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Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) is one of the most common brain cancers among children and activation of the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) pathway is considered the hallmark. In the majority of cases, oncogenic \textit{BRAF} fusions or \textit{BRAF} V600E mutations are observed, while \textit{RAF1} or \textit{NF1} alterations are more rarely found. However, in some cases, no apparent cancer driver events can be identified. Here, we describe a novel fusion between the transcription factor nuclear factor 1A (\textit{NFIA}) and Raf-1 proto-oncogene (\textit{RAF1}) in a 5-year old boy with PA. The novel fusion was identified as part of a comprehensive genomic tumor profiling. We show that the \textit{NFIA:RAF1} fusion results in constitutive Raf1 kinase activity, leading to activation of downstream MEK1/2 cascade and increased proliferation of cancer cells. The \textit{NFIA:RAF1} fusion displayed distinct subcellular localization towards the plasma membrane indicative of Raf-1 activation, in contrast to both wild type NFIA and Raf-1, which were localized in the nucleus and cytoplasm, respectively. In conclusion, our data support the existence of rare oncogenic \textit{RAF1} fusions with constitutive Raf-1 activity. This highlights the need for broad genetic testing in order to refine diagnostics of PA and to unravel potential treatment options, e.g. with MEK inhibitors.
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\section*{Introduction}

Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) is the second most common pediatric cancer diagnosis, constituting about 20\% of all pediatric brain tumors and the most common central nervous system tumor (CNS) in the 5–19 year olds (1). PA has a peak incidence in the 0–9 year age group and a favorable 10-year overall survival of around 90\% (1–3). The majority of PAs arise in the cerebellum, but a second frequent site is the supratentorial midline, including the optic pathways and the hypothalamic/thalamic regions. PAs are generally slow-growing, classified as World Health Organization (WHO) grade I, and when possible, surgical resection is the treatment of choice, leading to up to 90\% progression free survival for cerebellar sites with an achieved complete resection. In case of non- or partially resectable tumors, which constitute the majority of the tumors of the supratentorial midline, many will progress and need non-surgical treatment to control tumor growth and symptoms (4,5). Although PAs are characterized by distinct histologic features, i.e. circumscribed tumors with bipolar cells with long pilocytic processes and Rosenthal fibers, some may show histopathological features resembling gliomas of higher grades, thus making diagnosis of PA challenging (6,7).

Extensive research has recently revealed that the molecular mechanisms leading to PA are associated with the MAPK signaling pathway and constitutive activation is considered the unifying molecular feature of PA (8,9). The most common genetic alterations involve the BRAF gene constituting about 80\% of all PA cases, where chromosomal rearrangements (e.g.
KIAA1549:BRAF or FAM131B:BRAF) or BRAF mutations are found (10). In addition, mutations in KRAS and in NF1 have been identified in PA. Previously, no genetic alterations in the MAPK pathway were identified in around one fifth of the PA patients. However, recent high throughput molecular analysis for somatic screening of PAs has revealed novel alterations in FGFR1 and NTRK2, supporting that the genetic alterations in PA are solely linked to the MAPK pathway (9,11). More recently, a fusion between SRGAP3:RAF1 was identified in a PA patient, showing that translocations involved in PA are not limited to the BRAF gene (11).

Materials and methods

Case report

A boy was diagnosed just before his two-year birthday with dienecphalic syndrome, severe behavioral disturbance and a large supratentorial midline tumor involving the hypothalamus, basal ganglia and medial temporal lobes bilaterally, measuring 7 x 3.8 cm. An open biopsy was performed, leading to the diagnosis of PA WHO grade 1. To manage the dienecphalic syndrome, a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube was inserted and he was initially treated with vincristine-carcinoblatin-etoposide. Due to tumor progression, treatment was changed after six months to vincristine-cyclophosphamide-cisplatin, leading to six months of stable disease. However, after 12 months of therapy the tumor progressed, necessitating placement of a bi-frontal ventriculo-peritoneal shunt. Therapy was changed to vinblastine in combination with bevacizumab, which led to both clinical and radiological improvements but progression occurred within 18 months upon initiation of vinblastin-avastin therapy.

