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Abstract

The first epigenomes from archaic hominins (AH) and ancient anatomically modern humans (AMH) have recently been characterized, based, however, on a limited number of samples. The extent to which ancient genome-wide epigenetic landscapes can be reconstructed thus remains contentious. Here, we present epiPALEOMIX, an open-source and user-friendly pipeline that exploits post-mortem DNA degradation patterns to reconstruct ancient methylomes and nucleosome maps from shotgun and/or capture-enrichment data. Applying epiPALEOMIX to the sequence data underlying 35 ancient genomes including AMH, AH, equids and aurochs, we investigate the temporal, geographical and preservation range of ancient epigenetic signatures. We first assess the quality of inferred ancient epigenetic signatures within well-characterized genomic regions. We find that tissue-specific methylation signatures can be obtained across a wider range of DNA preparation types than previously thought, including when no particular experimental procedures have been used to remove deaminated cytosines prior to sequencing. We identify a large subset of samples for which DNA associated with nucleosomes is protected from post-mortem degradation, and nucleosome positioning patterns can be reconstructed. Finally, we describe parameters and conditions such as DNA damage levels and sequencing depth that limit the preservation of epigenetic signatures in ancient samples. When such conditions are met, we propose that epigenetic profiles of CTCF binding regions can be used to help data authentication. Our work, including epiPALEOMIX, opens for further investigations of ancient epigenomes through time especially aimed at tracking possible epigenetic changes during major evolutionary, environmental, socioeconomic, and cultural shifts.
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Background

In the last decade, ancient DNA (aDNA) research has been truly revolutionized as the information retrieved is no more limited to the sole mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) or few nuclear fragments carrying SNPs of interest. Complete genomes and up to several millions of SNPs can now be characterized instead (see, Orlando et al. 2015, for a review). This is because our ability to retrieve traces of genetic material has tremendously improved following the development of High-Throughput DNA Sequencing (HTS) technologies (Metzker 2010) and experimental methods tailored to the recovery and manipulation of ultrashort and damaged DNA molecules (Meyer et al. 2012; Dabney et al. 2013; Gansauge and Meyer 2013, 2014; Gamba et al. 2016). As a result, the time barrier for genome-scale analyses has been pushed backwards into the Middle Pleistocene (Dabney et al. 2013; Orlando et al. 2013; Meyer et al. 2014, 2016) and samples that were previously not amenable to DNA analyses are becoming so (Hofmanová et al. 2016; Lazaridis et al. 2016).

In addition to recovering genome-scale genetic information from ancient individuals, it has also become possible to analyze their epigenetic profiles (see, Orlando et al. 2015; Gokhman et al. 2016, for reviews). The first analysis of cytosine methylation patterns at the single-base resolution was performed on a ~26,000-year-old bison bone using bisulfite allelic sequencing (Llamas et al. 2012). Bisulfite conversion of unmethylated cytosines into uraciles, however, is not perfectly suited to aDNA material, which is generally degraded and available in very limited amounts. Minimum DNA
concentration requirements, thus, apply before consistent measures of cytosine methylation can be recovered with this technology (Smith et al. 2015). Other direct approaches, such as enrichment procedures based on methylated binding domains (MBD), have been used (Seguin-Orlando, Gamba, et al. 2015) but their performance is generally limited given the extensive fragmentation of aDNA templates. In contrast, indirect approaches exploiting the chemical degradation reactions affecting DNA post-mortem are particularly suited to the chemical nature of aDNA. Such methods track in silico the signatures of nucleosome protection and the natural conversion of unmethylated cytosines into uraciles that take place after death. They recently delivered the first genome-wide methylation and nucleosome maps (Gokhman et al. 2014; Pedersen et al. 2014), providing the first insights at how the regulation of gene expression changed in evolutionary times.

The evidence supporting such genome-wide methylation and nucleosome maps is, however, limited to only three individuals. These include a 4-kyr-old Palaeo-Eskimo (Pedersen et al. 2014), a ~50-kyr-old Neanderthal and a ~40-kyr-old Denisovan (Gokhman et al. 2014). Analyses of a larger number of specimens, spanning a wider temporal range, tissue types and preservation conditions, are thus essential to establish the true potential (and limitations) of ancient epigenomics.

Albeit limited, previous work has suggested that gene expression levels and the age at death of ancient individuals might be inferred based on ancient epigenetic signatures (Pedersen et al. 2014). Additionally, potentially key DNA methylation changes for the differentiation of closely related lineages have started to be unveiled (Gokhman et al. 2014). These pioneering studies, and the growing evidence supporting epigenetic differentiation between major human population groups (Heyn et al. 2013; Fagny et al. 2015), open for wider research on how epigenetic signatures have changed on a temporal scale in the face of important environmental and cultural changes, such as the Neolithic transition and/or responses to major disease outbreaks (Orlando and Willerslev 2014).

