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Abstract. Protoplanetary disks (PPDs) accrete onto their central T Tauri star via magnetic stresses. When the effect of ambipolar diffusion (AD) is included, and in the presence of a vertical magnetic field, the disk remains laminar between 1-5 au, and a magnetocentrifugal disk wind forms that provides an important mechanism for removing angular momentum. We present global MHD simulations of PPDs that include Ohmic resistivity and AD, where the time-dependent gas-phase electron and ion fractions are computed under FUV and X-ray ionization with a simplified recombination chemistry. To investigate whether the mass loading of the wind is potentially affected by the limited vertical extent of our existing simulations, we attempt to develop a model of a realistic disk atmosphere. To this end, by accounting for stellar irradiation and diffuse reprocessing of radiation, we aim at improving our models towards more realistic thermodynamic properties.

1. Introduction
Interpreting observational properties of T Tauri systems [1] is closely tied to understanding the complex dynamical evolution of gaseous protoplanetary disks (PPDs), both in terms of their chemistry, and in terms of the microphysics that govern the evolution of the embedded magnetic fields. Moreover, with PPDs being the birth sites for extrasolar planets, a sound physical picture of the dust [2] and planetesimal [3] evolution is needed to ultimately provide the building blocks for a comprehensive theory of planet formation.

The fundamental drivers of disk evolution are mass loss processes and redistribution of angular momentum [4]. In sufficiently ionized parts of the disk, the latter can be achieved by turbulent stresses. When accounting for the ionization structure of a typical PPD, large parts of the disk, however, remain laminar owing to the effect of ambipolar diffusion (AD). In this situation, angular momentum is primarily transported via a magnetocentrifugal disk wind [5, 6]. While this picture is further complicated when accounting for the Hall effect [7, 8], it has nevertheless become clear that the thermal structure of the disk plays an important role in setting the mass loading of the disk wind [9], and hence the timescale on which the system evolves [10, 11].

2. Methods
As in our previous work [6], we are solving the single-fluid MHD equations including Ohmic resistivity and ambipolar diffusion, that is, the electromotive force resulting from the mutual collision of ions and neutrals. The diffusion coefficients, η_Ω, and η_AD are specified by means of a
An attractive method for obtaining the Eddington tensor that relates the radiation flux and pressure tensor are specified. The above equations can only be solved once the radiation flux and pressure tensor are specified. For the typical number densities in the context of PPDs, the frictional coupling between the ions and neutrals happens so quickly (compared to dynamical timescales of interest) that we assume the charged species move at their terminal velocity with respect to the neutrals, that is, where the Lorentz force is balanced by the drag. Because of the low degree of ionization, we formulate our continuity equation and momentum conservation in terms of the neutral component, although it does experience the Lorentz force as mediated by particle collisions.

We use a modified version of the NIRVANA-III finite volume Godunov code [12, 13]. The code adopts a total-energy formulation\(^1\) with conserved variables \(\rho, \nu,\) and \(\epsilon \equiv \epsilon + p \nu^2/2 + B^2/2\). Together with the conservation of radiation energy, \(E_R\), and defining the total pressure, \(p^*\), as the sum of the gas and magnetic pressures, the system of equations we solve reads

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nu) &= 0, \\
\partial_t (\rho \nu) + \nabla \cdot \left[ \rho \nu \nu + p^* I - BB \right] &= -\rho \nabla \Phi + \rho \kappa_R/c F_R, \\
\partial_t \epsilon + \nabla \cdot \left[ (\epsilon + p^*) \nu - (\nu \cdot B) B \right] &= \nabla \cdot \left[ (E_O + E_{AD}) \times B \right] - \rho (\nabla \Phi) \cdot \nu + c \rho \kappa_P \left( E_R - a_R T^4 \right) + \rho \kappa_R/c F_R \cdot \nu + Q^+_{\text{irr}}, \\
\partial_t E_R + \nabla \cdot (E_R \nu) &= -c \rho \kappa_P \left( E_R - a_R T^4 \right) - \nabla \cdot F_R - \mathcal{P}_R : \nabla \nu, \\
\partial_t B - \nabla \times \left[ \nu \times B + E_O + E_{AD} \right] &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(F_R\) is the radiation flux, \(\mathcal{P}_R\) is the radiation pressure tensor, \(\kappa_R\) and \(\kappa_P\) are the Rosseland and Planck mean opacities, respectively, \(a_R \equiv 4 \sigma / c\) is the radiation density constant, \(T \equiv \mu m_H / k_B p / \rho\) is the gas temperature, and the gas pressure is \(p = (\gamma - 1) \epsilon\), where \(\gamma = 7/5\) is chosen as appropriate for an ideal diatomic gas. The gravitational term \(\Phi(r) \equiv -GM_\odot / r\) represents the point-mass potential of the solar-mass star at the center of our spherical-polar coordinate system, and \(Q^+_{\text{irr}}\) represents external irradiation heating due to the star.

