In vivo testing of novel vaccine prototypes against Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae
Antenucci, Fabio; Fougeroux, Cyrielle; Deeney, Alannah; Ørskov, Cathrine; Rycroft, Andrew; Holst, Peter Johannes; Bojesen, Anders Miki

Published in:
Veterinary Research

DOI:
10.1186/s13567-017-0502-x

Publication date:
2018

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):
In vivo testing of novel vaccine prototypes against *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae*

Fabio Antenucci¹, Cyrielle Fougeroux², Alannah Deeney³, Cathrine Ørskov⁴, Andrew Rycroft³, Peter Johannes Holst² and Anders Miki Bojesen¹*

**Abstract**

*Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae (A. pleuropneumoniae)* is a Gram-negative bacterium that represents the main cause of porcine pleuropneumonia in pigs, causing significant economic losses to the livestock industry worldwide. *A. pleuropneumoniae*, as the majority of Gram-negative bacteria, excrete vesicles from its outer membrane (OM), accordingly defined as outer membrane vesicles (OMVs). Thanks to their antigenic similarity to the OM, OMVs have emerged as a promising tool in vaccinology. In this study we describe the in vivo testing of several vaccine prototypes for the prevention of infection by all known *A. pleuropneumoniae* serotypes. Previously identified vaccine candidates, the recombinant proteins ApfA and VacJ, administered individually or in various combinations with the OMVs, were employed as vaccination strategies. Our data show that the addition of the OMVs in the vaccine formulations significantly increased the specific IgG titer against both ApfA and VacJ in the immunized animals, confirming the previously postulated potential of the OMVs as adjuvant. Unfortunately, the antibody response raised did not translate into an effective protection against *A. pleuropneumoniae* infection, as none of the immunized groups following challenge showed a significantly lower degree of lesions than the controls. Interestingly, quite the opposite was true, as the animals with the highest IgG titers were also the ones bearing the most extensive lesions in their lungs. These results shed new light on *A. pleuropneumoniae* pathogenicity, suggesting that antibody-mediated cytotoxicity from the host immune response may play a central role in the development of the lesions typically associated with *A. pleuropneumoniae* infections.

**Introduction**

*Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae (A. pleuropneumoniae)* is a Gram-negative bacterium responsible for a serious respiratory disease affecting pigs and a cause of large economic losses in the pig industry [1, 2]. *A. pleuropneumoniae* is transmitted from pig to pig by aerosols or direct contact and causes clinical signs such as vomiting, diarrhea, respiratory distress and bloody discharge from the mouth and nose, which may be lethal [3]. A total of 16 different *A. pleuropneumoniae* serotypes have been reported worldwide, classified according to capsular antigens [4, 5]. Several serotypes contain strains that can cause severe symptoms, which makes it challenging to develop a broadly protective vaccine. Currently available vaccines against App can be divided in two categories: (i) vaccines based on inactivated whole-cell bacterins; (ii) vaccines based on Apx toxins, a set of pore-forming cytolysins (Apx I–IV) playing a central role in App pathogenesis [3, 6]. Bacterin-based vaccines have been shown to offer limited protection against infections by strains other than the ones used for vaccination [7, 8]. While vaccines based on inactivated Apx toxins (toxoids) are effective in reducing the morbidity associated with infection [9–12] yet unable to prevent colonisation of the lungs, their use pose a potential threat inducing infection by asymptomatic carriers [7, 11, 13]. Thus, it would
be highly desirable to develop a novel vaccine offering protection against App colonisation and morbidity in a cross-serotype manner.

The poor specificity of the detection methods for *A. pleuropneumoniae* and the rapid progression of the infection frequently prompt widespread application of antibiotic treatment on the mere suspicion of an infection [14]. This contributes to the overuse of antibiotics, which promotes selection of resistant bacteria and may indirectly lead to the spread of antibiotic resistance genes through the food chain [15, 16]. Accordingly, an effective vaccine against *A. pleuropneumoniae*, which protects across the 16 serovars is urgently needed.

