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ABSTRACT

Understanding human cancer increasingly relies on insight gained from subtype specific comparisons between malignant and non-malignant cells. The most frequent subtype in breast cancer is the luminal. By far the most frequently used model for luminal breast cancer is the iconic estrogen receptor-positive (ER⁺) MCF7 cell line. However, luminal specific comparisons have suffered from the lack of a relevant non-malignant counterpart. Our previous work has shown that transforming growth factor-β receptor (TGFβR) inhibition suffices to propagate prospectively isolated ER⁺ human breast luminal cells from reduction mammoplasties (HBEC). Here we demonstrate that transduction of these cells with hTERT/shp16 renders them immortal while remaining true to the luminal lineage including expression of functional ER (iHBECER⁺). Under identical culture conditions, a major difference between MCF7 and normal-derived cells is the dependence of the latter on TGFβR inhibition for ER expression. In a breast fibroblast co-culture model we further show that whereas MCF7 proliferate concurrently with ER expression, iHBECER⁺ form correctly polarized acini, and segregate into proliferating and ER expressing cells. We propose that iHBECER⁺ may serve to shed light on hitherto unappreciated differences in ER regulation and function between normal breast and breast cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Although human breast cancer was one of the first cancer forms to receive precision medicine based on molecular ER profiling, a number of questions pertinent to the insurgence and treatment failure of this disease remain largely unanswered. One of the most puzzling discoveries is the apparent master switch between quiescence in normal ER⁺ breast epithelial cells and proliferation in ER⁻ breast cancer cells with concurrent increasing failure to down-regulate ER [1, 2]. Likewise, the opposite scenario, i.e. the evolution of a complete receptor-negative breast cancer leading to de novo resistance to anti-estrogen treatment also poses a considerable challenge and remains poorly understood [3].

Our current understanding of the regulation of ER expression and the mechanism of action of estrogen in human breast cancer is almost exclusively dependent on experiments with one cell line, MCF7, established from a metastatic lesion more than four decades ago [4]. Accordingly, MCF7 has received more than twenty five thousand hits in PubMed (for review see [5]). Other than being a widely used model for ER⁺ breast cancer, MCF7 also represents luminal B breast cancer which aside from being very proliferative is characterized by exhibiting a phenotype reminiscent of the luminal lineage in the normal human breast [6, 7]. This lineage is characterized by an almost universal expression of the simple cytokeratins K7, K8, K18, and K19 and the concomitant overall absence of basal cytokeratins K5, K6, K14, and K17 (for review...
see [8]). It is also characterized by expression of a highly glycosylated sialomucin encoded by MUC1 [9, 10].

Non-malignant equivalents to breast cancer subtypes in general have proven to be extremely valuable in understanding breast cancer evolution and in the search for precision drug targets [11–15]. However, when it comes to the far most frequent luminal cancer, the ER\textsuperscript{pos}, a non-malignant equivalent does not exist [7, 16]. Spontaneously immortalized cell lines such as HMT3522 [17] and MCF10A [18] are better counterparts for basal-like breast cancer and they are negative for keratin K19 and ER [19], and telomerase immortalized human breast epithelial cells continue to express basal keratin K14 and p63 [13]. Likewise, while modifying p53 and Rb by SV40 Epstein-Barr virus like breast cancer and they are negative for keratin K19 and MUC1 and lack of expression of keratin K14 and p63. We found that iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} essentially aligned with these criteria and thus critically resembled MCF7 cells by lineage (Figure 2). A more accurate position of iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} in the breast hierarchy was obtained by use of Lim et al.’s gene expression data [23]. Comparison of the RNA-Seq expression profile of iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} with the available top twenty highest expressed genes for each of the epithelial subpopulations in Lim et al.’s differentiation hierarchy - ranging from mammary stem cells/bipotent progenitors (MaSC/BiPs) through luminal progenitors (pl) to mature luminal cells (MLs) - revealed that iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} cells most likely are equivalent to luminal progenitors (Figure 3).

iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} are able to recapitulate normal-like behavior in three-dimensional rBM

To establish functional evidence for the non-malignant nature of iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}}, we employed our original reconstituted basement membrane (rBM) assay [24]. Whereas both iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} and MCF7 cells formed similar epitheloid sheets in monolayer culture, in three-dimensional rBM, many iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} cells remained unpolarized (Figure 4). ER expression was lost in both lines by exposure to rBM. Upon this initial characterization of the two cell lines, we focused our subsequent analyses on comparing iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} with MCF7 cells with respect to their response to the principal female sex hormone, estradiol.

