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1. Summary

- bulk types (sets, bags, lists) are monads
- monads have nice mathematical foundations via adjunctions
- monads support comprehensions
- comprehension syntax provides a query notation

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ & (\text{customer}.\text{name}, \text{invoice}.\text{amount}) \\
| & \text{customer} \leftarrow \text{customers}, \\
& \text{invoice} \leftarrow \text{invoices}, \\
& \text{customer}.\text{cid} = \text{invoice}.\text{customer}, \\
& \text{invoice}.\text{due} \leq \text{today} \}
\end{align*}
\]

- monad structure explains selection, projection
- less obvious how to explain join
2. Galois connections

Relating monotonic functions between two ordered sets:

\[(A, \leq) \perp (B, \sqsubseteq) \quad \text{means} \quad f(b) \leq a \iff b \sqsubseteq g(a)\]

For example,

\[(\mathbb{R}, \leq_{\mathbb{R}}) \perp (\mathbb{Z}, \leq_{\mathbb{Z}}) \]

\[(\mathbb{Z}, \leq) \perp (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\]

“Change of coordinates” can sometimes simplify reasoning; eg rhs gives \(n \times k \leq m \iff n \leq m \div k\), and multiplication is easier to reason about than rounding division.
3. Category theory from ordered sets

A category \( \textbf{C} \) consists of

- a set* \(|\textbf{C}|\) of objects,
- a set\(^*\) \( \textbf{C}(X, Y) \) of arrows \( X \to Y \) for each \( X, Y : |\textbf{C}| \),
- identity arrows \( \text{id}_X : X \to X \) for each \( X \)
- composition \( f \cdot g : X \to Z \) of compatible arrows \( g : X \to Y \) and \( f : Y \to Z \),
- such that composition is associative, with identities as units.

Think of a directed graph, with vertices as objects and paths as arrows.

An ordered set \((A, \leq)\) is a degenerate category, with objects \( A \) and a unique arrow \( a \to b \) iff \( a \leq b \).

\[ \cdots \to -2 \to -1 \to 0 \to 1 \to 2 \to \cdots \]

Many categorical concepts are generalisations from ordered sets.

*proviso...
4. Concrete categories

Ordered sets are a *concrete category*: roughly,

- the objects are *sets with additional structure*
- the arrows are *structure-preserving mappings*

Many useful categories are of this form.

For example, the category $\textsf{CMon}$ has commutative monoids $(M, \otimes, \epsilon)$ as objects, and homomorphisms $h: (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \to (M', \oplus, \epsilon')$ as arrows:

\[
h (m \otimes n) = h m \oplus h n \\
h \epsilon = \epsilon'
\]

Trivially, category $\textsf{Set}$ has sets as objects, and total functions as arrows.
5. Functors

Categories are themselves structured objects...

A *functor* $F : C \to D$ is an operation on both objects and arrows, preserving the structure: $F f : F X \to F Y$ when $f : X \to Y$, and

$$F \text{id}_X = \text{id}_{F X}$$
$$F (f \cdot g) = F f \cdot F g$$

For example, *forgetful* functor $U : \text{CMon} \to \text{Set}$:

$$U (M, \otimes, \epsilon) = M$$
$$U (h : (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \to (M', \oplus, \epsilon')) = h : M \to M'$$

Conversely, $\text{Free} : \text{Set} \to \text{CMon}$ generates the *free* commutative monoid (ie bags) on a set of elements:

$$\text{Free } A = (\text{Bag } A, \cup, \emptyset)$$
$$\text{Free } (f : A \to B) = \text{map } f : \text{Bag } A \to \text{Bag } B$$
6. Adjunctions

Adjunctions are the categorical generalisation of Galois connections. Given categories $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, and functors $L : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C}$ and $R : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$, adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{C} & \bot & \mathbf{D} \\
\mathbf{L} & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{D} \\
\mathbf{R} & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{C}
\end{array}$$

means $\ast : \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L} X, Y) \cong \mathbf{D}(X, \mathbf{R} Y) : \lfloor - \rfloor$

