup>d</sup>6, 25°C) δ: 9.24 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrolic), 9.01 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrolic), 8.82-8.72 (m, 4H, β-pyrrolic), 8.26 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, 5,15-o/m-aryl), 8.17 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, 10-o/m-aryl), 7.75 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, 5,15-o/m-aryl), 7.70 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, 10-o/m-aryl), 5.06 (s, 2H, p-H of pyridine), 4.49 (s, 4H, m-H of pyridine), 2.54 (br s, 4H, o-H of pyridine). HRMS (major isotopomers in presence of a drop of pyridine, M = C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub>Co): [M]<sup>+</sup> (0.35) 786.0802 (expt), 786.0871 (calc); [M + py]<sup>+</sup> (1.00) 865.1284 (expt), 865.1294 (calc); [M + 2 py]<sup>+</sup> (0.90) 944.1715 (expt), 944.1715 (calc).

**Synthesis of Co[TPC](py)<sub>2</sub>.** Silica gel column chromatography with 1:1:0.02 n-hexane/dichloromethane/pyridine as eluent followed by recrystallization from 3:1 n-hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with a few drops of pyridine afforded the pure product with 77% yield. UV-vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>λ</sub><sub>max</sub> [nm, ε x 10<sup>-4</sup> (M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>): 388 (10.35). UV-vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5% pyridine) <sub>λ</sub><sub>max</sub> [nm, ε x 10<sup>-4</sup> (M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>): 437 (6.86), 452 (6.03), 582 (0.95), 623 (3.09). ¹H NMR (benzene-<sup>d</sup>6, 25°C) δ: 9.05 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrolic), 8.93 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrolic), 8.76-8.70 (m, 4H, β-pyrrolic), 8.40-8.35 (m, 4H, 5,15-o/m-aryl), 8.30-8.25 (m, 2H, 10-o/m-aryl),
7.53-7.41 (m, 9H, 5,15, & 10-o/m/p-aryl), 5.08 (s, 2H, p-H of pyridine), 4.54 (s, 4H, m-H of pyridine), 3.18 (br s, 4H, o-H of pyridine). HRMS (major isotopomers in presence of a drop of pyridine, M = C_{37}H_{23}N_{4}Co): [M]^+ (0.70) 582.1225 (expt), 582.1249 (calc); [M + py]^+ (1.00) 661.1676 (expt), 661.1671 (calc); [M + 2 py]^+ (0.30) 740.2100 (expt), 740.2093 (calc).

**Synthesis of Co[TpMePC](py)_{2}.** Silica gel column chromatography with 1:1:0.02 n-hexane/dichloromethane/pyridine as eluent followed by recrystallization from 3:1 n-hexane/CH_{2}Cl_{2} afforded the pure product (0.0395 g, 0.05 mmol, 82%). UV-vis (CH_{2}Cl_{2}) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M^{-1}cm^{-1})]: 393 (9.90). UV-vis (CH_{2}Cl_{2}, 0.5% pyridine) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M^{-1}cm^{-1})]: 402 (10.13). UV-vis (CH_{2}Cl_{2}, 0.5% pyridine) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M^{-1}cm^{-1})]: 437 (6.73), 453 (5.87), 581 (0.96), 625 (3.05). \(^1\)H NMR (benzene-\( d_{6}, 25^\circ \text{C} \)) \( \delta \): 8.96 (d, \( J = 4.3 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrolic), 8.86 (d, \( J = 4.7 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrolic), 8.69 (d, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrolic), 8.65 (s, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrolic), 8.29 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 4H, 5,15-o/m-aryl), 8.23 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H, 10-o/m-aryl), 7.13-7.04 (m, 6H, 5,15, & 10-o/m-aryl), 5.19 (s, 2H, p-H of pyridine), 4.69 (s, 4H, m-H of pyridine), 3.70 (br s, 4H, o-H of pyridine), 3.49 (overlapping s, 9H, 5,10,15-OMe). HRMS (major isotopomers in presence of a drop of pyridine, M = C_{40}H_{29}N_{4}O_{3}Co): [M]^+ (1.00) 624.1691 (expt), 624.1719 (calc); [M + py]^+ (1.00) 703.2148 (expt), 703.2141 (calc); [M + 2 py]^+ (0.25) 782.2572 (expt), 782.2563 (calc).

