On the nature of the candidate T-Tauri star V501 Aurigae
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ABSTRACT

We report new multicolour photometry and high-resolution spectroscopic observations of the long-period variable V501 Aur, previously considered to be a weak-lined T-Tauri star belonging to the Taurus–Auriga star-forming region. The spectroscopic observations reveal that V501 Aur is a single-lined spectroscopic binary system with a 68.8-d orbital period, a slightly eccentric orbit ($e \sim 0.03$), and a systemic velocity discrepant from the mean of Taurus–Auriga. The photometry shows quasi-periodic variations on a different, $\sim 55$-d time-scale that we attribute to rotational modulation by spots. No eclipses are seen. The visible object is a rapidly rotating ($v \sin i \approx 25$ km s$^{-1}$) early K star, which along with the rotation period implies it must be large ($R > 26.3 R_\odot$), as suggested also by spectroscopic estimates indicating a low surface gravity. The parallax from the Gaia mission and other independent estimates imply a distance much greater than the Taurus–Auriga region, consistent with the giant interpretation. Taken together, this evidence together with a re-evaluation of the Li I $\lambda 6707$ and H$\alpha$ lines shows that V501 Aur is not a T-Tauri star, but is instead a field binary with a giant primary far behind the Taurus–Auriga star-forming region. The large mass function from the spectroscopic orbit and a comparison with stellar evolution models suggest the secondary may be an early-type main-sequence star.
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1 INTRODUCTION

V501 Aurigae (WT2, 1RXS J045705.7+314234, HD 282600, TYC 2388-857-1, $V = 10.57$, $B - V = 1.62$) was detected as an X-ray source by ROSAT (Wichmann et al. 1996), and was classified by these authors as a possible new weak-lined T-Tauri star (hereafter WTTS) based on the presence of the Li I $\lambda 6707$ resonance line in low-resolution optical spectra, the H$\alpha$ line slightly in emission.
and the late spectral type (K2). Frink et al. (1997) examined the proper motion of the star and concluded that it is a likely member of the central region of the Taurus–Auriga star-forming region (SFR). Additional Li observations based on higher resolution spectra of 35 of the candidate WTTS by Wichmann et al. (1996) were published by Martín & Magazzù (1999), who reported for V501 Aur only an upper limit to the Li equivalent width (EQW) of 90 mÅ, along with an Hr EQW of 0.9 Å in absorption, and the same spectral classification as the previous authors.

Wichmann et al. (2000) revisited many of the candidate WTTS from their earlier paper including V501 Aur, drawing on new high-resolution spectroscopic observations from the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics (CFA) as well as the ELODIE spectrograph at the Haute-Provence Observatory. They reported a new Li measurement of EQW$_{Li} = 138$ mÅ from their ELODIE observations, and obtained effective temperature estimates of $T_{\text{eff}} = 5530$ K and $T_{\text{eff}} = 4897$ K from a cross-correlation analysis of the CFA spectra and directly from the K2 spectral type, respectively. They reported also estimates of the rotational velocity as $v\sin i = 25$ km s$^{-1}$ (CFA) and $v\sin i = 27$ km s$^{-1}$ (ELODIE). Their Li measurement was more typical of Pleiades-age stars than younger WTTS, which, together with the discovery that V501 Aur is a single-lined spectroscopic binary as revealed by the CFA spectra, led them to be more cautious in claiming WTTS status for the object. Nevertheless, on the tentative assumption that it is a member of Taurus–Auriga and is therefore at a distance of $\sim$140 pc, they used evolutionary models by D’Antona & Mazzitelli (1994) to estimate the luminosity ($L = 7.8 L_{\odot}$), radius ($R = 3.86 R_{\odot}$) and mass ($M = 1.36 M_{\odot}$) of the star, and assigned it a very young age of log (age) = 5.53 ($\sim$3 $\times$ 10$^5$ yr). Additional Hz and projected rotational velocity measurements were reported by Nguyen et al. (2009) as EQW$_{Hz} = 1.0 \pm 0.5$ Å (absorption) and $v\sin i = 25.5 \pm 1.5$ km s$^{-1}$, in good agreement with those of Martín & Magazzù (1999) and Wichmann et al. (2000) mentioned above.

Photometric monitoring of V501 Aur has been carried out by several authors. Bouvier et al. (1997) observed it as part of a sample of 58 WTTS detected in the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS). They were able to derive rotation periods for 18 of their stars, all but one being ascribed to rotational modulation by stellar spots. The one exception was V501 Aur, which showed evidence of variability on a very long time-scale ($P > 37.6$ d) uncharacteristic of WTTS, and displayed no appreciable modulation in the $B - V$ colour in their observations, as would be expected in the spot scenario. They also claimed the star to be a double-lined spectroscopic binary, though this was based on a high resolution but very low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) spectrum taken at the Haute-Provence Observatory. Grankin et al. (2008) presented a homogeneous set of photometric measurements for WTTS extending up to 20 yr. Their data were collected within the framework of the ROTOR program (Research Of Traces Of Rotation), aimed at the study of the photometric variability of pre-main-sequence (PMS) objects. The data set contains rotation periods for 35 out of 48 stars, including V501 Aur. Our target was observed in several seasons from 1994 to 2004 (see Section 2). The photometry showed wave-like variability of the object with an average period of about 55 d.

V501 Aur has also been included in an 8.4 GHz very large array survey of lithium-rich late-type stars from the RASS by Carlkner et al. (1997). The object was detected as a radio source with a radio emission strength of $S_{8.4} = 0.17 \pm 0.05$ mJy. Daemgen et al. (2015) recently used the NIRI instrument on the 8 m Gemini North telescope to carry out a near-infrared high angular resolution survey for stellar and sub-stellar companions in the Taurus–Auriga SFR, but reported no detections around V501 Aur. Finally, in a brief study by a subset of the present authors, Vaňko et al. (2015) presented new VRI measurements confirming the $\sim$55 d photometric period.

