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1. Summary

- bulk types (sets, bags, lists) are monads
- monads have nice mathematical foundations via adjunctions
- monads support comprehensions
- comprehension syntax provides a query notation
  
  \[
  \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
  \text{(customer.name, invoice.amount)} \\
  \mid \text{customer} \leftarrow \text{customers}, \\
  \text{invoice} \leftarrow \text{invoices}, \\
  \text{customer.cid} = \text{invoice.customer}, \\
  \text{invoice.due} \leq \text{today}
  \end{array} \right. 
  \]

- monad structure explains selection, projection
- less obvious how to explain join
2. Galois connections

Relating monotonic functions between two ordered sets:

\[(A, \leq) \perp (B, \sqsubseteq)\]

means \(f b \leq a \iff b \sqsubseteq g a\)

For example,

\[(\mathbb{R}, \leq_{\mathbb{R}}) \perp (\mathbb{Z}, \leq_{\mathbb{Z}})\]

\[(\mathbb{Z}, \leq) \perp (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\]

“Change of coordinates” can sometimes simplify reasoning; eg rhs gives \(n \times k \leq m \iff n \leq m \div k\), and multiplication is easier to reason about than rounding division.
3. Category theory from ordered sets

A category \( C \) consists of

- a set\(^*\) \(|C|\) of objects,
- a set\(^*\) \( C(X, Y) \) of arrows \( X \to Y \) for each \( X, Y : |C| \),
- identity arrows \( id_X : X \to X \) for each \( X \)
- composition \( f \cdot g : X \to Z \) of compatible arrows \( g : X \to Y \) and \( f : Y \to Z \),
- such that composition is associative, with identities as units.

Think of a directed graph, with vertices as objects and paths as arrows.

An ordered set \((A, \leq)\) is a degenerate category, with objects \( A \) and a unique arrow \( a \to b \) iff \( a \leq b \).

\[ \cdots \longrightarrow -2 \longrightarrow -1 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow \cdots \]

Many categorical concepts are generalisations from ordered sets.

\(^*\)proviso...
4. Concrete categories

Ordered sets are a *concrete category*: roughly,

- the objects are *sets with additional structure*
- the arrows are *structure-preserving mappings*

Many useful categories are of this form.

For example, the category $\text{CMon}$ has commutative monoids $(M, \otimes, \epsilon)$ as objects, and homomorphisms $h : (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \rightarrow (M', \oplus, \epsilon')$ as arrows:

\[
  h (m \otimes n) = h m \oplus h n \\
  h \epsilon = \epsilon'
\]

Trivially, category $\text{Set}$ has sets as objects, and total functions as arrows.
5. Functors

Categories are themselves structured objects...

A functor \( F : C \to D \) is an operation on both objects and arrows, preserving the structure: \( F f : F X \to F Y \) when \( f : X \to Y \), and

\[
F \, \text{id}_X = \text{id}_{F \, X} \\
F \, (f \cdot g) = F \, f \cdot F \, g
\]

For example, *forgetful* functor \( U : \text{CMon} \to \text{Set} \):

\[
U \, (M, \otimes, \epsilon) = M \\
U \, (h : (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \to (M', \oplus, \epsilon')) = h : M \to M'
\]

Conversely, \( \text{Free} : \text{Set} \to \text{CMon} \) generates the *free* commutative monoid (ie bags) on a set of elements:

\[
\text{Free} \, A = (\text{Bag} \, A, \uplus, \emptyset) \\
\text{Free} \, (f : A \to B) = \text{map} \, f : \text{Bag} \, A \to \text{Bag} \, B
\]
6. Adjunctions

Adjunctions are the categorical generalisation of Galois connections.

Given categories $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, and functors $L : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C}$ and $R : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$, adjunction

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{C} \downarrow \\
\mathbf{D}
\end{array}$$

means $\dashv \dashv$ $\mathbf{C}(L X, Y) \simeq \mathbf{D}(X, R Y) : \llbracket - \rrbracket$

A familiar example is given by currying:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{Set} \downarrow \\
\mathbf{Set}
\end{array}$$

with $\text{curry} : \mathbf{Set}(X \times P, Y) \simeq \mathbf{Set}(X, Y^P) : \text{curry}^\circ$

hence definitions and properties of $\text{apply} = \text{uncurry} \ id_{Y^P} : Y^P \times P \to Y$
7. Products and coproducts

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Set} \\
\delta
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \\
\oplus
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Set}^2 \\
\delta
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \\
\times
\end{array} 
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \\
\text{Set}
\end{array}
\]

with

\[
\text{fork} : \text{Set}^2(\delta A, (B, C)) \cong \text{Set}(A, B \times C) : \text{fork}^\circ
\]

\[
junc^\circ : \text{Set}(A + B, C) \cong \text{Set}^2((A, B), \delta C) : junc
\]

hence

\[
dup = \text{fork } \text{id}_{A,A} : \text{Set}(A, A \times A)
\]

\[
(fst, snd) = \text{fork}^\circ \text{id}_{B \times C} : \text{Set}^2(\delta (B, C), (B, C))
\]

give tupling and projection. Dually for sums and injections, and generally for any arity—even zero.
8. Free commutative monoids

Adjunctions often capture embedding/projection pairs:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CMon} & \Downarrow & \text{Set} \\
\otimes & & \\
\text{Free} & & \Upsilon
\end{array}
\]

with \([-]\) : \text{CMon}(\text{Free } A, (M, \otimes, \epsilon)) \\
\approx \text{Set}(A, \Upsilon (M, \otimes, \epsilon)) : [-]