With exponentially increasing sequence data sets from ancient individuals (reviewed in Orlando et al. 2015), an automated tool is required to facilitate and standardize the analysis of ancient epigenomes. RoAM (Reconstruction of Archaic Methylation; http://carmelab.huji.ac.il/software/ RoAM/roam.html; last accessed September 1, 2016) provides the first of such tools, allowing the reconstruction of genome-wide ancient methylation maps, but its current implementation is restricted to archaic hominins (AH). In this article, we present epipALEOMIX, an open-source and user-friendly software package that automates the characterization of ancient epigenomes. The epipALEOMIX pipeline implements all the methods used to characterize the first ancient epigenome, that from the hair of a 4-kyr-old Palaeo-Eskimo (Pedersen et al. 2014), including methylation mapping, nucleosome calling and phasogram analyses. It can be readily applied to any BAM alignment file obtained from ancient specimens. We apply epipALEOMIX to the shotgun sequence data underlying a large subset of ancient genomes characterized with an average depth-of-coverage >2-fold (2.19- to 42.0-fold). Our study thus represents the largest ancient epigenomic study, including 35 samples from AH, ancient anatomically modern humans (AMH), horses and aurochs. Compared with previous analyses, the samples considered here significantly increase the temporal resolution (from 100 years to over 50 kyr) and geographical (Africa, North America, Central Asia, Europe, Greenland, and Siberia) range of epigenomic profiling.

New Approaches

In this study, we present epipALEOMIX, a new open-source package for the analysis of ancient epigenomes, which encloses one optional and three main modules. The MethylMap module (fig. 1A) implements the methodology from Pedersen et al. (2014) and Gokhman et al. (2014) to calculate methylation scores (Ms) and reconstruct methylation profiles within regions provided by users as BED coordinate files. Such files can correspond to genomic regions of interest, such as promoters, gene bodies, CpG Islands (CGIs) (fig. 2), but also to sliding genomic windows (fig. 10). The methodology exploits the relative number of CpG→TpG read mis-incorporations found within a given region, which is assumed to mostly reflect post-mortem deamination events at methylated epialleles (Seguin-Orlando, Hoover, et al. 2015). The MethylMap module can accommodate single-stranded and double-stranded DNA library types and can also restrict the analyses to specific read positions, which proves useful in cases where particular read positions show inflated error rates. These features, and the direct applicability of the methodology to nonarchaic hominin sequence data, represent important increments over RoAM. As specifically developed for the analysis of epigenomic signatures from aDNA data, epipALEOMIX also fills a niche that is presently not covered through the multiple Bioconductor tools tailored to the detection of methylation and nucleosome regions (Gentleman et al. 2004; Huber et al. 2015).

In addition to MethylMap, epipALEOMIX provides two modules to reconstruct patterns of nucleosome positioning along the genome. The first module, called NucleoMap, implements the methodology from Pedersen et al. (2014) to map peaks of nucleosome occupancy (fig. 1B). It builds on the natural DNA decay taking place post-mortem, resulting in an over-representation of nucleosome-protected DNA regions post-sequencing (Dong et al. 1997; Pedersen et al. 2014). More specifically, NucleoMap exploits patterns of coverage variation along the genome, where nucleosomes are inferred at positions maximizing depth variation within 147 nucleotide blocks, reflecting the size of a nucleosome dyad, and their flanking regions. The analysis can be performed genome-wide or within pre-defined genomic regions (as shown on fig. 7). The second module implements the phasogram analyses from Valouev et al. (2011) and aims at detecting periodicity patterns between DNA fragments and from adjacent nucleosomes (fig. 1C).

We also provide an optional module, GCcorrect, that builds on previous methodology (Benjamini and Speed 2012) to
FIG. 1. Three main methods implemented in epiPALEOMIX. (A) The MethylMap module exploits the deamination of cytosines naturally taking place post-mortem at CpG sites and resulting in the conversion of unmethylated CpGs epialleles into UpGs and methylated CpGs epialleles (mCpGs) into TpGs. CpG (green) to TpG mis-incorporations observed when aligning sequencing reads against a reference genome (top sequence) will mostly indicate the presence of mCpG epialleles, which can be summed over regions of interest to estimate regional methylation scores (Ms). For single-strand library construction methods (Meyer et al. 2012), TpGs at both read starts (blue) and ends (red), as well as their reverse complementary CpAs, are used. For other library construction methods based on the ligation of adapters to double-stranded templates, TpGs (and their reverse complementary CpAs) are only considered towards starts (ends). Nucleosome mis-incorporations found in other dinucleotide contexts are ignored. (B) The Nucleosome calling module calls nucleosomes through patterns of depth-of-coverage variation along the genome. The size of the sliding window was selected to reflect the average size of nucleosomal DNA (147 bp; Luger et al. 1997). Whenever the center of a given window displayed maximal local read depth, the nucleosome score is calculated as the coverage at the center (green) minus the mean read depth of the two 25-bp flanking regions (red). These were defined with a 12-bp offset (blue) from 147-bp nucleosome window coordinates, following Pedersen et al. (2014). (C) Phasogram analyses are based on the distribution of distances between sequencing starts for reads located within 1,500-bp genomic periodicities in regions showing strongly phased nucleosome occupancy patterns.

FIG. 2. Ms profiles of 16 ancient samples in four genomic regions. The genomic regions considered include the following: (1) CpG islands (CGI) as well as their neighbouring shores (flanking 2,000 bases) and shelves (2,000 bases flanking CGI shores) (green), (2) three classes of promoters (low, medium, and high) categorized by CpG density (blue), (3) Exon and intron splice sites (purple), and (4) mtDNA (red). Note that the Ms range (y axis) shows large variation across samples. See supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online, for an analysis on the entire set of 35 ancient specimens.

estimate depth-of-coverage observed at the single nucleotide level, corrected for local %GC content. This can prove critical to account for sequence bias introduced during DNA library amplification (Dabney and Meyer 2012).