Identification and verification of the NFIA:RAF1 fusion

RNA was purified from tumor tissue preserved in RNALater (Life Technologies) using total AllPrep DNA/RNA purification kit (Qiagen) and RNA-sequencing was done using TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit and sequenced on a HiSeq2500 (Illumina). FusionMap bioinformatics tool was used for screening of fusion transcripts (12). Verification by RT-PCR was performed (Primer sequences are available on request). An in-house non-CNS tumor was used as negative control. RT-PCR product was sequenced by Sanger sequencing using an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Molecular characterization of the NFIA:RAF1 fusion

HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM supplied with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) and seeded in 6-well plates. Cells were transfected using empty vector, EV (pCMV6-Entry-FLAG, Origene), wild type NFIA (pCMV6-NFIA-FLAG, Origene), wild type RAF1 (pCMV6-RAF1-FLAG, Origene), NFIA:RAF1 (cDNA cloned into pCMV6-Entry-FLAG) (Primer sequences are available on request). Transfection was done using FuGene Transfection Reagent (Promega) according to manufacturer's recommendations. At 24 hours following transfection, western blot analysis was performed as previously described (13) with antibodies against Raf-1 (#12552; Cell Signaling Technologies) and GAPDH (sc-22778, Santa Cruz Biotecnologies). Transfected HEK293 cells were stimulated for 10 minutes with 10 ng/mL human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF) (R&D Systems), and western blot analysis was performed using antibodies against phospho-Ser221-MEK1/2 (#2338; Cell Signaling Technologies), MEK1/2 (#8728; Cell Signaling Technologies). HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplied with 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) and seeded in 96-well plates in growth medium without FBS for serum starvation. Cells were transfected using FuGene Transfection Reagent (Promega) and 3 days after transfection, cell growth was measured with MTT assay (Roche). Cell growth was calculated relative to EV transfected cells.

Subcellular protein fractionation analysis

HeLa cells were plated at 30% confluence in 6-well dishes and were transfected 6 hours after with pcDNA6-FLAG-RAF1 or polDNA6-FLAG-NFIA-RAF1 constructs using FuGene (Promega) following the manufacturers protocol. After 48 hours cells were collected and cytoplasmic and membrane subcellular protein fractionation was performed using Cytoplasmic Extraction Buffer (CEB) and Membrane Extraction Buffer (MEB) from Subcellular Protein Fractionation Kit for Cultured Cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were analyzed by Western Blot using anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma).

Microscopy for subcellular localization

HeLa cells were plated at 40% confluence in 35 mm No. 1.5 coverglass Glass Bottom Microwell Dishes (MatTek Corporation) and transfected after 6 hours with pCMV6-Entry-NFIA-FLAG, pCMV6-Entry-RAF1-FLAG or pcDNA6-Entry-NFIA:RAF1-FLAG constructs using FuGene Transfection Reagent (Promega). After 24 hours, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes, incubated with Image-IT FX Signal Enhancer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 minutes and blocked in 3% BSA 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 hour at room temperature. Dishes were then incubated with 1:10,000 mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. The day after, samples were incubated with 1:1000 secondary donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Alexa Fluor 568 Phalloidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 hour at room temperature. Fluoroshield with DAPI mounting media (Sigma) was added to the dishes and images were acquired with Zeiss ELYRA PS.1 LSM780 microscope. The experiment was repeated using HEK293 cells (data not shown due to suboptimal adherence of cells to cover glass dishes).

Results and discussion

In search for a targeted treatment, the patient was stereotactically re-biopsied and comprehensive genomic profiling was carried out to unravel the genetic alterations driving the neoplastic growth in this patient. The comprehensive genomic
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NFIA:RAF1 fusion in pilocytic astrocytoma. (a) Graphic illustration of translocation between the chromosomal regions 1q31.3 and 3p25.2, resulting in fusion between NFIA (NM_001134673.3) exons 1–6 and RAF1 (NM_002886.3) exons 9–17, including the Raf-1 kinase domain. (b) RT-PCR with primers in NFIA exon 6 and RAF1 exon 9 resulted in a 406 bp PCR product. An in-house non-CNS tumor was used as negative control. (c) The junction was verified by Sanger sequencing of the RT-PCR product.