Unit and counit:

\begin{align*}
\text{single } A &= [id_{\text{Free } A}] : A \to \Upsilon (\text{Free } A) \\
\text{reduce } M &= [id_{M}] : \text{Free } (\Upsilon M) \to M \quad \text{-- for } M = (M, \otimes, \epsilon)
\end{align*}

whence, for \( h : \text{Free } A \to M \) and \( f : A \to \Upsilon M = M \),

\[ h = \text{reduce } M \cdot \text{Free } f \iff \Upsilon h \cdot \text{single } A = f \]

ie 1-to-1 correspondence between homomorphisms from the free commutative monoid (bags) and their behaviour on singletons.
9. Aggregation

Aggregations are bag homomorphisms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>aggregation</th>
<th>monoid</th>
<th>action on singletons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>((\mathbb{N}, 0, +))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>((\mathbb{R}, 0, +))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>((\mathbb{Z}, \text{minBound}, \text{max}))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>((\mathbb{Z}, \text{maxBound}, \text{min}))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all</td>
<td>((\mathbb{B}, \text{True}, \wedge))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any</td>
<td>((\mathbb{B}, \text{False}, \vee))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection is a homomorphism, to bags, using action

\[
guard : (A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \text{Bag} A \rightarrow \text{Bag} A
\]

\[
guard p a = \text{if } p a \text{ then } \{a\} \text{ else } \emptyset
\]

Laws about selections follow from laws of homomorphisms (and of coproducts, since \(\mathbb{B} = 1 + 1\)).
10. Monads

Bags form a monad \((\text{Bag}, \text{union}, \text{single})\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Bag} & = \text{U} \cdot \text{Free} \\
\text{union} & : \text{Bag} (\text{Bag} A) \to \text{Bag} A \\
\text{single} & : A \to \text{Bag} A
\end{align*}
\]

which justifies the use of comprehension notation \( \{ f \ a \ b \mid a \leftarrow x, b \leftarrow g \ a \} \).

In fact, for any adjunction \( L \dashv R \) between \( \mathbf{C} \) and \( \mathbf{D} \), we get a monad \((T, \mu, \eta)\) on \( \mathbf{D} \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
T & = R \cdot L \\
\mu A & = R [ id_A ] L : T (T A) \to T A \\
\eta A & = [ id_A ] : A \to T A
\end{align*}
\]
11. Maps

Database indexes are essentially maps \( \text{Map } K V = V^K \). Maps \((-)^K \) from \( K \) form a monad (the \textit{Reader} monad in Haskell), so arise from an adjunction.

The \textit{laws of exponents} arise from this adjunction, and from those for products and coproducts:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Map } 0 V & \simeq 1 \\
\text{Map } 1 V & \simeq V \\
\text{Map } (K_1 + K_2) V & \simeq \text{Map } K_1 V \times \text{Map } K_2 V \\
\text{Map } (K_1 \times K_2) V & \simeq \text{Map } K_1 (\text{Map } K_2 V) \\
\text{Map } K 1 & \simeq 1 \\
\text{Map } K (V_1 \times V_2) & \simeq \text{Map } K V_1 \times \text{Map } K V_2 : \textit{merge}
\end{align*}
\]
12. Indexing

Relations are in 1-to-1 correspondence with set-valued functions:

\[ \text{Rel} \xrightarrow{J} \text{Set} \xleftarrow{E} \text{Rel} \]

where \( J \) embeds, and \( E \ R : A \rightarrow \text{Set} \ B \) for \( R : A \sim B \).

Moreover, the correspondence remains valid for bags:

\[ \text{index} : \text{Bag} (K \times V) \simeq \text{Map} K (\text{Bag} V) \]

Together, \( \text{index} \) and \( \text{merge} \) give efficient relational joins:

\[ x f \bowtie g y = \text{flatten} (\text{Map} K \ cp (\text{merge} (\text{groupBy} f x, \text{groupBy} g y))) \]

\( \text{groupBy} : (V \rightarrow K) \rightarrow \text{Bag} V \rightarrow \text{Map} K (\text{Bag} V) \)

\( \text{flatten} : \text{Map} K (\text{Bag} V) \rightarrow \text{Bag} V \)
13. Pointed sets and finite maps

Model *finite maps* $\text{Map}_*$ not as partial functions, but *total* functions to a *pointed* codomain $(A, a)$, i.e. a set $A$ with a distinguished element $a : A$.

Pointed sets and point-preserving functions form a category $\text{Set}_*$. There is an adjunction to $\text{Set}$, via

$$
\text{Set}_* \quad \perp \quad \text{Set}
$$

where $\text{Maybe } A \simeq 1 + A$ adds a point, and $U (A, a) = A$ discards it.

In particular, $(\text{Bag } A, \emptyset)$ is a pointed set. Moreover, $\text{Bag } f$ is point-preserving, so we get a functor $\text{Bag}_* : \text{Set} \to \text{Set}_*$.

Indexing remains an isomorphism:

$$
\text{index} : \text{Bag}_* (K \times V) \simeq \text{Map}_* K (\text{Bag}_* V)
$$
14. Graded monads

A catch: finite maps aren’t a monad, because

\[ \eta a = \lambda k \rightarrow a : A \rightarrow \text{Map } K A \]

in general yields an infinite map.

However, finite maps are a \textit{graded monad}* for monoid \((M, \otimes, \epsilon)\),

\[ \mu X : T_m (T_n X) \rightarrow T_{m \otimes n} X \]
\[ \eta X : X \rightarrow T_\epsilon X \]

satisfying the usual laws. These too arise from adjunctions*.

We use the monoid \((\mathbb{K}, \times, 1)\) of finite key types under product.
15. Conclusions

- *Monad comprehensions* for database queries
- Structure arising from *adjunctions*
- Equivalences from *universal properties*
- Fitting in *relational joins*, via indexing
- To do: calculating *query optimisations*
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