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Antimicrobial resistance is of increasing concern in both human and veterinary medicine, causing an increased focus on antibiotic stewardship. An important goal of antibiotic stewardship is to decrease inappropriate prescription of antibiotics. Retrospective studies on patterns of antibiotic use in companion animals show that in the majority of cases prescription of antibiotics is not preceded by proper diagnostic testing, potentially leading to over-treatment with antibiotics.

In human medicine, 90% of antibiotics are prescribed in primary healthcare and probably the same applies for primary companion animal practice. Genitourinary tract infections are the second to third most common reason for antimicrobial use in dogs, representing approximately 12% of the total prescriptions of oral antibiotics. Dogs with a tentative diagnosis of urinary tract infection (UTI) are frequently treated with antibiotics. However, clinical signs of lower urinary tract disease are unspecific, and diagnostic testing is necessary to correctly identify dogs with actual infection. Some studies have investigated the accuracy of diagnostic tools for diagnosing UTI, but few have validated their use in primary veterinary practice. and none have investigated the impact of diagnostics on clinical decision-making.

The aim of this study was to describe current veterinary management of suspected UTI in dogs, and to investigate the impact of different diagnostic work-up strategies on prescription of antibiotics among veterinary practitioners in Denmark.

We hypothesized (i) that performing microscopy or bacterial culture as part of the diagnostic work-up for UTI improves the proportion of appropriate decisions to treat dogs with antibiotics, and (ii) that performing culture with antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) leads to an appropriate choice of antibiotic.
Materials and Methods

Design and Setting

The study was designed as a prospective multicenter observational cohort study in Danish veterinary practices. A thorough description of the protocol was published earlier17 and the study is reported according to STROBE-Vet guidelines.18 During 2014, 241 primary practices covering all 5 Danish regions were invited to participate through meetings, by email or telephone. Practices participated voluntarily with a small financial compensation for each dog enrolled. Basic information concerning the practices was collected at recruitment (number of veterinarians, proportion of companion animal patients, and availability of diagnostic tests).

The study was approved by the Ethical Administrative Committee at the University of Copenhagen, Denmark) for quantitative bacterial culture after in-house diagnostic procedures, excess urine was sent by the primary investigator when completed.

Data Collection

Dogs were recruited between May 2014 and November 2016. On the day of consultation, dogs’ signalment, clinical history, diagnostic procedures performed, results thereof, tentative diagnosis, and prescribed treatments were registered on a standardized recording sheet. The tentative diagnosis was categorized as (i) not a UTI, (ii) uncomplicated UTI, or (iii) complicated UTI, defined by the presence of an underlying structural, neurological, or functional abnormality.

Follow-up on all patients was carried out by the primary investigator (Sørensen) by telephone or email to collect missing registrations, compare prescrip-tions with the local electronic patient record and obtain information about changes in the prescribed treatment after the consultation. If in-house culture was performed, the result of the culture was also retrieved (positive or negative growth).

Owners were asked to fill out a diary reporting the presence of clinical signs and administration of treatment during the 10 days after the consultation. Both recording sheets and client diaries were posted to the primary investigator when completed.

Study Population

Dogs of any age, sex, and breed, presenting with one or more clinical or paraclinical signs of urinary tract disease (dysuria, pollakiuria, stranguria, hematuria, or malodorous urine) were eligible to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria were (i) presence of severe systemic illness or chronic disease (eg, diabetes mellitus or hyperadrenocorticism), (ii) antibiotic treatment within 3 weeks before the consultation, (iii) inability to collect a urine sample for reference culture, and (iv) previous participation in the study.