The epiPALEOMIX package is written in Python 2.7 and builds on the implementation of the PALEOMIX pipeline (Schubert et al. 2014). It supports multi-threading and requires a simple makefile as input indicating the location of sequence alignment files (BAM format) and the parameters of the analyses to be run. The epiPALEOMIX package is compatible with the analysis of HTS data generated from shotgun and capture-enrichment experiments. Analyses restricted to a single or a minimum number of loci are typically performed within minutes while up to half an hour is necessary to investigate approximately half a million loci scattered along the genome (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).
The epiPALEOMIX package is available at https://bitbucket.org/khanghoj/epipaleomix (last accessed September 1, 2016), together with an extensive companion manual, running examples, BED files, and mappability maps to ensure reproducibility of all analyses presented in this study.

**Results and Discussion**

**Preliminary Notes**

In the following sections, we applied epiPALEOMIX to HTS data generated following three main experimental procedures to explore the presence of genome-wide epigenetic signatures in a wide range of ancient samples, including different tissue types (hair, bones and teeth), geographic origins and DNA preservation conditions. In the first experimental procedure, aDNA extracts were treated with USER (New England Biolabs), a commercial DNA repair mix containing Uracil DNA Glycosylase (UNG) and Endonuclease VIII (EndoVIII) (method 1 - USER, orange figure header background). This treatment breaks DNA strands 3’ of uracil residues and eliminates most C→T nucleotide mis-incorporations post-sequencing (Briggs et al. 2010; Gokhman et al. 2014), except at template termini (Meyer et al. 2012) and methylated cytosines. The next two approaches do not involve USER-treatment prior to library construction. In method 2-Regular (gray figure header backgrounds), aDNA libraries are amplified using DNA polymerases that can bypass uracil residues, such as AmpliTaq Gold (Thermo Fisher). In method 3-Phusion (purple figure header backgrounds), aDNA libraries are amplified using DNA polymerases that cannot bypass uracil residues, such as Phusion.

Both methods 1 and 3 have been shown to provide a way to estimate regional methylation levels through Ms (Pedersen et al. 2014; Seguin-Orlando, Hoover, et al. 2015). Our sample set includes 28 ancient human samples and 2 AH (table 1). We also included five nonhuman organisms, including four ancient equine specimens (Schubert et al. 2014; Der Sarkissian et al. 2015; Librado et al. 2015) and a single aurochs (~6.7 kyr old) (Park et al. 2015).

**Regional Methylation Patterns**

We stratified the human genome into four main genomic classes expected to show strong differential methylation levels but low cross-tissue variation (Ziller et al. 2013). We first investigated CGIs, including their up- and downstream flanking shores (0–2 kb) and shelves (2–4 kb). CGIs are well-known hypomethylated genomic regions, showing gradually increasing methylation levels from shores to shelves (Deaton and Bird 2011). We next focused on three categories of
promoter regions showing High, Intermediate and Low CpG density and %GC content, which are known to be inversely correlated with methylation levels (Ball et al. 2009). We then contrasted Ms in exons, introns and their splice sites, as 5' (3') exonic DNA shows higher methylation levels than 5' (3') intronic DNA (Laurent et al. 2010). Finally, we examined mtDNA as this locus shows minimal, if any, methylation (Rebelo et al. 2009).

Almost all ancient HTS data sets investigated displayed the expected methylation patterns, regardless of the molecular tools used for generating data (fig. 2 and supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). This was in line with previous work for all specimens prepared following USER treatment (method 1-USER) and Phusion amplification (method 3-Phusion) (Gokhman et al. 2014; Pedersen et al. 2014). However, this had never been observed in the absence of such experimental procedures (method 2-Regular), as CpG→TpG mis-incorporations are introduced at both methylated and unmethylated CpGs. That expected regional methylation levels are found with this method corroborates experimental evidence (Dianov et al. 1994; Seguin-Orlando, Gamba, et al. 2015) that post-mortem cytosine deamination rates are significantly faster in methylated CpG contexts (relative to nonmethylated CpG contexts). As a result, samples such as the CGG10397 horse specimen that lived in the 19th century AD show expected methylation profiles at CGIs, CGI shores and shelves and promoters, despite its relative young age (fig. 2).

We note that in method 1-USER and method 3-Phusion data, mtDNA Ms levels are, as expected, reduced in comparison to those observed in hypomethylated regions of the nuclear genome. However, for all samples prepared with method 2-Regular where mitochondrial sequencing data were available, such mtDNA Ms levels were found comparable, and even greater, to those observed in the nuclear genome. This is in agreement with the expected accumulation of C→T mis-incorporations at nonmethylated sites, and recent analyses showing higher deamination rates in mtDNA than nuclear DNA (Kistler et al. 2015).

Finally, we repeated the same analyses on MBD-capture sequence data that we generated from USER-treated DNA extracts of the Palaeo-Eskimo Saqqaq individual. Even though only 622,650 high-quality reads were found to map uniquely against the human genome, we recovered expected methylation signatures at CGIs, promoters and mtDNA (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). This demonstrates the applicability of epiPALEOMIX to capture data.

### Table 1. Hominin Samples Used in This Study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period/culture</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron age (1.4 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allentoft et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze age (3–4.7 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Gamba et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Neolithic (7–7.25 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allentoft et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesolithic (7–9.5 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Günther et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Paleolithic (13.5–45 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cassidy et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saqqaq (4 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gamba et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis (12 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lazaridis et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-Bantu African (4.5 kya)</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lazaridis et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Paleolithic (~40 kya)</td>
<td>AH (Denisovan)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Olalde et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Paleolithic (~50 kya)</td>
<td>AH (Neanderthal)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jones et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** AMH, anatomically modern human; AH, archaic hominin.

