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Caffeine and Bicarbonate for Speed. A Meta-Analysis of Legal Supplements Potential for Improving Intense Endurance Exercise Performance

Peter M. Christensen1, 2, Yusuke Shirai1, Christian Ritz1 and Nikolai B. Nordsborg1*

1 Section of Integrated Physiology, Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2 Team Danmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

A 1% change in average speed is enough to affect medal rankings in intense Olympic endurance events lasting ~45 s to 8 min which for example includes 100 m swimming and 400 m running (~1 min), 1,500 m running and 4000 m track cycling (~4 min) and 2,000 m rowing (~6-8 min). To maximize the likelihood of winning, athletes utilize legal supplements with or without scientifically documented beneficial effects on performance. Therefore, a continued systematic evaluation of the possible ergogenic effects is of high importance. A meta-analysis was conducted with a strict focus on closed-end performance tests in humans in the time domain from 45 s to 8 min. These tests include time-trials or total work done in a given time. This selection criterion results in a high relevance for athletic performance. Only peer-reviewed placebo controlled studies were included. The often-applied and potentially ergogenic supplements beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine and nitrate were selected for analysis. Following a systematic search in PubMed and SportsDiscuss combined with evaluation of cross references a total of 7 (beta-alanine), 25 (bicarbonate), 9 (caffeine), and 5 (nitrate) studies was included in the meta-analysis. For each study, performance was converted to an average speed (km/h) from which an effect size (ES; Cohen’s d with 95% confidence intervals) was calculated. A small effect and significant performance improvement relative to placebo was observed for caffeine (ES: 0.41 [0.15–0.68], \( P = 0.002 \)) and bicarbonate (ES: 0.40 [0.27–0.54], \( P < 0.001 \)). Trivial and non-significant effects on performance was observed for nitrate (ES: 0.19 [−0.03–0.40], \( P = 0.09 \)) and beta-alanine (ES: 0.17 [−0.12–0.46], \( P = 0.24 \)). Thus, caffeine’s and bicarbonate’s ergogenic effect is clearly documented for intense endurance performance. Importantly, for all supplements an individualized approach may improve the ergogenic effect on performance.
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INTRODUCTION

A 1% change in average speed is enough to affect medal rankings in intense Olympic endurance events lasting \(\sim 45 \text{s} \) to 8 min (Table 1). To maximize the likelihood of winning, athletes utilize legal supplements with or without scientifically documented effects on performance (Tsitsimpikou et al., 2009; Tscholl et al., 2010; Solheim et al., 2016). Extensive narrative reviews exist, but systematic critical evaluation of frequently used supplements effects on human exercise performance are lacking, but are required in order to advise elite-, subelite- and age-group athletes for or against usage. Importantly, the magnitude of possible performance enhancing effects related to supplementation must be seen relative to the obtainable effects of physical, technical and tactical training. When advising for or against supplement usage it is important to realize that early exposure to supplements may increase the likelihood of reverting to illegal performance enhancing strategies (Dodge and Jaccard, 2006). Moreover, the risk of contamination or undeclared illegal substances in products is noteworthy and can result in a positive doping test (Geyer et al., 2004; Outram and Stewart, 2015). Thus, it is important for athletes and staff to balance the potential performance gain with the known risks associated with supplementation.

In the context of detecting subtle differences in performance the type of exercise testing is of outmost importance. To obtain the highest elite-sport relevance (i.e., high ecological validity), “closed-end” performance tests with a fixed distance (e.g., 2,000 m rowing) or duration (e.g., 6 min maximal rowing) should be the first choice as these resembles competition. However, “open-end” time to exhaustion trials is often applied. This type of evaluation does not resemble athletic competition and likely imposes a high mental stress for the athletes, as there is no set goal. Importantly, closed-end performance tests demonstrate a smaller day to day variance as compared to open-end evaluations (Jeukendrup et al., 1996; Laursen et al., 2007).

The majority of individual Olympic competitions are completed in a time span from \(\sim 45 \text{s} \) to 8 min, including 400 m running and 100 m swimming (\(<1 \text{min}\)) as well as 4,000 m cycling (\(<4 \text{min}\)) and 2,000 m rowing (\(<7 \text{min}\)) (Table 1). Thus, the effect on performance from supplementation in this time domain is of high interest. In previous narrative reviews, beta-alanine and sodium-bicarbonate (termed bicarbonate in the present review) (Stellingwerff et al., 2007) as well as caffeine (Davis and Green, 2009) have been proposed to have an ergogenic potential for such athletic events, and recently nitrate supplementation has received growing attention as a potential ergogenic supplement for athletes (Jones, 2014). Consequently, the present systematic meta-analysis evaluates if supplementation with beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine or nitrate can improve intense endurance exercise performance in the time domain 45 s to 8 min when only including closed-end performance tests of high ecological validity.