Photometric data obtained at University Observatory Jena and Stará Lesná Observatory between 2007 and 2013 were used. Based on the CFA spectroscopy (1996–1997), the authors found that V501 Aur is a single-lined spectroscopic binary with a nearly circular orbit, a large mass function implying a fairly massive companion, and an orbital period of 68.8 d that is distinctly longer than the photometric period. They speculated that the unseen companion may be a binary, or alternatively that the primary star may be a giant (implying a much greater distance than previously assumed), which might also explain the lack of detection of a main-sequence secondary.

Here we present additional spectroscopic and photometric observations of V501 Aur that motivate us to revisit the object with the following goals: (i) to improve the determination of its orbital elements as well as its physical parameters, including the atmospheric properties (temperature, surface gravity, and the strength of the Li and Hz lines); (ii) to better characterize the photometric variability, which is unusual for a WTTS, through a comprehensive study of all available observations; (iii) to investigate the difference between the photometric and orbital periods and its implications and (iv) to present a coherent picture of the true nature of the system based on all available information, including a recent estimate of the parallax of V501 Aur from Gaia that appears to conflict with the notion of membership in the Taurus–Auriga SFR.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present our new photometric observations followed by a detailed period analysis. Section 3 describes our new spectroscopic observations and reports an updated spectroscopic orbital solution. Section 4 contains a discussion of interstellar reddening. In Section 5, we review the physical properties of V501 Aur and re-examine the evidence for membership in the Taurus–Auriga SFR, presenting a coherent picture of its evolutionary state based on stellar evolution models. We conclude in Section 6 with our final thoughts.

2 PHOTOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS

The differential photometry used in this paper was carried out between 2007 and 2016 at four different observatories, two in Slovakia and two in Germany. The two in Slovakia are the Stará Lesná Observatory (G1; 49°09′00″N, 20°17′28″E) and the Kolonica Observatory (KO; 48°56′06″N, 22°16′26″E). The two observatories in Germany are the University Observatory Jena (GSH; 50°55′44″N, 11°29′03″E) and the Michael Adrian Observatory (MAO; 49°55′31″N, 08°24′41″E). All of the observations used Johnson–Cousins ($UBVR_{\text{C}}$) and Bessel ($UBVR$) filter sets. More detailed information on the individual observatories and instruments is given in Table 1.

The CCD frames were subjected to standard photometric corrections (overscan, dark and flat-field), and we then performed aperture photometry using tasks within IRAF$^1$ (for G1 and GSH), the c-MUNIPACK package$^2$ (KO) and MIRA_PRO$^3$ (MAO). The comparison star for all observations was HD 282599.

$^1$ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

$^2$ http://c-munipack.sourceforge.net/

$^3$ http://www.mirametrics.com/mira_pro.htm
Table 1. Overview of telescopes and instruments/detectors used to obtain the photometry of V501 Aur. D/\(f\) gives the diameter and focal length of the telescope. FoV is the field of view of the instrument. Observatory abbreviations: G1 – pavilion of the Stará Lesná Observatory, GSH – University Observatory Jena (see Mugrauer 2009; Mugrauer & Berthold 2010), MAO – Michael Adrian Observatory in Trebur, KO – Kolonica Observatory (ZIGA telescope; see Parimucha & Vaňko 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>FoV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D/(f) (mm)</td>
<td>CCD size</td>
<td>(arcmin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>508/2500</td>
<td>2184 × 1472, 6.8 (\mu)m</td>
<td>20.4 × 13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600/7500</td>
<td>2048 × 2048, 15 (\mu)m</td>
<td>14 × 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180/1800</td>
<td>2184 × 1472, 6.8 (\mu)m</td>
<td>28.5 × 18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>Cassegrain</td>
<td>FLI ML 3041</td>
<td>12.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cassegrain</td>
<td>SBIG ST10-MXE</td>
<td>36 × 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>Cassegrain</td>
<td>SBIG ST10-MXE</td>
<td>10 × 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>Cassegrain</td>
<td>SBIG ST10-MXE</td>
<td>37.7 × 37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>E2V CCD42-10</td>
<td>52.8 × 52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600/1350</td>
<td>2048 × 2048, 13.5 (\mu)m</td>
<td>24.8 × 19.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional photometric data used for this study were taken from the SuperWASP\(^4\) and NSVS archives.\(^5\) The WASP instruments have been described by Pollacco et al. (2006), and the reduction techniques discussed by Smalley et al. (2011) and Holdsworth et al. (2014). The aperture-extracted photometry from each camera on each night was corrected for atmospheric extinction, instrumental colour response, and system zero-point relative to a network of local secondary standards. The resulting pseudo-V magnitudes are comparable to Tycho-2 (Høg et al. 2000) V magnitudes (Butters et al. 2010). The WASP observations for V501 Aur are from the first data release (DR1) of the WASP archive, which contains light curves from 2004 to 2008.

NSVS data for V501 Aur are available for the years 1999–2000 (object IDs 6830118 and 6841841). The NSVS magnitudes were converted to the Johnson V system using

\[
m_V = \frac{1.875 \times m_{\text{NSVS}} + m_B}{2.875},
\]

where \(m_B = 12.16\) is the Johnson \(B\) magnitude of V501 Aur (Høg et al. 2000). Numerical constants were adopted from Woźniak et al. (2004).

Prior to the analysis, the light curves from individual observatories/instruments were corrected for small magnitude offsets, with the light curve from WASP being taken as the reference. The photometric precision of data points from all sources was in the range of 0.001–0.021 mag in the V passband. The worst precision was achieved in the SuperWASP data.

2.1 Period analysis

We have defined an observational season by the observability of our target star beginning in August and ending in April of the following year (see Table 2). The data from the first three seasons are mainly from the SuperWASP archive, and the data coverage is much greater than in later seasons. Season ‘0’ contains only NSVS data.

For the period analysis, we used the \texttt{vstar}\(^6\) package developed by the American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO). The period analysis was performed using a Date Compensated Discrete Fourier Transform (DC DFT) algorithm (Ferraz-Mello 1981). This method compensates for gaps within the data set using weighting, discriminating aliases and allowing for frequency harmonic filtering. At first, we have applied DC DFT analysis on all SuperWASP data (because they have the best sampling and coverage) to explore wide range (0.00007 to 100 d) of possible periods in the data set. The lowest frequency roughly corresponds to 1/(4\(w\)) where \(w\) was the span/window of the data. The highest frequency was set to the median interval between consecutive data points. The step in frequency was set equal to the lowest frequency.