Tissue-Specific Methylation Profiles

We next used epiPALEOMIX to investigate whether the sequence data underlying the 30 ancient AMH and AH individual genomes (table 1) showed tissue-specific methylation profiles. To achieve this, we compared Ms to 101 Reduced Representation Bisulfite Sequencing (RRBS) profiles obtained from 45 tissues and cell types (Meissner et al. 2008). We also included 61 450K Illumina array profiles of 22 tissues (Sandoval et al. 2011; SIEKER et al. 2013) to also represent hair methylomes, which were absent from the RRBS data. Ms values were calculated within 2-kb windows centered at each position present on the RRBS and/or 450K Illumina array data sets (Pedersen et al. 2014). We then built linear models to identify the modern tissues showing methylation profiles most similar to ancient samples (fig. 3 and supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online).

Ms in the high-coverage Palaeo-Eskimo hair sample showed maximal correlation (R-squared = 0.65–0.66) against the five hair tissues (fig. 3), in line with earlier analyses (Pedersen et al. 2014). Modern methylation profiles of osteoblasts showed the strongest correlation with the ~45-kyr-old Ust.Ishim individual out of the 101 methylomes tested (R-squared = 0.74–0.76). This profile also showed the second highest correlation observed in both the Neanderthal (R-squared = 0.69–0.72) and Denisovan (R-squared = 0.66–0.69), in line with earlier analyses (Gokhman et al. 2014)
and a series of Bronze Age teeth (RISE395, RISE493, RISE495, RISE496, RISE497, RISE505, RISE511 and RISE523), which were not USER-treated and showed relatively low R-squared values at maximal coverage (\(\leq 0.20\)) across all modern profiles investigated (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online).

Altogether, we found that for aDNA data prepared with methods 1-USER and 3-Phusion, ancient methylation profiles were reminiscent of the modern methylomes of similar tissues or cell types for the majority of the samples investigated. HTS data generated with method 2-Regular showed lower correlation levels, which also significantly dropped with increasing coverage thresholds. We thus do not recommend this method for investigating ancient methylomes, especially for samples similar to ATP2 and RISE395, both sequenced to an average 4.08- and 3.54-fold coverage-of-depth, even though they show relatively high expected numbers of cytosine deaminations at overhangs (0.70–1.53; see below). Interestingly, we noticed that tooth gingival and bone RRBS methylation profiles were the most correlated (0.95, supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online) but also showed high correlation levels (\(>0.90\)) with samples from other tissues types, such as blood, brain and muscles. In the future, this will help researchers test whether the observed methylation changes were tissue-specific or also likely affected other tissues. This may also provide opportunities to infer ancient methylation profiles for soft tissues, despite the large majority of ancient subfossils consisting of calcified material.

To investigate whether methylation levels could reflect different gene expression profiles across tissues, we considered 19,270 human gene models and calculated Rs ratios as proposed by Pedersen et al. (2014). These correspond to ratios of Ms between gene bodies and promoters, and are known to correlate with gene expression in human cell cultures, with housekeeping genes showing maximal Rs ratios (Ball et al. 2009). Using Rs ratios, samples sequenced at high average depth-of-coverage (\(>19 \times\)) and prepared with methods 1-USER and 3-Phusion were found to cluster according to the fossil material extracted, with three main groups represented by hair (Palaeo-Eskimo Saqqqaq), teeth (Loschbour and Stuttgart) and bones (Neand.Altai, Denisovan and Ust.Ishim) (fig. 4).

Interestingly, the top 95th quantile of Rs ratios for the three ancient bone methylomes included genes encoding collagen proteins (I, II, III, V, and XI), suggesting constitutive expression levels in agreement with their structural role in the extracellular matrix of bones and other connective tissues (Niyibizi and Eyre 1994). These genes were not part of the top 95th quantile in the hair Palaeo-Eskimo individual, which instead returned Keratin 17, 32, 33a, Periplakin, and Plectin, all representing proteins related to hair and hair follicle, in line with findings by Pedersen et al. (2014). Unfortunately, we could not investigate genes highly expressed in tooth enamel (AMELX, TUFT1, and AMBN) (Delsuc et al. 2015) and tooth dentine (Oc and Dspp) (Hoffmann et al. 2001), as they did not pass our filtering thresholds. Altogether, these analyses plead in favor of the tissue-specificity of Rs scores.

However, when investigating whether changes in either bone (Neand.Altai, Denisovan and Ust.Ishim) or tooth
Nucleosome Occupancy and Phasing

We first investigated whether patterns of read depth variation reflected nucleosome occupancy, focusing on an alpha-satellite repeat region on the human chromosome 12 showing well-phased nucleosome arrays across a large range of tissues after Micrococcal Nuclease (MNase) treatment (Gaffney et al. 2012). We found that for a majority of ancient hominin samples (25/30, representing all samples but Motala, Loschbour, Neand.Altai, Denisovan and Ust.Ishim), GC-corrected depth-of-coverage profiles were highly correlated to lymphoblastoid cell MNase profiles (Valouev et al. 2011; Gaffney et al. 2012) (Pearson correlation coefficient, PCC) = 0.29–0.68, P values < 1e-16) (fig. 5 and supplementary figs. S5 and S6, Supplementary Material online). These samples, except RISE98, showed a predominant distance of ~200 bp between consecutive peaks of read depth, in line with the MNase treated profile and the length of nucleosome and linker regions (representing 147 and ~50 bp, respectively) (fig. 5, right column and supplementary fig. S5, right column, Supplementary Material online). Importantly, we found no or negative correlation when comparing the ancient samples to the sequence data of a modern human individual from the 1,000 human genome project that we used as negative control (PCC = −0.26–0.08, P values < 1e-5).