Currently, a general performance enhancing effect of beta-alanine supplementation on intense exercise is suggested based on mixed exercise protocols encompassing primarily time to exhaustion testing (Artioli et al., 2010; Caruso et al., 2012; Hobson et al., 2012; Quesnele et al., 2014; Trexler et al., 2015). However, a meta-analysis from 2012 including 15 studies with mixed exercise protocols demonstrated no significant effect of supplementation on performance in general, but a separate analysis of 9 mixed performance measures lasting between 60 and 240 s showed a significant effect (Hobson et al., 2012). A later analysis demonstrated that the effect on open-end exercise testing lasting 0.5–10 min was almost five times as high as on performance relevant closed-end testing (Saunders et al., 2017). Thus, there is a need to systematically evaluate the effect of beta-alanine supplementation on exercise performance in trained subjects completing performance relevant testing lasting from 45 s to 8 min.

A number of narrative reviews have addressed the potential ergogenic effect of bicarbonate ingestion (e.g., Requena et al., 2005; McNaughton et al., 2008; Burke, 2013) but meta-analytical approaches have been limited to the effect on running based on only four studies (Schubert and Astorino, 2013) or analyses of different exercise modalities, such as repeated sprinting and time to exhaustion tests (Carr et al., 2011a; Peart et al., 2012). Specifically, a \(<2\%\) increase in 1 min mean power sprinting can be expected based on inference statistics but the effect was not reported to be significant at the \(P < 0.05\) level (Carr et al., 2011a). Thus, despite conclusions of possible beneficial effects of bicarbonate supplementation for performance the evidence is not convincing and analyses focusing on distinct types of performance tests and time domains are warranted.

Several comprehensive reviews dealing with the potential ergogenic effects of caffeine exist and it is generally acknowledged that ingestion of caffeine can enhance endurance performance lasting 20–250 min (e.g., Graham, 2001; Doherty and Smith, 2004; Ganio et al., 2009; Spriet, 2014) as well as brief intense exercise performance lasting 1–3 min (Davis and Green, 2009). However, apart from one review (Ganio et al., 2009) this conclusion is based on a mix of exercise protocols including fixed distance, fixed time or time to exhaustion tests. To the best of our knowledge, only one study has applied a systematic review and meta-analytical approach (Doherty and Smith, 2004) and included various types of exercise tests, resulting in the conclusion that caffeine is less ergogenic during intense short term exercise than longer endurance exercise. Thus, there is a need for a systematic review of caffeines possible ergogenic effects on a single bout of intense exercise lasting 45 s to 8 min.

A recent area of considerable interest from researchers and athletes is nitrate supplementation via beetroot juice or sodium nitrate ingestion. Based on narrative reviews it has been suggested that nitrate supplementation enhances performance (Clements et al., 2014; Jones, 2014) and a meta-analytical approach revealed that a significant effect exists for time to exhaustion tests whereas the effect on time-trials was insignificant (Hoon et al., 2013). Additionally, a current meta-analysis including a high variety of exercise modalities resulted in an unclear effect (Braakhuys and Hopkins, 2015). Thus, systematic analyses of specific time domains and competition relevant testing are lacking.

The primary aim of the present systematic review is to add to previous primarily narrative reviews by including recent studies with beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine, and nitrate
supplementation having a strict focus on closed-end performance evaluation in the time domain 45 s to 8 min.

In turn, a secondary aim is to conduct a meta-analysis in which the isolated effects on performance from beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine and nitrate supplementation is evaluated with the same methods allowing for a better comparison of the supplements ergogenic properties, since previous reviews mainly have been centered around a single supplement with various methods applied (e.g., mix of test protocols and large span in time domain).

METHODS

Criteria for Study Selection

Two researchers independently identified eligible peer-reviewed studies by a systematic search in the electronic database Medline (PubMed). The search strategy included the following medical subject heading (MeSH) terms: “humans,” “beta-alanine,” “caffeine,” “nitrate,” and “bicarbonate.” MeSH terms were combined with the following wild card strings “run*,” “ski*,” “swim*,” “row*,” “kayak*” as well as “cycling” and “intense exercise.” A similar search strategy was applied in the database SPORTDiscus. Additional relevant studies were identified from cross-referencing. The search was terminated in April 2016.

Included studies utilized a single or double blind placebo controlled cross-over design, except for studies of beta-alanine where a single blinded parallel group design was allowed due to the long washout period of 6–15 weeks for beta-alanine (Baguet et al., 2009) and in this case data pre and post supplementation were included in the meta-analysis. Only studies applying performance test lasting from 45 s to 8 min with either a fixed duration or fixed distance in able bodied healthy human subjects were included. If several performance tests were conducted in a single study, only the results of the first performance test was included in case at least 20 min of recovery was applied between tests in order to avoid effects related to pacing and fatigue.

Studies were included if they adhered to the present consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the supplementation period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the washout period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the washout period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the washout period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the washout period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the washout period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation. For beta-alanine, the washout period should be 28 days or longer with consensus of optimal supplementation.