### 2.1. Flux limited diffusion

The above equations can only be solved once the radiation flux and pressure tensor are specified. An attractive method for obtaining the Eddington tensor that relates \(\mathcal{P}_R\) with \(E_R\) is to solve for the steady-state but angle-dependent radiation intensity and integrate the first (for \(F_R\)) and second (for \(\mathcal{P}_R\)) order moments directly [14]. In the interest of maintaining minimum algorithmic complexity and computational expense, we instead adopt the classical \(\text{ad hoc}\) closure

\[
F_R = -\lambda(R) \frac{c}{\rho \kappa_R} \nabla E_R,
\]

\(^1\) Note that we do not include the radiation energy density, \(E_R\), in the total energy (as it is sub-dominant in the problem we consider). We however retain the radiation flux in the momentum equation for consistency.
that specifies $F_R$ in terms of a diffusive flux with diffusion coefficient $D \equiv \lambda(R)c/\rho k_R$, where

$$ R \equiv \frac{\mid \nabla E_R \mid}{\rho k_R (E_R)} $$

(9)

is a dimensionless number specifying how abruptly the radiation energy density varies compared to the length scale defined by the optical extinction coefficient $\rho k_R$, and where $\lambda(R) \to 1/R$ (for $R \gg 1$) is a limiter function [15] that guarantees $|F_R| < cE_R$ in regions of low optical depth, that is, in regions where the diffusion approximation is not valid. In the optically thick limit, $\lambda(R) \to 1/3$ (for $R \ll 1$), which corresponds to the Eddington approximation.

The described approach has its known shortcomings over characteristics-based methods [14], but in combination with stellar irradiation heating (determined using a simplified ray tracing algorithm) it has been deemed an acceptable compromise [16, 17, 18] in terms of being able to incorporate radiation thermodynamics in fully dynamical 3D simulations, whereas more accurate Monte Carlo methods are comparatively expensive and have to deal with statistical noise [19].

We currently treat both irradiation and diffuse redistribution in the gray approximation, but multigroup approaches are straightforward [20], especially for the irradiation component [17, 18], wherein computational expenditure scales with the number of frequency bins, and where increased realism can be achieved for the thermal structure of the outer disk ($R \gtrsim 20$ au). For our PPD model, we precompute look-up tables for mean opacities, $k_R(\rho,T)$ and $k_{P}(\rho,T)$, using D. Semenov’s opacity.f [21], where a fixed dust-to-gas mass ratio of 0.01395 is assumed. To account for depletion of small dust by grain growth, we enable the reduction of the obtained opacities by a scale factor. Since the opacity tables combine contributions from dust grains and gas molecules, this is only valid for temperatures below the dust sublimation threshold.

2.2. Reduced speed of light approximation

The thermodynamic coupling of the gaseous matter with the radiation field is described by the $c \rho k_P (E_R - a_R T^4)$ terms in eqns. (5) and (6), respectively. Subsuming the $-\nabla \cdot F_R$ term in eqn. (6) with the definition of the diffusive flux (8) amounts to a diffusion equation for the redistribution of radiation energy. Both effects can be combined into the subsystem

$$ \partial_t \epsilon = +c \rho k_P \left( E_R - a_R T^4 \right), $$

$$ \frac{c}{c} \partial_t E_R = -c \rho k_P \left( E_R - a_R T^4 \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ D \nabla E_R \right], $$

(10)

(11)

which we solve by means of operator splitting. Unlike in ref. [18], we do not include the $P_R \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$ term in this subsystem but instead treat it as a regular source term when updating the main hyperbolic system of equations. Since, for a large diffusion coefficient $D$, the parabolic system (11) becomes stiff, the most common approach is to use implicit methods to solve it. Especially in view of applications employing adaptive mesh refinement, we have chosen to avoid an implicit update for $\nabla \cdot (D \nabla E_R)$, as it demands costly non-local communication patterns, and a potentially expensive matrix inversion.