ER expression in iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} is subject to TGFβR regulation

To assess the fundamental precondition for estrogen action we first stained for canonical ERα. We found distinct nuclear ER staining in about half of the iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} cells and in the majority of MCF7 cells (Figure 5A and 5C). Staining of the sectioned rBM gels showed that acinus-like iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} cells were luminally restricted and correctly polarized with apical expression of MUC1 (Figure 4), while MCF7 cells remained unpolarized (Figure 4). ER expression was lost in both lines by exposure to rBM. Upon this initial characterization of the two cell lines, we focused our subsequent analyses on comparing iHBEC\textsuperscript{ER\textsuperscript{pos}} with MCF7 cells with respect to their response to the principal female sex hormone, estradiol.
retained ER expression in TGFβR2i-1. We have previously shown that ER expression in normal breast epithelial cells is dependent on continuous TGFβR inhibition [22], and we therefore addressed whether TGFβR inhibitors affected SMAD signaling and ER expression in MCF7 in a similar way. Within six days of omission of TGFβR inhibitors, iHBECERpos upregulated pSMAD2 and downregulated ER completely (from 44 +/- 5% ER-positive cells with inhibitors to 0% upon omission; Figure 5B), while ER expression in MCF7 cells was unaffected (87 +/- 4% ER-positive cells with inhibitors and 90 +/- 7% without inhibitors, n=3 x 100 cells, not significant by Student’s T-test). Apparently, however, the lack of ER regulation in MCF7 was not due to insensitivity to TGFβR inhibition, since pSMAD2, albeit to a relatively modest level, was induced upon omission of TGFβR inhibitors (Figure 5B). The results suggest that in MCF7 ER expression is independent of TGFβR signaling.

**Estrogen-regulated genes differ between iHBECERpos and MCF7**

The presence of elements of a functional ER signaling pathway in iHBECERpos and MCF7 cell lines was further demonstrated by staining for ER and progesterone receptor (PR) in response to estrogen (Figure 5C). While both lines down-regulated ER expression upon stimulation with estrogen, iHBECERpos significantly upregulated PR protein expression (Figure 5C). We next assessed the growth response to estrogen with or without the estrogen receptor antagonist, ICI-182,780 (Figure 5D). While estrogen-induced proliferation was completely abrogated by ICI-182,780 in iHBECERpos, MCF7 did not exhibit a proliferative response in TGFβR2i-1 (Figure 5D). That MCF7 sublines may exhibit different growth responses to estrogen is not unprecedented. Here, MCF7 immediately prior to adaptation to TGFβR2i-1 readily responded, whereas another line of the parental MCF7 line grown in another laboratory exhibited growth inhibition (Figure 5D). At the molecular level we found elements of an estrogen response common between iHBECERpos and MCF7, but more importantly also subtle differences. Based on an RT-qPCR time course of key estrogen-regulated genes, we found that a six hour-exposure to estrogen was the optimal time point for further RNA-Seq expression analysis of the two cell lines (Figure 5E). To identify differentially expressed genes regulated by estrogen, a robust bioinformatics method, NOISeq [25], was performed. Among statistically significant most-up-regulated genes in iHBECERpos (fold difference >2 and probability >0.7), we found well known estrogen-regulated genes, such as

![Figure 1: Infinite lifespan of hTERT/shp16 transduced iHBECERpos cells.](image.jpg)