TABLE 1 | Event duration and average speed for gold winners at the London 2012 and Rio 2016 Olympics in selected intense endurance events for both male and female athletes and the difference in average speed between silver and gold medalists as well as between number 4 and the bronze medalist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event duration gold winner (min:s:h)</th>
<th>Average speed gold winner (km/h)</th>
<th>Difference in speed silver to gold (%)</th>
<th>Difference in speed number 4 to bronze (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>43.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>47.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Free style)</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1:43.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Free style)</td>
<td>Kayak (K1)</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1:53.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Free style)</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track cycling</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>3,000/4,000*</td>
<td>3:14.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Team pursuit)</td>
<td>Kayak (K1)</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>3:34.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track cycling</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3:51.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Team pursuit)</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowing</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>6:57.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Single sculls)</td>
<td>Rowing</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (95% CI) | -0.89 | -0.54 | -0.28 | -0.42 |

Results were obtained from the official Olympic organization homepage; www.rio2016.com and www.olympic.org (August 2016). *In female team pursuit distance was 3,000 m in London and 4,000 m in Rio Olympics.
or pre-exercise infusion (Kindermann et al., 1977) was accepted. Caffeine supplementation of ≥2 mg/kg bodyweight supplied 30 min or longer before the performance test (Graham and Spriet, 1995; Pasman et al., 1995; Spriet, 2014) was included. Finally, acute nitrate ingestion of ≥4 mmol, 120–300 min before the performance test (Vanhatalo et al., 2010b; Wylie et al., 2013) or chronic exposure was accepted.

For inclusion in the meta-analysis, performance results were required to be reported as an absolute group mean with a standard deviation or standard error of the mean, both before and after the intervention. In studies in which the standard error were reported, they were converted to standard deviations by multiplying the standard error by the square root of the sample size. Moreover, studies were required to report speed or an entity that was convertible to speed by standard methods (e.g., conversion to speed from time to cover a given distance in field tests or ergometer tests). In one study with rowing as exercise modality only mean power was reported for the 2,000-m distance covered (Carr et al., 2012). This was converted to an average speed from the formula provided by the manufacturer of the Concept II rowing ergometer used in that study:

\[ \text{Time pr. 500 m} = \frac{3}{(2.8/\text{Power (watt)})} \]

In studies reporting mean power or total work from ergometer cycling (McNaughton, 1992a,b; McNaughton et al., 1997; Marx et al., 2002; Doherty et al., 2004; Vanhatalo et al., 2010a; Driller et al., 2012; Howe et al., 2013; Hoon et al., 2014a; Thomas et al., 2016) an average speed (v; m/s) was determined numerically using the formula (Martin et al., 1998):

\[
\text{Power (watt)} = \left( (0.5 \times \text{CdA} \times \text{Rho} \times v^2) + (\text{total weight} \times \text{Crr} \times g) \right) \times v \\
(1 - \text{drivetrain loss} \% / 100)
\]

In the above calculation it is assumed that no windspeed or slope (gradient) is affecting the rider with the use of fixed values for CdA (drag coefficient x frontal area) = 0.321; Rho (air density) = 1.226 kg/m³, total weight (rider and bicycle; kg) using a fixed bike weight of 8 kg and the average body weight listed in each study; Crr (Coefficient of rolling resistance) = 0.005, g (gravitational force constant) = 9.8067 m/s², drivetrain loss% = 3%.

Accordingly, for all studies average speed and the corresponding standard deviation were calculated for each supplement and the placebo (bicarbonate, caffeine, nitrate) or pre supplementation (beta-alanine) condition.

Based on these criteria a total of 7, 25, 9, and 5 studies of beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine and nitrate, respectively, were included (Figure 1). Some of the included studies applied several performance tests.

### Study Quality

Based on present guidelines from the Cochrane Institute (Higgins et al., 2011), study quality was assessed with the terms “high risk” or “low risk” of bias modified for exercise performance analyses (Table 2). The relative distribution of studies within each of the four supplements having high or low risk of bias are shown in Figure 2.

### Data Analysis

Effect sizes (Cohens d) including a Hedges bias correction factor are displayed in forest plots based on standardized differences (SMD) calculated as

\[
\text{SMD} = \frac{(X_a - X_c)}{S_p} 
\]

where \(X_a\) was average speed with supplementation, \(X_c\) was average speed in the control situation (i.e., Placebo trials for bicarbonate, caffeine and nitrate and pre beta-alanine supplementation), and \(S_p\) is the pooled standard deviation determined as

\[
S_p = \sqrt{\frac{S_d^2 \times (n - 1) + SD_c^2 \times (n - 1)}{(n + n - 2)}}
\]

where \(SD_d\) is standard deviation with supplementation, \(SD_c\) is standard deviation in the control situation and \(n\) is the number of subjects.