X-ray quality crystals were obtained by diffusion of methanol vapour over one week into a concentrated CH_{2}Cl_{2} solution of the complex containing few drops of pyridine.

**Synthesis of cobalt–\( \beta \)-octabromocorrole–bispyridine complexes.** A detailed procedure is described below for Co[Br_{8}TpMePC](py)_{2}; the other \( \beta \)-octabromocorrole complexes were
synthesized via a similar protocol, except for the optimum chromatographic purification, which is indicated separately for each complex.

**Synthesis of Co[Br₈TpMePC](py)₂.** A 50 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with free-base tris(4-trimethylphenyl)corrole (0.025 g, 0.021 mmol) dissolved in pyridine (8-10 mL). To this solution was added 6 equiv of Co(OAc)₂•4H₂O (0.0314 g, 0.126 mmol) The reaction flask was then fitted with a reflux condenser and heated on an oil bath at 100°C with stirring for 30 min, whereupon completion of metal insertion was confirmed by UV-Vis spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. Upon cooling, the solution was rotary evaporated under high vacuum to yield a dark brown residue. The residue was redissolved in a minimum volume of dichloromethane and was chromatographed on a silica gel column (length 12 cm) with 3:1 n-hexane/dichloromethane as eluent. The product eluted as a greenish-brown band, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. Final purification was carried out with PLC using 2:1 n-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The front brown band contained pure product Co[Br₈TpMePC](py)₂ (0.0214g, 0.015 mmol, 71.4%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) λₘₐₓ [nm, ε x 10⁻⁴ (M⁻¹cm⁻¹)]: 391 (7.31). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂, 0.5% pyridine) λₘₐₓ [nm, ε x 10⁻⁴ (M⁻¹cm⁻¹)]: 445 (7.94), 461 (7.60), 593 (1.41), 629 (2.71). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C) δ: 7.62 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, 5,15-orthoaryl), 7.56 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, 10-orthoaryl), 7.43 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H, 5,15-metaaryl), 7.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, 10-metaaryl), 6.30 (s, 2H, p-H of pyridine), 5.41 (s, 4H, meta-H of pyridine), 1.89 (br s, 4H, o-H of pyridine). HRMS (major isotopomer in the presence of a drop of pyridine, M = C₄₀H₂₁N₄Br₈Co): [M + 2py + H]⁺ 1414.5393 (expt), 1414.5410 (calc).

X-ray quality crystals were obtained by diffusion of methanol vapour over several days into a concentrated CHCl₃ solution of the complex containing few drops of pyridine.