**Figure 1:** Infinite lifespan of hTERT/shp16 transduced iHBECERpos cells. Population doublings as a function of time in culture of iHBECERpos cells (passage 28-36, circles) and MCF7 cells (passage 309-319, squares) upon switch to TGFβR2i-1. Both cell lines have infinite lifespans under these conditions. MCF7 cannot grow in TGFβR2i (open diamonds, individual time points), but readily adapt to TGFβR2i-1 (closed diamonds) and stop growing and cannot be passed more than twice when switched back to TGFβR2i (open squares).
Figure 2: Characterization of hTERT/shp16 transduced iHBECE_ERpos_ cells. Immunofluorescence staining of iHBECE_ERpos_ and MCF7 cells with key breast lineage markers luminal keratin K19, K8 and MUC1 and myoepithelial p63 and K14 (green). Nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Note the striking similarity with respect to epitheloid morphology and luminal profile. Bar: 50μm.
IGFBP4 and GREB1 (Figure 5F) [26, 27]. These genes have physiological roles in steroid hormone responsive tissues, and were also upregulated in the present MCF7 cells as well as in an alternative dataset on the MCF7 estrogen response (Figure 5F) [28]. Three genes, GATA4, CXCL12 and MYB, were significantly upregulated in MCF7, while changes in expression were not observed in iHBECERpos. These are all estrogen regulated genes that have been implicated in breast cancer evolution [29–31]. Moreover, a cytokine binding receptor, IL1R1, found by others [28] to be significantly down-regulated in MCF7 was also downregulated in MCF7 here (1.5 fold), but was significantly upregulated in iHBECERpos. These findings implicate that availability of normal breast ERpos cells may reveal important endocrinological differences between normal and cancer.

A relevant stromal microenvironment segregates proliferating and ER-expressing normal cells

These differences led us to speculate whether iHBECERpos and MCF7 would also recapitulate the widely appreciated dissociation between ER expression and cell proliferation in the normal breast as opposed to its disruption in cancer [32]. For this purpose we plated primary EpCAMhigh/CD271low/CD166high/CD117low

![Figure 3: iHBECERpos cells exhibit an expression profile reminiscent of luminal progenitors.](image)

Expression profiles of twenty highest ranking markers in each group of mammary stem cells/bipotent cells (MaSC/BiPs), luminal progenitors (pLs), and mature luminal cells (mLs), respectively, according to the Lim classification [23] as compared to gene expression profiles of iHBECERpos and MCF7 by RNA-Seq. Twelve, twelve and fourteen genes in each group, respectively, were informative. Whereas mature luminal genes are highly expressed in MCF7, luminal progenitor genes are pronounced in iHBECERpos cells. X-axis indicates FPKM values, as calculated by gene expression levels (Fragments Per Kilobase Of Exon Per Million Fragments Mapped; FPKM in iHBECERpos, red, and MCF7, blue), and Y-axis indicates each subpopulation of genes present in our RNA-Seq dataset.
ER-positive cells, iHBECERpos, and MCF7 on human breast fibroblasts [33]. Whereas iHBECERpos under these conditions behaved like EpCAM\textsuperscript{high}/CD271\textsuperscript{low}/CD166\textsuperscript{high}/CD117\textsuperscript{low} primary cells and readily formed correctly polarized K19\textsuperscript{+}/K14\textsuperscript{-} acini in the presence of TGFβR inhibitors, and in the presence of estrogen, branching structures, MCF7 formed tumor-like nests of cells without appreciable polarization (Figure 6). In contrast to normal ER\textsuperscript{pos} cells, which do not grow [22] or undergo morphogenesis on fibroblast feeders [33] in the absence of TGFβR inhibitors, growth of MCF7 was inhibited by TGFβR inhibitors. More importantly, we found that in iHBECERpos for the major part segregated ER\textsuperscript{pos} cells from Ki-67-positive cells while in MCF7 cells staining frequently overlapped (Ki-67 and ER co-expression in 6.5 % +/- 2.6 versus 32.3 % +/- 5.5 of the cells, respectively, Figure 6). Based on these findings, we conclude that together, iHBECERpos and MCF7 are well suited for lineage aligned comparisons between normal and cancer within the context of human breast cancer.