The pathogenesis of porcine pleuropneumonia is complex and currently divided in different stages: colonisation, resistance to clearance and damage to host tissues (direct and indirect) [3, 17, 18]. Colonization is usually the first step in the establishment of a bacterial infection, and several *A. pleuropneumoniae* virulence factors have been shown to be involved in adhesion to the host tissues and expressed in vivo [19, 20]. *A. pleuropneumoniae* cells also show the ability to avoid clearance by both innate and adaptive immune responses thanks to mechanisms such as antiphagocytic activity and antibody degradation [3, 6, 21]. Finally, the lesions typically associated with porcine pleuropneumonia are mostly caused by a combination of toxins and proteases secreted by the pathogen and inflammatory mediators released by activated phagocytes [3, 22, 23].

As previously mentioned, cross-serotype prevention of porcine pleuropneumoniae remains elusive, and successful control of the infection with vaccination may require more in-depth knowledge of the pathogenesis of the disease. It is thus important to clarify how the establishment of the infection affects the course of the disease and if, as suggested; acute lung damage developed in the first days is the defining features for the severity of the disease [24]. Moreover it would be informative to understand if the infection can be controlled on a systemic level or mostly on a local level, thus determining the most efficient route of immunization.

In this study we describe the adoption of three different vaccination strategies for the prevention of porcine pleuropneumonia and lung colonization by *A. pleuropneumoniae*. Different strategies were selected in order to offer to the host immune system the widest possible range of immunogens and alternative administration routes. The first strategy involved the utilization of previously selected cross-serotype immunogens [25], expressed as recombinant proteins. Briefly, the conserved immunogens were selected in silico on the basis of exposition and accessibility of the proteins on the bacterial outer membrane (OM), as well as gene conservation among serovars, as described in [25]. From these studies we selected the proteins ApfA and VacJ, a pilin and an OM lipoprotein, respectively, whose role in *A. pleuropneumoniae* virulence previously has been described [11, 26, 27]. The selection of ApfA and VacJ as immunogens offered the potential of targeting *A. pleuropneumoniae* cells adhesion, OM integrity and resistance to clearance by the host immune system.

The second strategy involved the utilization of the antigenic outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) [28]. OMVs possess a variety of biological functions, and most importantly usually exhibit an antigenic pattern similar to the one found on the bacterial OM [29, 30]. Accordingly, *A. pleuropneumoniae* OMVs have shown promising results in terms of immunogenicity, offering the prospect of providing a range of conserved antigens [31]. Furthermore, thanks to their non-live nature, OMVs can be employed in vaccine formulations without incurring in the usual risks associated with live vaccines [29]. Notably, both autologous and heterologous OMVs have been reported to function as an adjuvant for co-administered recombinant antigens [32–34], but more recently some controversy has emerged regarding the possibility of combining OMVs with other immunogens [35]. To verify the potential of OMVs as adjuvants, we decided to combine OMVs and recombinant proteins ApfA and VacJ as a third strategy of immunization.

One of the technical challenges during an infection trial is to ensure the thoroughly and equivalent dissemination of infectious particles through the groups of animals. As previously mentioned, one of the main transmission routes of *A. pleuropneumoniae* infections is by aerosol [36, 37]. To simulate as closely as possible natural transmission, we selected an aerosol chamber transmission model as dissemination procedure for our in vivo challenge. This system is ideally suited for a respiratory pathogen such *A. pleuropneumoniae*, and has already been tested successfully in several studies [38–40].