A multivariate meta-analysis was used to appropriate handle multiple and partly non-overlapping treatments between studies (van Houwelingen et al., 2002). Specifically, a mixed effects model was fitted to logarithm-transformed group means using maximum likelihood estimation; standard errors of logarthm-transformed means were used as weights. The five treatment groups were included as fixed effects in the model. The dependence between multiple means from the same studies was captured through the inclusion of study-specific random effects. Combined effects were estimated and the resulting differences between the four active treatments (supplementation) and control situation were reported (after back-transformation). A significance level of 0.05 was applied. Effect sizes was characterized as either trivial (0–0.2), small (0.2–0.6) or large (>0.6) (Hopkins et al., 2009). Analyses were carried out using the statistical environment R (R Core Team, 2016) with the extension package “metafor” (Viechtbauer, 2010).

### RESULTS

#### Beta-Alanine

A total of 7 studies were identified including 72 subjects. VO2max as an objective measure of training status was reported in two of the studies (Howe et al., 2013; Bellinger and Minahan, 2016). The proportion of female subjects could not be precisely determined due to incomplete reporting of groups in one study (Painelli Vde et al., 2013) but amounted to ~8%. Two studies included several interventions with different performance tests (Painelli Vde et al., 2013; Bellinger and Minahan, 2016). Thus, 11 performance tests were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 3). The estimated combined effect size (with 95% confidence interval) for β-alanine supplementation was 0.17 [–0.12–0.46] which was not significantly different from the pre-supplementation trial (\(P = 0.24\)).
Bicarbonate
A total of 25 studies were identified including 235 subjects. VO₂-max was reported in six of the studies (McNaughton, 1992a,b; McNaughton et al., 1997; Vanhatalo et al., 2010a; Driller et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2016) and the female subject proportion was ~9%. Six studies included several interventions with different administration of bicarbonate (McNaughton, 1992a; Carr et al., 2012; Driller et al., 2012; Joyce et al., 2012) or evaluation of performance using several tests (McNaughton, 1992b; Painelli Vde et al., 2013). Thus, 33 performance tests were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 4). The estimated combined effect size of 0.40 [0.27–0.54] demonstrates a faster exercise speed after bicarbonate supplementation compared to placebo (P < 0.001).

Caffeine
A total of 9 studies were identified including 97 subjects. VO₂-max was reported in three of the studies (Wiles et al., 1992; Skinner et al., 2010; Santos Rde et al., 2013). Assuming only male subjects in protocol “2” by Wiles et al. (1992), the female subject proportion was 3%. One study included several interventions with different administration of caffeine (Skinner et al., 2010) and one evaluated performance using several tests (Wiles et al., 1992). Thus, 12 performance tests were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 5). The estimated combined effect size of 0.41 [0.15–0.68] demonstrates a faster exercise speed after ingesting of caffeine compared to placebo (P = 0.002).

Nitrate
A total of 5 studies were identified including 66 subjects. VO₂-max was reported in three of the studies and 8% of subjects were females. Three studies included several interventions with different administration of nitrate (Boorsma et al., 2014; Hoon et al., 2014a,b) and one study both manipulated administration and exercise testing (Peeling et al., 2015). Thus, 8 performance tests were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 6). The

FIGURE 1 | Flow chart displaying number of included and excluded studies for the evaluation of effects on intense endurance performance lasting 45 s to 8 min following supplementation with beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine and nitrate using Medline (Pubmed) as search engine (see Methods for details regarding study criteria required for inclusion).
estimated combined effect size of 0.19 [−0.03–0.40] tended to be different from placebo (P = 0.09).

Correlations
For all data pooled a modest association was observed between performance test time and percentage improvement (r² = 0.17, P < 0.001) implying slightly greater performance gains with reductions in performance test time (Figure 7). A similar observation was found for bicarbonate (r² = 0.19, P < 0.05) and caffeine (r² = 0.45, P < 0.05), while no association were seen for beta-alanine (r² = 0.07) and nitrate (r² = 0.0001).

DISCUSSION
The primary finding in the present meta-analysis is that a small yet significant effect on performance was observed by prior supplementation with caffeine (Effect size, ES = 0.41) or bicarbonate (ES = 0.40) resulting in a higher average speed during closed-end intense endurance exercise tests lasting 45 s to 8 min. No significant performance effect was detectable for supplementation with beta-alanine or nitrate, with both supplements having a trivial effect (ES = 0.17–0.19) (Figure 8).

