EDC IMPACT: Chemical UV filters can affect human sperm function in a progesterone-like manner
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Abstract

Human sperm cell function must be precisely regulated to achieve natural fertilization. Progesterone released by the cumulus cells surrounding the egg induces a Ca²⁺ influx into human sperm cells via the CatSper Ca²⁺-channel and thereby controls sperm function. Multiple chemical UV filters have been shown to induce a Ca²⁺ influx through CatSper, thus mimicking the effect of progesterone on Ca²⁺ signaling. We hypothesized that these UV filters could also mimic the effect of progesterone on sperm function. We examined 29 UV filters allowed in sunscreens in the US and/or EU for their ability to affect acrosome reaction, penetration, hyperactivation and viability in human sperm cells. We found that, similar to progesterone, the UV filters 4-MBC, 3-BC, Meradimate, Octisalate, BCSA, HMS and OD-PABA induced acrosome reaction and 3-BC increased sperm penetration into a viscous medium. The capacity of the UV filters to induce acrosome reaction and increase sperm penetration was positively associated with the ability of the UV filters to induce a Ca²⁺ influx. None of the UV filters induced significant changes in the proportion of hyperactivated cells. In conclusion, chemical UV filters that mimic the effect of progesterone on Ca²⁺ signaling in human sperm cells can similarly mimic the effect of progesterone on acrosome reaction and sperm penetration. Human exposure to these chemical UV filters may impair fertility by interfering with sperm function, e.g. through induction of premature acrosome reaction. Further studies are needed to confirm the results in vivo.

Introduction

Human male infertility is a common problem worldwide (1). The causes are in many cases unknown, but exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) has been suspected to be involved (2, 3). Sperm cell dysfunction is a common cause of infertility (4) and intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), a method developed to treat male infertility due to sperm dysfunction, is increasingly used in both the United States (5) and in Europe (6). The reasons for the increasing use of ICSI are unknown, but it has been hypothesized that environmental factors may play a role (1).
Sperm function must be precisely controlled, during the journey of the sperm cells through the female reproductive tract, for natural fertilization to occur \((7, 8)\). Many sperm functions are controlled via the intracellular calcium concentration \(\left[\text{Ca}^{2+}\right]_i\), including sperm motility, chemotaxis and acrosome reaction \((7)\). To be able to fertilize the egg, these individual \(\left[\text{Ca}^{2+}\right]_i\)-controlled sperm functions must be triggered at the correct time and in the correct order \((7)\). CatSper (cationic channel of sperm) channels, located in the plasma membrane of the human sperm cell flagellum, are the principal facilitators of channel-mediated \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) influx \((9)\). CatSper is activated by the natural ligands progesterone and prostaglandins \((10, 11)\), which lead to a rapid \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) influx into the sperm cell. The cumulus cells surrounding the egg release insulin-like growth factors \((7)\); these in DMSO or ethanol as previously described \((7)\). CatSper can be promiscuously activated by various ligands \((16)\), including multiple EDCs \((17, 18, 19, 20, 21)\).

Our recent study examined 29 of the 31 chemical UV filters allowed in sunscreens in the EU and/or US for their ability to induce a rise in \(\left[\text{Ca}^{2+}\right]_i\) in human sperm cells and showed that 13 chemical UV filters induced a rise in \(\left[\text{Ca}^{2+}\right]_i\) in human sperm cells \((11)\). Nine of these seemed to induce a \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) influx through interaction with CatSper, thereby mimicking the effect of progesterone. As the progesterone-induced \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) influx controls important sperm cell functions, including sperm motility and acrosome reaction, we here examined the chemical UV filters for their ability to interfere with the human sperm cell functions acrosome reaction, sperm penetration into a viscous medium and hyperactivation, as well as with sperm viability.