**Materials and methods**

**Animal model**

A total of 55 10-kg piglets (Landrace-Yorkshire-Duroc crossbred) were purchased from a commercial breeder, which was part of the Danish Specific-Pathogen-Free (SPF) breeding system (code red), which is the highest health level in the system. Briefly, code red SPF herds are tested monthly for App clinical signs and by a serum sample ELISA aiming at serotypes 1–10 and 12, respectively. Upon arrival the pigs were housed in the animal facilities at the Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, (Frederiksborg, Copenhagen, Denmark). Piglets were ear-tagged with a unique identification number and randomly distributed in four different rooms that could
be further separated in three smaller pens, after vaccination. Experiments were started after allowing the pigs to acclimatize for a week. Daily care was provided by animal caretakers blinded to treatment groups. Experiments were approved by the Danish national animal experiments inspectorate (Dyreforsøgstilsynet), as stipulated in license number 2014-15-0201-00019. Pigs were checked for presence of *A. pleuropneumoniae* by plating nose swaps on MHF plates [Mueller–Hinton agar + 5% horse blood + 20 mg/L β-nicotinamide dinucleotide (NAD); BioMérieux], before initiation of the experiment.

**Immunogen production**

Recombinant proteins ApfA and VacJ, and OMVs were produced and isolated as described in [25]. Briefly, *apfA* and *vacJ* genes from *A. pleuropneumoniae* L20 (serotype 5b) were cloned and expressed in competent *Escherichia coli* (∗E. coli∗) BL21 (DE3) cells, and the resulting ApfA and VacJ proteins isolated by size exclusion chromatography as described in [41]. OMVs were produced from *A. pleuropneumoniae* MIDG2331 (serotype 8) cultures and isolated by hydrostatic filtration, as described in [25].

**Immunization**

The immunization and challenge procedure is summarized in Figure 1. After 1-week of acclimatization pigs were vaccinated intra-muscularly and intranasally either with OMVs, recombinant proteins or a combination of OMVs and recombinant proteins (Table 1). Intramuscular (∗IM∗) immunizations were done by injecting 1 mL of the vaccine into the muscle on the dorsal side of the neck. Intranasal (∗IN∗) immunizations were made with 1 mL volume of the vaccine instilled in one of the nostrils with a plastic Pasteur pipette (Deltalab). Group 1 (control) was administered 1 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Groups 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 were vaccinated with 30 μg

![Figure 1 Immunization and challenge regimen.](image)

**Table 1 Immunization groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of pigs</th>
<th>Vaccination</th>
<th>Route of immunization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>VacJ protein</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ApfA protein</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>A. pleuropneumoniae</em> OMV</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>A. pleuropneumoniae</em> OMV + VacJ protein</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>A. pleuropneumoniae</em> OMV + ApfA protein</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>A. pleuropneumoniae</em> OMV + VacJ protein + ApfA protein</td>
<td>IM + IN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunogen combinations and route of immunization are detailed for each group.
of recombinant protein and groups 4–7 were vaccinated with $1.62 \times 10^{10}$ OMV. The OMV dosage was set not to exceed tolerable lipopolysaccharide levels. Groups from 1 to 7 were boosted 4 weeks after the first immunization with a similar vaccine and dose as the first vaccination. Blood was drawn using the vacutainer system with clot activator (Vacutainer) from the jugular vein on day 0, week 2, week 4, week 6 and week 8 after the first vaccination and later, on the day of the *A. pleuropneumoniae* challenge, and three and 7 days post challenge, respectively.