Urine Sampling and Reference Culture

The method for urine collection was chosen by the veterinarians. After in-house diagnostic procedures, excess urine was sent by the postal service to the reference laboratory (SUND Vet Diagnostik, University of Copenhagen, Denmark) for quantitative bacterial culture (QBC) and AST, according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, as previously reported.17 Samples collected by cystocentesis were transported unpreserved in sterile silicone-coated clot tubes,4 while samples collected by catheter or voiding were transported in boric acid-preserved urine transportation tubes.3 Dogs were defined as having UTI if reference QBC reached the currently accepted thresholds for clinically relevant11,12,19 ≥1,000 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL for cystocentesis samples, ≥10,000 CFU/mL for male catheter samples, and ≥100,000 CFU/mL for female catheter samples as well as for all voided samples. If swarming of Proteus sp. on agar plates made quantification impossible, growth was regarded as clinically important. Results of reference QBC were not available for the participating veterinarians during the study period, but were used as the reference standard for the study. Laboratory technicians were blinded to the collection method, diagnostic results, and diagnosis made by the primary veterinarians.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes were appropriate decision to treat (DTT) and appropriate choice of antibiotic treatment (COT). The first treatment decision (first DTT) refers to the decision made during the consultation. The final treatment decision (final DTT) refers to the decision made in the days after the consultation. Appropriate DTT was defined as prescribing antibiotics in case of clinically relevant bacteriuria and not prescribing antibiotics in case of sterile or growth below clinical relevance on reference QBC. Appropriate COT was defined as (i) prescribing antibiotics to which the pathogen was in vitro susceptible (according to reference QBC), and (ii) choosing recommended first-line agents over second-line agents. The recommended first-line agents in Denmark are amoxicillin or potentiated sulfonamides (TMS).21

Secondary outcomes were accuracy of the in-house diagnostic tests, clinical cure rates on Day 4 after consultation, and number of days to clinical cure.

Study Size

A sample size calculation was performed with SAS Enterprise Guide v6.1 based on the assumptions: (i) in-house point-of-care diagnostic tests (ie, urine dipstick, microscopy, or both) were exclusively used in 80% of the cases, (ii) an appropriate DTT was made for 55% of the dogs without culture performed, and (iii) appropriate DTT was made for 70% of the dogs in which culture was performed. Intra-class correlation of 0.2, α = 0.05 and β = 0.20. Based on those assumptions, a total of 800 dogs from 100 veterinary practices were required.

Data Management and Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were reported as proportions, mean (±SD), or median (interquartile range [IQR]). The statistical analysis comparing the impact of microscopy or bacterial culture on DTT was performed in 2 steps. First, possible predictors for the use of microscopy and culture were investigated individually in 2 multilevel logistic regression models with practices as a random effect. A significance level of 10% (P < 0.1) was applied for evaluation of possible predictors in order not to exclude potential confounders. The tested predictors were: (i) presenting clinical signs and duration thereof, (ii) information on previous diagnostic test results, and (iii) availability of diagnostic tools (Supporting information Table S1).

Second (main analysis), the likelihood ratio test statistics were applied to identify the best-fitting logistic regression model for the diagnostic work-up prediction of DTT, adjusted for the significant predictors from the first model. Hypothesized causal structure among variables is shown in Figure 1.

Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, and likelihood ratios were calculated for microscopic and culture test results obtained in-house compared to the reference QBC. The chi-square test was applied to test difference in clinical cure rate proportions between groups.

An alpha level of 0.05 was used for statistical significance in the main analysis, and 2-sided 95% confidence levels were calculated where appropriate. All analysis was performed in RStudio v1.1.138 by the lme4 package for regression analysis.22
Results

Participating Practices

A total of 96 practices were enrolled, of which 52 actively participated in the study. Of these, 15 were located in the Capital Region of Denmark, 8 in Region Zealand, 5 in the Region of Northern Denmark, 13 in the Region of Central Denmark, and 11 in the Region of Southern Denmark. The majority of practices were medium-sized with 2–4 veterinarians employed (n = 41), and most were pure companion animal practices (n = 45). The diagnostic tools available for veterinarians are shown in Table 1.

Participating Dogs

A total of 181 dogs were enrolled during the study period. Figure 2 shows the number of eligible dogs enrolled, reasons for exclusion from analysis, and diagnostic work-up pursued by the veterinarians. The 151 dogs included in the final analysis represented 58 different breeds, with Labrador retrievers (n = 18), mixed-breed dogs (n = 16), and Cocker Spaniels (n = 10) being most prevalent. The study included 110 female dogs (72.8%) of which 42 were neutered, 40 male dogs (26.5%) of which 6 were neutered, and 1 dog of unknown sex (0.6%). The dogs had a mean age of 6.0 years (SD 6.40), and a mean weight of 21.7 kg (SD 13.9, n = 141).

Clinical signs had been present for a median of 3.3 days (IQR 2;7, n = 142), and pollakiuria was the most commonly reported clinical sign. The clinical presentation and registrations at consultation are shown in Table 2.

