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ABSTRACT

CRISPR-Cas (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats and the associated genes) constitute adaptive immune systems in bacteria and archaea and they provide sequence specific immunity against foreign nucleic acids. CRISPR-Cas systems are activated by viral infection. However, little is known about how CRISPR-Cas systems are activated in response to viral infection or how their expression is controlled in the absence of viral infection. Here, we demonstrate that both the transcriptional regulator Csa3b, and the type I-A interference complex Cascade, are required to transcriptionally repress the interference gene cassette in the archaeon Sulfolobus. Csa3b binds to two palindromic repeat sites in the promoter region of the cassette and facilitates binding of the Cascade to the promoter region. Upon viral infection, loading of Cascade complexes onto crRNA-matching protospacers leads to relief of the transcriptional repression. Our data demonstrate a mechanism coupling CRISPR-Cas surveillance of protospacers to transcriptional regulation of the interference gene cassette thereby allowing a fast response to viral infection.

INTRODUCTION

Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and their CRISPR associated genes (cas) constitute the CRISPR-Cas immune system. This system provides immunity against invading genetic elements in most archaeal and many bacterial species (1,2). Short DNA fragments originating from an invading virus or plasmid are incorporated into the repeat-spacer array adjacent to the CRISPR leader, in a process known as adaptation (3–5). The CRISPR leader harboring a promoter initiates transcription of the CRISPR locus and the long pre-crRNA transcript is then processed to generate mature CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) that are bound by Cas proteins to form ribonucleoprotein complexes for interference (6–9). Interference complexes have been classified into three major types (I, II and III) and two putative minor types (IV and V) (10). Among these, CRISPR-Cas type I is most widespread in nature and the interference complex is termed Cascade (CRISPR-associated complex for antiviral defense) (10,11).

Since the first experimental demonstration of the antiviral function of the CRISPR-Cas systems (1), major efforts in the field have been dedicated to elucidating the structural and functional characteristics of CRISPR-Cas adaptation, transcription/processing and interference (12). In contrast, much less is known about how CRISPR-Cas systems are regulated. Among the few studies reported, Agari et al. investigated the genome wide transcription profile of Thermus thermophilus HB8 upon infection by the lytic phage ΦYS40. Expression of the majority of cas genes were found to be significantly upregulated at 75 min post phage infection (13). Similarly, Quax et al. also detected upregulation of the majority of CRISPR arrays and interference gene cassettes in Sulfolobus islandicus LAL14/1 upon infection by rudivirus SIRV2. The expression of two type I-A gene cassettes, one type I-D gene cassette and one type III-B gene cassette reached the highest level at 1 h post infection, while expression of another type I-D gene cassette continued increasing (14).

The differential expression of CRISPR-Cas systems upon viral infection strongly indicates the involvement of transcription regulators. However, very few transcription regulators involved in CRISPR-Cas transcriptional control have been studied. In Escherichia coli K12, the heat-stable nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS), which recognizes and silences the expression of foreign DNA with high AT content relative to the resident genome, was also shown to be
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involved in silencing CRISPR-cas promoters (15). Moreover, H-NS-mediated repression of CRISPR-based immunity could be relieved by a LysR-type transcription factor LeuO through direct binding to two sites flanking the casA promoter and the H-NS nucleation site (16). BaeR activated by envelope stress can also promote release of H-NS mediated cas repression by serving as an antagonist for H-NS binding (17). CRP represses type I-E CRISPR-Cas system in E. coli by competing with the activator LeuO (16,18), whereas in Pseudomonas aeruginosa it activates type I-F CRISPR-Cas transcription. In P. atrosepticum, temperature protein G (HtpG) chaperone can affect the translation genes and trigger CRISPR spacer acquisition (21). Here, we report on the transcriptional co-regulation of CRISPR-Cas type I-A interference gene cassettes by both Csa3b (SSO1444 and Sre0776, sharing 87% identity) and the Cascade complex in the archaeon Sulfolobus islandicus. The putative transcriptional regulator Csa3a (Sre0776), associated with the CRISPR-Cas adaptation gene cassette (Sre0763-0760) in the genome of Sulfolobus islandicus Rey1.5A, was shown to activate the transcription of adaptation genes and trigger de novo CRISPR spacer acquisition (22).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, media and growth conditions

Sulfolobus strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1 with the genotype indicated. S. islandicus LAL549 was isolated by spreading S. islandicus LAL14/1 on plates containing uracil and FOA as described previously (27). It carries a deletion of 549 bp (1 301 868–1 302 416 bp) inside pyrF gene. Sulfolobus strains were grown at 78° C in basic salt medium (28) supplemented with 0.2% Glucose, 0.2% Casamino Acids, 0.005% Yeast extract and a vitamin mixture (GCVY). When needed, arabinose (0.2%) was substituted for glucose to induce gene expression from the arabinose promoter on different plasmid constructs (ACVY). E. coli strain DH5α and Rosetta cells were used for DNA cloning and recombinant protein production. All E. coli strains were cultured at 37°C in Lysogeny Broth supplemented with final concentration of 100 μg/ml ampicillin or 30 μg/ml kanamycin as required.