Bicarbonate
Bicarbonate supplementation significantly improved performance. The analysis was based on a total of 33 performance tests from 25 studies with a total of 235 subjects (Figures 4, 8). The present finding is in agreement with the recommendation of using bicarbonate as an ergogenic compound when competing in events lasting 1–10 min (Carr et al., 2011a). Contrary to the comprehensive analysis of Carr et al. (2011a) we only included performance relevant tests by excluding open-end test protocols, which is considered of great practical importance for athletes since time to exhaustion testing may introduce larger variability because of motivational and mental aspects (Jeukendrup et al., 1996; Laursen et al., 2007).
It is important to note that exclusion of just one performance test (of a total of 33 tests with 235 subjects)—originating from the study of 5 rowers by McNaughton and Cedaro (1991) having a substantial high effect size (Figure 4)—causes a marked change of both the p-value (from below 0.001 to 0.06) and the combined effect size (from 0.40 to 0.19). Accordingly, a balanced interpretation of the data included in the analysis is warranted. Nevertheless, the potential for bicarbonate to improve intense endurance performance seems apparent albeit small, both with (small combined effect size being highly significant different from placebo) or without (combined effect size trivial close to small tending to be different from placebo) inclusion of that particular study.

As with beta-alanine supplementation, bicarbonate’s mechanism of action is not fully established but possibly includes increased blood and/or muscle buffer capacity leading to improved protection of muscular contractility via reduced intra- and extracellular H⁺ accumulation (Raymer et al., 2004), potentially affecting calcium sensitivity (Nelson and Fitts, 2014), improved potassium handling (Street et al., 2005), diminished arterial desaturation (Nielsen et al., 2002) or reduced type III/IV afferent firing (Amann et al., 2015). In turn, reductions in blood pH and bicarbonate prior to exercise is known to impair subsequent rowing performance following ammonium chloride ingestion (Brien and McKenzie, 1989) and one legged knee extensor exercise capacity following prior intense arm

---

**FIGURE 2** | Quality assessment of studies used for meta-analysis studying the effects on intense endurance performance following supplementation with beta-alanine, bicarbonate, caffeine and nitrate. Bars denote the relative distribution of studies for each supplements with a high or low risk of bias. See Methods for details regarding criteria for high and low risk.

---
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exercise (Bangsbo et al., 1996; Nordsborg et al., 2003). Thus, the typical increase in blood pH of ~0.1 and bicarbonate concentration of ~5 mM with supplementation (Carr et al., 2011a) may be sufficient to postpone fatigue mechanisms during intense endurance exercise. Based on simple regression analysis, bicarbonate may be more ergogenic in shorter (e.g., 1 min) than longer (e.g., 6 min) performance tests (Figure 7). Nevertheless, the weak association illustrates that more studies are needed, for example including several performance tests of varying duration (e.g., 1, 4, and 8 min) executed by the same subjects. It should be noted that marked individual differences may exist. For example, bicarbonate supplementation caused gastrointestinal problems in 4 out of 21 subjects prior to an endurance test and exclusion of these four participants resulted in a significant increased exercise time during intense cycling exercise (Saunders et al., 2014). Additionally, there appear to be marked individual differences in bicarbonate uptake kinetics (Jones et al., 2016) and high level athletes may benefit from an individualized protocol (Miller et al., 2016) but this also needs to be addressed in future studies.

Caffeine

Average speed in intense endurance performance tests lasting 45 s to 8 min is increased by caffeine supplementation. The finding is based on 12 different performance tests from 9 studies with a total of 97 subjects (Figures 5, 8). In half of the studies the improvement in speed exceeded 1% relative to placebo. This observation is in line with a previous narrative review highlighting caffeine as an ergogenic compound for speed endurance events lasting 1–3 min (Davis and Green, 2009) as well as reviews that focus on longer duration exercise lasting several hours (Doherty and Smith, 2004; Ganio et al., 2009). Thus, the present meta-analysis adds to the existing knowledge by establishing that caffeine is also ergogenic in the time domain from 45 s up to 8 min. The present result is in apparent contradiction with the notion that caffeine is less ergogenic in short relative to long-term exercise tests (Doherty and Smith, 2004). However, the previous meta-analysis included both open and closed end exercise testing and different time intervals. Therefore, the discrepancy may be related to the strict selection criteria in the present study, yet both studies outline the ergogenic potential from caffeine in multiple types of exercise tasks. The present meta-analysis does not allow for an evaluation of the interaction between exercise time and caffeine’s effect. However, a simple regression analysis based on the non-weighed average effect of the included studies suggests that a larger ergogenic effect may be expected during shorter than as compared to longer intense exercise within the time domain of 45 s to 8 min (Figure 7). However, more systematic studies on the possible interaction between exercise duration and magnitude of effect are required before conclusions can be drawn.

Several possibilities exist regarding caffeine’s ergogenic effect. These include antagonizing binding of adenosine to its brain receptors which may cause reduced perception of effort and increased arousal and/or peripheral inhibition of muscle pain (Davis and Green, 2009). In support of this notion, participants following caffeine intake report lower perceived exertion during exercise with the same absolute exercise intensity (Doherty et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2014) and in 5 min intervals a similar level of perceived exertion despite a higher mean power with caffeine (Lane et al., 2013). In line herewith, the same degree of exertion has been reported between placebo and caffeine trials during intense endurance performance tests despite improved performance in the latter condition (Santos Rde et al., 2013; Christensen et al., 2014). However, the performance enhancing effect of caffeine may also be related to improved muscle contractility caused by reduced K+ accumulation during intense exercise (Mohr et al., 2011) or even by the augmented adrenergic response to caffeine supplementation.
associated with a larger glycolytic energy turnover during intense endurance exercise (Jackman et al., 1996) although this has been challenged as the main mechanism for the ergogenic effect (Davis and Green, 2009). The exact mechanism of action in specific exercise situations may differ and remains to be elucidated. Caffeine doses in the included studies ranged from 2 to 6 mg/kg body weight, which is in accordance with previous guidelines (Spriet, 2014). Taken together, caffeine appears to improve exercise performance lasting 45 s to 8 min.

