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**Purpose:** To compare mean global cerebral blood flow (CBF) measured by phase-contrast mapping magnetic resonance imaging (PCM MRI) and by $^{15}$O-H$_2$O positron emission tomography (PET) in healthy subjects. PCM MRI is increasingly being used to measure mean global CBF, but has not been validated in vivo against an accepted reference technique.

**Materials and Methods:** Same-day measurements of CBF by $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET and subsequently by PCM MRI were performed on 22 healthy young male volunteers. Global CBF by PET was determined by applying a one-tissue compartment model with measurement of the arterial input function. Flow was measured in the internal carotid and vertebral arteries by a noncardiac triggered PCM MRI sequence at 3T. The measured flow was normalized to total brain weight determined from a volume-segmented 3D T$_1$-weighted anatomical MR-scan.

**Results:** Mean CBF was 34.9 ± 3.4 mL/100 g/min measured by $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET and 57.0 ± 6.8 mL/100 g/min measured by PCM MRI. The measurements were highly correlated ($P = 0.0008, R^2 = 0.44$), although values obtained by PCM MRI were higher compared to $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET (absolute and relative differences were 22.0 ± 5.2 mL/100 g/min and 63.4 ± 14.8%, respectively).

**Conclusion:** This study confirms the use of PCM MRI for quantification of global CBF, but also that PCM MRI systematically yields higher values relative to $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET, probably related to methodological bias.

**Level of Evidence:** 1

Measuring cerebral blood flow (CBF) is of interest, both in the clinical setting and for research. Absolute quantification of global CBF is of key importance when investigating factors affecting the entire brain, eg, altered physiological states or aging. Different invasive and noninvasive methods have been used to measure global CBF. Phase-contrast mapping (PCM) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) allows measurement of total flow in the cerebral arteries, and calculation of global CBF by subsequently normalizing to brain volume.
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global CBF by PCM MRI has a number of advantages compared to other techniques. It is completely noninvasive, fast, and can be combined with other noninvasive MRI techniques for absolute quantification of global cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen or be used for scaling of regional CBF measured by arterial spin labeling. The technique has been used in a number of studies on variation in CBF in healthy individuals and in larger population-based studies of aging showing that global CBF decreases with age and that decreased global CBF is associated with accelerated signs of brain aging and increased all-cause mortality.

Values of global CBF obtained by PCM MRI in healthy subjects are generally in good agreement with accepted textbook CBF values of ~50 mL/100 g/min. Previous studies have also shown excellent reproducibility for CBF measurements in vivo, and shown PCM MRI to be accurate for measuring flow in phantoms and in large vessels.

In order to confidently interpret the physiological significance of these measurements, in vivo validation of the accuracy of PCM MRI for CBF measurements is required. However, comparative studies with accepted reference methods are generally lacking. For human studies, 15O-H2O positron emission tomography (PET) is generally considered the best available method for absolute CBF measurements. One previous study failed to show a correlation of CBF measured by PCM MRI and 15O-H2O PET, but these measurements were obtained months apart and did not account for a number of important physiological covariates.

The aim of the present study was to validate PCM MRI for measurement of global CBF by same-day measurements of global CBF by PCM MRI and 15O-H2O PET.

Materials and Methods

Twenty-two healthy males (mean age: 27.4 years, range: 18–40 years) participated in the study. The measurements were obtained as a part of a placebo-controlled, crossover study investigating the effect of erythropoietin on cerebral metabolism. Measurements from PCM MRI have previously been published. In the present analysis only data during placebo treatment are included.

The study was approved by the Danish National Committee on Health Research Ethics (H-4-2012-167) and was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

General Experimental Setup

All experimental measurements in each subject were performed on the same day. Height and weight were measured at the time of inclusion in the study. After an overnight fast, a short catheter was inserted in the radial artery of the nondominant hand for blood sampling. After the 15O-H2O PET scans, the subjects had a small meal before being transported to the MRI facility. The PET and MRI scans were performed 2–6 hours apart.

Before the PET scans a venous blood sample was obtained and analyzed for hemoglobin. Arterial blood samples were obtained between the two PET scans and again after the MRI CBF measurement, and analyzed for oxygen saturation (SaO2), and partial pressures of O2 (PaO2) and of CO2 (PaCO2) using a Radiometer ABL800 Flex system (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). The arterial blood sample obtained at the MRI session was also analyzed for hemoglobin.