Instead, we integrate the diffusion part of (11) in a time-explicit fashion, and use the reduced speed of light approach [22] to ameliorate the strict time-step constraints. This method has recently been employed in the context of simulations of the interstellar medium [23]. The approximation is valid as long as the radiative timescales resulting from the adopted artificial value of $\hat{c} = \phi c$ (with $\phi = \text{const.} < 1$) are still short compared to any relevant dynamical timescales. In the context of PPDs, we are mainly interested in the role of radiative effects in setting the consistent temperature structure of the disk, and true radiation hydrodynamic effects are believed to only be of minor importance during the T Tauri phase [24].
The approximation is introduced by amplifying the left-hand-side of (11) by a factor of \( c/\hat{c} > 1 \). It is crucial that, because all other terms remain unaffected, this implies the modification does not alter the late-time steady-state solution, where \( \partial_t \rightarrow 0 \), but only changes the timescale on which this solution is achieved. On practical grounds, we multiply (11) by \( \hat{c}/c \), which implies replacing \( c \) for \( \hat{c} \) in the radiation matter coupling, and attenuating the diffusion term by a factor of \( \phi \). This illustrates how the method works to weaken the stiffness of the diffusion term. To explicitly integrate (11), we employ the second-order accurate Runge-Kutta-Legendre (RKL2) super-time-stepping scheme [25], that is already used for the updates of the other parabolic terms (such as, viscosity, thermal conduction, resistive and ambipolar diffusion) in the code.

2.3. Radiation matter coupling

Even with the reduced speed, \( \hat{c} = \phi c \), the radiation-matter-coupling term \( \hat{c} \rho \kappa \left( E_R - a_R T^4 \right) \) can itself contribute a severe timestep constraint in regions of high opacity, where the coupling becomes stiff. Ignoring, for the moment, the diffusion term in (11), the coupling amounts to an ordinary differential equation, similar to production/destruction equations that are common in other fields of science. While these are typically solved by explicit Runge-Kutta (RK) methods, higher order predictor/corrector schemes do not typically guarantee positivity or conservation of, in our case, the energy \( \bar{e} \equiv (\phi \epsilon + E_R) \).

There however exists a class of modified RK methods [26] that employ the so-called Patankar trick – an implicit weighting of the production/destruction terms with the ratio of the evolved quantity before and after the update. It can be shown that for a single-step update, such a weighting precludes that negative values are obtained. Moreover, since the weighting factors are overall symmetric, conservation is guaranteed. Specifically, we use the MPRK scheme given by eqn. (27) in ref. [26]. The resulting update is formally implicit, but can algebraically be manipulated into fully explicit form, that is,

\[
\Delta \epsilon \equiv \epsilon^{(n+1)} - \epsilon^{(n)} \\
\equiv \left( E_R^{(n)} - E_R^{(n+1)} \right) \phi^{-1} = \frac{E_R^{(n)} - (a_R T^4)^{(n)}}{\phi + (a_R T^4)^{(n)}/\epsilon^{(n)} + (c \rho \kappa \Delta t)^{-1}},
\]

for the predictor step, and

\[
\Delta \epsilon = \frac{E_R^{(n)} \epsilon^{(n)} - (a_R T^4)^{(n)} E_R^{(n)\epsilon^{(n)}}}{\phi E_R^{(n)} \epsilon^{(n)} + (a_R T^4)^{(n)} E_R^{(n)} \epsilon^{(n)} + E_R^{(n)} \epsilon^{(n)} (c \rho \kappa \Delta t)^{-1}},
\]

for the corrector step, where \( \epsilon^{(n)} \equiv \epsilon^{(n)} + \Delta \epsilon \) is the forward-Euler predictor value of \( \epsilon^{(n+1)} \), and \( \epsilon^\star \equiv 0.5 (\epsilon^{(n)} + \epsilon^\dagger) \) is the time-averaged state.\(^2\) Compared to implicit methods, that demand iterative root-finding, or so-called \( \theta \)-schemes (see, e.g., section 3.4 in ref. [23]) the method presented here offers a relatively inexpensive, parameter-free non-iterative alternative.

2.4. Irradiation heating

Our existing global disk models [27, 28] have either assumed a locally-isothermal temperature \( T = T(R) \), with \( R \) being the cylindrical radius from the star, or have used an adiabatic equation of state with a Newtonian cooling term in the energy equation that reinstated the \( T(R) \) profile on a specified timescale (typically a short fraction of the local orbital period).