**Nitrates**

Nitrates supplementation did not significantly improve average speed in the 8 performance tests extracted from the 5 included studies with a total of 66 subjects (Figures 6, 8). Accordingly, previous meta-analyses have reported unclear effects in performance tests with a large range in exercise time (Hoon et al., 2013) or with a mix of protocols (Braakhuis and Hopkins, 2015). However, a tendency ($P = 0.09$) for an effect was identified, underpinning the importance of future well-controlled studies designed to identify small effects (i.e., <1% on average speed) and/or repeatable individual responses. It is noteworthy that nitrate supplementation does not appear to exert any physiologic or endurance performance enhancing effects on group level in highly trained endurance athletes with an average VO$_2$-max exceeding 70 ml/min/kg (Peacock et al., 2012; Christensen et al., 2013; Boorsma et al., 2014; Porcelli et al., 2015). Moreover, in a cross sectional study encompassing VO$_2$-max values between 28 and 81 ml/min/kg, VO$_2$-max explained more than 75% of the magnitude of improvement in performance during a 3 km run (Porcelli et al., 2015). In line herewith, the largest percentage performance

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
effect with nitrate supplementation in the present analysis is observed in the recreational active cyclists undertaking a 4,000-m time trial (Lansley et al., 2011). Interestingly, highly trained kayakers competing at international level experienced improved performance after nitrate supplementation (Peeling et al., 2015) implying that training status probably is not the sole determinant of nitrates ergogenic potential. Important factors may include high or low dietary nitrate intake from vegetable consumption (Jonvik et al., 2016), initial plasma levels of nitrite (Christensen et al., 2017) and muscle fiber composition in the engaged muscles since rat studies demonstrate a larger effect on blood perfusion after nitrate supplementation in muscles with a large proportion of fast twitch fibers (Ferguson et al., 2013).

Nitrate (NO$_3^-$) supplementation increases bioavailability of nitrite (NO$_2^-$) and has been found to lower energy expenditure during exercise and lower resting blood pressure (Larsen et al., 2007), likely due to higher levels of nitric oxide (NO) affecting muscle mitochondria and the circulation (Jones, 2014). The trained human is characterized by a high endogenous capacity for NO production (McConnell et al., 2007; Nyberg et al., 2012) which likely is a large contributing factor as to why nitrate supplementation exerts modest physiologic effects in highly trained individuals (Peacock et al., 2012; Christensen et al., 2013; Boorsma et al., 2014; Porcelli et al., 2015). Still reports exist of “responders” in groups of trained (Wilkerson et al., 2012) and highly trained endurance athletes (Christensen et al., 2013; Boorsma et al., 2014) in endurance events besides the report on world class kayakers by Peeling et al. (2015). Thus, future well-designed investigations of possible individual effects of nitrate supplementation are required. At present nitrate supplementation does not appear to have a clear ergogenic effect on intense endurance performance, and the possibility to achieve an ergogenic effect seems greater for moderately trained subjects compared with highly trained endurance athletes.

### Methodologic Considerations

It is of importance to consider that a publication bias may exist in the field of ergogenic supplements as it may be easier to publish studies demonstrating performance enhancing effects as compared to studies with no effect that are often underpowered to exclude that an effect may exist. We terminated our study search in April 2016 but the field of ergogenic supplements continues to evolve. Recently, studies has been published showing no effect on intense endurance performance from both bicarbonate (Callahan et al., 2016) and nitrate (Callahan et al., 2016) supplementation whereas caffeine has resulted in both unchanged (Cordingley et al., 2016) and improved performance (Boyett et al., 2016). A number of the included studies in the current meta-analysis also reported negative findings, so to what extent an actual publication bias toward positive results exits remains unknown. Nevertheless, reporting of negative results are encouraged in order to provide a balanced and hence accurate foundation for future meta-analyses.

Importantly, the current quality assessment of the included studies displayed a low risk of bias, apart from reporting drop-outs and environmental factors and to a lesser extent time of day for testing and activity the day prior testing (Figure 2) which future studies are encouraged to report.