**Challenge**

All pigs were challenged with live *A. pleuropneumoniae* HK361 cells [serotype 2; National Collection of Type Cultures (NCTC) 10976], 8 weeks after the first vaccination. *A. pleuropneumoniae* bacteria from an overnight BHI agar culture were incubated in brain-heart infusion (BHI) media supplemented with 5 μg/mL NAD (Sigma Aldrich). When the optical density (OD) at 600 nm reached 1.5 [corresponding to approximately 2.10^9 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL according to pilot experiments], the culture was diluted 1:2000 in 30 mL of HEPES saline (10 mM HEPES; 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl_2 and 1 mM MgCl_2) to obtain a concentration of $10^6$ CFU/mL. The diluted solution ($10^{-1}$) was then administered as an aerosol using a NE-U17 OMRON Ultrasonic nebuliser (OMRON Healthcare) and an aerosol chamber where four to five pigs at a time were left for 10 min in a *A. pleuropneumoniae* aerosol. Each chamber session was ended by a period of five min venting, to allow clearing of aerosolised *A. pleuropneumoniae* by passing all air through a HEPA filter before releasing the pigs from the chamber. Pigs were challenged over 2 days, and overall two batches of *A. pleuropneumoniae* were produced and each batch was used for two to three groups of four to five pigs. The initial (pre challenge) *A. pleuropneumoniae* solution ($10^{-1}$) and the leftover solution (post challenge) were plated and incubated on MHF plates in duplicates, for recollection and CFU counting the next day.

**Animal welfare**

Clinical signs of the animals were monitored every 3–4 h during the period between challenge and euthanasia. Animals showing signs of distress or a body temperature $>$ 40 °C were administered IM injections of butorphanol tartrate (Turbugesic, Zoetis), dosed according to weight and previous treatment.

**Post-mortem examination**

Pigs were sacrificed 1 week after the *A. pleuropneumoniae* challenge; lungs were excised from the thorax. Samples from lesions and healthy areas of the lungs were excised and placed in 10% formalin for histological analysis. The pleura and macroscopic lesions of the lungs were assessed systematically using a previously reported scoring system (Figure 2) [42]. Briefly, each of the seven lobes of each lung was assigned a score [0–5] depending on the number and size of lesions. From that the total score of the whole lung was calculated. The statistical significance of the data was assessed using Ordinary One-way ANOVA, Brown–Forsythe, Bartlett’s ($P < 0.05$) and Dunnett’s multiple comparisons (alpha = 0.05) tests. Correlation between data sets was assessed by Pearson r two-tailed test (alpha = 0.05). After scoring, each lung was weighed and added 1/1 (weight/vol) 0.9% sterile saline solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and blended to obtain a homogenized mixture, which was serially diluted tenfold between $10^{-1}$ and $10^{-7}$. 10 μL of each dilution was then plated on MHF plates in duplicates. After overnight (ON) incubation, CFU counts were adjusted

---

**Figure 2** Scheme and pictures from infected porcine lungs after *A. pleuropneumoniae* infection. 7 weeks after their first immunization, pigs were challenged with a concentration of $10^6$ CFU/mL of live *A. pleuropneumoniae* HK361 in an aerosol chamber. 1 week after challenge, pigs were euthanized, and lungs and thorax were examined. A Example of lungs and thorax after *A. pleuropneumoniae* infection. B Lungs were assessed for their lesion score and number of lesions according to this scheme. Each pair of lungs was assigned a score based on the number and size of the lesions.
in order to reflect the total amount of bacteria contained per gram of lung (CFU/g).

Antibody titration
Sera were isolated from blood samples by allowing the samples to clot. Nunc Maxisorp flat-bottom 96-wells plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with 2 μg/mL ApfA or VacJ proteins, or $3.25 \times 10^8$ OMVs in PBS, respectively. Sera from animals in the same group were pooled and added to the wells in three-fold dilutions between 1:500 and 1:1093 500 (ApfA, VacJ) or 1:1000 and 1:2 187 000 (OMVs). Antibodies specifically recognizing ApfA, VacJ and OMVs were detected with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated polyclonal rabbit anti-pig IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Wells were revealed using TMB plus (Kem-En-Tec Diagnostics). Optical density was measured at 450 nm using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader (VersaMax Molecular Devices).

Results
Inocula analysis
*Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* CFU counts from the inocula are summarized in Table 2. No statistically different CFU count was found between different batches, groups or days of challenge resulting in an expected similar inhaled dose for all pigs.