Secondly, we used epIPALEOMIX to carry out a phasogram analysis on our entire panel of samples, including the four equids and the aurochs. Phasograms display the distribution of distances between read start positions on the same strand (Valouev et al. 2011) and can help detect fragmentation periodicities. In regions where nucleosomes are well phased, such as gene bodies (Jiang and Pugh 2009), one nucleotide residue is expected to be more exposed to hydrolysis for every DNA helix turn (Wang et al. 2008; Jiang and Pugh 2009; Pedersen et al. 2014; Orlando et al. 2015), leading to short-range (~10 bp) periodicity patterns in ancient HTS data (Pedersen et al. 2014). Strong nucleosome phasing is also
expected to introduce long-range periodicity patterns reflecting the distance between consecutive nucleosomes, on average every ~200 bp (Valouev et al. 2011). We found short-range periodicity patterns for the same set of individual genomes displaying ~200 bp periodicity in the alpha-satellite repeat region used above (fig. 5 and supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online), with the addition of Motala, Loschbour, Ust.Ishim, and three horses (CGG10022, Batagai, CGG10397), summing up to 30/35 samples. Most of these samples, excepting Loschbour, Motala, RISE511 and RISE495, but with the addition of the horse Paratype and Aurochs also showed strong long-range periodicity patterns (totaling 27/35 samples). Neand.Altai, Denisovan and Ust.Ishim showed more noisy ~200 bp periodicity signals. Of note, our modern negative control showed no short-range periodicity (fig. 6 and supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online) but a ~144-bp long-range density peak, in line with phasogram analyses on modern data (Valouev et al. 2011).}

Thirdly, we investigated nucleosome occupancy patterns around TSS, where strong nucleosome phasing is found in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* house-keeping genes (Jiang and Pugh 2009) and to some extent, in humans (Ozsolak et al. 2007). To achieve this, we called nucleosomes and looked for long-range periodicity patterns within the three promoter categories described above (fig. 7 and supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). We found consistent ~190-bp periodicities from TSS for the *High* promoter category across the same set of samples that showed long-range periodicity signals in previous phasogram analyses, with the single exception of the horse Paratype, which is amongst the most recent samples (fig. 7 and supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). For the remaining two promoter categories (*Low* and *Intermediate*), we find 3- to 200-fold weaker signal powers of Fourier periodicity, in line with their known, less pronounced, nucleosome occupancy and phasing patterns in living cells (Hesson et al. 2014).

We next followed Snyder et al. (2016) and binned human TSS in five increasing expression quantiles. For 21 out of the 30 hominin samples investigated, we found a much stronger periodicity in nucleosome positioning for high expression categories relative to low expression categories. Therefore, a majority of the ancient samples support the known relationship between nucleosome occupancy and phasing around TSS and gene expression (supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material online).

Finally, we note that we systematically failed retrieving the expected signatures of nucleosome protection from the Neand.Altai, Denisovan, Loschbour, Paratype, Motala and RISE98 sequence data. Given that (1) these samples encompass the full temporal range investigated here, and (2) short-range periodicities have been described in cell-free DNA circulating in the blood of living individuals (Snyder et al. 2016), the presence of such signatures therefore does not appear to be age-dependent but rather damage dependent.

**NucleoMap versus WPS**

The Windowed Protection Score (WPS) method has been recently developed to call nucleosomes in DNA fragments circulating freely in the blood of living individuals (Snyder et al. 2016). Cell-free DNA fragments generally show strong patterns of nucleosome protection and a short-range periodicity in phasogram analyses. We thus investigated whether the WPS method could complement epiPALEOMIX in characterizing ancient nucleosome maps. We restricted our analyses to the well-phased nucleosome array found within the alpha-satellite repeat region on chromosome 12, running WPS on a wide range of possible window protection sizes (10, 20, 30, 50, 80, 120 bp). Firstly, we found that, regardless of the sizes considered, the WPS method called fewer peaks (50–480) than the S86 nucleosomes found in the conserved nucleosome array following MNase treatment. The *NucleoMap* method from epiPALEOMIX consistently called a larger number of peaks, except for the Saqqaq sample for which similar nucleosome counts were found in both epiPALEOMIX and WPS (protection windows 30–80 bp; supplementary fig. S10, Supplementary Material online). Secondly, nucleosome dyads from the MNase treated sample were found to be physically closer to those called with epiPALEOMIX than those called with WPS (supplementary fig. S11, Supplementary Material online).
Ancient Methylation and Nucleosome Profiles at CTCF Binding Sites

In the following, we focused on the 2-kb regions surrounding CTCF binding sites, as such regions are known to exhibit strong and inversely correlated methylation and nucleosome patterns whenever bound to the CTCF protein (Fu et al. 2008; Kelly et al. 2012). We limited our analyses to ancient AMH and AH individuals in the absence of known coordinates for occupied and un-occupied CTCF regions in horse and cattle. No particular nucleosome patterns were found at unoccupied CTCF regions (fig. 8, dashed lines and supplementary fig. S12, Supplementary Material online), suggesting that DNA fragmentation is largely random in the absence of nucleosome protection. This contrasts with the strong \( \sim 180 \) bp and out-of-phase periodicities found for both methylation and nucleosome occupancy within occupied CTCF regions (fig. 8, solid lines and supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online). Two samples (Loschbour, RISE98) stand as exceptions, as no clear \( \sim 180 \)-bp periodicity in nucleosome occupancies were found, in line with the analyses above showing no obvious nucleosome protection patterns for these samples. Four of the Bronze Age individuals prepared with Method 2-Regular showed the expected pattern of nucleosome occupancy but lacked the methylation signature (RISE523, RISE511, RISE495, RISE395; supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online, blue). These were thus excluded from the following analyses.