**Synthesis of Co[Br₈TPC](py)₂.** Silica gel column chromatography with 2:1 n-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ followed by PLC with 3:2 n-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent afforded pure Co[Br₈TPC](py)₂ (0.0233 g, 0.017 mmol, 77%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) λₘₐₓ [nm, ε x 10⁻⁴ (M⁻¹cm⁻¹)]: 392 (6.29). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂, 0.5% pyridine) λₘₐₓ [nm, ε x 10⁻⁴ (M⁻¹cm⁻¹)]: 445 (6.78), 461 (6.37), 593 (1.15), 628 (2.17). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C) δ: 7.79-7.66 (m, 9H, mesoaryl), 7.62 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H, 5,15-metaaryl), 7.57-7.52 (m, 2H, 10-metaaryl), 6.29 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, p-H of pyridine), 5.41 (s, 4H, meta-H of pyridine), 1.89 (br s, 4H, o-H of pyridine). HRMS (major isotopomer in the presence of a drop of pyridine, M = C₃₇H₁₅N₄Br₈Co): [M + 2py + H]⁺ 1372.4912 (expt), 1372.4937 (calc).
Synthesis of Co[Br₈TpOMePC](py)₂. Silica gel column chromatography with 1:2 \( n \)-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ followed by PLC with 3:7 \( n \)-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent afforded pure Co[Br₈TpOMePC](py)₂ (0.0217 g, 0.0148 mmol, 74.2%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\))]: 392 (7.19). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂, 0.5% pyridine) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\))]: 446 (7.83), 462 (7.34), 593 (1.26), 629 (2.50). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C) \( \delta \): 7.63 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 4H, 5,15-o/m-aryl), 7.58 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H, 10-o/m-aryl), 7.17 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 4H, 5,15-o/m-aryl), 7.10 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H, 10-o/m-aryl), 6.29 (t, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 2H, \( p \)-H of pyridine), 5.41 (s, 4H, \( m \)-H of pyridine), 4.06 (s, 6H, 5,15-OMe), 4.03 (s, 3H, 10-OMe), 2.0 (br s, 4H, \( o \)-H of pyridine). HRMS (major isotopomer in the presence of a drop of pyridine, \( M = C_{40}H_{21}N_{4}Br_{8}O_{3}Co \)): \([M + 2py + H]^+\) 1462.5242 (expt), 1462.5254 (calc).

Synthesis of Co[Br₈TpCF₃PC](py)₂. Silica gel column chromatography with 3:1 \( n \)-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ followed by PLC with 2:1 \( n \)-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent afforded pure Co[Br₈TpCF₃PC](py)₂ (0.0223 g, 0.01415 mmol, 78.6%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\))]: 396 (6.48). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂, 0.5% pyridine) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) [nm, \( \varepsilon \times 10^{-4} \) (M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\))]: 447 (7.79), 460 (6.72), 593 (1.36), 625 (2.19). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C) \( \delta \): 7.92-7.81 (m, 12H, \( meso \)-aryl), 6.31 (t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2H, \( p \)-H of pyridine), 5.42 (s, 4H, \( m \)-H of pyridine). HRMS (major isotopomer in the presence of a drop of pyridine, \( M = C_{40}H_{12}N_{4}Br_{8}F_{9}Co \)): \([M + 2py + H]^+\) 1575.4503 (expt), 1575.4484(calc).

Crystal Structure Determination. X-ray diffraction data were collected on beamline 11.3.1 at the Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, using a Bruker D8 diffractometer equipped with a PHOTON100 CMOS detector operating in shutterless mode. The crystal, coated in protective oil, was mounted on a MiTeGen® kapton micromount and placed under a nitrogen stream at 100(2) K provided by an Oxford Cryostream 800 Plus low-temperature apparatus. Diffraction data were collected using synchrotron radiation monochromated using silicon(111) to a wavelength of 0.7749(1)Å. An approximate full-sphere of data was collected using a combination of phi and omega scans with scan speeds of 4° per second for the phi scans and 1 degree per second for the omega scans at \( 2\theta = 0 \) and -45, respectively. The structures were solved by intrinsic phasing (SHELXT)\(^{54}\) and refined by full-matrix least squares on \( F^2 \) (SHELXL-2014).\(^{55}\) All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were geometrically calculated and refined as riding atoms. Additional crystallographic information has been summarized in Table 1 and 2 and full details can be found in the crystallographic information files provided as Supplementary Information.
**Computational methods.** All DFT calculations were carried with the B3LYP exchange-correlation functional (20% Hartree-Fock exchange), in conjunction with Grimme’s D3 dispersion correction, and all electron STO-TZP basis sets, as implemented in the ADF 2014 program system.

**Supporting Information Available.** $^1$H NMR spectra, mass spectra, EPR spectra, and B3LYP/STO-TZP optimized coordinates (19 pages).
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