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In Brief

Mönnich et al. show that CEP128 localizes to the subdistal appendages of the mother centriole and basal body of the primary cilium. CEP128 regulates vesicular trafficking and targeting of RAB11 to the primary cilium. CEP128 loss leads to impaired TGF-\(\beta\)/BMP signaling, which, in zebrafish, is associated with defective organ development.
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SUMMARY

The centrosome is the main microtubule-organizing center in animal cells and comprises a mother and daughter centriole surrounded by pericentriolar material. During formation of primary cilia, the mother centriole transforms into a basal body that templates the ciliary axoneme. Ciliogenesis depends on mother centriole-specific distal appendages, whereas the role of subdistal appendages in ciliary function is unclear. Here, we identify CEP128 as a centriole subdistal appendage protein required for regulating ciliary signaling. Loss of CEP128 did not grossly affect centrosomal or ciliary structure but caused impaired transforming growth factor-β/bone morphogenetic protein (TGF-β/BMP) signaling in zebrafish and at the primary cilium in cultured mammalian cells. This phenotype is likely the result of defective vesicle trafficking at the cilium as ciliary localization of RAB11 was impaired upon loss of CEP128, and quantitative phosphoproteomics revealed that CEP128 loss affects TGF-β1-induced phosphorylation of multiple proteins that regulate cilium-associated vesicle trafficking.

INTRODUCTION

Primary cilia are surface-exposed sensory organelles that coordinate important cellular and developmental signaling pathways in vertebrates, including Hedgehog (Huangfu et al., 2003) and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signaling (Clement et al., 2013). Structural or functional defects in cilia, therefore, lead to diseases, ciliopathies, which affect many different organs and tissues in the body (Kenny and Beales, 2014).

Primary cilia contain a 9+0 microtubule (MT) axoneme that extends from a basal body and is surrounded by a bilayer lipid membrane enriched for specific receptors and ion channels. The transition zone at the ciliary base, functioning as a selective gate, ensures that the organelle has a unique protein and lipid composition compared to the cell body (Jensen and Leroux, 2017). Intraflagellar transport (IFT) also contributes to this compartmentalization and mediates assembly and maintenance of the ciliary axoneme (Taschner and Lorentzen, 2016).

Ciliogenesis begins in G1/G0 with the docking of vesicles to the mother centriole distal end (Sorokin, 1962), which contains distal and subdistal appendages with distinct composition and functions. Distal appendage proteins (DAPs) promote ciliogenesis by providing vesicle and IFT docking sites (Wei et al., 2015; Deane et al., 2001), whereas the role of subdistal appendage proteins (SDAPs) in ciliary assembly and function is unclear. The SDAPs ninein, cenexin1 (an ODF2 splice variant), CNTRL, and CC2D2A were reported to promote ciliogenesis (Graser et al., 2007; Hehnlly et al., 2012; Veleri et al., 2014; Hung et al., 2016), whereas other researchers have questioned the role of SDAPs in this process (Tateishi et al., 2013; Mazo et al., 2016). In addition, SDAPs were implicated in MT anchoring (Mogensen et al., 2000; Guarguaglini et al., 2005), regulating vesicle trafficking (Gromley et al., 2005; Hehnlly et al., 2012; Hung et al., 2016), linking the centrosome to the Golgi (Mazo et al., 2016), and promoting basal body alignment in multiciliated cells (Kunimoto et al., 2012; Clare et al., 2014).

Here, we identify CEP128 as an SDAP that regulates TGF-β/bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling and RAB11 trafficking at the primary cilium. By gene silencing or knockout in cultured mammalian cells, we find that lack of CEP128 has no major effect on centrosome structure but leads to increased ciliation frequency, as reported previously (Gupta et al., 2015). Depletion of cep128 in zebrafish caused severe developmental defects reminiscent of defective TGF-β/BMP signaling, as well as reduced phosphorylation of Smad1/2/5/8. Mammalian cell culture assays and quantitative phosphoproteomics analysis...
revealed that multiple proteins are differentially phosphorylated in TGF-β-stimulated CEP128-deficient cells, including centrosome and MT-associated proteins; molecular motors; RAB11-interacting proteins; and TGF-β/BMP, Hedgehog, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), tight junction, and focal adhesion signaling components. Immunofluorescence microscopy (IFM) analysis consistently showed that the loss of CEP128 impairs the ciliary activation of SMAD2/3 and recruitment of RAB11, which may account for many of the signaling defects observed in these cells.