PET

PET scans were performed on a Siemens High Resolution Research Tomograph (HRRT) brain PET scanner (Siemens, Knoxville, TN). The scanner had an axial field of view of 25 cm and a near isotropic resolution of 2 mm. During PET scanning the subject’s head rested in a foam-cushioned headrest, and a head strap was used to minimize head movement. Initially, a 6-minute transmission scan with a rotating 137Cs single-photon point source was performed for attenuation correction.

Approximately 800 MBq of 15O radiolabeled water (half-life: 123 sec) produced online was injected as a bolus using an Automatic Water Injection System (Scansys Laboratorieteknik, Værløse, Denmark).

Arterial blood sampling was initiated 15 seconds before isotope injection. Emission scans were acquired after injection of intravenous bolus of tracer for 7 minutes in dynamic frames of 1 × 30 seconds, 18 × 5 seconds, 9 × 10 seconds, 10 × 15 seconds, and 2 × 30 seconds. Radioactivity concentration in the arterial blood was continuously measured by an automatic blood sampling system and drawn at 8 mL/min (Allogg ABSS, Mariefred, Sweden). The detectors in the system were cross-calibrated against the PET scanner and the sampling frequency was 2 Hz. The inner diameter of the tube connected to the arterial catheter was 1.2 mm. The clocks of the scanner and sampling system were synchronized.

Two consecutive scans were acquired for each subject with an interval of at least 10 minutes between the two injections to allow for washout and isotope decay.

Scans were reconstructed using 3D-ordered subset expectation maximum and point spread function (3D OSEM-PSF). Each map consisted of 207 image planes in a 256 × 256 matrix with an isotropic voxel size of 1.22 × 1.22 × 1.22 mm3. All images were corrected for randoms, scatter, attenuation (TTEXT method), decay and dead time, and filtered with a 3D Gaussian 5 mm filter.

Postprocessing

Quantitative regional CBF-maps were calculated using a 1-tissue 2-compartment model:

$$\frac{dC_s(t)}{dt} = K_1C_s(t) - k_2C_s(t)$$

where $C_s$ is the tissue compartment concentration, $C_a$ is the arterial concentration, $K_1$ is the influx rate constant, which describes the unidirectional clearance of water from the blood to the tissue in mL/100 g/min, scaled to perfusion by a factor of one when assuming full extraction of water, and $k_2$ is the efflux rate constant (in min⁻¹). The model also accounts for the contribution to the
measured voxel concentration $C_{tot}$ from the vascular volume component $vB$:

$$C_{tot}(t) = (1 - vB)C_t(t) + vBC_a(t)$$

(2)

where $C_{tot}$ is the measured tissue time activity and $vB$ is the blood volume fraction.

The parametric maps were calculated using linear ridge regression with a spatial constraint parameter to increase signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), as described by Zhou et al.\textsuperscript{29} The arterial input curves used in modeling were corrected for dead-time, decay, delay, and dispersion. The calculation was done using the software PMOD 3.0 (PMOD Technologies, Zürich, Switzerland).

Using the software PVElab,\textsuperscript{30} each regional CBF-map was coregistered to the volume segmented brain tissue mask from the 3D $T_1$-weighted anatomical MR-scan (Fig. 1), and global CBF was calculated as the mean of all brain voxels.

**MRI**

**FLOW MEASUREMENT.** MR scans were performed on a 3T Philips Achieva MRI scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) using a 32-channel phase array head coil. The blood velocity in the carotid and vertebral arteries were measured using a through-plane phase-encoding technique.

The sequence acquires a reference phase image and a velocity sensitive phase image by using a bipolar gradient in the slice-selection direction. By subtracting the velocity sensitive phase image with the reference phase image, a phase-contrast map is calculated. The phase-contrast maps can be scaled to velocity according to the velocity-encoding factor ($V_{enc}$).

Based on an initial 2D inflow angiogram, the measurement slice was positioned orthogonal to the carotid and vertebral arteries (Fig. 2a). The imaging parameters for the sequence were: field of view (FOV) = 240 $\times$ 240 mm$^2$, voxel size = 0.75 $\times$ 0.75 $\times$ 8 mm$^3$, 1 slice, TE = 7.33 msec, TR = 27.63 msec, flip angle = 10°, 10 repeated measures, and total scan time = 1 minute 42 seconds. In order to reduce scan time, cardiac triggering was not applied. When acquiring PCM MRI without cardiac triggering, $k$-space is random-sampled in an interval including multiple cardiac cycles causing time averaging of the velocity.\textsuperscript{19,31} A $V_{enc}$ of 100 cm/s was applied in order to avoid underestimation of flow velocities due to aliasing of the phase at high velocities. When performing measurements without cardiac triggering, potential aliasing from high velocities is not clearly visible because the average velocity is measured.
The Venc was therefore chosen around the upper normal peak velocity values in the internal carotid and vertebral arteries in young subjects while maintaining a reasonable dynamic range.32