Plasmid construction

Detailed information about cloning vectors, restriction sites and PCR primers for the construction of the plasmids are indicated in Supplementary Table S2. In case of cloning two or more different fragments into the same vector, fusion PCR was performed before cloning and all the four or six primers are indicated. The genome-editing plasmids were constructed following the protocol described previously (29,30). A DNA fragment carrying two copies of type I-A CRISPR repeat separated by two oppositely oriented SapI recognition sequences was inserted into pEXA2 between NdeI and MluI sites adjacent to the arabinose promoter. To facilitate the insertion of mutant allele, an Xhol restriction enzyme site was added adjacent to SpfI to construct the basic genome-editing plasmid pGE1 (Supplementary Figure S1). pGE2 was constructed by replacing type I-A CRISPR repeat with type I-D CRISPR repeat in pGE1. To construct each specific genome-editing plasmid, the spacer DNA fragment was prepared by annealing two complementary oligonucleotides and the resultant dsDNA carrying 3 nt overhangs was inserted into the SapI sites of pGE1 or pGE2. Next, donor DNA fragments containing a mutant allele of target gene were obtained by fusion PCR and the PCR product was inserted between the SpfI and Xhol sites of the mini-CRISPR array containing pGE1 or pGE2, giving complete genome editing plasmids named pGE1 (or pGE2)-target site-Strain (Supplementary Table S2).

Construction of gene or CRISPR array knockout mutants
csa3b and cas8a were deleted from E233S based on the marker insertion and unmarked target gene deletion method (MID) (31) using plasmids pMID-csa3b and pUC19-cas8a (Supplementary Table S2), respectively. The CRISPR array deletion mutants were obtained using the CRISPR-based genome editing method (30). To knockout the two arrays in E233S, pGE1-Array12-E233S (Supplementary Table S2) was transformed into E233S and
Cells were harvested at OD600 around 0.9 and re-settled to amplify by PCR using primers NoBiotin-prev and Biotin-prev. The promoter region of the type I-A interference gene cassette was amplified using primers NoBiotin-prev and Biotin-prev. The promoter region of the type I-A interference gene cassette of *S. solfataricus* was studied in this work, along with the associated CRISPR array (containing 31 spacers) and the following type I-A adaptation gene cassette. Both gene ID (SSO numbers) and *cas* nomenclature are shown for each gene. The Pcas orientation and start site are indicated (bended arrow). (B) Depiction of *csa5*-containing inserts cloned into three constructs (pcsa5-39, −62 and −77) based on plasmid vector pEXA2. As reference, the position and orientation of chromosomal Pcas (black bar) in relation to csa3 (gray arrow) and csa5 (black arrow) is indicated on top of the three inserts. (C) Transformation efficiency of different *csa5*-containing constructs in Sens1 (lacking functional CRISPR-Cas) and InF1 cells.

Pull-down assay using biotinylated DNA

The promoter region of the type I-A interference gene cassette was amplified by PCR using primers NoBiotin-pcsa5-rev and Biotin-pcsa5-fwd (Supplementary Table S2). *Sulfolobus* Cells were harvested at OD600 around 0.9 and resuspended in DNA-binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 10% glycerol) before sonication. The biotinylated DNA (4 μg) and 100 μM M-280 Streptavidin Dynabeads (Invitrogen, 11205D) were added to the cell extract and then mixed gently at 50 °C for 20 min. After incubation, the beads were washed three times and dissolved in 100 μl binding buffer. A portion of the beads was boiled and loaded for SDS-PAGE.

Protein purification and antibody preparation

*csa3b* (*sso1444*) was cloned downstream of the arabinose promoter and upstream of the histidine tag coding sequence in pEXA3 to make pAPCsa3b-His6 for His-tagged Csa3b (Csa3b-His6) protein expression in *Sulfolobus*. For Csa3b-His6 protein expression in *E. coli* Rosetta cells, the pET-30a based construct pCsa3b-His6 (Supplementary Table S2) was used. pAPCsa3b-His6 was transformed into *S. solfataricus* Sens1 for Csa3b-His6 purification. *Sulfolobus* cells were grown in GCVY medium at 78 °C until OD600 reached around 0.6. To induce Csa3b-His6 expression from the arabinose promoter, we transferred the culture from GCVY to ACVY medium (0.2% arabinose was substituted for glucose) and the culture was incubated overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8000 g for 10 min, resuspended in buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4 (PH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF) and lysed by sonication. The lysate was subjected to His-tag purification using Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The N-terminal fragment of Csa3b containing 120 aa residues was purified from *E. coli* transformed with plasmid pCsa3bpartN-His6 (Supplementary Table S2) and the partial Csa3b was used to raise polyclonal antibody in rabbit (Innovagen, Sweden).