### Materials and methods

#### Reagents and chemical UV filters

We were able to obtain 30, out of the 31 chemical UV filters allowed in sunscreens in the EU and/or US (Table 1) from various chemical providers and to dissolve 29 of these in DMSO or ethanol as previously described \((21)\). Progesterone, ionomycin, fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated *Pisum sativum* agglutinin (FITC-PSA) and 4000 cP methylcellulose were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Human serum albumin (HSA) was obtained from Irvine Scientific (CA, USA). Propidium iodide (PI), Hoechst-33342 (Hoechst) and S100 were obtained from ChemoMetec A/S (Allerød, Denmark).

#### Semen samples

All semen samples were produced by masturbation and ejaculated into clean, wide-mouthed plastic containers, on the same day as the experiment. After ejaculation, the samples were allowed to liquefy for 15–30 min at 37°C.

#### Purification of motile sperm cells via swim-up

Motile spermatozoa were recovered from raw ejaculates by swim-up separation in human tubular fluid (HTF\(^{+}\)) medium containing: 97.8 mM NaCl, 4.69 mM KCl, 0.2 mM MgSO\(_4\), 0.37 mM KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 2.04 mM CaCl\(_2\), 0.33 mM Na-pyruvate, 21.4 mM Na-lactate, 2.78 mM glucose, 21 mM HEPES, and 4 mM NaHCO\(_3\) adjusted to pH 7.3–7.4 with NaOH as described elsewhere \((17)\). After 1 h at 37°C, the swim-up fraction was removed carefully and sperm concentration was determined by image cytometry as described in \((22, 23)\). After two washes, the sperm samples were adjusted to 10 × 10\(^6\)/mL in HTF\(^{+}\) with HSA (3 mg/mL) and the sperm cells were incubated for at least 1 h at 37°C.

For the experiments with capacitated sperm cells, the semen samples were instead adjusted to 10 × 10\(^6\)/mL (for acrosome reaction experiments) or 20 × 10\(^6\)/mL (for hyperactivation experiments) in a capacitating medium containing: 72.8 mM NaCl, 4.69 mM KCl, 0.2 mM MgSO\(_4\), 0.37 mM KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 2.04 mM CaCl\(_2\), 0.33 mM Na-pyruvate, 21.4 mM Na-lactate, 2.78 mM glucose, 21 mM HEPES, and 25 mM NaHCO\(_3\) adjusted to pH 7.3–7.4 with NaOH. 3 mg/mL (for acrosome reaction experiments) or 10 mg/mL (for hyperactivation experiments) HSA was added to the capacitating medium and the sperm cells were incubated for at least 3 h at 37°C in a 10% \(\text{CO}_2\) atmosphere as previously described \((21)\). The higher HSA concentration for the hyperactivation experiments was used to minimize the sperm cells ‘sticking-to-glass’ phenomenon \((24)\).