Reference QBC

Clinically relevant bacteriuria (ie, UTI) was detected in 51% of the cases (77/151) with 95% (73/77) being monocultures. Table 3 shows the distribution of the 81 bacterial isolates. Thirteen (10/77) and six (5/77) percent of isolates...
were resistant to amoxicillin and TMS, respectively. Only 3 of the 42 Escherichia coli isolates (7%) displayed resistance to a first-line antibiotic (amoxicillin \([n=1]\), TMS \([n=1]\), or both \([n=1]\)). The median transportation time to the reference laboratory was 2 days (IQR 1;4, range 0–6 days).

**Diagnostic Work-up**

Urine dipstick analysis was performed in all but 2 cases (149/151), and overall 80.1% (121/151) included microscopic examination of urine and 56% (85/151) included culture in the diagnostic work-up. Diagnostic work-up pursued by the veterinarians is shown in Figure 2.

Identification of predictors for performing in-house microscopy or culture are shown in Supporting information Table S1. Possible predictors for performing microscopy with a 10% level of significance were the number of days with clinical signs before consultation (OR 1.13 [1.01;1.26], \(P = 0.027\)), pollakiuria (OR 3.75 [0.94;14.97], \(P = 0.061\)), incontinence (OR 0.25 [0.06;1.13], \(P = 0.072\)), licking of the outer genitalia (OR 4.90 [0.98;24.60], \(P = 0.053\)), and a temperature above 39.3°C (OR 0.06 [0.003;1.38], \(P = 0.078\)).

Significant predictors for performing culture were pollakiuria (OR 3.31 [0.98;11.22], \(P = 0.053\)), and licking of the outer genitalia (OR 3.03 [0.87;10.48], \(P = 0.080\)). The result of sediment analysis (absence or presence of bacteria, pyuria, or both) did not predict the use of culture.

**Prescribed Treatment**

Seventy-nine percent (119/151) of dogs were empirically treated with antibiotics on the day of consultation (first DTT), while a total of 85% (129/151) were treated after the consultation (final DTT). Treatment was changed for 32 dogs, of which 88% (28/32) were escalated from no antibiotics to antibiotic treatment, or from a first-line to a second-line agent, while for 13% of the dogs (4/32) the treatment

### Table 2. Clinical signs and findings registered at consultation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>All Dogs (n = 151) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysuria</td>
<td>32 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollakiuria</td>
<td>120 (79.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>75 (49.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stranguria</td>
<td>23 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malodorous urine</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periuria</td>
<td>74 (49.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licking outer genitalia</td>
<td>37 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence</td>
<td>26 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethargic</td>
<td>19 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful back or abdomen</td>
<td>19 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporexia/anorexia</td>
<td>11 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased temperature (&gt;39.3°C)</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Urine collection method**

- Cystocentesis: 27 (17.9%)
- Catheter: 16 (10.6%)
- Voided: 108 (71.5%)

**Tentative diagnosis**

- Uncomplicated UTI: 113 (74.8%)
- Complicated UTI: 19 (12.6%)
- No UTI: 17 (11.3%)
- No diagnosis: 2 (1.3%)

UTI, urinary tract infection; QBC, quantitative bacterial culture (reference); IQR, interquartile range.

Registered presenting clinical signs and clinical findings at consultation of dogs included in the analysis. Numbers are n (%).

### Table 3. Bacterial species isolated (n = 81) from 77 urine samples obtained from dogs with confirmed UTI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial Genus/Species</th>
<th>Dominating Number (%)</th>
<th>Secondary Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>42 (55)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus mirabilis</em></td>
<td>15 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus pseudintermedius</em></td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Staph. sp.</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus canis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Strep. sp.</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus faecalis</em> and <em>E. faecium</em></td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella oxytoca</em></td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter</em> sp.</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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was de-escalated. The reasons for changing treatment strategy were: (i) re-evaluation after obtaining culture results in 59% (19/32), (ii) a change from injection therapy on the day of consultation to oral therapy with a different antibiotic class in 25% (8/32), and (iii) persistent clinical signs in 3% (1/32). In 13% (4/32) a reason for the change was not recorded. Prescribed median doses were: (i) 11 (range 5–47) mg/kg twice daily of amoxicillin (19 dogs), (ii) 13 (range 6–30) mg/kg twice daily of amoxicillin and clavulanate potassium (79 dogs), (iii) 20 (range 12–32) mg/kg twice daily of TMS (7 dogs) and (iv) 4 (range 1–8) mg/kg once daily of enrofloxacin (6 dogs). The median duration of antibiotic therapy was 10 days (range 2–30 days) among the 125 dogs for which this variable was recorded. Twenty-eight dogs (18.5%, 28/151) were treated with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) alone or in combination with antibiotics.