Figure 4: iHBECERpos cells are normal-like by the rBM assay. Phase contrast micrographs of iHBECERpos (left column) and MCF7 cells (right column) on tissue culture plastic (upper panel) and in 3D rBM gels at day 8 (middle panel). Whereas both iHBECERpos and MCF7 cells in monolayer culture are typically epitheloid, inside rBM gels iHBECERpos are capable of forming acinus-like spheres with a central lumen while MCF7 cells grow as solid irregular colonies. Cryostat sections of rBM gels (lower panel) stained with MUC1 (green), K19 (red) and nucleus counterstain (blue) show that iHBECERpos are correctly polarized while MCF7 fail to polarize. Bar: 50μm.
Figure 5: iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> and MCF7 cells respond differently to TGFβR inhibitors and estrogen. A. Immunoperoxidase staining of iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> (left column) and MCF7 (right column) stained with ER (upper panel) and PR (lower panel) and counterstained with hematoxylin. B. Western blotting of proteins extracted from iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> cells or MCF7 at day 6 upon omission of TGFβR inhibitors (-) or in continuous TGFβR2i-1 (+), incubated with antibodies recognizing ERα (upper panel), phosphorylated SMAD2 (pSMAD2, second panel), SMAD2/3 (third panel) and loading control β-actin (lower panel). While iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> cells upregulate pSMAD2 and lose ER expression upon omission of TGFβR inhibitors, MCF7 upregulate pSMAD2 without concurrent regulation of ER. C. Quantification of ER (black bars) and PR (grey bars) expression by immunoperoxidase staining of iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> (left panel) and MCF7 cells (right panel) cultured with vehicle or estrogen (E2) shows that ER is downregulated in both lines upon exposure to estrogen, and PR is significantly upregulated in iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> (asterisks indicate significance by Student’s T-test, p<0.05). D. Cell number after 7 days in quadruplicate cultures of iHBEC<sup>ERpos</sup> and MCF7 plated at 6,000 and 4,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively, and exposed to vehicle (light grey bars), estrogen (10<sup>-8</sup>M, dark grey bars) without or with estrogen receptor antagonist (10<sup>-8</sup>M and 10<sup>-9</sup>M ICI 182,780, respectively, black bars). Two different lines of the MCF7 parental line plated at 4,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in triplicate grown in standard medium exposed to estrogen (10<sup>-8</sup>M) without or with ICI 182,780 (10<sup>-7</sup>M) demonstrate growth stimulation in the immediate origin of the TGFβR2i-1-adapted subline (MCF7p1) and inhibition in response to estrogen in a line grown in another laboratory (MCF7p2). Bars indicate mean and standard deviation and technical variation. Asterisks indicate significance (p<0.05; Student’s T-test, two-tailed).

(Continued)
Figure 5: (Continued) E. RT-qPCR of ESR1, PgR and ELF5 gene expression levels in iHBEC<sup>ER<sup>pos</sup></sup> (left panel) and MCF7 (right panel) upon exposure to estrogen for 1h, 3h, 6h, 12h, and 24h, respectively. Y-axis indicates relative normalized gene expression levels compared to vehicle-treated samples in log<sub>2</sub>-scale. 

F. Heat map of fold difference assessed by RNA-Seq in expression of genes regulated in iHBEC<sup>ER<sup>pos</sup></sup> (left), MCF7 (middle) and a previously published dataset on MCF7 grown in standard medium (* right; [28]). Similarity in estrogen-regulated gene expression profile between iHBEC<sup>ER<sup>pos</sup></sup> and MCF7 includes IGFBP4, GREB1, FMN1, CISH, TFF1, PGR, PDZK1, and differences include the genes GATA4, CXCL12 and MYB, which are upregulated in MCF7 only, and IL1R1, which is upregulated in iHBEC<sup>ER<sup>pos</sup></sup>, but downregulated in MCF7. Color key indicates fold difference in log<sub>2</sub> scale.

