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ABSTRACT
Both high-productivity and high-performance are two often sought after aspects of scientific programming. Python gives the programmer high-productivity, but even with NumPy it is often not high-performant because of the GIL, which makes it inherently single threaded.

Bohrium intercepts NumPy calls and generates an intermediate language, Bohrium byte-code, before being compiled to OpenCL kernels. It thus grants Python/NumPy the ability to be easily run on multicore systems or GPUs, without changing the source code.

The Bohrium byte-code can be optimized, by transforming byte-code sequences into more performant ones. This way, the scientific programmer will not need to change her code to utilize special performant constructs.

CCS Concepts
• Applied computing → Physical sciences and engineering; • Computing methodologies → Parallel computing methodologies; Symbolic and algebraic manipulation;
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1. INTRODUCTION
Python is an interpreted, high-level, general purpose programming language, which enables high-productivity and readability. For scientific applications Python programmers utilize NumPy [4], which has become the de-facto standard for vectorized code for Python.

Bohrium [2, 3] extends NumPy, by allowing the code to be run on multicore CPUs, clusters, or GPUs, without interfering with the high-productivity aspect of Python. The programmer only has to change the import from numpy to bohrium, and the Bohrium runtime will take over, still utilizing all NumPy calls internally.

The byte-code language operates on tensors of varying size and shape. Every time the programmer invokes a NumPy method, Bohrium intercepts it and, if possible, does the computation on e.g. the GPU instead.

Since Bohrium has multiple front-ends, e.g. Python, CIL, C++, this project will focus on optimizing the intermediate language, that the Bohrium runtime generates. An optimization would be transforming byte-code sequences that can be rewritten into more efficient code, thus allowing the programmer high-productivity as well as fast running code.

2. ALGEBRAIC TRANSFORMATIONS
A transformation can be thought of as a rewriting of elements from one set to another.
An example of such a transformation could be to realize
\[ x^n \mapsto x \cdot \ldots \cdot x = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x \] if \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (1)

We can thus exchange the power-function for a series of multiplications or vice versa. This also holds true for tensors, such that \( x^{10} = \prod_{i=1}^{10} x \).

Another example of a transformation, one that requires a more context-aware transformation, could be transforming a solution of
\[ \vec{A}x = \vec{B} \]
, where \( \vec{A} \) and \( \vec{B} \) are tensors. Usually we first have to find the inverse \( \vec{A}^{-1} \) tensor to solve for \( x \).

\[ \vec{A}x = \vec{B} \quad \Leftrightarrow \]
\[ \vec{A}^{-1} \vec{A}x = \vec{A}^{-1} \vec{B} \quad \Leftrightarrow \]
\[ x = \vec{A}^{-1} \vec{B} \] (2)

Instead one could do a LU-factorization [1] of the same problem, which would usually be faster to compute. Note that this is of course only faster, if we do not use the \( \vec{A}^{-1} \) tensor for anything else in our computations.

The transformations can thus be small loop-fusion-like contractions of byte-codes, or it can detect the semantic meaning of the code, thus being more specialized and context-aware.

1Global Interpreter Lock
2Also known as array-programming.
3Multi-dimensional matrices.
3. BOHIRUM BYTE-CODE

Even though Python is an interpreted language, with Bohrium we actually get a JIT-compiled intermediate language. We can thus manipulate the byte-code before executing it.

In this byte-code language a single line encapsulates one byte-code. A byte-code consists of an op-code, e.g. BH_ADD, a result register, and up to two parameter registers or constants.

In Listing 1 we have a Python program adding three ones together in a one-dimensional vector of size 10.

import bohrium as np
a = np.zeros(10)
a += 1
a += 1
a += 1
print a

Listing 1: Adding three ones in Python.

In Listing 2 the same program is shown in the Bohrium byte-code language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH_IDENTITY a0 [0:10:1] 0</td>
<td>Initialize tensor, x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_ADD a0 [0:10:1] a0 [0:10:1] 1</td>
<td>x + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_ADD a0 [0:10:1] a0 [0:10:1] 1</td>
<td>x + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_ADD a0 [0:10:1] a0 [0:10:1] 1</td>
<td>x + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_SYNC a0 [0:10:1]</td>
<td>合并 tensor, x + 1, x + 1, x + 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 2: Adding three ones with Bohrium.

Here, a0 is a vector register where our view is always from 0 to 10 with a step of 1. In further listings I assume the view is the same for all registers, and thus will not write it out.

What this means is that we three times add 1 to all elements of our a0 tensor’s full view and store it back into the full view.

3.1 Transforming the Byte-code

In the code example in Listing 2, we see each of the three additions being its own byte-code. In reality our a0 tensor could be very large, so large in fact that adding one to each element would take a long time. Instead the constants of the three byte-codes can be merged into one by simply adding them together. After transforming the byte-code sequence, we thus end up with only one BH_ADD op-code adding 3 to each element, as shown in Listing 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH_IDENTITY a0 0</td>
<td>Initialize tensor, x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_ADD a0 a0 3</td>
<td>x + 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_SYNC a0</td>
<td>Merge tensor, x + 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 3: Optimized adding three ones with Bohrium.

By transforming we can also generate more byte-codes. In the power-to-multiplication example we start with one byte-code, BH_POWER, and can potentially end up with many. Here it is important to know, that we usually only have access to the origin and result tensors, since copying data to create temporary tensors would be time consuming for large tensors. For the power example from (1), we can get better performance, still only using BH_MULTIPLY, by utilizing the result tensor multiple times, instead of only seeing it as the end-result. To do this, we can realize that

\[ x^{10} = x^8 \cdot x \cdot x \]
\[ = x^4 \cdot x^4 \cdot x \cdot x \]
\[ = x^2 \cdot x^2 \cdot x^2 \cdot x \cdot x \]

If we thus first calculate \( x^2 \) and store that in our result tensor a1, we can then multiply it with itself to get \( x^4 \). Multiplying this with itself grants us \( x^8 \) and then multiplying this with \( x \) twice gives us the result \( x^{10} \).

In Listing 4 we have calculated \( x^{10} \) by multiplying first our origin tensor by \( x \) and then 9 more times multiplying the result tensor with \( x \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH_IDENTITY a0 ...</td>
<td>Initialize tensor, x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a0 a0</td>
<td>( x^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... (x 7)</td>
<td>( x^3 \cdot x^9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a1 a0</td>
<td>( x^{10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_SYNC a1</td>
<td>Merge tensor, ( x^{10} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 4: \( x \) to the power of ten, using nine BH_MULTIPLYs.

We could actually do better. Since we own the result tensor, we are allowed to use it as we see fit. In Listing 5 a better \( x^{10} \) is shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH_IDENTITY a0 ...</td>
<td>Initialize tensor, x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a0 a0</td>
<td>( x^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a1 a1</td>
<td>( x^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a1 a1</td>
<td>( x^8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a1 a0</td>
<td>( x^9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_MULTIPLY a1 a1 a0</td>
<td>( x^{10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH_SYNC a1</td>
<td>Merge tensor, ( x^{10} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 5: \( x \) to the power of ten, using just five BH_MULTIPLYs.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Some of these rudimentary transformations have already been implemented into the Bohrium runtime system. Bohrium already supports merging integer addition, by adding the constants, before adding it to the actual tensors. It also does power expansion by default, since benchmarks have shown, that for values close to a power of 2, multiplying multiple times is faster than doing an actual BH_POWER.

A further study of real examples, such as (2), from imaging software and benchmark suites is planned. If resulting sequences is found to be too slow, a study on how to make them faster will be made.
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