DNase I footprinting assay

FAM fluorescence labeling capillary electrophoresis was used for DNase I footprinting (32). First, the wild-type and mutant forms of Pcas were PCR amplified using primers listed in Supplementary Table S3 and the PCR products were cloned into pJET1.2. Using these plasmids as a template, FAM labeled DNAs were obtained by amplifying the insert with the primer Fprintingfwd and the FAM labeled primer rev and Biotin-prev. The promoter region and upstream of the histine tag coding sequence of Csa3b containing 120 aa residues was purified from *E. coli* transformed with plasmid pCsa3bpartN-His6 (Supplementary Table S2) and the partial Csa3b was used to raise polyclonal antibody in rabbit (Innovagen, Sweden).

β-Galactosidase assay

All constructs including placS-77, placS-39, placS-77 R1 L, placS-77 R1 R, placS-77 R1 L and placS-77 R1 R were transformed into suitable *Sulfolobus* strains individually,
and single colonies were isolated. β-Galactosidase activity in an equal amount of total crude proteins was measured for each transformant, as described previously (33).

RNA isolation, quantitative RT-PCR analysis and RNA sequencing

Total RNAs were extracted from *Sulfolobus* cells (50 ml, OD<sub>600</sub> around 0.2) using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the instruction of the manufacturer. Twenty micrograms of RNA was treated by DNase (TURBO DNA-free<sup>TM</sup> Kit, Life Technologies, AM1907) and 3.5 μg DNase-treated RNA was subjected to first strand cDNA synthesis using Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, K1622). Quantitative PCR analysis was conducted using the cDNAs as templates and Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific, K0221). Template cDNA, 5 μl Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix and forward and reverse primers (each 5 pmol) were mixed in each reaction system (total volume 10 μl). The PCR protocol consisted of 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 56°C for 10 s and 72°C for 20 s. Relative amounts of RNAs were calculated using the comparative Ct method (34), and amplification efficiencies of *csa5* and reference *tfbI* sequences were validated (Supplementary Figure S3). For RNA sequencing, 20 μg DNase treated RNAs were sent to BGI, Shenzhen, China followed by standardized library construction and Illumina sequencing. The RNA-Seq data were normalized and the ratio of CRISPR-Cas related transcripts between the knockout mutants and the wild-type E233S strain was calculated by BGI according to their standard procedure.

ChIP assay

*Sulfolobus* cultures (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.4) were fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C and then quenched by adding glycine to a final concentration of 125 mM, followed by an additional incubation of 5 min at 37°C. Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed using methods developed by Jia Guo et al. (35). Following DNA extraction with phenol/chloroform and DNA precipitation, DNA pellet was washed twice with 70% ethanol and resuspended in 50 μl Milli-Q water, and a 0.01 μl DNA solution was subjected to quantitative PCR using the primer sets listed in Supplementary Table S3.

Comparative bioinformatics

Putative Csa3 sequences were identified from genomes present in Refseq, as of 21.03.2016, using the TIGRFAMs hidden Markov model TIGR01884 and hmmsearch from the HMMER 3.0 package and the model's trusted cutoffs (36–38). Muscle version 3.8.31 was used for multiple alignments. The evolutionary relationship was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model (39). Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model, and then by selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree with the highest log likelihood (−26 217.2609) was selected and drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis included 142 aa sequences. There were a total of 336 positions in the final data set. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (40). Sequences upstream of CRISPR-Cas type I-A interference gene cassettes were extracted manually and motifs were identified using MEME version 4.8.1 (41).

RESULTS

Two tandem palindromic sequences in promoter Pcas are involved in transcriotional regulation of the *Sulfolobus* type I-A interference gene cassette.