No significant periods were found outside 40–70 d range for all observing seasons. Fig. 1 presents folded light curves at the periods found for each season.

Season 3 (2007–2008) produced interesting results. The data from this season are merged SuperWASP, GSH and G1 photometry, and the coverage is better in the SuperWASP data (see Fig. 2). It is important to note that only ~2 per cent of data points are overlapping in this sample. The period analysis of the entire season resulted in a period of \(P_3 = 54.92\) d. However, our new data from 2008 (no SuperWASP) were fitted poorly by this period. No other significant period was found in the full data set (our data + SuperWASP) of Season 3. As a test, we discarded all SuperWASP data from this season and ran an independent period analysis on the remaining data (our own), which yielded a period \(P_3 = 58.21\) d. Because the number of SuperWASP data points is ~40 times larger than the number of our own observations, we have chosen to retain only period \(P_3\) for further study. We note, however, that the presence of \(P_3\) is difficult to understand simply by undersampling of the data.

The original table of results by Grankin et al. (2008) showed that between 1994–1995 and 1996–1997 the observed period of the photometric wave of V501 Aur changed significantly. If a similar change occurred during our Season 3, this could perhaps explain the presence of a second periodicity. For Seasons 4 and 6, we were unable to find a significant periodicity because of considerable undersampling (see Table 2) and large gaps in the data. Below in Fig. 3, we compare the periods determined in this work to those obtained by Grankin et al. (2008).

2.2 Wavelet analysis

To investigate the period variability further, we have employed a standard time–frequency analysis with the Weighted Wavelet Z-Transform algorithm of Foster (1996). This algorithm is also implemented in the \texttt{vstar} package. We merged all seasons into a single data set and ran the search with a range of periods of 40–100 d, a period step of 0.1 d and the so-called decay parameter (wavelet window) fixed at 0.001 to get better resolution for period variations. We tested several time steps \(\Delta T = 200, 100, 50, 20\) and 5 d. Because the gaps in our data set are significantly larger than the span of the seasons, we considered it important to determine how the different binning affects the shape of the wavelet. We selected from the two-dimensional wavelet the maximum values of the amplitude in selected Julian date bins, and the results are displayed in Fig. 4. The analysis with a time step of \(\Delta T = 5\) d diverged between Seasons

\(^4\) http://wasp.cerit-sc.cz/form
\(^5\) http://skydot.lanl.gov/nsvs/nsvs.php
\(^6\) https://www.aavso.org/vstar-overview
Table 2. Observational seasons of V501 Aur with corresponding periods and amplitudes of the wave-like variability (sine model) in the V passband. Periods marked with an asterisk ‘*’ were obtained from the wavelet analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Duration (d)</th>
<th>Nights</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Period (d)</th>
<th>Amplitude (mag)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1999 August 24</td>
<td>2000 March 26</td>
<td>214.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>58.07(3)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>NSVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2004 August 02</td>
<td>2004 September 30</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>59.22(4)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>SuperWASP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2006 September 11</td>
<td>2007 February 15</td>
<td>157.7</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2983</td>
<td>55.90(3)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>SuperWASP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2007 September 29</td>
<td>2008 April 23</td>
<td>207.7</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3165</td>
<td>54.92(3)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>SuperWASP/GSH/G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2008 October 03</td>
<td>2009 April 06</td>
<td>185.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.72(3)*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>GSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2010 September 23</td>
<td>2011 April 16</td>
<td>204.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>56.34(3)</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2011 August 26</td>
<td>2012 January 03</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>53.96(3)*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2015 November 23</td>
<td>2016 March 31</td>
<td>128.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>59.60(4)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>G1/KO/MAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>1999-08-24</td>
<td>2016-03-31</td>
<td>6063.9</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>8087</td>
<td>55.45(3)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Results of our period analysis in individual observing seasons (indicated by the panel number). No significant period was found in Seasons 4 and 6. Each phase-folded light curve comprises of two to four cycles. The data points are distributed rather uniformly in phase in each cycle.

6 and 7, but all other time steps produced results that were similar. During date intervals with available data (grey areas in Fig. 4) all runs produced the same results. We also tested a broader period interval, but the wavelet diverged to one of the border periods when encountering a large gap in the data set. When referring to the outcome of the wavelet analysis, we use results from the run with date separation $\Delta T = 20$ d.

We kept the maximum wavelet $Z$-values only for Julian date bins corresponding to actual data. The periods tabulated in Table 2 are in good agreement with the wavelet analysis. Since we were unable to find periods from the period analysis for Seasons 4 and 6, we provide a rough estimate as $\sum_{j=1}^{N} Z_{max} / \sum_{j=1}^{N} i$ where $i$ runs through all $N$ bins in a given season. The wavelet in Season 4 changed its value abruptly from $\sim 55$ to $\sim 85$ d towards the end of the data set. We have no explanation for this. This could be caused by an intrinsic change of variability similar to that in Season 3 as discussed in the previous section. The results of the wavelet analysis and Fourier analysis (Section 2.1) are summarized in Fig. 3. Finally, a folded light curve using all the data and the average period of $P = 55.45$ d (Table 2) is shown in Fig. 5.

3 SPECTROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS

Spectroscopic observations of V501 Aur were carried out with three different instruments. We began at the CfA in 1996 October using the (now decommissioned) Digital Speedometer (DS; Latham 1992) mounted on the 1.5 m Tillinghast reflector at the Fred L. Whipple Observatory on Mount Hopkins (Arizona). Twenty-four spectra were recorded from 1997 September to November. Some of these were used in the studies of Wichmann et al. (2000) and Vaňko et al. (2015) cited in Section 1. The resolving power of this instrument was $R \sim 35 000$, containing a single échelle order 45 Å wide centred...
on the Mg I triplet (5165.8–5211.2 Å). Reductions were carried out with a dedicated pipeline, with the wavelength solution being set by exposures of a Thorium–Argon lamp before and after each science exposure. The velocity zero-point of this instrument was monitored with exposures of the dusk and dawn sky, and small run-to-run corrections were applied to the velocities described below as explained by Latham (1992). The SNRs of these observations range from 10 to 30 per resolution element of 8.5 km s$^{-1}$. All spectra appear single-lined.