**Appropriateness of Clinical Decision-Making**

Overall, appropriate final DTT occurred in 61.6% of cases (93/151) with over-prescription of antibiotics occurring in 36% (55/151) and under-prescription of antibiotics occurring in 2% (3/151) of the dogs. Of the 77 confirmed UTI cases, 96% (74/77) were appropriately treated with antibiotics, while 4% (3/77) were undertreated. Of the 74 cases without UTI, 26% (19/74) were not prescribed antibiotics (appropriate DTT) and 74% (55/74) were prescribed antibiotics (inappropriate DTT).

The logistic regression model with all 4 diagnostic work-up groups pursued (Fig 2) was tested against a model without interaction between performing microscopy and culture. The likelihood ratio statistics showed no significant difference between the models (Chi-square $= 1.14$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.28$). The interaction was therefore removed from the final model.

Proportions of appropriate final DTT, over-treatment, and under-treatment in relation to diagnostic procedures are shown in Figure 3. The adjusted analysis shows a significant increase in the likelihood of appropriate DTT when microscopy was performed (OR 2.57, $P = 0.039$), while no effect was found for performance of culture (OR 1.20, $P = 0.62$) (Table 4).

For the 77 dogs with confirmed UTI on reference culture, an appropriate COT was made in 36% of the cases (28/77). Inappropriate second-line agents were prescribed in 57% of cases (44/77), and prescription of first-line agents to which reference culture showed in vitro resistance were prescribed in 6% (5/77) of the cases (under-treatment). Diagnostic work-up included in-house AST of isolates from 39% of the dogs with UTI (30/77). As shown in Figure 4, no significant difference in the proportion of appropriate COT could be demonstrated between dogs with and without AST performed (OR $= 0.77$ [0.23:2.60], $P = 0.67$).

**Accuracy of Diagnostic Tests Performed In-House**

When comparing results from diagnostic tests performed in-house to the reference QBC, the overall accuracy of microscopic bacteriuria was 64.5%, and the accuracy of bacterial culture (growth or no growth) was 77%. Sensitivity was high as only 1 negative in-house culture showed significant growth on reference QBC. However, a high proportion of positive in-house cultures were observed despite negative reference QBC (13 discordant results). Thirty-one positive and 17 negative in-house cultures were in accordance with the reference QBC. Sensitivities and specificities are shown in Table 5.
Coherence between In-House Test Results and Decision-Making

Test results on in-house microscopy, culture, or both were registered in 129 dogs. Veterinarians treated 20.9% (27/129) of dogs despite no microscopic evidence of bacteriuria or a negative culture in-house (over-treatment), while 2.3% (3/129) were under-treated, leaving 76.7% (99/129) that were treated in coherence with in-house test results. According to reference QBC, 36.4% (55/151) dogs were over-treated. Forty-six cases thereof had in-house test results registered, 37% (17/46) with no evidence of bacteriuria or positive cultures and thus treated incoherent to in-house test results. Table 6 illustrates the treatment decisions made for the 62 dogs for which in-house culture results were registered.

Clinical Cure

One hundred and one owners returned the diary. Fifty-three percent (54/101) of these had confirmed UTI on reference QBC. Overall, clinical cure rate 4 days after the consultation was 69% (65/94). Median time from consultation to clinical cure was 2 days (IQR 0;4) for both UTI cases (IQR 0;6) and non-UTI cases (IQR 0;4). The overall rate of treatment failure (clinical signs still present 10 days after the consultation) was 7% (7/94).

Discussion

Only half of the dogs with clinical signs of lower urinary tract disease had confirmed UTI on reference QBC, and a high frequency of over-prescription of antibiotics was observed in this study. Performing microscopy impacted the proportion of appropriate final DTT, while no impact could be demonstrated for culture. However, the proportion of inappropriate antibiotic treatment in dogs was high regardless of the diagnostic work-up pursued. Including AST in the diagnostic work-up did not influence more appropriate COT in the dogs with confirmed UTI on reference QBC, as the empiric prescription of unnecessary second-line agents was high in both groups.

