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Abstract

Scope: Chronic neck pain (CNP) is a disabling condition where the cause is often unknown, making treatment difficult. Muscle involvement is suspected in most cases, and assessment of muscle condition and changes following treatment may be possible with multi-frequency bioimpedance (mfBIA). Our aim was to test mfBIA as an assessment method of possible involvement of the neck, back and other related muscles in two CNP patients, prior to and following physiotherapy treatment with AtlasBalans.

Methods: mfBIA measurements were carried out pre-treatment on m. sternocleidomastoideus, m. trapezius, upper back, lower back, m. vastus lateralis, and m. gastrocnemius. Centre frequency (fc), extracellular Resistance (Re) and intracellular Resistance (Ri), Impedance (Z), Resistance (R) and Reactance (Xc) were measured, and Phase Angle (PA) and Membrane Capacitance (Mc) were calculated, using ImpediMed Inc software. AtlasBalans treatment was carried out on m. sternocleidomastoideus and m. trapezius with following mfBIA measurement of these. Relationship between Z, R, fc, Ri and Mc was studied pre and post-treatment.

Results: The Z and R data suggested no great degree of inflammation or overuse of the measured muscles. The fc data indicate muscle involvement with elevated resting tension and imbalance between the left and right sides. Ri and Mc confirm the interpretation of imbalance, indicating an elevated metabolic activity in some muscles.

Conclusion: mfBIA seems a promising method to follow muscle involvement in chronic neck pain patients. AtlasBalans treatment did not show any clear indication as being an efficient form of treatment to relieve muscle tension in CNP patients.
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Abbrevations: CN: Chronic Neck Pain; mfBIA: Multi-frequency Bioimpedance; fc: Centre Frequency; Re Extracellular Resistance; Ri: Intracellular Resistance; Z: Impedance; R: Resistance; Xc: Reactance

Introduction

Chronic neck pain (CNP), where a period of neck pain develops into what can be defined as a chronic condition, is common [1-3]. To be chronic, the pain has to persist for a 3 month period after onset.

CNP creates disability and affects the sufferer’s quality of life [4-6]. It also has severe economic consequences for those individuals affected, as well as for society in general [7,8].

The prevalence of CNP is found to be between 1.7 and 11.5% for activity-limiting neck pain existing for a period of 12 months after onset. Furthermore, even for those individuals in the group capable of participating in their normal daily activities, a mild chronic pain may still persist [3].

The fact that there is often no objective measure to indicate the cause of the experienced CNP adds to the stress to which CNP patients are exposed when prognosis of disease development and choice of treatment are considered.

Multi-frequency bioimpedance (mfBIA) is able to give an immediate description of the condition of a muscle [9], and has recently been shown to provide details regarding muscle resting tension and cellular activity, as well as training level and recovery rate following muscle injury [9,10]. Since there are indications that CNP can be improved with physical therapy and manipulation [11-16], muscle involvement is very likely in most cases.

Our aim was to test whether mfBIA as a method of assessing the possible involvement of the neck, back and other related muscles (myofascial trains [17]), when presented with a CNP patient, allows the user to follow a suspected involvement of a particular muscle after physical therapy treatment following application with the AtlasBalans method (AtlasBalans AB, Stockholm, Sweden).

Our hypothesis was that CNP, caused in part by muscle changes, e.g. excessive and constant compensatory muscle tension arising from a minor or major injury to the neck or other related region/s of the body, can be monitored in real-time using mfBIA.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Two cases of CNP were studied.

1. A 53 year old woman, weight 70 kg, height 1.65 m, who following a fall from a horse 3 years previous to the measurement had experienced continuous neck pain affecting her daily routine and work ability.

2. A 41 year old woman, weight 68 kg, height 1.78 m, who had been involved in a bicycle accident and had, subsequently, experienced chronic neck pain that affected her daily routine with particular focus on transportation.
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Since the measurements were non-invasive, the technique is commonly used in the clinic and is readily available to laymen, and the treatment was pre-agreed between the physiotherapist and the patients, no ethics committee approval was deemed necessary. The participants gave their informed written consent to participate in the study, which was carried out according to the Helsinki Declaration (http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/).