**RESULTS**

**CEP128 Is a Subdistal Appendage Protein**

We previously showed that CEP128 localizes to the distal end of the mother centriole in non-ciliated human U-2 osteosarcoma cells and to the basal body in ciliated human telomerase-immortalized retinal pigmented epithelial (hTERT-RPE1, hereafter RPE1) cells (Jakobsen et al., 2011). We verified this result by IFM analysis of serum-starved, ciliated human foreskin fibroblasts (hFF) using antibodies against CEP128 and ciliary and centrosomal markers (Figure 1A). Small interfering (si)RNA knockdown and quantification of fluorescence intensity confirmed the specificity of the CEP128 antibody (Figures S1A and S1B), and transiently expressed GFP-CEP128 was similarly concentrated at the basal body in hFF and RPE1 cells (Figures 1B and 1C), substantiating that CEP128 is a mother centriole/basal body-specific protein.

A recent study suggested that CEP128 is a DAP (Gupta et al., 2015), whereas others reported CEP128 to be an SDAP (Hung et al., 2016; Mazo et al., 2016). Using superresolution-stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy of ciliated RPE1 cells...
stained for endogenous CEP128 or the DAP FBF1 (Wei et al., 2015) and for acetylated α-tubulin to label the axoneme and basal body, we found that CEP128 localizes to the distal end of the basal body in a ring-like pattern proximal to FBF1 and exterior to the centriole wall (Figure 1D). Immunogold electron microscopy (IEM) analysis of thin sections of ciliated hFF cells (Figures S1C and S1D) or isolated centrosomes from non-ciliated KE-37 cells (Figures S1E and S1F) confirmed that CEP128 is an SDAP. Finally, quantitative mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of isolated centrosomes showed that CEP128 cellular abundance is similar to that of known SDAPs (e.g., CNTRL, ODF2), whereas DAPs (e.g., CEP164, FBF1) are less abundant (Figure 1E). We conclude that CEP128 is an SDAP.

CEP128 Negatively Regulates Ciliary Growth

Recently, CEP128 was shown to suppress ciliogenesis in RPE1 cells (Gupta et al., 2015). Another study implicated CEP128 and other SDAPs in keeping primary cilia submerged in a deep membrane pit, which in turn affected signaling (Mazo et al., 2016). However, the precise function of CEP128 remains unclear. We depleted CEP128 from hFF or RPE1 cells by RNA interference (Figures S1A and S1B) and analyzed serum-starved cells by IFM with acetylated α-tubulin antibody, transmission EM (TEM), or both. CEP128-depleted cells displayed cilia and basal bodies that are structurally indistinguishable from those of control cells (Figures S1G–S1I). To support these results, we generated a CEP128 knockout RPE1 cell line (hereafter known as CEP128−/−) using CRISPR-Cas9 methodology (Figure S1J) and analyzed cells by IFM with antibodies against centrosomal and ciliary markers. Quantification of cilia frequency and length confirmed that cells lacking CEP128 form cilia more readily than do wild-type (WT) cells (Figure S1K) (Gupta et al., 2015) and cilia of CEP128−/− cells were longer (Figure S1L). This phenotype was not secondary to cell cycle defects, as revealed by western blot (WB) analysis with antibody against retinoblastoma-associated protein (Rb) phosphorylated at serine 807 and serine 811 (Knudsen and Wang, 1997) (Figure S1M).

Centrosomal localization of CNTRL and CEP128 depends on ODF2 (Tateishi et al., 2013; Hung et al., 2016; Mazo et al., 2016). In contrast, loss of CEP128 neither affected centrosomal localization of ODF2 and CNTRL (Figures S2B, S2D, and S2F) nor of centrosomal or centriolar satellite proteins SSX2IP, PCNT, KIAA0753, AURKA, CEP83, HOOK3, and BBS7 (Figure S2B). The average cellular number of centriolar satellites also was the same in CEP128−/− and WT cells (Figures S2C and S2E). Thus, CEP128 loss does not grossly affect centrosome and centriolar satellite composition, which is in line with our TEM data (Figure S1I).