To identify regulatory sequences in the promoter of type I-A interference gene cassette of *S. solfataricus*, Pcas, we shortened the 77 bp Peas promoter to 62 or 39 bp upstream of *csa5*, and the plasmid constructs (Figure 1B) were electroporated individually into InF1 cells. In contrast to the 77 bp promoter sequence, both shortened promoter sequences conferred toxicity to their corresponding construct because no InF1 transformants were obtained (Figure 1C). This suggests that a regulatory sequence in the 77 bp promoter region was absent or incomplete in the shortened promoter sequences. Indeed, two 18-bp tandem imperfect repeats, RI and RII, are present immediately upstream of the basal promoter, each of which contains palindromic ends that could serve as binding sites for transcriptional regulators (Figure 2). Both repeats are missing in the 39 bp promoter whereas the TATA box-proximal repeat (RII) remains intact and most of the distal repeat (RI) is deleted in the 62 bp promoter (Figure 1B).

Next, in order to investigate the involvement of the palindromic repeats in the regulation of gene expression from Pcas, we mutated the palindromic sequences individually and the promoter was fused in pEXA2 vector with a reporter gene encoding galactosidase (LacS, SiRe2224) (Figure 2A and B). The plasmid constructs containing the shortened promoter (39 bp) (placS-39), or the 77 bp promoter with or without mutations (placS-77 wild type (WT), RI or RII mutants), were transformed individually into InF1 and the specific β-galactosidase activity was measured for each transformant. The activity was normalized for each strain by arbitrarily setting the activity from InF1 / placS-39 as 100. As shown in Figure 2C, activity derived from the 39 bp promoter (placS-39) was more than 10 times higher than that obtained from the 77 bp promoter (placS-77 WT), indicative of a promoter derepression in the former. Since the only difference between the two promoter derivatives is the tandem palindromic repeats carried by the latter, these repeats could function in the repression of the promoter activity. Indeed, mutation of half of each palindromic repeat resulted in an elevated reporter gene activity (Figure 2C), suggesting a reduced or abolished binding of repressor(s) at the mutated sites. RI appears slightly more important than RII as the effect of mutation is more profound for the former.
Csa3b binds specifically to the palindromic repeat sequences in Pcas

A 227 bp sequence upstream of csa5 start codon was amplified by PCR with one of the two primers biotinylated. This biotinylated DNA contains both RI and RII sites and was used for pull-down assay to identify transcriptional regulator(s) of the promoter. Cellular extracts were prepared from Sens1 and InF1 cultures. As transcriptional repression only occurred in InF1, no transcriptional repressor was expected to bind to the sequence in Sens1, which was thus used as a control. One protein was obtained from InF1 cells that was absent from Sens1 cells in the pull-down assay and the size (23 kDa) was similar to that of Csa3b (SS01444). Western blotting using an antibody against Csa3b (SS01444) revealed a specific signal at the position of the extra band present in InF1 (Supplementary Figure S4A). Compared to the His-tagged and thus slightly larger Csa3b expressed in and purified from E. coli, the intensity of the Western blot signal was proportional to that observed in Coomassie blue stained PAGE gel (Supplementary Figure S4A). Further, a similar band occurred in the sample obtained from the pull-down assay with Sens1 cells carrying a plasmid-borne csa3b (Supplementary Figure S4A). Therefore, we concluded that the extra band in InF1 sample was Csa3b protein.

DNase I footprinting assays were performed on the promoter region in the presence of absence of Csa3b protein, using a capillary sequencer to analyze the protected regions (32). The template strand of the DNA fragments covering the two repeats, RI and RII, was labeled by FAM and subjected to DNase I footprinting assays. In contrast to the control (black signal), the DNA sample bound by Csa3b (red signal) was protected over most of the repeat region, including both RI and RII sites (Figure 3A). Moreover, four hypersensitive sites occurred upon Csa3b binding, corresponding to the four nucleotides at the ends of the palindromes (G and C in red, Figure 3A). This suggests that binding of Csa3b on the repeat region caused DNA bending making the four nucleotides highly accessible for DNase I digestion. Next, the two regulation sites (RI and RII) were mutated individually and subjected to the same assay. Mutation of the right palindromic part of each regulation site prevented the protection of the corresponding site by Csa3b and the two corresponding hypersensitive sites disappeared (Figure 3B and C). This demonstrates that Csa3b may regulate the expression of CRISPR-Cas type I-A interference gene cassette by binding to the two regulation sites upstream of the promoter Pcas.

Cascade is involved in the transcriptional repression of the type I-A interference gene cassette

As described above, the presence of the RI and RII sequences in the promoter confers more than 10 times repression in InF1 cells (Figure 2) encoding multiple CRISPR-Cas gene cassettes (42). To establish whether Csa3b was the sole protein responsible for the repression, the reporter gene lacS was fused with the promoter containing both RI and RII (promoter 77) and cloned into pEXA2 with or without the csa3b gene (Supplementary Figure S4B). csa3b was positioned either upstream or downstream of lacS. The three constructs were transformed into Sens1 cells lacking functional CRISPR-Cas systems and the β-galactosidase activity was measured and quantified by arbitrarily setting the activity derived from the plasmid placs-77 as 100. In the presence of csa3b, LacS activity was reduced to about 40% (Supplementary Figure S4C), suggesting that the much stronger repression of the promoter in InF1 cells (>10-fold, Figure 2) resulted from co-operation between Csa3b and other element(s).