Histopathological analysis of the lungs
Lesion scores of the lungs are shown in Figure 3. Affected lungs presented lesions from subacute to acute, with signs of multifocal necrosis, fibrinous exudation and purulent inflammation. In some cases the extensive fibrinous exudation to the pleural surface had led to extensive adhesive pleuritis (Figure 4). Some of the most severely affected lungs were partially or completely collapsed, suggesting loss of function (Figure 4). Statistical analysis showed no significant difference between mean lesion scores and standard deviations of each individual group (Figure 3), indicating that none of the vaccine formulations administered were able to successfully prevent *A. pleuropneumoniae* associated colonization and lesions. Instead, statistical analysis by Pearson r two-tailed test showed a correlation between the number of *A. pleuropneumoniae*

Table 2 Inocula analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch Administered to group</th>
<th>CFU/mL (pre-challenge)</th>
<th>CFU/mL (post-challenge)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 1, 2, 3, 4 2 $\times 10^6$ 3.25 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 5, 6, 7 1.46 $\times 10^6$ 1.85 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Serial dilutions from the inocula before and after challenge were plated on MHF plates. CFU counts are expressed as CFU/mL.
were administered alone (Figure 7). Administration of immunogens, as reported before [43]. Interestingly, the animals in the control group presented a rather high titer against VacJ after challenge, pointing to the ineffectiveness of immunizing with these proteins individually. Furthermore, immunization with ApfA induced an IgG response against VacJ in the sera of vaccinated animals, suggesting that ApfA may interfere with the development of immunity against co-administered immunogens, as reported before [43].

Immunization with ApfA and VacJ proteins alone did not produce an appreciable increase in specific IgG antibodies against the proteins (Figure 7), suggesting the ineffectiveness of immunizing with these proteins individually. Furthermore, immunization with ApfA and VacJ elicited against the ApfA and VacJ immunogens and lesion scores (ApfA $P = 0.04$; VacJ $P = 0.03$), suggesting a major negative role of the immune system in A. pleuropneumoniae pathogenesis (Figure 8). No correlation was observed between IgG response to the OMVs and lesion scores.

**Discussion**

Effective prevention of A. pleuropneumoniae outbreaks has remained elusive for decades, with available vaccines unable to confer cross-serotype protection or prevent lung colonization by A. pleuropneumoniae cells [11, 13]. To date, the most effective strategy relies on targeting a family of toxins centrally involved in A. pleuropneumoniae pathogenesis, the RTX toxins [22, 23]. Vaccines containing RTX toxoids have been shown to effectively prevent the clinical manifestations of the infection [7]. Unfortunately, this approach comes short of stopping lung colonization, and animals immunized in this way have been showed to be possible A. pleuropneumoniae carriers [44]. For this reason we chose to use different combinations of OM proteins and OMVs as immunization strategies, similarly in principle to how the widely used Bexsero® vaccine against Neisseria meningitidis was conceived [45].

Our data showed that none of the immunization strategies employed was able to successfully prevent A. pleuropneumoniae colonization or the development of lesions associated with infection. This may be due to different reasons, and our data, together with previously published studies [11, 25, 35], suggest caution in dismissing the selected immunogens as simply ineffective for immunization. As already mentioned, A. pleuropneumoniae possess several resistance mechanisms that allow it to avoid clearance by the host immune system. Accordingly, it is possible that raising antibodies against valid OM antigenic targets may not be sufficient for the host immune system to clear the infection before the RTX toxins and other virulence factors start to be released. Once released, most of A. pleuropneumoniae toxins can target specifically lymphocytes, and thus kill a wide range of the cells involved in clearance [23]. If this is the case, we hypothesise that a combination of OM immunogens and

![Figure 5](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 5** CFU/Lesion score correlation. The number of A. pleuropneumoniae CFU retrieved from the lungs after euthanasia was plotted against the corresponding lesion score for each individual animal. Statistical analysis by Pearson two-tailed $r$ test showed a significant correlation between number of CFU and lesion score ($P < 0.0001$).
RTX toxoids may represent a valid immunization strategy for the prevention of both colonization and pathogenesis by *A. pleuropneumoniae*.