Nine additional spectra were gathered from 2014 October to 2015 February with the Tillinghast Reflector Échelle Spectrograph (TRES; Fürész 2008) on the same telescope. This bench-mounted, fibre-fed instrument provides a resolving power of $R \sim 44 000$ in 51 orders over the wavelength range 3900–9100 Å. SNRs at 5200 Å ranged from 21 to 44 per resolution element of 6.8 km s$^{-1}$. Reductions and wavelength calibrations followed a procedure similar to that described above. IAU radial velocity (RV) standards were observed each night to monitor the velocity zero-point.

Between 2014 September and 2016 April, we obtained a further 25 spectra with the 60-cm Cassegrain telescope at the Stará Lesná Observatory (G1 pavilion) using the fibre-fed échelle spectrograph eShel (Pribulla et al. 2015). The spectra consisting of 24 orders cover the wavelength range from 4150 to 7600 Å. The resolving power of the spectrograph is $R = 10 000–12 000$. The reduction of the raw frames and extraction of the 1D spectra have been described by Pribulla et al. (2015). The wavelength reference system, as defined by the preceding and following Thorium–Argon exposures, was stable to within 0.1 km s$^{-1}$. The SNRs of the spectra at 5500 Å range from 11 to 42 (see Table 3).

Figure 2. Fit for the period $P_1 \sim 54.92$ d (solid line) based on all data from the observational Season 3 and fit for the period $P \sim 58.2$ d found from data of this work only. The observations by SuperWASP, GSH and G1 data are shown as grey, empty and black points, respectively.

Figure 3. Long-term variability of V501 Aur. The span of observations within each season is denoted by hatched pattern (data from Grankin et al. 2008) and light grey areas (this work). Period estimates derived per single season are plotted with diamonds (data from Grankin et al. 2008) and circles (this work). The horizontal line represents the average period resulting from the entire data set (55.45 d). Maximum values of the Z-transform of the wavelet analysis are shown with black squares. Short vertical lines along the bottom denote times of spectroscopic observations. See Section 2.2 for details.

Figure 4. Comparison of different runs of our wavelet analysis. Individual runs are separated by an offset of 10 d. Grey areas show the actual seasons with available data.
3.1 Radial velocities

3.1.1 CfA data

Radial velocities from the CfA/DS and CfA/TRES spectra were obtained by cross-correlation using the IRAF task XCSAO (Kurtz et al. 1992). Only the order centred on the Mg I triplet was used for both sets of spectra, for consistency. An appropriate template was selected as described later from an extensive library of pre-computed synthetic spectra (see Nordström et al. 1994; Latham et al. 2002), with the following parameters that are close to the final values adopted in this work: effective temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4750 \) K, surface gravity \( \log g = 2.5 \), rotational velocity \( 25 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and solar metallicity. Radial velocities from the two instruments were placed on the same reference frame to well within 0.1 km s\(^{-1}\). Results in the heliocentric frame are listed in Table 3. Preliminary orbital fits to these radial velocities indicated a large mass function, suggesting a massive secondary. Consequently, we made an effort to detect this star in these spectra employing the two-dimensional cross-correlation technique TODCOR (Zucker & Mazeh 1994) and a broad range of trial templates for the secondary star. We found no convincing evidence of a second set of lines down to a flux ratio of approximately 0.05. The Mg triplet region was used for two principal reasons: (i) experience has shown that this order has the most information on the RV (large number of strong metallic lines); (ii) our synthetic template spectra are optimized for, and only cover that region. They are based on a line list that was painstakingly tuned to match real stars in this wavelength region.

An additional attempt to detect the secondary component was made using the broadening function (BF) technique (Rucinski 1992). Portions of the TRES spectra in the range 4900–5500 Å were first deconvolved using several K-type slowly rotating dwarfs as templates. The resulting BFs always showed a single component rotating at \( v_{\sin i} = 24.7 \pm 0.7 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (the primary star). Use of a K2V template (HD 3765) revealed a weak additional flux of approximately 0.05.

Table 3. Heliocentric radial velocities of V501 Aur from CfA and G1. Also listed are the estimated uncertainties and the SNRs at 5200 Å (DS and TRES) or 5500 Å (eShel).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HJD 240000+</th>
<th>RV (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>HJD 2 400 000+</th>
<th>RV (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50362.9153</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50696.7880</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>TRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50383.8742</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50697.8815</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>TRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50404.7680</td>
<td>-28.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50700.7760</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>TRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50417.7154</td>
<td>-41.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50702.8238</td>
<td>-40.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>TRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50459.5785</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50704.7911</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>TRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50477.7025</td>
<td>-36.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50706.8015</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>TRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50478.5889</td>
<td>-36.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50708.2735</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50486.6598</td>
<td>-39.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50707.3121</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50497.6765</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50709.3689</td>
<td>-35.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50503.5285</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50709.2818</td>
<td>-33.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50521.5218</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50710.2896</td>
<td>-27.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50532.5676</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50715.2564</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50536.6212</td>
<td>-15.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50732.5888</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50541.5795</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50733.5687</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50543.5327</td>
<td>-31.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50733.6593</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50549.5373</td>
<td>-39.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50733.6283</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50710.8428</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50735.6321</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50718.8748</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50735.4565</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50728.7420</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50735.5393</td>
<td>-13.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50732.8548</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50735.3840</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50745.7864</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50739.4150</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50762.9408</td>
<td>-37.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50742.3079</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50764.7501</td>
<td>-36.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50746.2662</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50771.7938</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>50747.2826</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56928.6225</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>eShel</td>
<td>50746.2661</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56930.6061</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>eShel</td>
<td>50747.2859</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56931.0178</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>TRES</td>
<td>50749.2961</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56944.9627</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>TRES</td>
<td>50748.2870</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56961.9875</td>
<td>-33.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>TRES</td>
<td>50748.2934</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>eShel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Phase diagram for all available data of V501 Aur folded with the average period of \( P = 55.45 \) d.
component with an intensity ratio $L_2/L_1 \sim 0.01$. However, the RV of this component was found to be constant in the geocentric frame, and likely results from telluric lines in the red part of the spectrum. The detection limits from this exercise depend mainly on the unknown projected rotational velocity of the secondary, and faint and rapidly rotating stars are considerably more difficult to detect. If the secondary rotates with the same projected velocity as the primary, the detection limit is then estimated to be $L_2/L_1 \sim 0.05$–0.10.