CEP128 Regulates TGF-β/BMP Signaling in Zebrafish and Mammalian Cells

To investigate the physiological relevance of CEP128 function, we used morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) targeting the translational start site (cep128 ATG MO) or the splice donor of exon 2 (cep128 spIx MO) to knockdown cep128 in zebrafish embryos. RT-PCR analysis confirmed that the spIx MO significantly reduced splicing; an alternatively spliced product also was affected by the MO (Figure S3A). Both MOs yielded identical phenotypes; specifically, cep128 morphants displayed a dorsalized phenotype at the 10-somite stage, with mild dorsalization presenting with the embryo not completely surrounding the yolk sac and the tip of the tailbud pointing outward. More severely dorsalized embryos were shorter in stature than the WT and developed mainly on the side of an egg-shaped yolk sac (Figure 2A). The severity of the phenotype increased with the dose of the morpholino (Figure 2B). More important, we could partially rescue the dorsalized phenotype of morphants by co-injection of WT cep128 mRNA (Figures S3B and S3C).

To analyze the dorsalized phenotype further, we performed in situ hybridization with dorsoventral markers at an early developmental stage. In cep128 morphants, the expression areas of the dorsal genes chd and gsc are increased at the shield stage (12/18 and 11/26 embryos, respectively, Figures 2C and 2D), and at 75% epiboly the expression levels of the ventral gene eve1 are slightly reduced (6/10 embryos, Figure 2E) compared to WT.

We investigated the morphology of the somites in cep128 morphants with double in situ hybridization, analyzing the expression pattern of myoD1, and found that at the 14-somite stage the somites of morphants were thin, elongated, and condensed compared to the WT. The notochord (ta expression) was thickened and bent several times (Figure 2G). At a higher MO dose of 0.5 pmol, embryos depleted of Cep128 started dying shortly after the 10-somite stage (data not shown). Surviving morphants at the 22-somite stage showed ectopic and unstructured expression of ta in the notochord (Figure 2H). Other organs did not form normally either. At 2dpf, analysis of myt7 expression revealed an abnormal heart development in cep128 morphants (Figure S3D), whereas the liver and pancreas were smaller or undetectable in morphants (Figure S3E). It is interesting to note that most of the phenotypes observed in cep128 morphants are reminiscent of aberrant TGF-β/BMP signaling (Mullins et al., 1996). To investigate this further, we analyzed the expression of dorsoventral markers and TGF-β/BMP pathway genes in cep128 spIx morphants at shield and 75% epiboly stages (Figures S3F and S3G). Several of these genes, including gsc, chd, vent, and smad7, were differentially expressed in cep128 morphants compared to WT, suggesting dysregulated TGF-β/BMP signaling, which is in line with the observed dorsalized phenotype of morphants. To evaluate the effects of Cep128 depletion on BMP-mediated activation of Smad transcription factors, we looked at the phosphorylation levels of Smad1/5/8 via an immunohistochemical analysis of whole-mount embryos. At 75% epiboly there was a slight but significant reduction in the phosphorylation of these transcription factors in morphants (13/34 morphants versus 4/15 WTs; Figure 2F). WB analysis confirmed that morphants display significantly reduced levels of phosphorylated Smad1/5/8 compared to WT (Figures 2I and 2J), and the level of phosphorylated Smad2, which is a downstream target in canonical TGF-β signaling, was similarly reduced in morphants (Figures 2K and 2L). Collectively, the results indicate that Cep128 in zebrafish regulates TGF-β/BMP signaling and, when depleted, causes the dorsalized phenotype and potentially other developmental defects.

To support the zebrafish data and investigate how CEP128 affects TGF-β/BMP signaling in human cells, we performed a
Figure 2. CEP128 Regulates TGF-β/BMP Signaling in Zebrafish

(A) Phenotype of cep128-depleted embryos at the 10-somite stage. Embryos show mild (note the pointy tail of the embryo, arrow) to severe (egg-shaped yolk sac) dorsalized morphology. Lateral views, anterior to left.

(B) Numbers for the phenotypic analysis in (A). Two to four independent experiments.

(C–E) Dorsoventral patterning genes are misexpressed in cep128 splx morphants. Areas of expression of dorsal genes chd (C) and gsc (D) are increased in morphants; the ventrally expressed gene eve1 (E) is slightly decreased. Animal pole views, dorsal to right.

(F) The phosphorylation level of Smad1/5/8 is slightly reduced in morphants. The thickened embryonic tissue in the morphants indicates dorsalization of the embryo (arrow). Lateral views, dorsal to right.

(G) myoD1 expression (blue) shows thin and elongated somites in morphants, with adaxial cells extending around the tail bud (arrowhead); the notochord (ta expression, red) is thickened and bent. Dorsal views, anterior to top.

(H) ta expression is ectopic and unstructured in the notochord and tailbud areas in cep128 morphants.