To assess the role of Csa3b on transcription regulation, we deleted csa3b from S. islandicus E233S (27) which carries a single type I-A interference gene cassette and an upstream csa3b (Supplementary Figure S5), both of which share high sequence similarities (86–95%) to the corresponding homologs in S. solfataricus InF1. RNAs were isolated from both E233S and the knockout strain Δcsa3b, and the transcript level of csa5, the first gene of the interference gene cassette, was compared by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR). The threshold cycle (Ct) values of samples, with three technical replicates, are shown in Supplementary Table S4A. The analysis showed that csa5 transcription was upregulated 25 times in Δcsa3b, indicating that Csa3b is needed for the repression of the interference gene cassette (Figure 4A). This is further supported by the restored transcriptional repression of csa5 in Δcsa3b cells complemented
with a plasmid-borne \textit{csa3b} (\textit{pca3b}) expressed from its native promoter (\textit{\Delta csa3b/pcsa3b} in Figure 4A).

We examined the overall effect of \textit{csa3b} deletion on transcription by sequencing the transcriptomes of \textit{\Delta csa3b} and the complement strain \textit{\Delta csa3b/pcsa3b} with that of the mother strain E233S as reference. Along with the three samples, we also sequenced the transcriptomes of individual deletion mutants of the type I-A interference gene cassette (\textit{\Delta csa5}, \textit{\Delta cas7}, \textit{\Delta cas5}, \textit{\Delta cas3'}, \textit{\Delta cas6}) and the transcriptome of two type III-B interference gene cassettes deletion mutants (\textit{\Delta emr a emr b}), all of which were generated previously \cite{43}. As expected, the expression of all the genes of the type I-A interference gene cassette (\textit{csa5}–\textit{cas6}) was upregulated in the \textit{\Delta csa3b} cells by between 14- and 40-fold, and the repression is restored in the complement strain \textit{\Delta csa3b/pcsa3b} (Supplementary Table S5A and B). Surprisingly, deletion of any individual component of the type I-A interference gene cassette also caused upregulated transcription of the gene cassette, with the effect of \textit{cas7} deletion and \textit{cas6} deletion being most profound (up to 45-fold and 22-fold, respectively). The only exceptions were the last three genes (\textit{cas3'}, \textit{cas8a} and \textit{cas6}) that are moderately downregulated in \textit{\Delta cas5} and \textit{\Delta cas3'}. The upregulation of the type I-A interference gene cassette appears specific, because the adaptation gene cassette was not affected. Importantly, deleting both \textit{emr} cassettes did not cause significant transcriptional regulation on the type I-A interference gene cassette. This suggests that the components of the type I-A interference gene cassette are involved in transcriptional repression of the gene cassette.

Next, we performed quantitative RT-PCR on \textit{csa5} to verify the upregulation of the type I-A interference gene cassette in the individual deletion mutant. The threshold cycle (Ct) values of samples, with three technical replicates, are shown in Supplementary Table S4A. Values for the \textit{tfb} I gene were used for normalization. The normalized value for \textit{csa5} transcript in WT was set to 1. In accordance with the transcriptomic data, \textit{csa5} is upregulated about 45, 9, 13, 18, 19 and 26 times, in \textit{\Delta cas7}, \textit{\Delta cas5}, \textit{\Delta cas3'}, \textit{\Delta cas3''}, \textit{\Delta cas8a} and \textit{\Delta cas6}, respectively (Figure 4A). As \textit{cas6} is responsible for mature crRNA biogenesis in \textit{S. islandicus}, and deletion of \textit{cas6} caused dramatic upregulation of the type I-A interference gene cassette, we set out to check whether crRNAs are also involved in transcriptional repression of the gene cassette. Depletion of crRNA by deleting the CRISPR repeat arrays along with the acquisition gene cassette (E233S/\textit{\Delta arrays}) (Supplementary Figure S5) caused a 25-fold upregulation of \textit{csa5} transcription (Figure 4A). The necessity of crRNA for the transcriptional repression was further supported by the restored transcriptional repression of \textit{csa5} in E233S/\textit{\Delta arrays/arrayCK} cells carrying a plasmid-borne mini-CRISPR composed of two copies of type I-A repeat interspaced with one spacer (arrayCK, Supplementary Table S2). Taken together, the data suggest that all the type I-A interference components, including crRNA, are involved in the transcriptional repression of the gene.
SIRV2 genome with one to five base pair mismatches.