Other important considerations are the potential impact of age, training status and gender for the observed effects of various supplements on performance. The range in average age among all of the included studies investigated was 19–33 years which provides high ecologic validity for athletes competing at the highest international level (e.g., Olympics). Still, further studies are required to clarify if other effects can be observed in athletes
aged for example 30–40 years or even older. Regarding training status, only ~30% of the studies reported maximal oxygen uptake as an objective index of cardio-respiratory capacity and little information was provided about training history. Thus, albeit relevant as highlighted for the nitrate studies, the few studies reporting objective measures of training status precludes an analysis of the general impact of training status. Several studies report their participants to be “trained,” “well-trained,” or “elite” but the used criteria is unclear. An additional challenge in comparing training status, for example based on reported power outputs, is that different methods of obtaining power is known to yield different results. In cycling for instance, differences of 10 W or more at ~400 W is not uncommon (Duc et al., 2007; Kirkland et al., 2008). Nevertheless, an impression of performance level can be obtained by comparing the individual studies performance speed (Figures 3–6) with the velocities of top competitors at the Olympics provided in Table 1. Finally, it is evident that most studies in the present meta-analysis have been conducted with male subjects (Figures 3–6) as the proportion of female subjects did not exceed 10% for any of the four supplements. Thus, it is of relevance to investigate female subjects to a greater extent in future studies. Included studies dominated by females observe both large (McNaughton et al., 1997) and minor (Tiriyaki and Atterbom, 1995) effects following bicarbonate intake while large effects also are seen after nitrate supplementation (Peeling et al., 2015).

Perspectives

In the present meta-analysis, a trivial effect on intense endurance performance was found for beta-alanine (ES = 0.17) and nitrate (ES = 0.19) while small and significant ergogenic effects was found for caffeine (ES = 0.41) and bicarbonate (ES = 0.40). This does highlight that only marginal gains can be expected from supplementation with the four substances (Figure 8). In turn, it is important to note that youth athletes (Eisenmann et al., 2001; Tønnessen et al., 2015) and mature sub-elite athletes (e.g., Esfarjani and Laursen, 2007; Young et al., 2014) in regards to endurance performance can benefit more from physiologic training and maturation rather than supplementation.

Still, supplementation is considered highly relevant for high performance athletes for whom very small margins separate medal rankings during competition (Table 1). Based on the results from the meta-analysis, caffeine and bicarbonate are considered the primary supplements for intense endurance athletes but even a trivial effect from beta-alanine and nitrate may provide an advantage during competition. Accordingly, athletes are encouraged to an individual approach in order to obtain

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Body Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Subject Type</th>
<th>Performance Test</th>
<th>Performance Duration</th>
<th>Placebo (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Nitrate (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Caffeine (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Beta-Alanine (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Bicarbonate (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Nitrate (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Caffeine (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Beta-Alanine (s)</th>
<th>Placebo + Bicarbonate (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
<td>Kayakers</td>
<td>500 m rowing</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>9.5 mmol, 120 min</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>87 ± 8</td>
<td>Kayakers</td>
<td>4 min paddling</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4.8 mmol, 150 min</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>66 ± 7</td>
<td>Runners</td>
<td>5 min cycling</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4.1 mmol, 150 min</td>
<td>43.09 ± 0.21</td>
<td>43.64 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>87 ± 8</td>
<td>Kayakers</td>
<td>4 min paddling</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4.8 mmol, 150 min</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>66 ± 7</td>
<td>Runners</td>
<td>5 min cycling</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4.1 mmol, 150 min</td>
<td>43.09 ± 0.21</td>
<td>43.64 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>72 ± 6</td>
<td>Cyclists</td>
<td>4 min cycling</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4.1 mmol, 150 min</td>
<td>43.09 ± 0.21</td>
<td>43.64 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>87 ± 8</td>
<td>Kayakers</td>
<td>4 min paddling</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4.8 mmol, 150 min</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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**FIGURE 6** | Standardized mean difference (effect size) with 95% confidence intervals as reported in studies of nitrate supplementation impact on performance (average speed) relative to placebo in athletic endurance events lasting 45 s to 8 min. The combined effect size has been calculated as described in methods section. All other data is reported as mean ± SD. All performance tests were executed indoors on ergometers unless stated differently. Regarding Dose & Timing: Dose is listed millimoles (mmol) and “140 min” denotes time for consumption before the performance test. # Speed estimated from mean power reported.

**FIGURE 7** | Association between performance test time and supplement induced change in performance (average speed in athletic events lasting 45 s to 8 min). Supplements investigated was beta-alanine ($r^2 = 0.07$), bicarbonate ($r^2 = 0.1922$, $P < 0.05$), caffeine ($r^2 = 0.45$, $P < 0.05$) and nitrate ($r^2 = 0.0001$).
knowledge regarding what supplement(s) has the greatest effect on performance.