#### Assessment of acrosome reaction

FITC-PSA can be used to stain the acrosome of sperm cells undergoing acrosome reaction \((25, 26)\). Zoppino *et al.* have used FITC-PSA in combination with PI to identify viable acrosome-reacted sperm cells using flow cytometry \((26)\). Here, we employ a similar approach using an image cytometer. A suspension of capacitated sperm cells with a sperm cell concentration of 10 × 10\(^6\)/mL was divided into equal aliquots and mixed with a staining solution.
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containing 5 µg/mL FITC-PSA and 0.5 µg/mL PI in HTF as in (26). 10 µg/mL Hoechst was also added to the staining solution (see explanation below). Chemical UV filters (10 µM) were added to the aliquots of stained capacitated sperm cells. As positive controls, ionomycin (2 µM) and progesterone (10 µM) were added to separate aliquots. As a negative control, 0.2% DMSO was used, as this matched the DMSO concentration of ionomycin, which had the highest DMSO concentration of the treatments. After addition of chemical UV filters and controls, the samples were mixed and placed on a gentle mixing heating plate at 37°C. After 30 min of incubation, the aliquots were thoroughly mixed by pipetting and a 50 µL sample was drawn and mixed with 100 µL of an immobilizing solution containing 0.6 M NaHCO₃ and 0.37% (v/v) formaldehyde in distilled water. This solution was mixed by pipetting and immediately loaded in an A2 slide (ChemoMetec A/S, Allerød, Denmark) and assessed in a NC-3000 image cytometer (ChemoMetec A/S). The following protocol was applied: 2-color flexicyte with Hoechst defining the sperm cells to be analyzed; Ex475-Em560/35: exposure time 3000 ms, Ex530-Em675/75: exposure time 500 ms, with a minimum of 5000 analyzed cells (positive for Hoechst). PI intensity as a function of FITC-PSA intensity was plotted.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>INCI name</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Allowance in sunscreens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UV filters that induce Ca²⁺ signals at 10 µM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-Methylbenzylidene camphor</td>
<td>36861-47-9/38102-62-4</td>
<td>4-MBC</td>
<td>EU: 4% US: 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-Benzylidene camphor</td>
<td>15087-24-8</td>
<td>3-BC</td>
<td>EU: 2% US: 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Menthol anthranilate</td>
<td>134-09-8</td>
<td>Meradimate</td>
<td>EU: 5% US: 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Isoamyl P-methoxyccinnamate</td>
<td>71617-10-2</td>
<td>Amiloxate</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ethylhexyl salicylate</td>
<td>118-60-5</td>
<td>Octisalate</td>
<td>EU: 5% US: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Benzylidene camphor sulfonic acid</td>
<td>56039-58-8</td>
<td>BCSA</td>
<td>EU: 6% US: 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Homosalate</td>
<td>118-56-9</td>
<td>HMB</td>
<td>EU: 15% US: 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ethylhexyl dimethyl PABA</td>
<td>21245-02-3</td>
<td>OD-PABA</td>
<td>EU: 8% US: 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Benzophenone-3</td>
<td>131-57-7</td>
<td>BP-3</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ethylhexyl methoxyccinnamate</td>
<td>5466-77-3</td>
<td>Octinoxate</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Octocrylene</td>
<td>6197-30-4</td>
<td>Octocrylene</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane</td>
<td>70356-09-1</td>
<td>Avobenzone</td>
<td>EU: 5% US: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Diethylamino hydroxybenzoyl hexyl benzoate</td>
<td>302776-68-7</td>
<td>DHBB</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV filters that do not induce Ca²⁺ signals at 10 µM</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Benzophenone-8</td>
<td>131-53-3</td>
<td>Dioxybenzone</td>
<td>EU: 3% US: 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Camphor benzalkonium methosulfate</td>
<td>52793-97-2</td>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>EU: 6% US: 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Polysilicone-15</td>
<td>207574-74-1</td>
<td>Polysilicone-15</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Drometrizole trisiloxane</td>
<td>15563-54-8</td>
<td>Drometrizole trisilane</td>
<td>EU: 15% US: 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Benzophenone-4</td>
<td>4065-45-6</td>
<td>BP-4</td>
<td>EU: 5% US: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Diethylhexyl butamido triazole</td>
<td>154702-15-5</td>
<td>Iscotrizinol</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ethylhexyl triazone</td>
<td>88122-99-0</td>
<td>Ethylhexyl triazone Cinoxate</td>
<td>EU: 5% US: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Cinoxate</td>
<td>104-28-9</td>
<td>Cinoxate</td>
<td>EU: 3% US: 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>PEG-25 PABA</td>
<td>116242-27-4</td>
<td>PEG-25 PABA</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bis-ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine</td>
<td>187393-00-6</td>
<td>Bemotrizinol</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tea-salicylate</td>
<td>2174-16-5</td>
<td>TEA salicylate</td>
<td>EU: 12% US: 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Phenylnbenzimidazole sulfonic acid</td>
<td>27503-81-7</td>
<td>Ensulizole</td>
<td>EU: 4% US: 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>PABA</td>
<td>150-13-0</td>
<td>PABA</td>
<td>EU: 15% US: 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Disodium phenyl dibenzimidazole tetr sulfonate</td>
<td>180898-37-7</td>
<td>Bisdizulizole</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Benzophenone-5</td>
<td>6628-37-1</td>
<td>BP-5</td>
<td>EU: 5% US: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Terephthylidene dicamphor sulfonic acid</td>
<td>92761-26-7/90457-82-2</td>
<td>Ecamsule</td>
<td>EU: 10% US: 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on their ability to induce Ca²⁺ signals, the UV filters are categorized into ‘UV filters that induce Ca²⁺ signals at 10 µM’ and ‘UV filters that do not induce Ca²⁺ signals at 10 µM’. INCI name, CAS #, abbreviation and allowance in sunscreens in the EU and US are also listed in the table.
on bi-exponential scales, and specific quadrant gates were used to distinguish four groups:

1. PI-positive and FITC-PSA-positive cells: Acrosome-reacted nonviable sperm cells.
2. PI-negative and FITC-PSA positive cells: Acrosome-reacted viable sperm cells.
3. PI-positive and FITC-PSA-negative cells: Acrosome-intact nonviable sperm cells.
4. PI-negative and FITC-PSA-negative cells: Acrosome-intact viable sperm cells.

Control for spectral overlap between PSA and PI and definition of quadrant gates were carried out by labeling the cells singly with each fluorophore (data not shown). The obtained compensation matrix was applied to all measurements. To account for differences in capacitation between donors, only experiments with an induced positive increment of viable acrosome-reacted sperm cells for both positive controls compared to the negative control were included in the analysis.

Assessment of sperm penetration into a viscous medium

Sperm penetration tests with 4000cP methylcellulose (1% w/v) as an artificial viscous medium were used as in (14). The methylcellulose (1% w/v) was prepared in HTF by adding 10mg methylcellulose per mL HTF and mixing it by rotation overnight at RT. The methylcellulose (1% w/v) was introduced into glass capillary tubes (borosilicate microslides (VitroTubes) 0.20 mm × 2.0 mm × 10 cm (VitroCom, Mountain Lakes, NJ, USA)) by capillary forces, by placing the glass tubes vertically in a 1.5 mL microfuge tube with 750µL methylcellulose (1% w/v) for 15 min. Care was taken to prevent air bubbles from entering the glass tubes. One end of the glass tube was sealed with wax (Hounisens laboratorieudstyr A/S, Jystrup, Denmark) and the open end was placed in a semen reservoir of a Kremer sperm penetration meter (R.B.M. Lab., Rødovre, Denmark). Just prior to the insertion of the glass tubes, either chemical UV filters (10 µM), progesterone (5 µM) or a negative control (0.1% DMSO), which matched the DMSO concentration of the chemical UV filters, was added to an aliquot of sperm sample. After mixing, a 4 µL sample was transferred to a 16 µm deep chamber (2 chambers (CASAs) slide (CellVision, Oslo, Norway)), preheated to 37°C and placed on the heated motorized stage (37°C) of an Olympus BX41 microscope with a 20× phase contrast objective (Olympus). The microscope was connected to a computer running the Copenhagen Rigshospitalet Image House Sperm Motility Analysis System (CRISMAS), version 8.0.5919 CASA software. Sperm motility data were acquired just as the cells stopped drifting though the slide (took about 1 min) and was commenced <2 min after addition of chemical UV filters and controls. Motility characteristics were obtained at 60Hz through a Basler camera acA640-120um (Basler AG, Ahrensburg, Germany). At least 200 sperm cells were counted on randomly selected fields in each sample and each sample was assessed in duplicates. Hyperactivated cells were identified using standard criteria: VCL ≥150µm/s, linearity ≤50% and ALH ≥7µm (27). As some samples contained clumps of immotile cells, we calculated the percentage of hyperactivated cells out of the total concentration of motile cells for each sample and used the mean value of the duplicates for further analysis.