Pcas. Results from three technical replicates are shown in both A and B, and error bars indicate standard deviation. WT: E233S.

and Cas3′ involved in transcriptional repression of Pcas, one comprising all the components and the other missing crRNA, Cas3′ and Cas3″. This possibility is supported by previous studies suggesting the existence of subcomplexes of the type I system (44–47).

Recruitment of Cascade to protospacers leads to Pcas derepression

The requirement of crRNA and all the components of the interference gene cassette for the repression strongly suggest that the Cascade complex binds to the promoter Pcas. However, it is not feasible to test the binding in vitro due to the difficulty of isolating a complete and functional Cascade from Sulfolobus, as experienced in our laboratory and by others (45). Therefore, we employed a virus-host system to investigate the effect of recruiting Cascade to viral protospacers.

Sulfolobus islandicus LAL14/1, the model host of rudi-virus SIRV2, encodes five CRISPR arrays as well as type I-A, type I-D and type III-B systems (48), the majority of which were upregulated 1 h post infection by SIRV2 (14). The CRISPR arrays contain 13 spacers matching SIRV2 genome, but the mismatches were corrected in the mini-CRISPR arrays to construct Cgp38-CCA and Tgp38-CCG (Supplementary Figure S6). The four constructs were transformed individually into LALΔarrays with arrayCK being a control which does not match either the genome of LALΔarrays or that of SIRV2. The transformants were grown in arabinose-containing ACVY liquid medium until OD_{600} around 0.2, infected with SIRV2 (multiplicity of infection around 5) and the level of csa5 transcript at 1 h post infection was compared by quantitative RT-PCR. The threshold cycle (Ct) values of samples, with three technical replicates, are shown in Supplementary Table S4B. In comparison with the transcript level in the corresponding uninfected cultures (Figure 5), csa5 was upregulated around 6 and 4-fold post infection in cultures containing C_{gp38-CCA} and T_{gp38-CCG}, respectively, demonstrating a transcriptional derepression of the type I-A interference gene cassette. No upregulation of csa5 was detected in infected cells containing the control spacer carried on arrayCK. Compared to the CCN PAM for type I-A interference complex, the use of CGT did not lead to a release of the repression while the use of CAT resulted in a mild release (∼1.8-fold) of the repression. The slight release observed with C_{gp38-CAT} correlates with an observed low interfer-
The size distribution of the fragmented DNA, a loading control (SDS PAGE of 5 μl cell lysate) and the specificity of Csa5-Ab were shown in Supplementary Figure S8. The amount of Pcas DNA was then quantified by qPCR using tfb1 gene (704 kbp from the Pcas) as reference. The threshold cycle (Ct) values of samples, with three technical replicates, are shown in Supplementary Table S4D. As shown in Figure 6, ∼7-fold more DNA from the promoter region was detected in sample E233S precipitated by Csa5 antibody relative to the control where Csa5 antibody was not added. This supports the inference that Cascade binds to Pcas and this is further supported by the failure to detect significant amounts of Pcas DNA in samples Δcas7, Δcas8 or Δarrays and by the detection of ∼5-fold more DNA in sample Δarrays complemented by a plasmid-borne mini-CRISPR (Figure 6). Interestingly, the Csa5-antibody failed to precipitate Pcas DNA from the csa3b deletion mutant Δcsa3b, whereas complementation of csa3b deficiency by a plasmid-borne csa3b (Δcsa3b/pcsa3b) allowed precipitation of 11-fold more Pcas DNA by Csa5 antibody than the control (Figure 6). This suggests that Csa3b is needed for Cascade binding to Pcas.

Conservation of csa3 and Pcas in archaea

We searched for Csa3 in all genomes present in the Refseq database and retrieved 142 Csa3 sequences using the TIGR-FAMs hidden Markov model specific for Csa3. More than half (53%) of the csa3 genes are immediately adjacent to CRISPR-Cas type I-A gene cassettes, and the remainder are associated with CRISPR-Cas type I-D, type I-B, type I-C, type I-E and/or type III (in total ∼26%) as well as with non-CRISPR related genes (∼21%) (Supplementary Table S6). Phylogenetic analysis demonstrates that most of the Csa3 sequences associated with type I-A or type I-D gene cassettes are clustered together (Supplementary Figure S9).