Relatively few of the included studies in the present analysis combined use of several supplements with combinations present for caffeine and bicarbonate (Pruscino et al., 2008; Carr et al., 2011b; Kilding et al., 2012; Christensen et al., 2014) and beta-alanine and bicarbonate (Hobson et al., 2013; Painelli Vde et al., 2013). This could be the scope for future studies and help highlight if physiologic interactions between supplements exists and also holds practical importance for high level athletes and staff. Results are mixed for combined administration of caffeine and bicarbonate. Accordingly, using a traditional statistical approach (significance \( P < 0.05 \)) both supplements improved 4000 m cycling performance relatively to placebo but the combination of both did not lead to a greater performance than with single supplementation (Kilding et al., 2012). In rowing caffeine both with and without bicarbonate improved performance (Christensen et al., 2014), unlike observations using magnitude-based inferences statistics where bicarbonate abolished the improvement in performance with caffeine alone (Carr et al., 2011b). Adding bicarbonate to beta-alanine supplementation has been reported to improve 2,000 m rowing (Hobson et al., 2013) as well as 100 and 200 m swimming performance (Painelli Vde et al., 2013) with magnitude-based inference statistics, but not with traditional statistics (Painelli Vde et al., 2013). In a recent meta-analysis including a mix of exercise protocols beta-alanine together with bicarbonate induced a significant effect relative to use of beta-alanine alone (Saunders et al., 2017). Clearly more studies are needed to establish if positive or negative interactions between supplements are present.

Legal supplements other than the four analyzed in the present meta-analysis exist that may also impact on intense endurance performance. Creatine has been investigated in a few studies with reports of both unchanged (Burke et al., 1996; Mujika et al., 1996; Syrotuik et al., 2001; De Andrade Nemezio et al., 2015) and improved performance (Rossiter et al., 1996; McNaughton et al., 1998). Importantly the majority of these studies reported mean power from ergometers (Rossiter et al., 1996; McNaughton et al., 1998; Syrotuik et al., 2001; De Andrade Nemezio et al., 2015) but for athletes in which body mass often is a concern it is important to account for any increase in weight which is common with creatine supplementation (Mujika et al., 1996; McNaughton et al., 1998; De Andrade Nemezio et al., 2015). Accordingly, an increased body weight will increase resistive forces on an athlete during forward propulsion leading to a higher energy expenditure for a given speed especially during running (LeCheiminant et al., 2009) and in the water (Mujika et al., 1996).

Another supplement is the antioxidant n-acetyl cysteine (NAC) which following oral intake did not alter a 2–5 min time-trial performance in one study (Slattery et al., 2014) but enhanced exercise capacity in time to exhaustion tests lasting ∼8 min in another study (Corn and Barstow, 2011) but more studies are needed to establish if oral intake of NAC may be performance enhancing.

In light of the central actions that caffeine exerts during exercise lowering perception of effort (Doherty et al., 2004; Lane et al., 2013; Santos Rde et al., 2013; Christensen et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2014) the use of analgesic substances not banned at present may also exert an ergogenic effect. This is partly supported by improved time-trial performance (lasting ∼26 min) and similar perception of effort as with placebo in trained cyclists after intake of 1,500 mg acetaminophen (Mauger et al., 2010) which is a mild over-the-counter analgesic in most countries. In cycling, the presence of the morphine like drug Tramadol in ∼5% of all samples analyzed in 2015 by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) also seems to indicate that performance enhancement may be present with administration of analgesic substances (WADA, 2015). This area is poorly explored and

![Combined effect size with 95% confidence interval displaying the impact on performance (average speed) in athletic endurance events lasting 45 s to 8 min from supplementation with either beta-alanine (7 studies) relative to pre supplementation, bicarbonate (25 studies), caffeine (9 studies) or nitrate (5 studies) relative to placebo. Hatched lines display boundaries for effect sizes being trivial (<0.2), small (0.2–0.6) or large (>0.6). **Significant effect of bicarbonate on performance \( P < 0.001 \). ***Significant effect of caffeine on performance \( P = 0.01 \).](image-url)
confers ethical considerations even though the net response of a reduced perception of effort appears similar to caffeine, albeit by different methods and with different potential side effects (Doherty et al., 2004; Lane et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2014).

For future studies we encourage use of individual data and ideally repeated use of a given supplement to better discriminate between day-to-day variation and true performance effects for the individual subject/athlete. This may also shed light on potential mechanisms for a supplement (not) to work if combined with other measures such as dietary habits, gender, muscle fiber distribution, mitochondrial capacity, buffer capacity, lactate production and adrenergic response during exercise.

CONCLUSION

Using a meta-analytic approach with a strict focus on “closed-end” (e.g., time-trials or fixed duration) intense endurance performance tests lasting from 45 s to 8 min, prior intake of caffeine or bicarbonate demonstrated a small positive effect on average speed being significantly different from placebo. Nitrate had a trivial effect on performance and weakly tended to be faster than placebo, but at present nitrate appears most relevant for non-elite athletes or athletes with modest aerobic power. Lastly beta-alanine effects on intense endurance performance was trivial. For all supplements, individualized approaches are advised since factors such as diet, gender, supplement uptake kinetics, muscle oxidative capacity and fiber distribution may impact on whether an ergogenic effect can be obtained.
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