Using a downsampling procedure, we found that a minimal number of 200,000–1,600,000 reads on occupied CTCF regions are generally sufficient to recover the expected out-of-phase periodicity signature (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). This minimal number of reads appears to be inversely correlated with the expected number of deamination-driven nucleotide mis-incorporations found at overhangs (fig. 9, method 1-USER and method 3-Phusion, \( R \)-squared correlation coefficients \( = 0.86, P \) value \( = 0.0016 \); method 2-Regular, \( R \)-squared correlation coefficients \( = 0.35, P \) value \( = 0.0070 \)). This suggests post-mortem degradation levels as the main driving factor, and that rather than absolute minimal read number requirements, degradation parameters should be considered when checking for such patterns at occupied CTCF regions. We recommend that these patterns can be used as a further authentication criterion for aDNA when a sufficient number of deamination-driven nucleotide mis-incorporations are found at overhangs. Pending further data, we note that no out-of-phase profiles could be recovered for RISE511, RISE495, RISE497, and RISE98, which all show low mis-incorporation rates at overhangs (0.39–0.49) (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). We thus recommend the sequencing depth reported above and a minimum number
of ~0.48 mis-incorporations per overhang should be required for samples prepared with method 2-Regular. That the expected out-of-phase signal between nucleosome occupancy patterns and methylation levels was detectable for Bichon, albeit this sample only showed 0.24 mis-incorporations per overhang, is not incompatible with the recommended threshold as the sequencing data were trimmed for the two starting and ending read positions, where cytosine deamination rates are maximal (Jones et al. 2015). We should, however, caution that CTCF epigenetic patterns should not substitute the other authentication proxies currently used, for example, based on other features of nucleotide misincorporation and DNA fragmentation (Briggs et al. 2007; Krause et al. 2010) and/or direct estimates of contamination levels (Green et al. 2010; Rasmussen et al. 2010; Fu et al. 2013; Renaud et al. 2015; Racimo et al. 2016). In contrast to the latter, but similar to the former, CTCF methylation and nucleosome occupancy patterns can indeed be expected in the case of a mixture of modern DNA contaminants and aDNA templates, as long as a sufficient number of deamination-driven nucleotide mis-incorporations are found at overhangs.

Exploiting Ancient Epigenetic Profiles

Previous work has exploited ancient methylation signatures to (1) infer the age at death of ancient individuals (Pedersen et al. 2014) and (2) identify regions differentially methylated...
between AH and modern human individuals (Gokhman et al. 2014). In the next section, we implemented such analyses on our larger data set.

Firstly, we used DNAmAge and Ms within 2-kb windows surrounding 353 CpG-clocks found to correlate with age to infer the age at death of all ancient AMH and AH individuals (excepting K14, AT22, Motala and RISE23 due to insufficient read depth) (Horvath 2013). This analysis implicitly assumes that the statistical model relating clock-CpG methylation levels throughout the life of modern individuals (Horvath 2013) is valid for ancient populations showing different lifestyles and health conditions. This remains to be tested, although at least some CpG-clock sites are common to humans and apes (Horvath 2013). We found that a large majority of the individuals investigated were estimated to have lived over 50 years (up to 90 years) (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online), which is at minimal optimistic for past populations. Additionally, although DNAmAGE estimates of BR2 (15 years) and Mota (24 years) matched the morphological expectations of a European child and an Ethiopian young adult, the Amerindian Clovis child was estimated to be 34 years old when he died. Further methodological improvements, for example, restricting analyses to clock-CpGs specifically identified in bones and teeth—two tissues not originally represented in the CpG-clock discovery panel (Horvath 2013), are thus needed before this method could be reliably applied.

Secondly, we investigated five particular regions within the HOXD cluster and TBX15 locus, which were part of the ~2,000 differentially methylated regions (DMRs) identified by Gokhman et al. (2014) between AHs and modern osteoblast cells. Given their role during limb development (Zakany and Duboule 2007), these regions were proposed to potentially be involved in the strong anatomical differences between AHs and AMHs. The AH methylation profiles recovered by epiPALEOMIX are largely consistent with those reported by Gokhman et al. (2014) (fig. 10). However, we found similar methylation profiles for both Neand.Altai and the ancient AMH Ust.Ishim at the HOXD10 and HOXD9 putative DMRs, except within the ~500-bp region from the promoter. The same was true at the putative TBX15 DMR. This illustrates how epiPALEOMIX can be used to refine putative DMRs between AMHs and AHs.

Conclusions

In this article, we have developed epiPALEOMIX, the first computational package dedicated to the reconstruction of genome-wide methylation and nucleosome maps in ancient individuals. We applied epiPALEOMIX to the largest panel of genome-scale sequence data from ancient mammals and have shown that genome-wide epigenetic landscapes can be reconstructed over a considerable geographical and temporal span. For all ancient samples showing significant levels of DNA damage and prepared using USER treatment or Phusion amplification, inferred methylation profiles are reminiscent of modern methylomes, and show some level of tissue specificity. Importantly, this was also true (although less pronounced) for some samples that had not been USER treated or Phusion amplified due to the faster post-mortem deamination rate at methylated cytosines. By contrasting occupied and nonoccupied CTCF binding regions, we further demonstrate that aDNA fragmentation patterns are driven by nucleosome protection. Nucleosome protection patterns are, however, not found across all samples investigated and do not appear to be age-dependent.