**Design**

mBIA measurements were carried out on the following muscles: m. sternocleidomastoideus, m. trapezius, upper back, lower back, m. vastus lateralis, and m. gastrocnemius, using an ImpediMed Inc tetra bipolar bioimpedance spectroscopy unit (Imp SFB7; Pinkenba, Qld, Australia). With this setup, 256 frequencies between 4 and 1000 kHz with a constant current were applied. For each individual measurement, a repeated analysis was made 6 times with a 1 second interval between each analysis. Ag/AgCl electrodes provided by ImpediMed (Single Tab Electrodes 292-STE) were used according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. The electrode position adopted involved placement of the current electrodes on the outside with the voltage-sensing electrodes on the inside, and 10 mm between the current and the voltage-sensing electrodes. The electrodes were placed at each end of the specific muscles measured, just prior to the tendon attachment.

The mBIA parameters, centre frequency (fc), extracellular Resistance (Re) and intracellular Resistance (Ri) were measured directly from the full multi-frequency Cole-Cole plot. The following parameters, Impedance (Z), Resistance (R) and Reactance (Xc) were measured at the generally accepted frequency for human subjects of 50 kHz, whilst Phase Angle (PA) was calculated as the arctan Xc/R, and the Membrane Capacitance (Mc) was determined as 1/2πfc (Ri+R).

**Treatment**

AtlasBalans (AtlasBalans AB, Stockholm, Sweden) provides a deep tissue massage, which is applied through a hand-held, battery-driven device with an interchangeable head that enables more specific or more general treatment according to choice of head. A routine sequence was adopted for each of the regions selected for treatment, m. sternocleidomastoideus and m. trapezius, and treatment was only applied to the muscles identified as being affected e.g. high level of resting tension (fc) or high Ri and low Mc values. The device was angled in such a way as to reach and follow specific myofascial structures.

**Data analysis**

The mBIA data was handled using the ImpediMed Inc software. At the time of measurement the Cole-Cole plot was assessed for normal distribution to assure reliable data. Furthermore, the other available plots (R and Xc) were also examined to ensure use of acceptable recordings.

**Results**

**Pre-treatment results**

mBIA results pre-treatment (Table 1).

**Centre Frequency fc**

The fc is the frequency that gives the maximum Xc value on the Cole-Cole plot. With a higher level of resting tension, the resulting greater density of the muscle will require a higher energy, i.e. a higher frequency, to pass through the tissue. fc therefore provides important information regarding the relative density of muscle tissue e.g. the level of resting tension in a muscle.

**Case 1:** The fc was substantially higher for several of the right side muscles including m. sternocleidomastoideus, m. longissimus thoracis, and m. vastus lateralis, with a tendency for m. longissimus cervicis. In contrast, the low back region showed a higher fc value for the left side, and m. trapezius and m. gastrocnemius had almost the same values right versus left side i.e. they were balanced. This subject showed therefore some imbalance between the left and right sides of the neck and back muscles, with a tending up of the right hand side.

**Case 2:** The fc value was found to be higher for the right side m. longissimus cervicis and thoracis and m. vastus lateralis, while the fc values for m. sternocleidomastoideus, and m. trapezius were higher on the left side. The low back region and m. gastrocnemius were almost balanced right versus left. The pattern here suggests that the two sides of the neck/back region were pulling in different directions left versus right sides, which would be expected to result in some degree of torsion in this region, although the aspect of compensation cannot be completely ignored.

Both cases quite clearly showed muscle involvement in their pain condition.

**Impedance Z and Resistance R**

A combined assessment of the Z and R values for a muscle can help determine a swelling/oedema or a dehydrated state as well as provide details regarding muscle mass. When looking at the different muscles assessed here, the values Z and R were almost identical in both subjects, both for the right and left sides of the muscles, which indicates that there is no signs of oedema or swelling or dehydration. These data suggest that there is no great degree of inflammation or overuse of the muscles measured.

**Membrane Capacitance Mc**

The membrane capacitance (Mc) indicates the status of the membrane potential, and hence muscle fibre health, as well as the transport status over the membrane.