Further analysis was performed for a major cluster comprising Csa3 sequences that are closely related to SSO1444 and SiRe0765, and are therefore designated Csa3b (Figure 7). These csa3b genes are all derived from genomes of Sulfolobaceae and all except two are proximal to a type I-A

Figure 5. Transcriptional regulation of csa5 in LALΔarrays carrying different plasmid-borne spacers upon SIRV2 infection. LALΔarrays transformed with construct Tgp38-CCG, Tgp38-CGT, Cgp38-CCA, Cgp38-CAT or arrayCK, as well as the wild-type (WT) strain LAL549, were incubated with (+ SIRV2) or without SIRV2 (- SIRV2) before RNA extraction and RT-qPCR. Sample normalization was done as indicated for Figure 4A. Results from three technical replicates are shown, and error bars indicate standard deviation.

Figure 6. In vivo binding of Cascade to Pcas. ChIP-qPCR values for the tfb1 gene were used for normalization. The normalized value for Pcas derived from E233S (WT) without antibody addition was set to 1. Results from three technical replicates are shown, and error bars indicate standard deviation. +, with Csa5-Ab; –, without Csa5-Ab.
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Further analysis was performed for a major cluster comprising Csa3 sequences that are closely related to SSO1444 and SiRe0765, and are therefore designated Csa3b (Figure 7). These csa3b genes are all derived from genomes of Sulfolobaceae and all except two are proximal to a type I-A

Conservation of csa3 and Pcas in archaea

We searched for Csa3 in all genomes present in the Refseq database and retrieved 142 Csa3 sequences using the TIGR-FAMs hidden Markov model specific for Csa3. More than half (53%) of the csa3 genes are immediately adjacent to CRISPR-Cas type I-A gene cassettes, and the remainder are associated with CRISPR-Cas type I-D, type I-B, type I-C, type I-E and/or type III (in total ∼26%) as well as with non-CRISPR related genes (∼21%) (Supplementary Table S6). Phylogenetic analysis demonstrates that most of the Csa3 sequences associated with type I-A or type I-D gene cassettes are clustered together (Supplementary Figure S9).

Further analysis was performed for a major cluster comprising Csa3 sequences that are closely related to SSO1444 and SiRe0765, and are therefore designated Csa3b (Figure 7). These csa3b genes are all derived from genomes of Sulfolobaceae and all except two are proximal to a type I-A

Figure 5. Transcriptional regulation of csa5 in LALΔarrays carrying different plasmid-borne spacers upon SIRV2 infection. LALΔarrays transformed with construct Tgp38-CCG, Tgp38-CGT, Cgp38-CCA, Cgp38-CAT or arrayCK, as well as the wild-type (WT) strain LAL549, were incubated with (+ SIRV2) or without SIRV2 (- SIRV2) before RNA extraction and RT-qPCR. Sample normalization was done as indicated for Figure 4A. Results from three technical replicates are shown, and error bars indicate standard deviation.

Figure 6. In vivo binding of Cascade to Pcas. ChIP-qPCR values for the tfb1 gene were used for normalization. The normalized value for Pcas derived from E233S (WT) without antibody addition was set to 1. Results from three technical replicates are shown, and error bars indicate standard deviation. +, with Csa5-Ab; –, without Csa5-Ab.
interference gene cassette (Figure 7, Supplementary Table S6). Moreover, the promoter regions of the immediately adjacent type I-A interference gene cassettes are conserved and mostly carry two highly similar palindromic repeats (Figure 7). On the other hand, more than 90% of CRISPR-Cas type I-A gene cassettes have an adjacent csa3 gene, previously designated casR (50). Taken together, this suggests that Csa3b/Cascade mediated transcriptional repression is likely applicable to most CRISPR-Cas type I-A systems.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that the *Sulfolobus* transcriptional repressor Csa3b binds to two imperfect palindromic repeats (TTTCTNN/GAAAA/T) upstream of the promoter of the type I-A interference gene cassette (Figure 3). However, Csa3b alone can only confer a moderate level of repression on the expression of the type I-A interference gene cassette in *Sulfolobus*. All components of the type I-A Cascade complex, including crRNAs, are needed for complete repression (Figure 4A and B, Supplementary Table S5A and B).

Importantly, recruitment of the type I-A Cascade complex to crRNA-matching protospacers leads to transcriptional derepression that requires the type I-A PAM, CCN (Figure 5). Further, ChIP assay strongly suggested that the Cascade complex binds to the promoter (Figure 6). Taken together, the data allow us to propose the following model for transcriptional regulation of CRISPR-Cas type I-A interference gene cassette in *Sulfolobus* (Figure 8). In the absence of viral infection, Cascade binds at the promoter region and maintains transcriptional repression in a Csa3b-dependent manner. Upon viral infection Cascade is redistributed to protoscaler sites on the viral genome, and its binding to the promoter is thus reduced allowing transcriptional activation of the interference gene cassette.