Assessment of proportion of hyperactivated sperm cells with computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA)

A suspension of capacitated sperm cells with a sperm cell concentration of 20 × 10⁶/mL and a HSA concentration of 10mg/mL was divided into equal aliquots and kept at 37°C. Just prior to acquisition of sperm motility data, either chemical UV filters (10 µM), progesterone (5 µM) or a negative control (0.1% DMSO), which matched the DMSO concentration of the chemical UV filters, was added to an aliquot of sperm sample. After mixing, a 4 µL sample was transferred to a 16 µm deep chamber (2 chambers (CASAs) slide (CellVision, Oslo, Norway)), preheated to 37°C and placed on the heated motorized stage (37°C) of an Olympus BX41 microscope with a 20× phase contrast objective (Olympus). The microscope was connected to a computer running the Copenhagen Rigshospitalet Image House Sperm Motility Analysis System (CRISMAS), version 8.0.5919 CASA software. Sperm motility data were acquired just as the cells stopped drifting though the slide (took about 1 min) and was commenced <2 min after addition of chemical UV filters and controls. Motility characteristics were obtained at 60Hz through a Basler camera acA640-120um (Basler AG, Ahrensburg, Germany). At least 200 sperm cells were counted on randomly selected fields in each sample and each sample was assessed in duplicates. Hyperactivated cells were identified using standard criteria: VCL ≥150µm/s, linearity ≤50% and ALH ≥7µm (27). As some samples contained clumps of immotile cells, we calculated the percentage of hyperactivated cells out of the total concentration of motile cells for each sample and used the mean value of the duplicates for further analysis.
Assessment of sperm viability

Concentration of dead sperm cells was determined by image cytometry as in (22, 23), but using phosphate-buffered saline instead of S100 to dilute the sperm sample before running the assay. In this way, only the nonviable cells in the sample are stained with PI and counted.

Ethical approval

Human semen samples were obtained from healthy volunteers with their prior consent. After delivery, the samples were fully anonymized. Each donor received a fee of 500 DKK (about 75 US dollars) per sample for their inconvenience. All samples were analyzed on the day of delivery and destroyed immediately after the laboratory experiments. Because of the full anonymization and the destruction of the samples immediately after the laboratory experiments, no ethical approval was needed for this work, according to the regional scientific ethical committee of the Capital Region of Denmark.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). This properly takes into account and adjusts for the considerable variation between donors as well as between experiments. By including positive and negative controls, the effect of the chemical UV filters can be given relative to a known control. The data were transformed with the natural logarithm to avoid variance heterogeneity and to obtain approximate normality of model residuals.

To display all data from each experiment in a single figure, we normalized the data relative to the positive control (sperm penetration and hyperactivation data). For the considerable variation between donors as well as between experiments. By including positive and negative controls, the effect of the chemical UV filters can be given relative to a known control. The data were transformed with the natural logarithm to avoid variance heterogeneity and to obtain approximate normality of model residuals.

Effect on sperm acrosome reaction

Using an image-cytometer-based assay similar to that in (26), we investigated 29 chemical UV filters allowed in sunscreens in the EU and/or US (Table 1), for their ability to induce acrosome reaction in capacitated human sperm cells after 30 min of incubation. The chemical UV filters were tested at 10 µM (n = 3–5), along with two positive controls (10 µM progesterone and 2 µM ionomycin) and a negative control (0.2% DMSO). A significant increase in viable acrosome-reacted sperm cells was found after treatment with the UV filters 4-MBC (adjusted P value < 0.0001), 3-BC (adjusted P value < 0.0001), Meradimate (adjusted P value < 0.0001), HMS (adjusted P value < 0.0001), Octisalate (adjusted P value = 0.0036), BCSA (adjusted P value = 0.0241) and OD-PABA (adjusted P value = 0.0425). A similar significant increase in viable acrosome-reacted sperm cells was found after treatment with progesterone (adjusted P value < 0.0001). In order to display all data in a single figure, we calculated the percentage of viable acrosome-reacted sperm cells relative to the ionomycin-induced response from each individual experiment (Fig. 1). Additionally, we calculated the relationship between the ability of the UV filter at 10 µM to induce a rise in [Ca^{2+}]_i (21) and to induce acrosome reaction and found a significant positive association (adjusted P value < 0.0001) (Fig. 2).