The extracted BF s were inspected to see the presence of dark spots indicated by the photometric wave. The BF changes are marginal and not conclusive. For $R = 44000$, we can resolve only 8 pixels across the rotational profile in the velocity space. To conclusively prove the presence of the spots, more spectra of a higher resolution and SNR would have to be taken within one orbital period.

3.1.2 Staré Lesná data

Two approaches were used to derive the RV s from the G1 data: (i) cross-correlation against the spectrum of V501 Aur with the highest SNR serving as a template, and (ii) the BF technique, with BFs extracted using as a template the spectrum of HD 65583 (K0V, $\sin i = 3.3 \pm 1.7$ km s$^{-1}$, [Fe/H] = $-0.70$). For the cross-correlation analysis, we avoided spectral regions affected by strong telluric features and used only the wavelength ranges 4700–5860, 5970–6260, 6330–6860 and 6975–7130 Å. For the BF extraction, we used only the green-yellow part of the spectrum from 4900 to 5500 Å, including the Mg i triplet. Because the spectral resolution in this case is comparable to the $\sin i$ of V501 Aur, a simple Gaussian function was fitted to both the cross-correlation functions (CCFs) and the BFs to determine the velocities.

The RV s derived from BFs were shifted to the IAU system using $\Delta$RV = 13.2 km s$^{-1}$ for HD 65583 (Evans, 1979). The RV s obtained by cross-correlation were shifted to be consistent with the IAU system using the difference between the systemic velocities from preliminary orbital fits (the shift was $\Delta$RV = 12.8 km s$^{-1}$). The spectroscopic elements from these fits obtained for both cases (CCFs and BFs) were consistent within 1–2σ, but the RV s derived from the BFs resulted in a slightly smaller residual standard deviation from the orbital fit (0.47 km s$^{-1}$ for BFs versus 0.53 km s$^{-1}$ for CCFs), and were thus adopted for the final orbital solution.

3.1.3 Spectroscopic orbit

Prior to performing a combined solution of the CfA and G1 observations, we tested the consistency of the RV reference systems by fitting spectroscopic orbits to each data set separately. Only a small difference in the systemic velocities was found ($\sim 0.3$ km s$^{-1}$), so the data sets were combined without adjustments. The resulting spectroscopic orbital elements for the merged data along with the predicted times of spectroscopic conjunction are listed in Table 4. A graphical representation of the measured radial velocities and orbit model is shown in Fig. 6. The orbital period is very well determined because of the 7123-d (nearly 20-yr) time span of the velocities ($\sim$103 revolutions). The orbital eccentricity is small but statistically significant, and consistent between the CfA and G1 data sets.

3.2 Atmospheric parameters

The main atmospheric properties of V501 Aur, $T_{\text{eff}}$, log g and metallicity were determined in several different ways. A first method used the ispec software (Blanco-Cuaresma et al. 2014) applied to two of the TRES spectra with the highest SNR, taken on 2014 October 31 and December 10, and focusing on the spectral range 4800–5300 Å. The effective temperature was determined from the line-strength ratio of Cr i 4254 Å to Fe i 4250 Å and Fe i 4260 Å (see Digital Classification Spectral Atlas7), using template spectra with well-established spectral types of K2V (HD 3765), K3V (HD 128165), K4V (HIP 073182) and K5V (61 Cyg A). In order to minimize the number of free parameters the projected rotational velocity was held fixed at the value $\sin i = 24.7$ km s$^{-1}$ determined from the BF fitting. The resulting parameters were $T_{\text{eff}} = 5130 \pm 410$ K, $[M/H] = 0.03 \pm 0.29$ and log g = 3.0 $\pm$ 0.7, which are somewhat uncertain. The EQW ratio of the spectral lines mentioned above showed the best match for the K2V template.

A second determination was carried out by cross-correlating each of the DS spectra against the library of synthetic spectra, to find the best match as a function of the template parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$, log g and $\sin i$. Solar metallicity was assumed. The best match was determined from the peak value of the CCF averaged over all 24 spectra. Because of degeneracies caused by the narrow 45 Å window, it is

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Parameter & CfA & G1 & Combined \\
\hline
$P_{\text{orb}}$ (d) & 68.8347(14) & 68.824(7) & 68.833(12) \\
$e$ & 0.020(7) & 0.047(11) & 0.030(5) \\
$\omega$ (rad) & 3.2(3) & 3.16(15) & 3.13(15) \\
$T_0$ (HJD) & 56 955(3) & 56 954.1(17) & 56 953.9(16) \\
$V_\text{c}$ (km s$^{-1}$) & $-12.53(12)$ & $-12.85(20)$ & $-12.71(8)$ \\
$K_1$ (km s$^{-1}$) & 27.04(19) & 27.1(4) & 26.78(13) \\
$T_{\text{min I}}$ (HJD) & 56 937(3) & 56 937.8(17) & 56 937.5(16) \\
$T_{\text{min II}}$ (HJD) & 56 971(5) & 56 970.1(25) & 56 970.6(23) \\
$a_1 (\sin i)$ (au) & 0.1710(12) & 0.1715(26) & 0.1693(8) \\
$f(m)$ (M$_{\odot}$) & 0.142(3) & 0.143(6) & 0.1373(20) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Spectroscopic orbital elements of the primary component of V501 Aur based on radial-velocity measurements from CfA and G1. The uncertainty is given in parentheses in units of the final digit. $T_{\text{min I}}$ and $T_{\text{min II}}$ are the predicted times of primary and secondary eclipse (spectroscopic conjunctions). The columns present separate solutions from the CfA, G1 and combined data sets. Heliocentric Julian dates (HJD) for periastron passage are given relative to HJD 2400000.}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{fig6}
\caption{Spectroscopic orbit of the primary component of V501 Aur. Phase 0.0 corresponds to periastron passage. Filled symbols represent radial velocities from G1, and empty ones are from the CfA. For clarity the error bars are only shown for the residuals (bottom panel).}
\end{figure}
generally difficult to establish the temperature and $\log g$ at the same time from these spectra: lowering the temperature and at the same time lowering the $\log g$ results in a fit of similar quality, particularly when the SNR is low. We applied the same approach to the nine TRES spectra, which have better SNR and also a wider wavelength coverage around 100 Å in the Mg $\text{i}$ order. The resulting average parameters for V501 Aur from these determinations are $T_{\text{eff}} = 4900 \pm 150$ K, $\log g = 2.7 \pm 0.6$ and $\sin i = 27 \pm 2$ km s$^{-1}$. Because the temperature is also sensitive to the adopted metallicity, which is not known very well, we have chosen to assign a more conservative $T_{\text{eff}}$ uncertainty of 250 K.