(I–L) WB analysis of embryos at 75% epiboly (I and K) and quantification of relative band intensities (J and L) show that phosphorylation levels of Smad1/5/8 and Smad2 are reduced significantly in morphants compared to WT. Graphs show means ± SDs (n = 3). **p ≤ 0.01; ***p ≤ 0.0001.

See also Figure S3.
Figure 3. Reduced TGF-β Signaling in Cells Lacking CEP128

(A) TGF-β-induced protein phosphorylation is altered in CEP128−/− cells as determined by quantitative MS-based proteomics of phosphopeptides derived from SiALC-labeled cells treated with 2 ng/mL TGF-β1 for 30 min. Phosphopeptides for selected proteins are displayed among all quantified phosphopeptides ranked according to the fold-change (log2 ratio between WT and CEP128−/− cells).

(B–D) WB of serum-starved WT and CEP128−/− RPE1 cells (B) or RPE1 (C) and hFF (D) cells treated with control (siCtrl) or CEP128-specific (siCEP128) siRNA. Cells were stimulated with 2 ng/mL TGF-β1 for the indicated times. Blots were probed with the indicated antibodies.

(legend continued on next page)
quantitative stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SilAC)-based phosphoproteomics experiment to compare the phosphoproteome of WT and CEP128<sup>−/−</sup> RPE1 cells stimulated with TGF-β1. We identified differentially phosphorylated sites in several centrosomal proteins, with CEP170 being the most prominent, as well as in the TGF-β/BMP pathway components SMAD2/3, TAB3, TGFBR2, BMP2K, and BMPR2 (Figure 3A; Table S1). Quantitative WB analysis confirmed that CEP128<sup>−/−</sup> cells exhibit decreased phosphorylation of SMAD2/3 upon TGF-β stimulation compared to WT cells (Figures 3B and 3E), in agreement with the MS data. Similar results were obtained in CEP128 siRNA-depleted RPE1 or hFF cells (Figures 3C, 3D, 3F, and 3G), whereas BMP2-induced phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/8 was less affected by CEP128 loss in these cells (data not shown). Because TGF-β-mediated phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/8 was less affected by CEP128 loss in these cells (data not shown), we conclude that CEP128 promotes ciliary and centrosomal localization of RAB11A and regulates ciliary and centrosomal localization of RAB11A in CEP128<sup>−/−</sup> cells compared to WT cells (Figures 4A–4D), in agreement with CEP128<sup>−/−</sup> cells being ciliated. We conclude that CEP128 promotes ciliary and centrosomal accumulation of RAB11A, which may affect trafficking and regulation of TGF-β/BMP pathway components.

**DISCUSSION**

We identified CEP128 as a bona fide SDAP with a conserved role in regulating TGF-β/BMP signaling. A recent report indicated that SDAPs (including CEP128) mediate interaction between the centrosome and Golgi, ensuring that cilia become submerged in a pocket-like membrane invagination (Mazo et al., 2016). Although we did not specifically address this phenomenon, our data suggest that a major function of CEP128 <i>in vivo</i> is to coordinate TGF-β/BMP signaling and downstream cross-talk with other pathways (e.g., MAPK, Hedgehog, tight junction, focal adhesion signaling) via regulation of ciliary vesicle trafficking. Specifically, in the absence of CEP128, we found that TGF-β-induced phosphorylation of multiple RAB11-binding proteins and ciliary/centrosomal recruitment of RAB11A were perturbed. Nevertheless, our results are not in conflict with those of Mazo et al. (2016). Coordination of TGF-β signaling at the primary cilium involves clathrin-mediated endocytosis of TGF-β receptors at the ciliary pocket (Clement et al., 2013), **(E–G)** Quantification of relative p-SMAD2/3 levels after 0 and 30 min TGF-β1 stimulation, based on the blots in (B–D), respectively. Relative level of p-SMAD2/3 in WT and CEP128<sup>−/−</sup> RPE1 cells (E), in RPE1 cells treated with control or CEP128-specific siRNA (F), or in hFF cells treated with control or CEP128-specific siRNA (G). Graphs show means ± SDs (n = 4), *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01. (H) IFM of WT and CEP128<sup>−/−</sup> RPE1 cells ± stimulation with 2 ng/mL TGF-β1 for 30 min. Cells were analyzed with the indicated antibodies, and nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Asterisks: Ciliary base. Arrows: Cilium.