Effect on penetration into viscous medium

Using sperm penetration tests with methylcellulose (1% w/v) as in (14), we investigated the 29 chemical UV filters for their effect on sperm penetration into a viscous medium. The UV filters were tested at 10 µM (n = 3–6), along with a positive control (5 µM progesterone) and a negative control (0.1% DMSO). The increment in cell density at 1 cm was significantly increased after treatment with the UV filter 3-BC (adjusted P value = 0.0347), similar to the increment observed after treatment with 5 µM progesterone (adjusted P value = 0.0001). In order to display all data in a single figure, we calculated the induced increment in cell density (in % of control) at 1 cm into the viscous medium (Fig. 3). Furthermore, we calculated the relationship between the ability of the UV filter at 10 µM to induce a rise in [Ca^{2+}]_i (21) and to increase sperm penetration into viscous mucous and found a significant positive association (adjusted P value < 0.0001) (Fig. 4).
Effect on hyperactivation

Using computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) we investigated the 29 chemical UV filters for effects on hyperactivation in sperm cells. The UV filters were tested at 10 µM (n=3–4), along with a positive control (5 µM progesterone) and a negative control (0.1% DMSO). The percentage of hyperactivated sperm cells (in % of total motile cells) was not significantly changed after treatment with any of the UV filters or with progesterone (adjusted P values >0.8732). In order to display the data in a single figure, we calculated the induced increment in hyperactivation (in % of control) (Supplementary Fig. 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article).

Effect on sperm viability

Using an image-cytometer-based assay, we tested the 29 chemical UV filters for their effect on sperm viability. We incubated aliquots of non-capacitated sperm cells with the UV filters at 10 µM, along with a positive control (0.5% Triton) and a negative control (0.1% DMSO) for 20 h at 37°C. Viability was found to be significantly decreased after treatment with the UV filter Avobenzone (adjusted P value = 0.0051) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Here, we investigated the effects of 29 chemical UV filters on the human sperm cell functions acrosome reaction, sperm penetration into a viscous medium and hyperactivation, as well as on sperm viability. We found...
that only chemical UV filters, which had previously been shown to induce a rise in [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in human sperm cells (21), affected sperm cell functions. Seven of these UV filters: 4-MBC, 3-BC, Meradimate, Octisalate, BCSA, HMS and OD-PABA were found to induce acrosome reaction, similar to the response induced by progesterone. In addition, we showed that the UV filter 3-BC increased sperm penetration into a viscous medium, similar to the response induced by progesterone. The ability of the UV filters to induce acrosome reaction and increase sperm penetration was found to be positively associated with the ability of the chemical UV filter to induce a rise in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. None of the UV filters induced a change in the proportion of hyperactivated cells and viability was only decreased after treatment with the UV filter Avobenzone. None of the chemical UV filters that did not induce a rise in [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in human sperm cells in our previous study (21) were found to affect sperm function.