**POSTPROCESSING.** The total flow was calculated by measuring the mean velocity and the cross-sectional area of the cerebral arteries by drawing regions of interests (ROI) corresponding to each cerebral artery (Fig. 2b). The ROIs were initially drawn manually on the magnitude image of the first measurement and then copied to the corresponding velocity map. Only voxels with a positive mean velocity were included. ROIs were subsequently copied to the following measurements and inspected for misalignment from possible motion and corrected by moving the ROI if necessary. Cross-sectional area and diameter of the cerebral arteries were calculated from the ROIs. Flow was calculated for each measurement by multiplying mean velocity with the cross-sectional area and integrating over time. The total flow of the four arteries was normalized to whole-brain tissue weight to attain quantitative physiological global CBF values in mL/100 g/min. For comparison with PET, the average of all 10 measurements was used.

**ANATOMICAL SCAN.** An anatomical scan for segmenting brain tissue was obtained with a 3D $T_1$-weighted turbo field echo sequence (FOV = 241 × 180 × 165 mm$^3$, voxel size = 1.09 × 0.81 × 1.1 mm$^3$, TE = 2.78 msec, TR = 6.9 msec, flip angle = 9°). The FSL BET and FAST tools (FMRIB Software Library, Oxford University, Oxford, UK)33 were used to produce a whole-brain tissue mask including cerebral hemispheres (excluding the ventricles), cerebellum, and the brainstem. The mask was inspected (by coauthor M.B.V.) and manually edited if necessary. The same brain volume mask was used for segmentation of the PET scan and for calculation of brain weight assuming a brain tissue density of 1.05 g/mL.34

**Statistics**

For comparison of CBF values, the mean of the 10 repeated PCM MRI measurements and of the two PET scans were used. A paired $t$-test was used to compare mean values of measurements from PET and MRI. Agreement was assessed by linear regression and calculation of Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($R^2$), and by Bland–Altman analysis. Both absolute (CBF$_{PCM}$ – CBF$_{PET}$) and relative ((CBF$_{PCM}$ – CBF$_{PET}$)/CBF$_{PET}$) differences were calculated.

As spontaneous fluctuations in PaCO$_2$ have been shown to introduce within-subject variability of CBF measurements,35,36 the possible influence of PaCO$_2$ fluctuations were investigated by including the difference in PaCO$_2$ (PaCO$_2$$_{PCM}$ – PaCO$_2$$_{PET}$) as a covariate in a multiple regression model with CBF$_{PCM}$ as the dependent variable and CBF$_{PET}$ as the independent variable.

Method precision was assessed as the intrasubject variability derived from a mixed linear model including all repeated measurements of global CBF by each method. Subject was entered as random effect and measurement number as fixed effect in the model. From the mixed linear model within-subject and between-subject variance can be separated. The corresponding within-subject and between-subject coefficients of variation were calculated as the respective standard deviations divided by the mean value of the measurements.

Except where indicated otherwise, all results are reported as mean ± standard deviation.

**Results**

Results of mean velocity, vessel cross-sectional area, and diameter from PCM measurements are presented in Table 1. Physiological measurements at the two sessions, and brain and body size of participants, are shown in Table 2.
Mean global CBF was on average 34.9 ± 3.4 mL/100g/min using $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET and 57.0 ± 6.8 mL/100g/min using PCM MRI. Absolute and relative differences between $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET and PCM MRI were 22.0 ± 5.2 mL/100g/min and 63.4 ± 14.8%, respectively ($P < 0.0001$ for difference).

Linear regression (Fig. 3a) showed a highly significant positive correlation of PCM MRI and $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET ($P = 0.0008$, $R^2 = 0.44$). The slope of the regression was higher than one, indicating that the difference between the methods was perfusion-dependent and increased with higher perfusion values. The positive slope of the regression line in the Bland–Altman plot (Fig. 3b) was significantly different from zero ($P = 0.0014$), confirming a systematic perfusion-dependent difference between CBF values obtained by the two methods.

When including PaCO$_2$ difference in the linear model, the effect of PaCO$_2$ difference was near-significantly correlated with PCM CBF (95% confidence interval = [−0.58;10.4], $P = 0.077$) and $R^2$ increased to 0.53. When comparing the models with and without CO$_2$-difference by F-test the improvement in fit was shown to be nonsignificant ($P = 0.091$).

Intrasubject variability of global CBF was 6.5% using PCM MRI and 5.7% using PET. The corresponding values of intersubject variability were 11.6% and 8.6%, respectively.