DISCUSSION

By far the majority of cellular turnover in the normal human breast takes place in the luminal epithelial lineage, and with rare exceptions breast cancer – including the so-called basal-like – originates from this lineage [23]. Paradoxically, attempts to model breast homeostasis in cell based assays have been severely confounded by overgrowth of another major lineage, i.e. the myoepithelial [16]. We describe here an established cell line iHBEC<sup>ER<sup>pos</sup></sup> which remain luminal-like in TGFβR2i-1 without the inherent propensity to drift towards the myoepithelial lineage and thus lending itself to more sensible comparisons with breast cancer. A fundamental property that distinguishes this cell line from previous human breast cell lines of non-malignant origin is the expression of functional sex hormone receptors. We here present evidence that the cell line represents a luminal progenitor and that it may serve to unravel the enigmatic division of labor between steroid hormone expressing cells and...
Figure 6: A characteristic switch in ER expression and growth between normal and cancer is retained in organoid culture. Multicolor imaging of iHBECERpos (left column) and MCF7 (right column) plated on a feeder of human fibroblasts and stained after two weeks for MUC1 (green) and keratin K19 (red) on a background of blue nuclei (DAPI) in BBMYAB with TGFβIR inhibitors (upper panel) and in the presence of estrogen (middle panel). Whereas iHBECERpos cells exhibit outside-in polarization and mostly form acini in the absence of estrogen and more elaborate branching morphogenesis in the presence of estrogen, MCF7 cells under both conditions form irregular colonies without signs of tubular morphogenesis. Dual color imaging of iHBECERpos (left column) and MCF (right column) in organoid culture stained for ER (green) and Ki67 (red) (lower panel) show preferential segregation into separate compartments in iHBECERpos as opposed to more frequent overlap in MCF7 (orange nuclei).
proliferating cells in the normal breast -perhaps being extrapolatable to all endocrine receptor expressing tissues as opposed to cancer.

Circulating estrogen induces the expression of PR in ER expressing cells and together with progesterone it elicits growth of neighboring luminal progenitors in a paracrine manner ([32];for review see [34]). We find that iHBECERpos respond to estrogen by an upregulation of PR and accelerated growth in monolayer culture. With respect to downregulation of ER expression iHBECERpos responds very much like the malignant MCF7 cells. However, specifically in MCF7 cells, response to estrogen does not necessarily concur with accelerated growth. In other words, the growth response to estrogen between normal and cancer is not identical. We note that others have reported that MCF7 cells, somewhat dependent on the source of cells, do not respond with growth to added estrogen [35]. In vivo ER- positive breast cancer cells are characterized by growth concomitant with ER expression [1, 32]. Our observations indicate that in rBM growth of iHBECERpos as opposed to MCF7 cells is regulated in a manner similar to normal breast epithelial cells [24]. However, in spite of recapitulation of acinus-like morphology, the rBM assay does not suffice to maintain ER expression for extended periods. Therefore, iHBECERpos serves to dissect both similarities and dissimilarities between normal and cancer.

One of the longstanding puzzles in breast cancer is the apparent dissociation between growth and ER expression in the normal breast and its disruption in cancer [32]. Our findings here of stromal cells as instrumental in providing the necessary microenvironment for maintaining ER expression and segregating ER expressing and growing cells into distinct compartments in iHBECERpos opens for a more detailed analysis of the mechanism behind this disruption. One mechanism which is known to function differently in normal versus cancer is TGFβ signaling (for review see [36]). Whereas it in normal breast induces quiescence, in cancer it induces epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). Research by ourselves and others has shown that TGFβ signaling serves to control growth and ER expression of normal mammary epithelial cells [22, 37]. Our findings here that MCF7 exhibits a relatively modest expression of pSMAD2 and fails to respond to TGFβR2i by appreciably modulating ER expression may help explain the disrupted association between growth and ER expression in cancer.

One of the four big questions in the field of breast cancer as recently highlighted in a Nature editorial is: “What are the risk factors for the disease?” [38]. It was reasoned that knowledge about susceptibility will illuminate the root causes of this disease and lead to new approaches for prevention and treatment. Accordingly, our findings of a switch in the ER response to TGFβ inhibitors between normal and cancer offers an avenue for a cell based screening of more selective estrogen receptor down regulators (SERDs) in breast cancer chemoprevention.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics statement**

Normal breast biopsies of which some were included in previous work [22] were collected with consent from women undergoing reduction mammoplasty for cosmetic reasons. The storage and use of human material has been approved by the Regional Scientific Ethical Committees (Region Hovedstaden, H-2-2011-052) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (2011-41-6722).

**Culture of primary cells and cell lines**

EpCAM\(_{\text{high}}\)/CD271\(_{\text{low}}\)/CD166\(_{\text{high}}\)/CD117\(_{\text{low}}\) ER-positive cells were purified from normal breast as previously described [22]. Cells transduced with hTERT/shp16 in early passage [22] were cultured in Primaria (Region Hovedstaden, H-2-2011-052) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (2011-41-6722).
passaged with TGFβR2i-1 at a density of 12,000 cells/cm², and ER expression was analyzed up to passage four by immunocytochemistry.