Progesterone is a known inducer of acrosome reaction in human sperm cells (15) and a suboptimal induction of acrosome reaction in response to progesterone is associated with reduced male fertility (28, 29, 30, 31). An intact acrosome is required for mouse sperm cells to respond to progesterone-induced chemotaxis (32). Furthermore, only acrosome-intact human sperm cells can bind to the zona pellucida (33), in contrast to what has been found for mouse sperm cells (34, 35). Once bound to the zona pellucida, the human sperm cells must undergo acrosome reaction to penetrate the zona pellucida (36) and fuse with the egg (37). In line with this, a high level of spontaneous acrosome reaction has been associated with reduced male fertility (38, 39, 40), although the relationship was not found in two other studies (31, 41). This suggests that exposure to chemical UV filters could
impair fertility by inducing premature acrosome reaction in human sperm cells.

In support of our findings, p,p'-DDE has been shown to induce a rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ via CatSper and acrosome reaction (18). Similarly, in our previous study (17), we showed that the chemical UV filters 4-MBC and 3-BC could induce a rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ via CatSper and acrosome reaction. Our results here confirm these findings for 4-MBC and 3-BC. Also, triclosan has been shown to induce a CatSper-independent rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ and acrosome reaction (17).

In contrast to our findings, diethylstilbestrol (DES) was found neither to induce acrosome reaction nor increase sperm penetration, even though it was found to induce a Ca$^{2+}$ influx via CatSper (20). Methodological differences might account for these contradicting findings. Unlike in our study, Zou et al. added DES to non-capacitated sperm cells and allowed the sperm cells to incubate with DES for 4 h before assessing acrosome reaction or sperm penetration. In our study, we on the other hand added the chemical UV filters to already capacitated sperm cells 30 min before assessing acrosome reaction and to non-capacitated sperm cells just prior to assessing sperm penetration.

Interestingly, however, DES was found to dose-dependently inhibit both the progesterone-induced rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, acrosome reaction and sperm penetration (20). We have previously shown that the UV filters 4-MBC (17), 3-BC and BCSA (21) can competitively inhibit the progesterone-induced rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, indicating that these UV filters might similarly be able to inhibit the progesterone-induced acrosome reaction and sperm penetration.

Progesterone is a weak inducer of hyperactivation, inducing only a small increment in the proportion of hyperactivated cells (13, 14), with no relationship between the induced rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ and hyperactivation response (13). In our study, neither progesterone, nor the chemical UV filters, induced hyperactivation. In our previous study (17), 4-MBC was shown to lower the frequency and enhance the asymmetry of the flagellar beat in a single sperm cell, indicating that 4-MBC could induce hyperactivation. With the experimental setup in our study we could, however, not find an increase in hyperactivation after treatment with 4-MBC on a sperm cell population. Studies have shown that only a given proportion of sperm cells in a population respond to treatment with a Ca$^{2+}$ signal-inducing EDC (18, 21), probably due to the heterogeneity of sperm samples (42). These findings could explain how hyperactivation can be induced in individual sperm cells, while the proportion of hyperactivated cells in the whole sperm population remains relatively stable.

Most UV filters tested did not affect viability, similar to DES (20) and p,p'-DDE upon one day of incubation (18). Taken together, our data are consistent with the notion that the induced rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in human sperm cells on itself does not affect sperm viability, and that the adverse effect of Avobenzone on viability is most likely independent from its effect on $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$.

Multiple EDCs have been shown to induce a rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in human sperm cells through interaction with CatSper (17, 18, 19, 20, 21), as have multiple pharmacological ligands (43). Our findings for the chemical UV filters tested here indicate that other compounds that activate CatSper could similarly affect
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sperm function in a progesterone-like manner. EDCs have been shown to act additively to induce a rise in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] in human sperm cells (17, 21), suggesting that these EDCs could similarly act additively to induce acrosome reaction and increase sperm penetration.

In conclusion, several chemical UV filters known to mimic the effect of progesterone on Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling in human sperm cells were shown to induce acrosome reaction and sperm penetration in a progesterone-like manner. Exposure to these chemical UV filters could impair fertility by interfering with sperm function, e.g. through induction of premature acrosome reaction. Further studies are needed to confirm our results in vivo.
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