The primary strength of the study is that it was conducted in primary practice and was prospective in design, contrary to previous publications on prescription habits. The study includes the entire decision-making process from choice of diagnostic work-up to treatment decisions, and thus contributes valuable information on current strategies for diagnosing and treating UTI in dogs in practice settings.

The veterinarians who participated in the study did so voluntarily and so could be more interested in quality improvement and in reducing inappropriate prescriptions than colleagues who did not volunteer, as is known from the human literature. It could therefore be argued that our results are conservative and might underestimate the true proportion of over-treatment.

A major challenge related to the multi-centric research setting of this study was the transport of samples for reference QBC. Despite ordering next-day delivery service, 65 (43.0%) samples had a postal delivery time of more than 2 days. The consequences of this are unknown, but delayed delivery might have resulted in both false positives and false negative culture results as previously shown.
average transportation time was, however, similar across all groups (data not shown).

Surprisingly, only a few dogs were diagnosed without microscopy, which resulted in very few dogs in some diagnostic groups and induced a risk of type II error in the study with regard to DTT. Initial power analysis was calculated based on estimated proportions, as no previous studies were available. The actual difference in proportions observed in this study was greater than initially estimated and a higher proportion of dogs had culture performed as part of the diagnostic work-up.

The veterinarians expect high prevalence of UTI in the presence of clinical signs, as 87.4% had a tentative diagnosis of UTI at consultation. However, the UTI prevalence of approximately 50% found in this study is comparable to other studies reporting prevalence of 38–65% in dogs with clinical signs.[20,27–29] In our study, over-treatment of dogs was slightly if samples with transportation time to the reference laboratory was longer than expected in a large proportion of the samples in this study, and it cannot be excluded that in-house culture results were more reliable in some of these cases. Therefore, the accuracy of in-house testing in practice might be higher than reported by this study, as some false positive in-house results could in fact represent false negative reference culture results. However, in our study, the accuracy of in-house culture only improved slightly if samples with ≥48 hours of transport were disregarded (79% overall accuracy, data not shown), and test inaccuracy was not the only explanation for the over-treatment of antibiotics in dogs without UTI.

A simple but important explanation of incoherence between culture results and decision-making is the order of events. For example, most dogs were prescribed empiric antibiotics at the time of consultation, and de-escalation of treatment after negative in-house culture results was only seen in approximately one third of the negative cases (Table 6). In contrast, there was complete coherence between test results and the final treatment decision in the few cases (19) where antibiotic treatment was withheld pending the culture results. The importance of the order of test-reading and initiation of treatment is emphasized by the fact that only microscopy—the only point-of-care test, but also the least accurate in-house test method—impacted the proportion of appropriate final DTT. Strategic use of culture as a decision-making tool can involve withholding antibiotic therapy until test results (growth/no growth) are available. This strategy is feasible when test results are available within 24 hours (in-house testing) and when clinical signs are not severe, and might potentially reduce over-prescription of antibiotics in culture-negative dogs. Clinical decision-making is a complex process for which several possible diagnostic and treatment biases exist. The fact that antibiotic treatment was prescribed, or continued, despite negative in-house test results could indicate the presence of confirmation bias. This is a tendency to selectively gather and interpret evidence that confirms a suspected diagnosis.

### Table 5. In-house diagnostic tests performance compared to reference quantitative bacterial culture at Sund Vet Diagnostik.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bacteriuria</th>
<th>Pyuria</th>
<th>Bacteriuria, pyuria, or both</th>
<th>Culture (n = 62)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.70 (0.58; 0.67)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.41; 0.26)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.56; 0.11)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.31; 0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.57 (0.31; 0.23)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.26; 0.28)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.21; 0.33)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.17; 0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR+</td>
<td>1.65 [1.16; 2.33]</td>
<td>1.18 [0.86; 1.63]</td>
<td>1.37 [1.08; 1.73]</td>
<td>2.24 [1.48; 3.38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR−</td>
<td>0.52 [0.03; 0.08]</td>
<td>0.81 [0.54; 1.21]</td>
<td>0.42 [0.22; 0.80]</td>
<td>0.06 [0.01; 0.39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LR+/LR−, positive and negative likelihood ratio; QBC, quantitative bacterial culture.