### Discussion

The present study compared global CBF values obtained by MRI using PCM and by PET using $^{15}$O-H$_2$O in healthy volunteers. The main finding is that global CBF obtained by PCM MRI is highly correlated with values obtained by $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET, thereby confirming the ability of PCM MRI to obtain quantitative measures of global CBF. However, the analysis also demonstrated a systematic relative perfusion-dependent difference between CBF values obtained by the two methods.

The average mean CBF values obtained by the two methods differed in opposite directions from the generally accepted textbook normal global CBF values of 46–50 mL/100g/min.$^{17,18}$ Nevertheless, the values obtained by each method were very similar to those previously reported in healthy subjects, indicating that the differences more likely reflect general methodological biases rather than the current implementation of the methods or data processing.

The PCM MRI technique has some well-known errors and limitations, primarily related to the limited resolution and the suboptimal measurement geometry of the PCM MRI measurements. First of all, voxels in the periphery of the vessel will contain signal from moving and stationary tissue, causing an underestimation of velocity and overestimation of the cross-sectional area of the vessel. The result of these two opposite effects on flow quantitation has in simulation studies been

![Table 1: Results of Phase-Contrast Mapping Measurements](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Flow [mL/min]</th>
<th>Flow fraction [%]$^a$</th>
<th>Velocity [cm/s]</th>
<th>Area [mm$^2$]</th>
<th>Diameter [voxels]$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right ICA</td>
<td>293.1 ± 53.7</td>
<td>35.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>21.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>23.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ICA</td>
<td>292.2 ± 42.0</td>
<td>35.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>21.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>23.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right VA</td>
<td>102.5 ± 45.9</td>
<td>12.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td>12.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>13.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left VA</td>
<td>135.5 ± 40.5</td>
<td>16.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>13.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>16.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>823.3 ± 112.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Percentage of total flow, $^b$narrowest diameter. ICA, internal carotid artery; VA, vertebral artery.

![Table 2: Physiological Measurements and Brain and Body Size](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET</th>
<th>PCM MRI</th>
<th>$P$-value for difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SaO$_2$ [%]</td>
<td>98.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>98.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO$_2$ [kPa]</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>14.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO$_2$ [kPa]</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin [mmol/L]</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain weight [kg]</td>
<td>1.439 ± 0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight [kg]</td>
<td>81.2 ± 8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height [cm]</td>
<td>187.5 ± 7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
found to be very small if the artery diameter is larger than 5–6 voxels, but will cause overestimation of the flow at smaller relative diameters. In the present study the average diameter of the internal carotid arteries assessed from PCM MRI measurements was 7.2 voxels and of the vertebral arteries 5.6 voxels, corresponding to actual diameters of 5.4 mm and 4.2 mm, respectively. These values are somewhat higher than the corresponding values of 4.8 and 3.3 mm previously reported in young subjects using ultrasound, indicating a possible overestimation of the luminal diameter due to partial volume effect (PVE). Improving in-plane resolution may reduce the partial volume error. Indeed, a recent in vivo study investigating the effects of varying resolution found that PCM flow values acquired at a resolution of 0.7 mm were 13% higher in the vertebral arteries and 6% higher in internal carotid arteries compared to high-resolution imaging at 0.4 mm. However, higher-resolution imaging is associated with prolonged acquisition time and poorer SNR, and the authors of the study concluded that a resolution of 0.5 mm might offer a reasonable trade-off.

Second, a single imaging slice was used to measure velocity in all of the feeding arteries. If the slice is not perpendicular to the vessels, linear velocities will be underestimated and the cross-sectional area will be overestimated. Again, the two effects will tend to balance out, and both simulation and in vivo studies have shown that the effect on flow is negligible if the imaging planes deviate less than 10°, but will cause overestimation at higher degrees of deviation. The angle of misalignment on each artery was measured from the angiography images and found to be on average less than 5° on the carotid arteries and less than 6° on the vertebral arteries. The errors related to misalignment are therefore probably very small.

PCM MRI measurements were performed without cardiac triggering in order to reduce scan time. A similar approach has been used in several other studies. One previous study has shown that nontriggered measurements produced 6% higher flow compared to triggered measurements and was also associated with slightly poorer reproducibility. Other studies, however, have not demonstrated any systematic differences. Nontriggered measurements are less susceptible to irregular or varying heart rate and applying cardiac triggering may prolong acquisition time and potentially cause underestimation of flow, as the entire cardiac cycle is not sampled. Although often considered a reference standard, the absolute quantification of CBF using $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET is restricted at high CBF values by the limited water diffusion across the blood–brain barrier. The correct extraction fraction of water depends on the exact tissue measured, the perfusion itself, and interindividual variation, and has been suggested to be in the range of 0.84–0.90 for average global extraction. Consequently, when reporting global CBF as the $K_1$ of the one-tissue model, CBF is underestimated on average by 10–16%.