**Case 1:** There was a slight to almost no difference for the right versus left side when looking at m. sternocleidomastoideus, m. longissimus cervicis and m. gastrocnemius, while the Mc was higher for the left side for m. trapezius, m. longissimus thoracis and m. vastus lateralis, indicating higher metabolic activity in these muscles on the left hand side. A very large left-right difference was seen for the low back region muscles where Mc was measured to be almost twice the value for the right side versus the left side. The interesting observation here is that where there is a substantially higher fc between the left and right sides, a lower Mc is found compared to a muscle with a normal resting tension, indicating that the more tense a muscle is the more metabolically active it presents, most likely a form of compensation?

**Case 2:** Mc was likewise found to be lower for those muscles measured as having a higher fc.

**Intracellular Resistance Ri and extracellular resistance Re**

Ri has been shown to be correlated with VO2-max at rest [18], and may therefore be taken as an index of a muscle’s anabolic status [18]. Re may, on the other hand, be used as a measure of dehydration in cases where impaired perfusion is not expected [9].

**Case 1:** Ri was found to differ between the left and right sides in all measured muscles to a varying degree. In general, when a large
difference was found, a low Mc value was found to correlate to a high Ri value, indicating that the anabolic state of the muscle on the side with the high Ri differs from its counter-part (low Ri), being in a metabolically more active state.

**Case 2:** The largest left versus right side difference was seen for Ri in m. vastus lateralis (right more oxygen-consumed than left side). Re values did not show any important differences.

**Post-treatment results**

mfBIA results following AtlasBalans treatment of m. sternocleidomastoideus and trapezius (Table 2).

Two muscles were selected for AtlasBalans treatment, m. sternocleidomastoideus and m. trapezius. Measurements were taken directly following treatment.

**Effect of treatment**

The fc values in Case 1 showed a decrease of 13.6 kHz for the right side, and 6 kHz for the left side m. sternocleidomastoideus. There was also a decrease in fc of 20.4 and 12.4 kHz for m. trapezius, left and right respectively, indicating an effect on all four muscles towards a less tense state.

The Ri and Mc values both increased, supporting the evidence that the treatment had led to a more relaxed, less metabolically active muscle directly after treatment.

In Case 2 the fc values showed a direct but insignificant decrease of 2.9 kHz and 0.6 kHz after treatment, for the left and right sides, respectively, for m. sternocleidomastoideus. The measurements for m. trapezius showed a decrease in fc of 12.1 kHz for the left side and an increase of 5 kHz for the right side.

When comparing changes in the Ri and Mc values, some decrease was seen, but this was not as convincing as for Case 1, and the effect of treatment was therefore not as clear cut as that of Case 1.

**Discussion**

In groups of neck-pain patients, it is difficult to determine why some individuals develop chronic pain and some do not. A recent Cochrane review reports that "Neck pain is multifactorial in its etiology and factors contributing to its development include age, gender, a history of neck pain, the occurrence of other musculoskeletal problems, poor posture, repetitive strain, poor self-rated health, and social and psychological factors" [19]. Indeed, this very broad description of the underlying causes may in itself provide some of the answers as to the very different outcomes between patients in this group.