Figure 1 Identification of a novel NFIA:RAF1 fusion in pilocytic astrocytoma. (a) Graphic illustration of translocation between the chromosomal regions 1q31.3 and 3p25.2, resulting in fusion between NFIA (NM_001134673.3) exons 1–6 and RAF1 (NM_002886.3) exons 9–17, including the Raf-1 kinase domain. (b) RT-PCR with primers in NFIA exon 6 and RAF1 exon 9 resulted in a 406 bp PCR product. An in-house non-CNS tumor was used as negative control. (c) The junction was verified by Sanger sequencing of the RT-PCR product.

It is well established that PAs harbor the KIAA1549:BRAF fusion and the majority of the cerebellar (80%) versus noncerebellar (50–55%) PAs are driven by this fusion (20). In line with this distribution, our novel NFIA:RAF1 fusion was identified in a noncerebellar PA. Our findings of a novel RAF1 fusion and its relation to constitutive MEK activation support the role of MAPK pathway as a key player in the tumorigenesis of PA, as well as contribute to the current knowledge and molecular complexity of oncogenes in PA. To ensure an optimized and tailored treatment, it is crucial to substantiate the different genetic alterations within the MAPK pathway. To unravel the remaining 20–50% that do not harbor the frequent KIAA1549:BRAF fusion, wide molecular screening, i.e. DNA and RNA-sequencing, and SNP-array should be carried out on therapy resistant cases. The identification of the novel NFIA:RAF1 fusion gene emphasizes the feasibility of comprehensive genomic profiling for identification of rational treatment targets (21). Therapy with MEK inhibitors, which are currently in clinical phase 1 and phase 2 trials (22), provides hope for precision medicine for the patients suffering from a more rare PA with e.g. RAF1 alterations. Our patient, who is now 6 years old, was not eligible for open European MEK inhibitor trials, but was granted treatment with the MEK inhibitor trametinib on a compassionate use. Results from the MRI scan
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Figure 2  Functional characterization and subcellular localization of NFIA:RAF1 fusion. (a) HEK293 cells were transfected with empty vector, EV (pCMV6-Entry-FLAG), wild type NFIA (pCMV6-NFIA-FLAG), wild type RAF1 (pCMV6-RAF1-FLAG), NFIA:RAF1 (cDNA cloned into pCMV6-Entry-FLAG). Western blot analysis was performed using antibodies against Raf-1 and GAPDH. The molecular weight of the fusion protein was calculated to 80 kDa, while the endogenous Raf-1 is 75 kDa as depicted. (b) HEK293 cells were transfected as described above, or stimulated for 10 minutes with 10 ng/ml human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF) as positive control for activated MAPK pathway. Antibodies against phospho-Ser221-MEK1/2, MEK1/2 were used. (c) Cell growth of transfected HeLa cells was measured 3 days after transfection. Cell growth is calculated relative to EV transfected cells. Error bars shown are standard error of the mean (SEM) and the asterisk denotes statistical significance (P = 0.049) compared to EV. (d) HeLa cells were transfected with EV, wild type RAF1 or NFIA:RAF1 constructs and fractionation into cytoplasmic and membrane fractions was performed as described in the Materials and Methods section. Western blotting was performed using an antibody against FLAG-tag. (e–g) Transfected HeLa cells were fixed and stained for FLAG-tag (green) indicating the FLAG-tagged constructs; NFIA-FLAG, RAF1-FLAG and NFIA:RAF1-FLAG. F-actin (red), reflecting the localization of the cellular membrane and nucleus (blue). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
three and six months after initiating trametinib showed stable disease and the treatment is currently ongoing.
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