Our data also suggest that a subcomplex of Cascade containing Csa5, Cas7, Cas5, Cas8a and Cas6 is involved in transcriptional repression of Peas (Figures 4B and 8). This subcomplex is not expected to direct viral infection surveillance due to the lack of crRNA, Cas3′ and Cas3′′ (Figure 8). It remains an interesting question as to how
Virus genome with one to five base pair mismatches. Despite being susceptible to SIRV2 infection, LAL549 wild-type cells exhibit a degree of CRISPR-Cas interference against the virus, as evidenced by much lower plaquing efficiency in the wild-type cells than in the CRISPR array deletion mutant LALΔarrays (data not shown). The partial defence against SIRV2 could be due to the absence of spacers that could confer strong interference activities or unknown viral counteractive mechanisms (54,55). Nevertheless, activation of Cascade expression is expected to confer higher interference activity.

Virus induced transcriptional activation of CRISPR-Cas systems has also been described for bacteria (13). Although CRP-dependent upregulation of two cas operons was observed in Thermus thermophiles HB8 upon infection by the lytic phage PhiYS40, the regulatory mechanism remains unknown. Moreover, upregulation of several cas genes and multiple CRISPR loci in the infected cells was independent of CRP. Further, CRP activates type I-F CRISPR-Cas system in P. atrosepticum (19), whereas it represses type I-E CRISPR-Cas system in E. coli (16,18). This suggests that different regulatory pathways operate in different prokaryotes.

To date, <10 global transcription regulators have been identified from several bacterial organisms including E. coli, Salmonella Typhi, Myxococcus xanthus and Thermus thermophilus, which repress or activate CRISPR-Cas transcription (53). However, all except one were investigated in the absence of viral infection (13). Therefore, it remains an open question whether, and how, these regulators respond to viral infection. The tight association of csa3b with type I-A interference gene cassettes and the prevalence of palindromic sequences directly upstream of the gene cassettes in some archaea suggests that transcriptional regulation of type I-A interference gene cassettes by Csa3b and the Cascade complex may be a highly conserved and important regulation mechanism.

*S. islandicus* LAL549 carries 13 spacers matching SIRV2 genome with one to five base pair mismatches. Despite being susceptible to SIRV2 infection, LAL549 wild-type cells exhibit a degree of CRISPR-Cas interference against the virus, as evidenced by much lower plaquing efficiency in the wild-type cells than in the CRISPR array deletion mutant LALΔarrays (data not shown). The partial defence against SIRV2 could be due to the absence of spacers that could confer strong interference activities or unknown viral counteractive mechanisms (54,55). Nevertheless, activation of Cascade expression is expected to confer higher interference activity.

Virus induced transcriptional activation of CRISPR-Cas systems has also been described for bacteria (13). Although CRP-dependent upregulation of two cas operons was observed in Thermus thermophiles HB8 upon infection by the lytic phage PhiYS40, the regulatory mechanism remains unknown. Moreover, upregulation of several cas genes and multiple CRISPR loci in the infected cells was independent of CRP. Further, CRP activates type I-F CRISPR-Cas system in P. atrosepticum (19), whereas it represses type I-E CRISPR-Cas system in E. coli (16,18). This suggests that different regulatory pathways operate in different prokaryotes.

To date, a complete and functional type I-A Cascade complex has been isolated only from one organism, the crenarchaeon Thermoproteus tenax, which contains all the six proteins encoded in the interference gene cassette, Csa5, Cas7, Cas5, Cas8a, Cas3′ and Cas3 ′′ (44). In Sulfolobus solfataricus, only a single subcomplex of the type I-A Cascade has been isolated containing Cas7 and Cas5 (45). Therefore, since we find that all the six protein components, and the crRNA, are involved in the complete repression of its own promoter, Sulfolobus type I-A Cascade probably has a similar composition to that of T. tenax.

Regardless of the classification of the CRISPR-Cas interference (types I-V), they are all involved in protospacer recognition and subsequent degradation of DNA/RNA targets (10,56). In this study, we demonstrate, for the first

---

**Figure 8.** Model of transcriptional regulation of CRISPR-Cas type I-A interference gene cassette. Stage 1, Peas is repressed by Csa3b and Cascade or Cascade subcomplex in the absence of viral infection; stage 2, a protospacer is introduced into the host cell upon viral infection; stage 3, binding of Cascade to the protospacer reduces the amount of Cascade on Peas, leading to transcriptional derepression. The subcomplex lacks crRNA, Cas3 and Cas3′′, and is thus not expected to direct viral infection surveillance (stage 2′).
time, that the type I-A Cascade complex is directly involved in transcriptional repression of its own gene cassette. This mechanism enables the coupling of viral DNA surveillance to transcriptional activation, and thereby facilitates a rapid response to viral infections.
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