Another possibility comes from the role played by the host immune system during *A. pleuropneumoniae* infections. *A. pleuropneumoniae* cells are able to induce the release of pro-inflammatory mediators by activated phagocytes during infection, leading to extensive cytotoxicity due to the production of oxygen radicals and proteases [3, 22, 23]. Here we described a correlation between IgG titers against ApfA and VacJ immunogens and lesion scores (Figure 8), suggesting that a different cytotoxic pathway may be involved in the development of the lesions associated with *A. pleuropneumoniae*. This alternative pathway is known as antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), and has been demonstrated to be involved in the pathogenesis of several bacterial infections [46, 47]. Our data point to the involvement of ADCC in *A. pleuropneumoniae* infections, showing that the groups with the highest IgG titers were the ones that had the highest lesion scores (Figure 8). Furthermore, the histological analysis of the lungs seemed to confirm a major role for the host immune system in the development of the lesions,
in which affected lungs were heavily infiltrated by lymphocytes and showing extensive fibrinous exudation on occasions (Figures 4 and 6). This hypothesis may also explain the high variability in lesion score observed between animals belonging to the same group, as the pathological outcome of an *A. pleuropneumoniae* infection could be much more dependent on the individually variable immune response than on infectious dose (ID).
Although the role of ADCC in App pathogenicity has yet to be proven, it has been shown that a high seroconversion rate towards the PalA protein produced by App is inversely correlated with protection level in animals immunised with this protein [48]. Notably, no correlation was present between IgG response to the OMVs and lesion scores, likely due to the generalised high response in all animals to the LPS present in the OMVs. Indeed, if ADCC is effectively responsible for considerable damage in A. pleuropneumoniae infections; we could be forced to rethink our approach to both treatment and prevention, as raising IgG titers against A. pleuropneumoniae OM antigens may prove counterproductive. In this scenario, a combination of immunization by RTX toxoids and a desensitisation regime toward ADCC could achieve better results and be instrumental in reducing antibiotic consumption.

As expected, immunization with the OMVs elicited a rather high IgG titer in the animals, exhibiting adjuvant properties when administered in combination with the other immunogens (Figure 7). This did not come as a surprise, as the adjuvant potential of the OMVs has been widely demonstrated in previous studies [29, 49, 50]. On the other hand, ApfA and VacJ elicited a rather low response when administered alone (Figure 7). Our results contrast with previous reports on ApfA, indicating both immunogenic and protective potential in vivo [11, 26]. Interestingly, VacJ was found to elicit a consistently high IgG titer after challenge (Figure 7), suggesting that this protein may possess a relevant immunogenic potential in vivo.

Finally, our results show a general reliability of the challenge model used and the effectiveness of the aerosol chamber as a biologically relevant method to study the pathogenesis of A. pleuropneumoniae. OMV dosage during immunization was effective in eliciting the maximum immune response within tolerable parameters of toxicity. All animals received approximately the same amount of A. pleuropneumoniae CFU during challenge and developed a subacute infection, while none of them needed to be euthanized before the planned endpoint due to critical clinical conditions. Moreover, the positive correlation between CFU in the lungs and lesion score shows the validity of the scoring system used.

In conclusion, our study provided new insight into A. pleuropneumoniae pathogenicity and the role played by host-derived cytotoxicity. The aerosol chamber represented a reliable and effective device for A. pleuropneumoniae challenge, ensuring that all animals were exposed to the same ID through the natural route of infection. On the other hand, the evident contrast between the overall technical reproducibility achieved and the high variability in lesion score within each group underlines the complexity of the A. pleuropneumoniae pathogenesis. To address this issue and provide a more solid statistical basis, larger groups of animals may be needed during similar A. pleuropneumoniae trials in the future.
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