Calculated sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios and overall accuracy of in-house diagnostic results from veterinary practice compared to reference QBC. Brackets are proportions and 95% confidence intervals.
and ignore evidence that might disconfirm it.31 Risk avoidance (ie, regret bias) is another possible explanation for the lack of adherence to test results, as the anticipated regret caused by adverse reactions to antibiotic treatment is less important than the regret of clinical worsening if treatment is not provided.31

The preferred empiric treatment among veterinarians was amoxicillin with clavulanate potassium as also reported by other studies,4,5,32 This is incoherent with the Danish national guidelines, which recommends the use of un-potentiated amoxicillin or TMS.21 The majority of prescribed doses were in accordance with current guidelines. However, the duration of treatment was longer than recommended by the national Danish guidelines as well as international guidelines.11,21 Uropathogen resistance to recommended first-line antibiotics in our population was low, with only 5% of E. coli displaying in vitro resistance to ampicillin and 5% to TMS, making the use of clavulanate inappropriate in the majority of cases. Markedly higher levels have previously been published from Danish diagnostic laboratories reporting resistance to ampicillin and TMS in 20–22.2% and 19.2% of canine uropathogenic E. coli, respectively.33,34 The results of our study suggest that susceptibility patterns from reference laboratories represent more complicated recurrent cases and might not be representative of the susceptibility patterns among pathogens commonly encountered in primary practice. In our study, AST did not lead to a more appropriate use of antibiotics. The in-house AST results were not recorded, therefore it is unknown if inappropriate COT was caused by low accuracy of in-house AST methods or incoherence with test results, but it was evident that a large proportion of AST tools available in the clinics were not validated for urine cultures in practice. It should be emphasized that even though AST testing did not impact the COT in our study, this is not necessarily applicable to other settings where resistance levels are markedly different. In settings with high levels of resistance toward common first-line agents, local resistance surveillance and individual tailored treatment based on susceptibility reports is essential.35

### Table 6. Treatment decisions made for 62 dogs with in-house culture results registered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First DTT</th>
<th>In-House Culture Result</th>
<th>Final DTT</th>
<th>Coherence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empiric antibiotics prescribed (n = 43)</td>
<td>Positive (n = 32)</td>
<td>Unchanged (n = 32)</td>
<td>100% (32/32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative (n = 11)</td>
<td>Escalate (n = 3)</td>
<td>27% (3/11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No antibiotics prescribed (n = 19)</td>
<td>Positive (n = 12)</td>
<td>Unchanged (n = 7)</td>
<td>100% (7/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative (n = 7)</td>
<td>De-escalate (n = 7)</td>
<td>100% (7/7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment decisions made by veterinarians during consultation first treatment decision (first DTT), their in-house culture results and the final treatment decision (final DTT) made after culture results were available. Escalation is defined as decision change from no antibiotic to antibiotic treatment. De-escalation is defined as decision change from antibiotic to no antibiotic. Coherence is the proportion of final treatment decisions after the result of in-house culture results: (i) prescription of antibiotics to dogs with positive culture results and (ii) no antibiotic prescribed to dogs with negative culture results.

### Conclusion

Our study investigated the validity and impact of different diagnostic work-ups on medical decision-making for dogs suspected of UTI under daily practice conditions. Only half of the dogs had confirmed UTI, and our study demonstrated a high level of over-prescription of antibiotics in dogs with clinical signs of urinary tract disease, regardless of the diagnostic work-up performed. Test accuracy of culture and microscopy was lower than previously reported but was not the sole explanation for over-prescription of antibiotics. The study revealed incoherence between decision-making and diagnostic test results, as antibiotic treatment was often initiated before receiving culture test results and continued even in the event of negative culture. Unnecessary use of second-line agents like amoxicillin with clavulanate potassium was common, and the use of susceptibility testing did not lead to de-escalation. This highlights the need for multifaceted interventions to reduce inappropriate use of antibiotics in dogs with clinical signs of lower urinary tract disease. Interventions should include (i) education of practice personnel in use and interpretation of currently available diagnostic tests, (ii) introduction of treatment strategies involving withholding or de-escalation of antibiotic treatment in response to test results, and (iii) promotion of first-line agents for empiric treatment. Furthermore, novel non-culture-based point-of-care tests for improving diagnosis of UTI, and rational decision-making in primary veterinary practice are greatly needed to reduce unnecessary prescription of antibiotics.

### Footnotes

a BD Vacutainer Serum Tubes, #369059, BD Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark
b BD Vacutainer Urine Tubes, #364959, BD Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark
c Copyright © 2013 by SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC
d Copyright © 2009–2016 RStudio Inc, Boston, MA
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