Several types of interventions for chronic neck patients exist, but the effect of these is not always well documented due to a varying quality of studies concerning these therapies [13]. The Cochrane Collaboration has provided systematic reviews of some of these interventions, such as exercise [15], massage for mechanical neck disorders [20], patient education for neck pain [21], electrotherapy for neck pain [22], mechanical traction for neck pain with or without radiculopathy [23], and conservative treatment for whiplash [24]. The overall conclusion of the reviews is that the evidence for positive effects of treatment is low, and that no definite statements on the efficacy and clinical usefulness of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>m. sternocleidomastoïd</th>
<th>m. trapezius</th>
<th>m. longissimus cervicis</th>
<th>m. longissimus thoracis</th>
<th>m. vastus lateralis</th>
<th>m. gastrocnemius</th>
<th>low back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z Ω</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Ω</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xc Ω</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Pre-treatment mfBIA results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Angle *</th>
<th>fc kHz</th>
<th>Re Ω</th>
<th>Mc nF</th>
<th>Ri Ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>116.8</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>113.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.8</td>
<td>136.3</td>
<td>211.7</td>
<td>284.1</td>
<td>165.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>m. sternocleidomastoïd</th>
<th>m. trapezius</th>
<th>m. longissimus cervicis</th>
<th>m. longissimus thoracis</th>
<th>m. vastus lateralis</th>
<th>m. gastrocnemius</th>
<th>low back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z Ω</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Ω</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xc Ω</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Angle *</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fc kHz</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re Ω</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>114.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc nF</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ri Ω</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>236.7</td>
<td>253.8</td>
<td>179.2</td>
<td>213.7</td>
<td>181.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the finding of Borghouts et al. who showed that once "non-specific neck condition. A reliable diagnostic method could perhaps even counteract mfBIA could be a more objective measure of the particular patient’s difficult, especially when labelled as "non-specific", our hope was that treatment options, which could have a positive effect on the condition. value if more measurable common pathologies could indicate a set of underlying pathology can be established and the complaints are labelled as "non-specific neck pain" [26]. With this in mind, it would be of great cause behind the neck pain was connected with the development of chronic neck pain. The two cases in this study were randomly selected with the one major cause behind this conclusion may very well be the lack of use of objective measurements to identify the involved muscles in chronic neck patients, where muscle involvement is often the case. In this study, and in earlier studies by Bartels et al. [9] and Nescolarde et al. [10], mfBIA has been demonstrated as a method to identify asymmetry of muscle pairs, as well as metabolic state and degree of tension of muscles which were suspected to be involved in the neck condition. Applying mfBIA could therefore help providing a clear diagnosis of the cause behind the neck pain. It would then further be possible to focus the right treatment towards the involved tissue, and thereby change from a more general approach towards patient-focused treatment. Treatment of chronic neck pain for physiotherapy treatment [31].

A major cause behind this conclusion may very well be the lack of use of objective measurements to identify the involved muscles in chronic neck patients, where muscle involvement is often the case. In this study, and in earlier studies by Bartels et al. [9] and Nescolarde et al. [10], mfBIA has been demonstrated as a method to identify asymmetry of muscle pairs, as well as metabolic state and degree of tension of muscles which were suspected to be involved in the neck condition. Applying mfBIA could therefore help providing a clear diagnosis of the cause behind the neck pain. It would then further be possible to focus the right treatment towards the involved tissue, and thereby change from a more general approach towards patient-focused treatment.

The two cases in this study were randomly selected with the diagnosis of ‘chronic neck pain’ for a period of more than 6 months, and the planned treatment, in common. The aspect of the mechanism/accident connected with the development of chronic neck pain was different in the two cases.

The literature presents a large number of potential (specific) causes of neck pain [3,25-30]. These vary from trauma (especially motor vehicle accidents) to infections, tumours, congenital disorders and inflammation. In the vast majority of cases, however, no specific underlying pathology can be established and the complaints are labelled as “non-specific neck pain” [26]. With this in mind, it would be of great value if more measurable common pathologies could indicate a set of treatment options, which could have a positive effect on the condition.

With the diagnosis and treatment of chronic neck pain being so difficult, especially when labelled as “non-specific”, our hope was that mfBIA could be a more objective measure of the particular patient’s condition. A reliable diagnostic method could perhaps even counteract the finding of Borghouts et al. who showed that once “non-specific neck pain” has become chronic, only 44% of the patients seek help from their general practitioner on a yearly basis [31].

### Measurement with mfBIA in chronic neck pain patients

mfBIA is a known and tested method [9,32,33], often used in sports clinics, but it has as far as we know not yet been implemented in the diagnosis of chronic neck pain. It is possible with mfBIA to measure asymmetrical muscle tension, as well as ascertain whether there is oedema/dehydration or suspicion of inflammation in those muscles measured [9,32,34]. With mfBIA it is therefore possible to identify muscles which could be part of the underlying cause behind chronic neck pain and thereby focus the treatment on the involved muscles before the condition becomes chronic, a process that involves pain hypersensitization [35-38]. This latter component may in parallel be assessed with the Pain Detect Questionnaire [39,40].