Our epigenome is increasingly acknowledged for participating in gene regulation, and thereby for shaping phenotypes. It can be affected by inherited genetic variation (Heyn et al. 2013) and, during our early embryonic life, by the environmental conditions experienced by our mother, with possible long-term phenotypic and health consequences (Lim and Brunet 2013). Furthermore, epigenetic differences have been found between populations from different continents (Heyn et al. 2013) or exhibiting different lifestyles (Tobi et al. 2009). The epiPALEOMIX package opens for further investigation of epigenetic changes in evolutionary times, in particular during major environmental and cultural transitions (Orlando and Willerslev 2014).

Methods

Methylation Scores, Ms

Regional methylation scores (Ms) were calculated following the methodology presented by Pedersen et al. (2014) (fig. 1A). The calculation of Ms depends on the molecular tools used to prepare aDNA. In method 1-USER, DNA extracts have been USER treated prior to library construction (Briggs et al. 2010) while in method 3-Phusion, aDNA libraries based on ligation at A-overhangs are amplified with the Phusion Polymerase (Pedersen et al. 2014), a DNA Polymerase which hampers DNA elongation through UpGs (method 3-Phusion). Both types of procedures make it possible to track mCpG epialleles through CpG→TpG conversions, and complementary CpG→GpT conversions (Gokhman et al. 2014; Pedersen et al. 2014; Seguin-Orlando, Hoover, et al. 2015). In the third type of experimental procedure (method 2-Regular), DNA extracts have been directly constructed into aDNA libraries, which have been amplified with a DNA polymerase that can elongate through UpG dinucleotides. Here, Ms is expected to reflect both contributions of CpG→UpG and mCpG→TpG conversions, resulting in higher Ms levels than for method 1-USER and method 3-Phusion. However, as post-mortem deamination is faster at methylated cytosines than unmethylated ones (Dianov et al. 1994; Palkopoulou et al. 2015; Seguin-Orlando, Gamba, et al. 2015), Ms can still be expected to reflect methylation levels.

As the type of DNA library preparation is known to affect nucleotide mis-incorporation patterns (Meyer et al. 2012; Seguin-Orlando, Hoover, et al. 2015), we accounted for the type of DNA library prepared when counting CpG→TpG mis-incorporations. For DNA library types prepared from double stranded DNA templates, we only considered the 5’ end of each read, representing the first 15 positions sequenced. In contrast, for DNA libraries prepared from single stranded DNA templates (Meyer et al. 2012), the 15 positions...
from 5′ and 3′ were considered (Gokhman et al. 2014). Relevant details about the molecular procedures used for each sample, including USER treatment, DNA library type, DNA polymerase, and number of trimmed bases, are summarized in supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online. Additionally, for a subset of USER-treated samples, we discarded bases showing high C→T rates at read termini as USER-treatment shows poor efficacy at read termini (Meyer et al. 2012).

Ms was calculated by summing the number of CpGs and TpGs present in the sequencing reads aligned against the plus strand of the reference genome, at genomic positions where the latter displayed a CpG dinucleotide. For sequencing reads aligning against the minus strand, we tracked the presence of CpGs and CpAs in the sequencing reads at positions where the reference genome showed CpGs. Since post-mortem deamination is random and is not expected to affect all genomic CpG sites, sites for which more than half the reads exhibit CpG→TpG conversion with a minimum coverage of five were disregarded. Similarly, genomic sites described as polymorphic within dbsNP142 (http://genome.ucsc.edu/; last accessed September 1, 2016) were disregarded as these can reflect sites where the ancient individual and the reference genome differed. We acknowledge that, in situations where ancient polymorphic sites are unlikely to be represented within dbsNP (e.g., AH and ancient populations with no obvious present-day surrogates), masking procedures specifically accounting for the phylogenetic distance between ancient and present-day populations would be preferable.

Regional Methylation Levels
We used Ms to investigate methylation levels within genomic regions with known methylation patterns, including promoter regions (defined following Ball et al. (2009) as the region comprising the 400-bp upstream and 1,000-bp downstream of TSSs), mtDNA, introns, exons, splice sites, and CpG islands as well as their neighboring shores (flanking 2,000 bases) and shelves (flanking 2,000–4,000 bases). We followed Ball et al. (2009) to stratify annotated promoters into three groups of increasing CpG density displaying an inverse correlation with their methylation levels (Ball et al. 2009). All genomic coordinates for the above-mentioned regions have been downloaded from UCSC table browser (www.genome.ucsc.edu/; last accessed September 1, 2016), using Ensembl gene build from the hg19/build37 reference assembly for humans. Additionally, we retrieved hg18 genomic coordinates of CTCF binding sites from Fu et al. (2008) and converted these to hg19/build37 using liftOver (http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/admin/exe/linux86_64/liftOver; last accessed September 1, 2016). Our final set of genomic coordinates included 2 kilobases centered at each of 5,735 CTCF occupied and 5,635 unoccupied CTCF binding sites. We excluded sex chromosomes, which display depth-of-coverage variation between males and females, from all our analyses, except when noted otherwise. CGI coordinates for equids were converted from hg19/build37 using liftOver to horses (EquCab2.0) and represented 4.9 megabases spread across 7,685 regions. The gene annotation and intron/exon splice sites coordinates for horses (EquCab2.0) and Aurochs (btau_umd3.1/bosTau6) was retrieved from (www.genome.ucsc.edu/; last accessed September 1, 2016). For details on promoter categories, gene builds and BED files used, see supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online. The expected number of deaminations per overhang was estimated within CTCF regions using $\delta$ and $\lambda$ parameters as retrieved from mapDamage2 (Jönsson et al. 2013: 2) (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online) and the following formula $(\delta x ((1/\lambda) - 1))$.