* Quantification of the data in (H). Graphs show means ± SDs (n = 3), ***p ≤ 0.001. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

See also Tables S1 and S2.
and if CEP128 is required for ciliary pocket formation (Mazo et al., 2016), then this process may be defective. Our results also do not exclude that some of the cep128 morphant phenotypes are the result of defects in other ciliary pathways (e.g., Hedgehog signaling).

The SDAPs cenexin and CNTRL were previously shown to mediate centrosomal recruitment and regulation of RAB11, the RAB11 guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase)-activating protein EVI5, and the exocyst complex (Hehnly et al., 2012; Hung et al., 2016). Specifically, it was proposed that GTP-RAB11 associates with SDAPs via cenexin and that CNTRL-associated EVI5 in turn regulates negatively the activity of cenexin-associated RAB11 (Hehnly et al., 2012). Along with the work presented here, these reports substantiate that appropriate regulation of RAB11 at the cilium/centrosome critically relies on SDAPs. Given that RAB11 promotes ciliogenesis by stimulating RABIN8 activity toward

Figure 4. CEP128 Regulates Ciliary and Centrosomal Localization of RAB11

(A and B) IFM analysis of serum-starved WT (A) and CEP128−/− (B) RPE1 cells expressing Myc-RAB11A (green). Cells were stained with PCTN1 and ARL13B antibodies (red); DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). Insets: Magnifications of the boxed regions. Asterisks: Ciliary base. Arrows: Cilium.

(C and D) Quantification of the data in (A and B). Relative level of Myc-RAB11A in cilium (C) and relative level of Myc-RAB11A at ciliary base (D). Graphs show means ± SDs (n = 3). *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01.

(E) IFM of serum-starved WT and CEP128−/− cells expressing GFP-RAB11A67Q (green). Cells were stained with PCTN1 and ARL13B antibodies (red). Lower panels show isosurface 3D visualization of the images in the upper panels. Asterisks: Ciliary base. Arrows: Cilium.

(F) Quantification of the data in (E); relative level of GFP-RAB11A67Q in cilium. Graphs show means ± SDs (n = 3). **p ≤ 0.01.

See also Figure S4.
RAb8 (Knodler et al., 2010; Westlake et al., 2011), it is surprising that RAB8 was localized to cilia in CEP128+/− cells. Perhaps low levels of RAB11 present at the ciliary base in these cells are sufficient to activate RABIN8/RAB8 and drive ciliogenesis, or other RAB proteins may compensate for the absence of RAB11 in this context, as suggested by other researchers (Sato et al., 2014; Ying et al., 2016). Because RAB11 regulates TGF-β/BMP receptor trafficking (Mitchell et al., 2004; Deshpande et al., 2016), the signaling defects observed upon CEP128 loss may be the result of impaired RAB11-dependent ciliary recruitment of these receptors. It will be important to address this in future studies.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mammalian Cell Culture and Transfection
RPE1 cells were grown in DMEM, hFF cells were grown in Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (IMDM) plus GlutaMAX, and KE-67 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium. All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells for IFM were grown on 6-cm diameter Petri dishes.

SDS-PAGE and WB
SDS-PAGE and WB were executed as described (Schrøder et al., 2011) using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies; blots were developed with FUSION-Fx chemiluminescence system (Vilber Lourmat). Images were processed in Adobe Photoshop CS6 and quantification conducted using ImageJ.

Fluorescence and EM
IFM and TEM analyses of mammalian cells were conducted essentially as described (Schrøder et al., 2011). Quantification of fluorescence intensity and cilia length was carried out using CellSense software (Olympus). The procedures for IEM have been described previously (Geimer, 2009).

Statistical Analysis
The statistical analysis was undertaken in GraphPad Prism 6 by unpaired two-tailed t test or two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons.

MS
Control WT and CEP128+/− RPE1 cells were SILAC labeled and stimulated with 2 ng/mL TGF-β1 for 30 min before protein digestion with trypsin, high pH peptide separation, and TiO2-based phosphopeptide enrichment. Following data acquisition by liquid chromatography (LC)-MS, phosphopeptides were identified and quantified using MaxQuant (Cox and Mann, 2008).

Zebrafish
The zebrafish (Danio rerio) lines AB, Turpel long fin (TL), and ABTL crosses were maintained in the animal facility of the University of Copenhagen. All zebrafish research was approved by and conducted under licenses from the Danish Animal Experiments Inspectorate. Primers used for PCR and cloning are listed in Tables S3 and S4. See Supplemental Information for Supplemental Experimental Procedures and Supplemental References.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
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