A familiar example is given by currying:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{Set} & \bot & \mathbf{Set} \\
\lfloor - \times P \rfloor & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{Set} \\
\lfloor - \rfloor^P & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{Set}
\end{array}$$

with $\text{curry} : \mathbf{Set}(X \times P, Y) \cong \mathbf{Set}(X, Y^P) : \text{curry}^\circ$

hence definitions and properties of $\text{apply} = \text{uncurry } \text{id}_{Y^P} : Y^P \times P \to Y$
7. Products and coproducts

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Set} & \perp & \text{Set}^2 \\
\Delta & \text{fork} & \Delta \\
\Delta & \times & \text{Set}
\end{array}
\]

with

\[\text{fork} \colon \text{Set}^2(\Delta A, (B, C)) \simeq \text{Set}(A, B \times C) : \text{fork}^\circ\]
\[\text{junc}^\circ \colon \text{Set}(A + B, C) \simeq \text{Set}^2((A, B), \Delta C) : \text{junc}\]

hence

\[\text{dup} = \text{fork} \ id_{A,A} : \text{Set}(A, A \times A)\]
\[(\text{fst}, \text{snd}) = \text{fork}^\circ \ id_{B \times C} : \text{Set}^2(\Delta (B, C), (B, C))\]

give tupling and projection. Dually for sums and injections, and generally for any arity—even zero.
8. Free commutative monoids

Adjunctions often capture embedding/projection pairs:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CMon} & \downarrow & \text{Set} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Free} & & \U \\
\end{array}
\]

with \([-\_] : \text{CMon}(\text{Free } A, (M, \otimes, \epsilon)) \cong \text{Set}(A, \text{U } (M, \otimes, \epsilon)) : [-\_]\]

Unit and counit:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{single } A &= [id_{\text{Free } A}] : A \rightarrow \text{U } \text{Free } A \\
\text{reduce } M &= [id_{\text{M}}] : \text{Free } (\text{U } M) \rightarrow M \quad \text{-- for } M = (M, \otimes, \epsilon)
\end{align*}
\]

whence, for \(h : \text{Free } A \rightarrow M\) and \(f : A \rightarrow \text{U } M = M\),

\[
h = \text{reduce } M \cdot \text{Free } f \iff \text{U } h \cdot \text{single } A = f
\]

ie 1-to-1 correspondence between homomorphisms from the free commutative monoid (bags) and their behaviour on singletons.
9. Aggregation

Aggregations are bag homomorphisms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>aggregation</th>
<th>monoid</th>
<th>action on singletons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>((\mathbb{N}, 0, +))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>((\mathbb{R}, 0, +))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>((\mathbb{Z}, \text{minBound}, \text{max}))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>((\mathbb{Z}, \text{maxBound}, \text{min}))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all</td>
<td>((\mathbb{B}, \text{True}, \land))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any</td>
<td>((\mathbb{B}, \text{False}, \lor))</td>
<td>({a} \mapsto a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection is a homomorphism, to bags, using action

\[
guard : (A \to \mathbb{B}) \to \text{Bag } A \to \text{Bag } A
\]

\[
guard p a = \text{if } p a \text{ then } \{a\} \text{ else } \emptyset
\]

Laws about selections follow from laws of homomorphisms (and of coproducts, since \(\mathbb{B} = 1 + 1\)).
10. Monads

Bags form a monad \((\text{Bag}, \text{union, single})\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Bag} & = U \cdot \text{Free} \\
\text{union} : \text{Bag} (\text{Bag } A) & \to \text{Bag } A \\
\text{single} : A & \to \text{Bag } A
\end{align*}
\]

which justifies the use of comprehension notation \(\{f \ a \ b \mid a \leftarrow x, b \leftarrow g \ a\}\).