We also carried out an independent analysis of eight of our best TRES spectra using the Stellar Parameters Classification tool (SPC; Buchhave et al. 2012, 2014) obtaining the following results: $T_{\text{eff}} = 4685 \pm 100$ K, $\log g = 2.27 \pm 0.24$, $[\text{M/H}] = -0.42 \pm 0.11$ and $\sin i = 28.2 \pm 2.0$ km s$^{-1}$. These estimates are subject to similar degeneracies as mentioned above.

The low surface gravity characteristic of giant stars was independently tested using the luminosity-sensitive line ratio between the Y $\equiv$ 4376 Å and Fe $\equiv$ 4383 Å lines, as recommended in the Digital Classification Spectral Atlas for G8 stars. A spectrum close to the lines in question was synthesized using spect for several values of the surface gravity. The comparison of the observed and synthetic spectra supports the classification of the visible component of V501 Aur as a sub-giant or a giant. However, discriminating between values of the surface gravity in the range $\log g = 1.3$ is difficult.

The EQW of the Li $\lambda$ 6707 line was measured in each of our nine high-resolution TRES spectra, which show the feature clearly. The result, $90 \pm 6$ mÅ, is significantly lower than the value of 138 mÅ reported by Wichmann et al. (2000), although the latter is based on spectra of low SNRs (10–15) and according to those authors may have an uncertainty of up to 40 mÅ. Unfortunately, the line cannot be measured reliably in our medium-dispersion spectra with eShel because the blaze function has its minimum close to the location of this feature. Finally, we examined the H$\alpha$ line in both our TRES and eShel spectra and found that it is never seen in emission over the year and a half of observation with those two instruments, contrary to what was reported originally by Wichmann et al. (1996). The average EQW from our TRES spectra is 0.97 ± 0.07 Å, similar to the measure by Martín & Magazzû (1999).

## 4 INTERSTELLAR EXTINCTION AND DISTANCE

The determination of the extinction towards V501 Aur is complicated by possible dust clumps in the young SFR against which the star is projected. It has been shown that the EQW of the Na $\lambda$ D1 line at 5896 Å allows for a useful estimate of the $E(B - V)$ reddening. For example, Poznanski, Prochaska & Bloom (2012) provided the empirical relation

$$\log E(B - V) = 2.47 \times \text{EQW}_{\text{Na}D1} - 1.76 \pm 0.17$$

(2)

with $\text{EQW}_{\text{Na}D1}$ expressed in units of Å. We used four of our nine TRES spectra in which the stellar and interstellar components of the D1 line are sufficiently separated, and fit double-Gaussians to the normalized spectra, obtaining an average $\text{EQW}_{\text{Na}D1} = 353$ mÅ. The equation above then leads to $E(B - V) = 0.13 \pm 0.06$. Alternatively, the look-up table provided by Munari & Zwitter (1997) results in a similar value of $E(B - V) = 0.15$. We note, however, that the interstellar D1 line is saturated in our spectra, implying that the above reddening estimates are only lower limits.
distance range of 570–1300 pc, still ruling out a membership in Taurus. (ii) An independent estimate of the distance may be derived if we interpret the photometric wave as being caused by rotational modulation due to cool photospheric spots on the visible component (see next section). In that case, the measured projected rotational velocity along with the measured photometric period yields a lower limit to the radius of the star, \( R \sin i = \frac{\pi}{\mu} \), which combined with an effective temperature estimate provides a lower limit to the bolometric luminosity. This, in turn, can be used to infer a lower limit to the distance. An absolute lower limit to \( R \) is obtained by using our smallest estimate of \( \sin i = 24.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and the shortest photometric period from Table 4, \( P_{\text{rot}} \approx 53.9 \text{ d} \), resulting in \( R \sin i \approx 26.3 \text{ R}_\odot \). This already seems considerably larger than expected for a PMS star of any reasonable mass. Our coolest temperature estimate, \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4685 \text{ K} \), then implies that the luminosity of the star must be at least 300 \( \text{ L}_\odot \). If we assume no extinction, and adopt the most recent value (and one of the brightest) of the apparent visual magnitude available for V501 Aur \( (V = 10.57 \pm 0.12; \text{Henden \& Munari 2014}) \) along with a bolometric correction of \( BC_V = -0.48 \pm 0.10 \) for a star of this temperature (Flower 1996; Torres 2010), we obtain an absolute lower limit to the distance of \( 2050 \pm 180 \text{ pc} \). Using \( V = 10.88 \pm 0.08 \) (the faintest measurement in literature; Hodgkin et al. 2000), we obtain distance of \( 2350 \pm 200 \text{ pc} \). Accounting for extinction according to \( A_V = 3.1 \cdot E(B-V) \) reduces this to 950 \( \pm 100 \) and 1100 \( \pm 100 \text{ pc} \), respectively, which is consistent with the \( \text{Gaia} \) estimate and still much larger than the distance to Taurus–Auriga. This supports the conclusion that V501 Aur is far behind the SFR.

(iii) Our orbital solution for V501 Aur (Table 4) yields a systemic velocity of \(-12.70 \pm 0.08 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) that is inconsistent with the mean RV of the Taurus–Auriga SFR (+1.8 \( \leq \text{RV} \leq +17.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \); Mooley et al. 2013), arguing strongly against a membership.