Compared to this, even relatively basic models [29], of dust absorption and re-radiation of star light in the disk surface, obtain a much more complex temperature structure within the PPD

\(^2\) The same conventions, of course, apply for the terms \( (a_T T^4) \), and \( E_R \).
with superheated surface layers and cool interiors. To account for such effects, we include a frequency-integrated radially attenuated irradiation flux

\[ F_{R,\text{irr}}(r) = F(r_\star) \left( \frac{r}{r_\star} \right)^2 \exp(-\tau_P(r)) \hat{r} \]  

(14)

from the central star with effective temperature \( T_\star = 5780 \) K, and \( r_\star = r_\odot \), and where the optical depth, \( \tau_P(r) \equiv \int_{r_\star}^{r} \rho(r') \kappa_P(\rho, T_\star) \, dr' \) is obtained by integrating along radial rays. Following previous work \[16, 17, 18\], we obtain the irradiation energy source term \( Q_{\text{irr}}^+ \) by computing the negative divergence of (14) over each grid cell. In regions of low optical depth, we use the integral formulation

\[ Q_{\text{irr}}^+(r_i) = \frac{\rho \kappa_P}{\Delta V_r} \int_{r_i-1/2}^{r_i+1/2} \hat{r} \cdot F_{R,\text{irr}}(r') r'^2 \, dr' , \]  

(15)

with \( \Delta V_r = 1/3 (r_i^{3+1/2} - r_i^{3-1/2}) \) instead, as this formulation has been found to produce a more accurate solution on the discretized mesh, when differences across cells are small \[30\].

3. Results

3.1. Radiative transfer test problems

To verify our implementation of the radiation-matter-coupling term, we have performed a simple one-zone model \[31\], where the thermal energy density, \( \epsilon \), is initially out of balance with the radiation energy density \( E_R = 10^{12} \) erg cm\(^{-3}\). In Fig. 1 we show three cases with \( \epsilon_0 = 10^2, 10^6, \) and \( 10^{10} \) erg cm\(^{-3}\), which all converge to the same final equilibrium state. For the purpose of plotting the curves, we have limited the timestep artificially to sample timescales shorter than the radiative equilibration timescale. We have, however, tested that even for numerical time steps somewhat larger than the coupling timescale the scheme remains stable, as expected from the implicit-like integration scheme (see sect. 2.3) that we use.

![Figure 1. A simple single zone radiation matter coupling test, with \( \kappa_P = 0.4 \) cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), \( \bar{\mu} = 0.6, \gamma = 5/3, \) and \( \rho = 10^{-7} \) g cm\(^{-3}\).](image)

A standard test case for assessing the interplay of the radiation-matter-coupling with the radiation diffusion are radiative shocks, for which there exist semi-analytic solutions in simplified situations \[32\]. In Fig. 2, we plot the solution of the Ma = 2 case from ref. \[32\], using \( n = 128 \) grid cells in the \( x \) direction, as well as two levels of adaptive mesh refinement (triggered by gradients in the thermal energy, \( \epsilon \)), which are shown as gray shaded areas in the plot. As seen in
the lower panel of Fig. 2, apart from the shock location (which has been shifted by $x_{\text{offs}} = 22.5 \text{ cm}$ with respect to the semi-analytic solution), all quantities agree to within $\%$ accuracy. We have also successfully performed the harder $Ma = 5$ test, which we omit here for brevity. An excellent description of the radiative shock test, including the precise values used for initial conditions, can be found in sect. 4.4 of ref. [18].

3.2. Preliminary global MHD simulations with irradiation

Returning to the original motivation for implementing radiative physics in our modified version of the NIRVANA-III code, we conclude by presenting a preliminary snapshot of a global axisymmetric protoplanetary disk simulation including Ohmic resistivity, ambipolar diffusion, radiation diffusion, and stellar irradiation. The ultimate goal of these simulations is to study how the mass-loading of the magnetocentrifugal wind depends on the disk thermal structure.

As a simple proof-of-concept, we present a close-up of the inner disk in a simulation covering seven (initial) pressure scale heights in latitude (see Fig. 3). The basic disk setup is very similar to the one used in ref. [6], and we have additionally used opacities that correspond to a dust-depletion of a factor of ten compared to the typical interstellar abundance. Similar to our previous simulations, the magnetic field lines (white) bend outward, and in the upper disk layers, where the matter is sufficiently coupled to the magnetic field, a magnetocentrifugal disk wind ensues (black vectors).

Iso-contour lines (gray) of the radiation temperature $T_{\text{rad}} \equiv E_{\text{R}}^{1/4} a_{\text{R}}^{-1/4}$ illustrate the disk’s thermal structure that deviates noticeably from the constant-on-cylinders radial temperature.
Figure 3. Detail from a proof-of-concept global MHD simulation of a PPD with ambipolar diffusion, radiative transfer and stellar irradiation, showing $\vec{B}_\phi$ (colour), poloidal velocity (black) and magnetic field lines (white), and iso-contours of the radiation temperature (grey).

profile $T = T(R)$, that we have assumed previously. The presented preliminary run used a reduced-speed-of-light factor $\phi = 10^{-4}$. Further tests will show whether the chosen time-explicit framework is powerful and efficient enough to be of use in realistic situations.
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