In fact, for any adjunction \(L \dashv R\) between \(\mathbf{C}\) and \(\mathbf{D}\), we get a monad \((T, \mu, \eta)\) on \(\mathbf{D}\), where

\[
\begin{align*}
T & = R \cdot L \\
\mu A & = R \down{\id_A} L : T (T A) \to T A \\
\eta A & = \down{\id_A} : A \to T A
\end{align*}
\]
11. Maps

Database indexes are essentially maps $\text{Map } K V = V^K$. Maps $(-)^K$ from $K$ form a monad (the $\text{Reader}$ monad in Haskell), so arise from an adjunction.

The *laws of exponents* arise from this adjunction, and from those for products and coproducts:

- $\text{Map } 0 V \cong 1$
- $\text{Map } 1 V \cong V$
- $\text{Map } (K_1 + K_2) V \cong \text{Map } K_1 V \times \text{Map } K_2 V$
- $\text{Map } (K_1 \times K_2) V \cong \text{Map } K_1 (\text{Map } K_2 V)$
- $\text{Map } K 1 \cong 1$
- $\text{Map } K (V_1 \times V_2) \cong \text{Map } K V_1 \times \text{Map } K V_2 : \text{merge}$
12. Indexing

Relations are in 1-to-1 correspondence with set-valued functions:

\[ \text{Rel} \xrightarrow{\downarrow J} \downarrow \text{Set} \xleftarrow{\downarrow E} \]

where \( J \) embeds, and \( E \) \( R : A \rightarrow \text{Set} B \) for \( R : A \sim B \).

Moreover, the correspondence remains valid for bags:

\[ \text{index} : \text{Bag} (K \times V) \simeq \text{Map} K (\text{Bag} V) \]

Together, \textit{index} and \textit{merge} give efficient relational joins:

\[ x f \bowtie g y = \text{flatten} (\text{Map} K \ cp (\text{merge} (\text{groupBy} f x, \text{groupBy} g y))) \]

\( \text{groupBy} : (V \rightarrow K) \rightarrow \text{Bag} V \rightarrow \text{Map} K (\text{Bag} V) \)

\( \text{flatten} : \text{Map} K (\text{Bag} V) \rightarrow \text{Bag} V \)
13. Pointed sets and finite maps

Model \textit{finite maps} \( \text{Map}_* \) not as partial functions, but \textit{total} functions to a \textit{pointed} codomain \((A, a)\), i.e. a set \( A \) with a distinguished element \( a : A \).

Pointed sets and point-preserving functions form a category \( \text{Set}_* \).

There is an adjunction to \( \text{Set} \), via

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Set}_* & \xrightarrow{\perp} & \text{Set} \\
\downarrow \text{Maybe} \quad & & \downarrow \text{U} \\
\text{Set}_* & \xleftarrow{\perp} & \text{Set}
\end{array}
\]

where \( \text{Maybe} \ A \equiv 1 + A \) adds a point, and \( \text{U} (A, a) = A \) discards it.

In particular, \((\text{Bag} A, \emptyset)\) is a pointed set. Moreover, \( \text{Bag} f \) is point-preserving, so we get a functor \( \text{Bag}_* : \text{Set} \to \text{Set}_* \).

Indexing remains an isomorphism:

\[
\text{index} : \text{Bag}_* (K \times V) \cong \text{Map}_* K (\text{Bag}_* V)
\]
14. Graded monads

A catch: finite maps aren’t a monad, because

\[ \eta a = \lambda k \to a : A \to \text{Map} \ K \ A \]

in general yields an infinite map.

However, finite maps are a graded monad*: for monoid \((M, \otimes, \epsilon)\),

\[ \mu X : T_m (T_n X) \to T_{m \otimes n} X \]
\[ \eta X : X \to T_\epsilon X \]

satisfying the usual laws. These too arise from adjunctions*.

We use the monoid \((\mathbb{K}, \times, 1)\) of finite key types under product.
15. Conclusions

- *Monad comprehensions* for database queries
- Structure arising from *adjunctions*
- Equivalences from *universal properties*
- Fitting in *relational joins*, via indexing
- To do: calculating *query optimisations*
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