(iv) The EQW of the Li i \( \lambda 6707 \) line as measured from our nine TRES spectra, 90 \( \pm 6 \text{ mA} \), is lower than is typical for a very young object of this temperature (see fig. 2 of Wichmann et al. 2000), making the PMS status unlikely. We also do not find Hα to be in emission in our spectra, as is usually the case for WTTS. Compared to other evolved stars the lithium abundance of V501 Aur is high, but not exceptional (see Randich et al. 1999). Drake et al. (2002) list a few rapidly rotating giants showing large Li abundances and enhanced activity (indicated by X-ray luminosity and Ca ii emission) similar to V501 Aur.

(v) Most spectroscopic estimates of the surface gravity of the visible star from our analysis (\( \log g = 2.3–3.0 \)) are considerably lower than expected for very young objects, again pointing towards the view that V501 Aur is an evolved star rather than a PMS star.

(vi) The measured proper motion of V501 Aur is rather small and thus not a very reliable indicator of a membership in Taurus–Auriga. Frink et al. (1997) reported \( (\mu_x,\cos \delta, \mu_\delta) = (-2.0 \pm 4.4, -20.0 \pm 4.4) \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \), and considered this to be consistent with the mean proper motion for the SFR of \((+4.0, -18.7) \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \). More recent estimates from Tycho-2 give a smaller total motion of \((-5.4 \pm 2.3, -11.9 \pm 2.3) \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \), and \( \text{Gaia}/\text{DR1} \) lists the star as having an even smaller motion of \((-8.3 \pm 2.6, -3.4 \pm 1.9) \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \). Given that the proper motions of the true members show considerable scatter, and that there is also a significant difference between the central parts of Taurus–Auriga, \((+2.4, -21.2) \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \), and the southern region, \((+10.1, -9.8) \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \), the proper motion criterion for V501 Aur is largely inconclusive.

The above evidence strongly supports the notion that V501 Aur is a background giant, rather than a young member of the Taurus–Auriga SFR.

5.2 Photometric variability

Given the binary nature of V501 Aur, we searched for eclipses near the predicted times of spectroscopic conjunction but found none. Here, we discuss other mechanisms that might explain the quasi-periodic brightness changes (see Sections 2.1 and 2.2).

Ellipsoidal variability caused by distortions in the large primary star seems rather unlikely in view of the long orbital period. The modulation would also be strictly periodic with a period exactly half that of the orbital period, \( P/2 \approx 34.4 \text{ d} \). A detailed search in the vicinity of this value using the more numerous superWASP data with the best temporal coverage, as well the entire photometric data set, showed no convincing evidence of a signal at this period.

Numerical simulations of the expected amplitude of such an effect based on approximate stellar properties for the primary star and the companion as inferred in the next section indicate a peak-to-peak variation of only about 1 per cent in the V band. This is at the level of the noise in the observations, which may explain the non-detection. It is also much smaller than the brightness changes actually observed in V501 Aur, so it cannot be the principal cause of those variations.

Pulsation, either in the primary or less likely in the unseen secondary, is another possibility. Our period analysis shows no coherent signals in the power spectrum. The long time-scale of the variations \((\sim 55 \text{ d})\) and the small colour changes \((\Delta(V-J) \approx 0.05 \text{ mag})\) are consistent with the characteristics of some semiregular variables (specifically with those of the SRd type; see the GCVS, Kholopov et al. 1985), although the amplitude of the variations in V501 Aur \((0.013–0.082 \text{ mag})\) is smaller than is typical for such objects, and the rapid rotation is also unusual for this class.

The overall features of the photometric variability seem most consistent with modulation from photospheric spots on the visible component, perhaps appearing at different latitudes in a star with differential rotation. The observations show that the amplitude of the variations decreases towards the red, which is consistent with the spot scenario. That the star is active seems supported by the strong X-ray emission (ROSAT). Further evidence for spots could be obtained in principle through further analysis of the BF, or trailing spectra with higher SNR and high spectral resolution. More quantitative modelling of the spots may require multicolour photometry and considerably better time sampling than we presently have.

5.3 Physical parameters

The lack of eclipses in V501 Aur prevents a direct determination of the fundamental properties of the components, such as the masses and radii, from our spectroscopic and photometric observations. As a result, the nature of the secondary component is unclear. The large velocity semi-amplitude of the primary \( (K_1 = 26.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \) results in a fairly large mass function \( f(m) = 0.1373 \pm 0.0002 \text{ M}_\odot \) and a correspondingly large minimum mass for the secondary, \( M_2 \sin i = 0.516(M_1 + M_2)^{3/2}/M_1 \). It is somewhat surprising, therefore, that we see no trace of the secondary lines in our spectra despite our attempts at detection (Section 3.1.1). One possibility is that the secondary is itself a close binary system. On the other hand, if the primary is a giant star and the secondary a normal main-sequence star, this would naturally explain our lack of detection as...
the brightness contrast would be very unfavourable, particularly if the secondary were to be rotating rapidly. This explanation would seem to be supported by the arguments in Section 5.1.

We explored this scenario further by using stellar evolution models from the MESA series (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015), seeking to match all observational constraints from our orbital fit and our spectroscopic analysis with a single isochrone placing the primary star either on the giant branch or near the clump, and the secondary star on the main sequence. We restricted the parameter space to $2.1 < \log g < 3.3$ and $4585 \, \text{K} < T_{\text{eff}} < 5150 \, \text{K}$ (following from our spectroscopic CCF and SPC estimates and their $1\sigma$ uncertainties), as well as $R > 26.3 \, \text{R}_\odot$ (Section 5.1). Solar metallicity was assumed.

A satisfactory solution was found for a primary star at the bottom of the giant branch with approximate parameters $M = 4 \, \text{M}_\odot$, $R = 26.6 \, \text{R}_\odot$, $\log g = 2.19$, $T_{\text{eff}} = 4727 \, \text{K}$, $L = 313 \, \text{L}_\odot$, and an age of $\log (\text{age}) = 8.25$. These properties and the best-fitting isochrone are shown in Fig. 7.