MCF7 cells were obtained and cultured as previously described [39]. The cells were adapted to grow on Primaria in TGFβR2i-1 in passage 309. To demonstrate short-term response to TGFβR2i the parental line was seeded in passage 283 at 5,000 cells/cm², counted at day 7, 14 and 21, and the adapted cells grown in TGFβR2i-1 for 37 passages were switched back to TGFβR2i, counted and passed at day 12 and counted at day 26.

Registration of population doublings was started immediately upon switching iHBECERpos and MCF7 to TGFβR2i-1 and population doublings were calculated as $n = 3.32(\log \text{UCY}-\log f) + X$ where $n =$ population doubling, UCY = cell yield, $f=$ inoculum and $X=$ population doubling rate of inoculum.

Normal intralobular fibroblasts were sorted by FACS as CD105high/CD26low and cultured as described [33].

Reconstituted basement membrane (rBM) cultures

To recapitulate in situ morphology 400,000 iHBECERpos or 200,000 MCF7 cells were embedded in 300 μl ice cold Matrigel® Matrix (growth factor reduced and phenol red free, 356231, Corning), seeded in a 24-well (Nunc) and solidified at 37°C before addition of 1 ml CDM3 [40] without HEPES and trace element mix, in which epidermal growth factor was replaced by amphiregulin (5 nM) and supplemented with TGFβ inhibitors. Morphology was observed and photographed by phase contrast microscopy [24]. Colony formation in two times technical triplicates was quantified by phase contrast microscopy [24]. Colony formation in situ was influenced by estrogen, β-estradiol (10⁻⁸ M, E2758, Sigma-Aldrich) or vehicle (ethanol) was added. Cultures were observed daily by phase contrast microscopy.

Immunocytochemistry

Cell cultures and 7 μm sections of gels were prepared for immunocytochemical staining essentially as described and the standard fixation protocol is methanol for 5 min at -20°C [21, 42, 43]. Of note, however, staining for ER requires a special fixation protocol. In brief, cultures were rinsed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4 prior to fixation for 5 min at RT in 3.7% formaldehyde, two rinses in PBS, fixation in methanol:acetone 1:1 v/v for 5 min at -20°C, two rinses in PBS, permeabilization in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, twice for 7 min, rinse in PBS and kept wet prior to application of blocking buffer. To verify the luminal phenotype cells were stained for K19 (BA16, 1:25, Abcam), K8 (TS1, 1:25, Novocastra) and sialomucin MUC1 (115D8, 1:10, Monosan) and to exclude a basal phenotype for K14 (LL002, 1:25, NeoMarkers) and p63 (7JUL, 1:10, Novocastra) for 90 minutes, washed three times in 10% normal goat serum in PBS prior to 30 min incubation with AF488-conjugated secondary antibodies.

To assess polarization in rBM, sections of gels were stained with primary antibodies against sialomucin (1:10) and K19 (1:50, Abcam or 1:800, Genway) for 60 min followed by 60 min with secondary antibodies. Staining for ER was performed using peroxidase (SP1 ready-to-use, Labvision) or fluorescence (1D5 1:25, Dako M7047).

Co-cultures were double-stained with combinations of K19 (BA16 1:50, Abcam and 1:800, Genway, anti-IgG1 AF568 1:500), K14 (LL002 1:25, anti-IgG3 AF488 1:500), ER (1D5 1:25, anti-IgG1 AF568, 1:500), MUC1 (115D8 1:10, anti-IgG2b AF488, 1:500) and Ki67 (SP6 rabbit monoclonal, 1:25, RM 9106-S, Thermo Scientific, goat anti-rabbit IgG AF488, 1:500) at day 13-14.

Nuclei were stained with 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Life Technologies) or fluorescence (1D5 1:25, Dako M7047).