Muscles do not operate as completely independent units, but are interconnected via the myofascial system [19]. The mfBIA assessment must therefore, as with this case study, include measurements of myofascial linked muscles for example neck, back, hip and leg muscles, since myofascial damage may also be an underlying cause. In the two cases reported in this paper, left-right asymmetry in some of these linked muscles was found, although the patients complained about neck pain. This is important knowledge for the clinicians and therapist who, based on mfBIA measurements, finally have the possibility of directing forms of treatment towards those parts of the body where problems have been identified.

### Table 2: mfBIA results following AtlasBalans treatment of m. sternocleidomastoideus and trapezius.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>m. sternocleidomastoideus</th>
<th>m. trapezius</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>m. sternocleidomastoideus</th>
<th>m. trapezius</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>LH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z Ω</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>Z Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Ω</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>R Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xc Ω</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>Xc Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Angle °</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Phase Angle °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fc kHz</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>fc kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re Ω</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>116.8</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>Re Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc nF</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Mc nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ri Ω</td>
<td>128.8</td>
<td>136.3</td>
<td>211.7</td>
<td>284.1</td>
<td>Ri Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z Ω</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>Z Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Ω</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>R Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xc Ω</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Xc Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Angle °</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Phase Angle °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fc kHz</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>fc kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re Ω</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>Re Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc nF</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Mc nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ri Ω</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>108.7</td>
<td>205.4</td>
<td>222.5</td>
<td>Ri Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These treatments can be made.

The literature presents a large number of potential (specific) causes of neck pain [3,25-30]. These vary from trauma (especially motor vehicle accidents) to infections, tumours, congenital disorders and inflammation. In the vast majority of cases, however, no specific underlying pathology can be established and the complaints are labelled as "non-specific neck pain" [26]. With this in mind, it would be of great value if more measurable common pathologies could indicate a set of treatment options, which could have a positive effect on the condition.

With the diagnosis and treatment of chronic neck pain being so difficult, especially when labelled as “non-specific”, our hope was that mfBIA could be a more objective measure of the particular patient’s condition. A reliable diagnostic method could perhaps even counteract the finding of Borghouts et al. who showed that once “non-specific neck pain” has become chronic, only 44% of the patients seek help from their general practitioner on a yearly basis [31].

### Treatment of chronic neck pain

A common choice of treatment for patients with non-specific chronic neck pain is physiotherapy. General practitioners did, according to a study by Borghouts and colleagues, refer 51% of their patients with chronic neck pain for physiotherapy treatment [31].
In a Cochrane review looking at whether massage had positive effects in patients with mechanical neck disorders, very low level of evidence that certain massage techniques may have been more effective than control or placebo treatment in improving function and tenderness was found [41]. However, others report that massage and manipulation of affected muscles can have a positive effect on myofascial pain [42].

In a study of 6 horses with movement problems, measurements involving mfBIA revealed increased myofascial tension and stiffness in certain regions. The identified regions and specific muscles were subsequently treated using a form of AtlasBalans, AtlasOrange 1, and measured 1, 24 and 48 hours post treatment, once again using mfBIA. The effect of AtlasBalans treatment was in these cases found to be relatively rapid and effective according to the bioimpedance results [43].

The AtlasBalans treatment did seem to create a positive change in one of our patients, to such an extent in fact that the patient sought to continue the treatment, while it is difficult to say how successful the treatment was for the other patient. Perhaps of greater importance, though, is the fact that mfBIA is an easily applicable and non-invasive method to look at possible involvement of interlinked muscles in chronic neck pain, thereby providing a more overall view of the patient’s condition when considering treatment choices.

Conclusions
mfBIA was proven to be an easily applicable non-invasive method for objective measurement of muscle involvement in patients with chronic neck pain.

AtlasBalans treatment did not show any clear indication as being an efficient form of treatment in terms of relieving muscle tension in these patients. We conclude that mfBIA measurement of potentially involved muscles in patients with chronic neck pain, prior to treatment, can help to direct treatment towards those muscles believed to be involved in this condition. This may reduce the risk of developing pain hypersensitization and address at least part of the underlying causes for affected muscles can have a positive effect on myofascial pain [42].
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