The mass of the secondary star for a given orbital inclination angle may be found from the primary mass and the spectroscopic mass function. We mark in Fig. 7 three such values corresponding to representative inclination angles of 50, 70 and 90 deg, which give secondary masses of 2.30, 1.77 and 1.63 $\, \text{M}_\odot$, respectively. Other properties of the secondary inferred from the best-fitting isochrone are listed in Table 5. These results would suggest that the secondary star is no later than spectral type late A, and may be earlier if lower inclination angles are assumed. The predicted luminosity ratios $L_2/L_1$ in Table 5 are seen to be fairly low, and this, compounded with the likely rapid rotation expected for an A-type star, would explain the non-detection of the secondary in our spectra.

An important information on the nature of V501 Aur is provided by the observed X-ray flux and resulting X-ray luminosity. Using the RASS count rate, $2.8(9) \times 10^{-2}$ ct s$^{-1}$, the X-ray luminosity for the $1\sigma$ range of the Gaia distance is from $8.7 \times 10^{31}$ to $3.2 \times 10^{32} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}$. This is three orders more than a typical X-ray luminosity of late-type red giants, $10^{28}$–$10^{29} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (Maggio et al. 1990). The identification of the X-ray source with V501 Aur is, however, questionable. The position offset of the X-ray source is 19 arcsec and the RASS beam size about 30 arcsec. Hence, observations with better resolution are needed prior to any interpretation.

### 6 DISCUSSION AND FINAL REMARKS

Our new photometric and spectroscopic observations of V501 Aur have enabled us to revisit its properties in the context of previous claims that it is a WTTS belonging to the Taurus– Auriga SFR. Our spectroscopic observations reveal it to be a slightly eccentric, single-lined spectroscopic binary with an orbital period of about 68.8 d and a fairly massive unseen companion. No signs of eclipses are observed.

We have carried out a detailed investigation of the long-term photometric variability of V501 Aur using our own new data augmented with observations from several other sources, giving a total time span of two decades. The changes in the previously known photometric wave (in both period and amplitude), which has an average period of $\sim 55$ d distinctly shorter than the orbital period, and the concomitant colour variations we have measured, suggest that the variability is caused by photospheric spots appearing at

---

8 Note that an inclination angle of 90 deg is actually ruled out by the lack of eclipses (see previous section).
different stellar latitudes on a differentially rotating star. The long period of the photometric wave and the fairly rapid rotation of the visible component (≈25 km s⁻¹) imply a large radius for the star of \( R > 26.3 R_\odot \).

Such a large size is supported by our spectroscopic estimates of the surface gravity giving low values of \( \log g = 2.3-3.0 \). This strongly suggests it is an evolved star that must be far behind the Taurus–Auriga SFR. The systemic RV of V501 Aur, \( -12.70 \pm 0.08 \) km s⁻¹, is also inconsistent with the mean RV of known members of Taurus–Auriga. Furthermore, our new measurements of the \( \text{Li i} \lambda 6707 \) line show it to be much weaker than is typical for a young T-Tauri star, and the \( \text{He i} \) line is not seen in emission, as would be expected. The distance of about 800 pc now known from the trigonometric parallax appearing in the first Gaia data release conclusively rules out a membership in the SFR. An independent distance estimate based on the minimum size of the star (\( R \sin i \)), its temperature, the apparent brightness and a measure of interstellar extinction are perfectly consistent with the direct measurement. Thus, the previous classification of V501 Aur as a WTTs belonging to Taurus–Auriga is overwhelmingly not supported by available observations.

Instead, the scenario that emerges for V501 Aur, aided by a comparison with stellar evolution models that succeed in matching all observational constraints, is one in which it is a background, non-eclipsing spectroscopic binary projected on to the Taurus–Auriga SFR, with a luminous, spotted, and fairly rapidly rotating giant star as the primary, and a likely much more rapidly rotating early-type star as the secondary. The estimated age of the system is roughly 180 Myr, according to the models. The unfavourable luminosity ratio of such a configuration along with the rotationally broadened lines expected for the secondary are sufficient to explain the nondetection of that star in our spectra. Another possible scenario is that the secondary component is a close binary.

V501 Aur may be related to a group of rapidly rotating giant stars recently identified in the photometry from the Kepler mission (Costa et al. 2015). Among them, we note that KIC 10293335 has properties somewhat similar to those of V501 Aur: \( P_{\text{rot}} = 55.96 \) d (from a Fourier analysis), \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4363 \) K, \( \log g = 2.45 \), \( R = 21.29 R_\odot \) and \( v \sin i = 12.7 \) km s⁻¹. Interestingly, there are hints that this star may also be a (single-lined) spectroscopic binary. Further study of V501 Aur in the framework of a possible connection to this interesting class of stars would benefit from a detailed chemical analysis, including sensitive diagnostics of evolution such as the CNO abundances and the \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) isotope ratio to help pinpoint its evolutionary state.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to A. Berndt, M. Moualla, T. Eisenbeiß, M. Hohle and T. Röll for help in obtaining the observations of V501 Aur at the University Observatory Jena. This work has been supported by the projects VEGA 2/0143/14, APVV-15-0458 and partially supported by SAIA scholarship. GT acknowledges partial support by the projects VEGA 2/0143/14, APVV-15-0458 and partially supported by the RFBR grant No. 15-02-06178 and NSh-9670.2016.2. SR acknowledges support from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement No. [609305]. This paper has made use of data from DR1 of the WASP data as provided by the WASP consortium, and the computing and storage facilities at the CERIT Scientific Cloud, reg. no. CZ.1.05/3.2.00/08.0144 which is operated by Masaryk University, Czech Republic. This work has also made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (http://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. This article was created by the realization of the project ITMS No. 26220120029, based on the supporting operational Research and development program financed from the European Regional Development Fund.

REFERENCES

Butters O. W. et al., 2010, A&A, 520, 10
Paxton B. et al., 2013, ApJS, 208, 4
Pribulla T. et al., 2015, Astron. Nachr., 336, 682
Woźniak P. R. et al., 2004, AJ, 127, 2436

This paper has been typeset from a \TeX/I\TeX file prepared by the author.