A further cause of global CBF PET underestimation may be related to a partial volume effect when the low-resolution CBF maps are masked with a high-resolution brain mask, which leads to loss of signal in high-CBF cortical voxels. White matter and cerebrospinal fluid may further contribute to dilution of the cortical signal. A previous $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET study has shown that a kinetic model incorporating a physiological correction for the segments of the ROI that are not perfused (the nonperfusion tissue fraction) will increase the global CBF value ~17%.

![Figure 3: Agreement of CBF measurements. (a) Correlation between global cerebral blood flow (CBF) measured by $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET and phase-contrast mapping (PCM) MRI. (b) Bland–Altman plot showing difference against mean of the methods. Measurement by PCM MRI resulted in higher values compared to $^{15}$O-H$_2$O PET. The positive slope of the regression line of the Bland–Altman plot was significantly different from zero ($P = 0.0014$), indicating a perfusion-dependent relative difference between CBF values obtained by the two methods.](image)
The underestimations of CBF using \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET due to limited water diffusion and partial volume effects are both perfusion-dependent, causing larger underestimation at high perfusion values. We do not expect the overestimation of flow by PCM MRI to be flow-dependent within the normal perfusion range. The slope of the regression line being larger than one demonstrates this perfusion-dependent underestimation of \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET.

Method precision of PCM MRI as assessed by the intrasubject coefficient was similar to a previous study that found a corresponding value of 7.4% using a cardiac triggered PCM MRI with similar resolution, also at 3T MRI.\(^ {16}\) This finding thus confirms the very high short-term reproducibility of PCM MRI for measurement of CBF, and does not support the previous report of poorer reproducibility of nontriggered measurements.\(^ {12}\) The intrasubject variability of \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET CBF measurements was very similar to that of PCM MRI, whereas the intersubject variability was slightly higher using PCM MRI compared to PET. The latter observation may be a consequence of the also slightly higher variability of PaCO\(_2\) at the MRI session.

A limitation to the present study is that \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET and PCM MRI CBF measurements were not performed simultaneously, but separated by a 2–6 hours interval. Spontaneous random variation in CBF could thus contribute to method disagreement. Studies on within-subject variability in CBF are limited and do not separate true physiological fluctuations from methodological imprecision. Including also day-to-day variability, such studies have reported overall within-subject coefficients of variation of between 8.3% and 12.9%, but do not indicate large low-frequency variation in CBF.\(^ {18,35,47}\) As documented by the arterial blood gas and hemoglobin values, the participants were studied in stable, resting conditions at both sessions. We did observe slightly higher PaO\(_2\) and oxygen saturation values during the PET study compared to MRI, but these subtle differences are not likely to influence the CBF measurements and most likely reflect differences in blood sample handling at the two sessions and in calibration of the two blood gas analyzers used. Previous studies have shown that spontaneous fluctuations in PaCO\(_2\) is a major source of within-subject variability\(^ {35,36}\) and in the present study residual variability tended to decrease when accounting also for changes in PaCO\(_2\).

Finally, changes in CBF and cerebral metabolism from circadian cycle variation may also have contributed to method disagreement and residual variation.\(^ {31,48}\) Such effects cannot be assessed from the present study, but the awake-state circadian changes are relatively small and cannot account for the large offset between \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET and PCM MRI CBF measurements.

The overall highly significant positive correlation of PCM MRI with \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET confirms the use of PCM MRI for absolute quantification of global CBF, and thus lends further support for the use of PCM MRI in quantitative studies of cerebral physiology and in population-based studies of cerebrovascular function and brain aging.\(^ {6,15,40}\) In particular, it allows us to more confidently interpret other quantitative physiological MRI techniques relying on accurate measures of global CBF obtained by PCM MRI.\(^ {7,10,43}\) However, it should be stressed that PET and PCM MRI measurements cannot be used interchangeably, as the very large difference will influence all CBF-derived physiological measures directly.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that measurement of mean global CBF with PCM MRI and \(^{15}\)O-H\(_2\)O PET are highly correlated, thereby validating the use of PCM MRI for quantification of global CBF. The study also showed considerable differences between the two methods, most likely resulting from methodological biases prohibiting interchangeable use of the methods.
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