Modern Human Methylomes
To compare ancient and present-day methylomes, we downloaded RRBS methylation data for 101 human tissues from UCSC (see supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online) (http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeHaibMethylRrbs/; last accessed September 1, 2016). This represented a total number of ~1.6 millions of CpG sites, for which we calculated Ms in their 1,000 flanking bases (i.e., 2,000 bp centered around each CpG). This window size was selected to reflect the correlation of the methylation levels within neighboring regions in the human genome (Eckhardt et al. 2006). As no hair tissue was represented in the RRBS data, we downloaded from UCSC (http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeHaibMethyl450/; last accessed September 1, 2016) methylation data estimated using the Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip system (450K Illumina array; Sandoval et al. 2011; Slieker et al. 2013) for 22 modern human tissues, including hair. We constructed a linear model to compare ancient and present-day human methylomes. It related modern methylation scores, as measured in RRBS experiments and 450K Illumina arrays, with ancient methylation scores, the number of TpGs and CpGs found in the ancient sequencing data, the variance of TpGs as well as the CpG density of the region. All CpG sites covered with less than 10 reads were disregarded from RRBS sequencing data, as the chance events to observe post-mortem deamination events at such sites are limited.

Nucleosome Calls
Nucleosomes were called using the sliding-window approach described by Pedersen et al. (2014) (fig. 1B). This method provides a nucleosome score, which represents a more robust measure of nucleosome presence than simply the maximal read depth. We therefore used this score when aggregating signal across regions, for example, all TSS regions genome-wide, and also disregarded all called nucleosomes with a negative score.

Insert length, GC-content (%GC) and repeats represent well-known factors affecting read depth variation along the genome (Benjamini and Speed 2012; Jönsson et al. 2014), potentially conflicting with our nucleosome calling procedure. To assess whether nucleosome calls were robust to such biases, we restricted nucleosome calls to uniquely mappable genomic regions, which were defined following the procedure described in Jonsson et al. (2014), where we computed...
the sequence mappability within 20-kb genomic blocks using a 41-mers (Derrien et al. 2012) and restricted nucleosome calls to blocks showing mappability uniqueness ≥ 0.9. We also implemented the model-based %GC correction from Benjamini and Speed (Benjamini and Speed 2012) to read depth at each genomic coordinate prior to nucleosome calling. All nucleosome-calling analyses are based on GC-corrected read-depth and uniquely mappable regions unless otherwise noted.

**Phasograms**

We followed the procedure originally described by Valouev et al. (2011) to analyze nucleosome phasing in HTS data (fig. 1C). We required a minimal depth-of-coverage of three reads per sequencing start position unless stated otherwise.

**Regional Nucleosome Profiles**

We evaluated ancient data sets at regions known to show strong nucleosome positioning and phasing in a wide range of cell types. One of such regions was represented by CTCF binding sites (defined as above) and another was located on the human chromosome 12 at positions 34,439,733–34,559,733 (hg19) (Gaffney et al. 2012). We also analyzed promoter regions and the respective gene bodies of their longest open reading frame (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online).

**Fourier Transforms**

Periodicity signals were investigated through spectral density analyses, using the Spectrum package in R (https://www.R-project.org/; last accessed September 1, 2016). This was applied to all genomic regions that displayed fluctuations in either methylation levels, read depth, or phasogram analyses.

The raw data was normalized over the background using LOESS (span = 0.3) function in R (https://www.cran.r-project.org/; last accessed September 1, 2016).

**Saqqqaq Palaeo-Eskimo Sequence Data**

We extracted DNA from 150 mg of hair from a Palaeo-Eskimo human sample of the Saqqqaq culture, dated 4,044 ± 31 BP, following Rasmussen et al. (2010). MBD enrichment was performed on the whole extract as described by Seguin-Orlando, Gamba, et al. (2015). The captured fraction, enriched for methylated DNA, was eluted using a 1-M KCl solution, purified on a MinElute (QIAGEN) column and eluted in 55 μl EB buffer. An aliquot of the eluate (32.5 μl) was treated for 3 h at 37 °C using the 10-μl USER mix (New England Biolabs, #M5505) before an Illumina DNA library was constructed using the procedure from Meyer and Kircher (Meyer and Kircher 2010) with the modifications from Seguin-Orlando, Gamba, et al. (2015). The library was split in two halves, each of which was independently amplified for 15 cycles, using 5 units of AmpliTaq Gold (Life Technologies) and 6-bp indexed primers. After MinElute-purification, both were subjected to a second round of amplification (6 cycles), using four parallel reactions each. Final products were purified on MinElute columns, quantified on the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) High-Sensitivity Assay, pooled with other libraries and sequenced on the Illumina Hiseq2500, 75 Paired-End Rapid Mode, at the Danish National High-Throughput DNA sequencing centre. High-quality reads aligning uniquely against the human reference genome hg19 were identified using PALEOMIX (Schubert et al. 2014), disabling seeding in BWA 0.5.9-r26-dev (Li et al. 2009), and requiring a minimum mapping quality of 25. The sequence data are available for download at the European Nucleotide Archive (PRJEB15186).

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary figures S1–S13 and tables S1–S7 are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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