Response to estrogen

The response to estrogen and an estrogen receptor antagonist was assessed by plating four sets of 6,000 iHBECERpos cells/cm² or 4,000 MCF7 cells/cm² and exposing them to estrogen (10⁻⁸ M, β-estradiol, E2758, Sigma-Aldrich) with or without estrogen receptor antagonist (10⁻⁸ M and 10⁻¹⁰ M Fulvestrant, IC1 182,780, Sigma-Aldrich, respectively) as compared to vehicle (ethanol) for seven days prior to trypsinization and counting (CASY cell counter). An additional set of cultures was
stained for ER and PR by peroxidase and counterstained with hematoxylin. To test the response to estrogen with or without ICI 182,780 (10^{-7} M) in the parental MCF7 line in standard medium, lines in passage 287 and 312, cultured in separate laboratories since passage 240 were employed. To quantify for ER and PR expression upon estrogen stimulation, 12,000 iHBECERpos cells/cm² in passage 35 (adapted to TGFβR2i-1 in passage 29) or 5,000 MCF7 cells/cm² in passage 328 (adapted in passage 309) were cultured with vehicle or estrogen (10^{-7} M) for 8 days and stained by peroxidase, counterstained with hematoxylin and quantified (3x100 cells) [22].

**RNA extraction, real-time quantitative (RT-q) PCR and transcriptome analysis**

Prior to comparison of transcriptional profiles in response to estrogen, iHBECERpos cells and MCF7 cells were cultured in TGFβR2i-1 for a total of 32 and 45 days, respectively. iHBECERpos cells in passage 33 were plated at a density of 24,000 cells/cm² and MCF7 in passage 316 at a density of 4,000 cells/cm². After six days of culture, cells were exposed to estrogen (10^{-8} M) or vehicle (ethanol) for 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hours. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed and RT-qPCR was performed as described [22].

For transcriptome analysis each group with or without estrogen for 6h was run in triplicate using RNA-Seq technology [44]. Sequencing and bioinformatics analysis was conducted by Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI), Hongkong. In short, Oligo dT magnetic beads were used to select mRNAs with poly A tails or DNA probes were used to hybridize rRNAs to get rid of rRNAs. Selected mRNAs were fragmented and reversely transcribed to double-stranded cDNA (dscDNA) by N6 random primers. Ends of dscDNAs in turn were repaired with phosphate at 5’ end and A at 3’ end in order to ligate adaptors with stickiness T at 3’ end to the dscDNAs which were subjected to amplification. In order to prepare products for sequencing, called a library, the PCR products were denatured and single stranded PCR products were cyclized by splint oligos with DNA ligase. The prepared library was then sequenced using BGISEQ-500 platform generating 23,958,189 raw sequencing reads. Clean reads of 23,950,466 after filtering low quality was mapped to reference using HISAT2/ Bowtie2 tool with the mean mapping rate of 79%. Gene quantification was measured by FPKM calculated based on the expectation maximization algorithm called RSEM ([45].

For subcellular classification, transcribed genes found here without estrogen stimulation in iHBECERpos and MCF7, respectively, were compared to a previously published dataset of human breast lineage gene expression profiles [23]. Differentially expressed gene lists of the human breast lineages defined by CD49f and EpCAM status based on microarray analysis was obtained from supplementary tables 5, 6 and 7 in Lim et al. [23]. Among them, the most highly expressed 20 genes in each lineage: MaSC, luminal progenitor (pLs), or mature luminal (mLs) were selected and searched for their presence in our RNA-Seq data. Using gene expression levels calculated by FPKM from the clean data with coverage=0 in triplicate, 12 genes in MaSc, 14 genes in pLs and 12 genes in mLs were present in our sequencing data.

To identify differentially expressed genes regulated by estrogen, the NOIseq method [25] was performed, using the filtering condition of the probability higher than 0.7 with fold difference more than 2. When FPKM value was not available (coverage=0), the value was treated as 0.01 as the default FPKM. Among statistically significant differentially expressed genes, we selected a repertoire of genes that were upregulated either in iHBECERpos or in MCF7. Differentially regulated genes in MCF7 were further validated by comparing to a previously published dataset on estrogen-regulated genes in MCF7 [28].

**Western blotting**

For Western blotting protein was extracted at day 6 from iHBECERpos cells in passage 36 seeded at 18,000 cells/cm² and MCF7 cells in passage 325 seeded at 4,000 cells/cm² and cultured with or without TGFβR inhibitors. 25 μg of protein was loaded in each lane and Western blotting was performed as previously described [22].
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