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1. Summary

- bulk types (sets, bags, lists) are monads
- monads have nice mathematical foundations via adjunctions
- monads support comprehensions
- comprehension syntax provides a query notation

\[
\begin{array}{l}
[ (customer.name, invoice.amount) \\
| customer \leftarrow customers, \\
\hspace{1cm} invoice \leftarrow invoices, \\
\hspace{2cm} customer.cid = invoice.customer, \\
\hspace{3cm} invoice.due \leq today ]
\end{array}
\]

- monad structure explains selection, projection
- less obvious how to explain join
2. Galois connections

Relating monotonic functions between two ordered sets:

\[(A, \leq) \perp (B, \subseteq) \text{ means } f b \leq a \iff b \subseteq g a\]

For example,

\[(\mathbb{R}, \leq) \perp (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) \perp (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\]

“Change of coordinates” can sometimes simplify reasoning; eg rhs gives

\[n \times k \leq m \iff n \leq m \div k,\] and multiplication is easier to reason about than rounding division.
3. Category theory from ordered sets

A *category* $\mathbf{C}$ consists of

- a set* $|\mathbf{C}|$ of *objects*,
- a set* $\mathbf{C}(X, Y)$ of *arrows* $X \to Y$ for each $X, Y : |\mathbf{C}|$,
- *identity* arrows $\text{id}_X : X \to X$ for each $X$
- *composition* $f \cdot g : X \to Z$ of compatible arrows $g : X \to Y$ and $f : Y \to Z$,
- such that composition is associative, with identities as units.

Think of a directed graph, with vertices as objects and paths as arrows.

An ordered set $(A, \leq)$ is a degenerate category, with objects $A$ and a unique arrow $a \to b$ iff $a \leq b$.

$$\ldots \rightarrow -2 \rightarrow -1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \ldots$$

Many categorical concepts are generalisations from ordered sets.

*proviso...
4. Concrete categories

Ordered sets are a \textit{concrete category}: roughly,

- the objects are \textit{sets with additional structure}
- the arrows are \textit{structure-preserving mappings}

Many useful categories are of this form.

For example, the category \textbf{CMon} has commutative monoids \((M, \otimes, \epsilon)\) as objects, and homomorphisms \(h: (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \rightarrow (M', \oplus, \epsilon')\) as arrows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    h (m \otimes n) &= h m \oplus h n \\
    h \epsilon &= \epsilon'
\end{align*}
\]

Trivially, category \textbf{Set} has sets as objects, and total functions as arrows.
5. Functors

Categories are themselves structured objects...

A functor $F : C \to D$ is an operation on both objects and arrows, preserving the structure: $F f : F X \to F Y$ when $f : X \to Y$, and

$$F \ id_X = id_{F X}$$
$$F (f \cdot g) = F f \cdot F g$$

For example, forgetful functor $U : \text{CMon} \to \text{Set}$:

$$U (M, \otimes, \epsilon) = M$$
$$U (h : (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \to (M', \oplus, \epsilon')) = h : M \to M'$$

Conversely, $\text{Free} : \text{Set} \to \text{CMon}$ generates the free commutative monoid (ie bags) on a set of elements:

$$\text{Free } A = (\text{Bag } A, \sqcup, \emptyset)$$
$$\text{Free } (f : A \to B) = \text{map } f : \text{Bag } A \to \text{Bag } B$$
6. Adjunctions

Adjunctions are the categorical generalisation of Galois connections.

Given categories $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, and functors $L : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C}$ and $R : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$, adjunction

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{C} & \perp & \mathbf{D} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{D} & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{C}
\end{array}
\]

means* $[-] : \mathbf{C}(L X, Y) \simeq \mathbf{D}(X, R Y) : [-]$

A familiar example is given by currying:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{Set} & \perp & \mathbf{Set} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{Set} & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{Set}
\end{array}
\]

with $\text{curry} : \mathbf{Set}(X \times P, Y) \simeq \mathbf{Set}(X, Y^P) : \text{curry}^\circ$

hence definitions and properties of $\text{apply} = \text{uncurry \ id}_{Y^P} : Y^P \times P \to Y$
7. Products and coproducts

with

\[ \text{fork} : \text{Set}^2(\Delta A, (B, C)) \cong \text{Set}(A, B \times C) : \text{fork}^\circ \]
\[ \text{junc}^\circ : \text{Set}(A + B, C) \cong \text{Set}^2((A, B), \Delta C) : \text{junc} \]

hence

\[ \text{dup} = \text{fork id}_{A,A} : \text{Set}(A, A \times A) \]
\[ (\text{fst}, \text{snd}) = \text{fork}^\circ \text{id}_{B \times C} : \text{Set}^2(\Delta(B, C), (B, C)) \]

give tupling and projection. Dually for sums and injections, and generally for any arity—even zero.
8. Free commutative monoids

Adjunctions often capture embedding/projection pairs:

\[ \text{CMon} \perp \text{Set} \]

with \([ - ] : \text{CMon}(\text{Free } A, (M, \otimes, \epsilon)) \approx \text{Set}(A, U(M, \otimes, \epsilon)) : [-] \]

Unit and counit:

- \( \text{single } A = [id_{\text{Free } A}] : A \rightarrow U(\text{Free } A) \)
- \( \text{reduce } M = [id_M] : \text{Free } (U M) \rightarrow M \quad \text{-- for } M = (M, \otimes, \epsilon) \)

whence, for \( h : \text{Free } A \rightarrow M \) and \( f : A \rightarrow U M = M, \)

\[ h = \text{reduce } M \cdot \text{Free } f \iff U h \cdot \text{single } A = f \]

ie 1-to-1 correspondence between homomorphisms from the free commutative monoid (bags) and their behaviour on singletons.
9. Aggregation

Aggregations are bag homomorphisms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>aggregation</th>
<th>monoid</th>
<th>action on singletons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>((\mathbb{N}, 0, +))</td>
<td>({a} \rightarrow 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>((\mathbb{R}, 0, +))</td>
<td>({a} \rightarrow a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>((\mathbb{Z}, \text{minBound}, \max))</td>
<td>({a} \rightarrow a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>((\mathbb{Z}, \text{maxBound}, \min))</td>
<td>({a} \rightarrow a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all</td>
<td>((\mathbb{B}, \text{True}, \land))</td>
<td>({a} \rightarrow a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any</td>
<td>((\mathbb{B}, \text{False}, \lor))</td>
<td>({a} \rightarrow a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection is a homomorphism, to bags, using action

\[
guard : (A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \text{Bag } A \rightarrow \text{Bag } A
\]

\[
guard \ p \ a = \text{if } p \ a \ \text{then } \{a\} \ \text{else } \emptyset
\]

Laws about selections follow from laws of homomorphisms (and of coproducts, since \(\mathbb{B} = 1 + 1\)).
10. Monads

Bags form a *monad* \((\text{Bag}, \text{union}, \text{single})\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Bag} & = U \cdot \text{Free} \\
\text{union} : \text{Bag} (\text{Bag} A) & \to \text{Bag} A \\
\text{single} : A & \to \text{Bag} A
\end{align*}
\]

which justifies the use of comprehension notation \(\{ f \ a \ b \mid a \leftarrow x, b \leftarrow g \ a \}^\ast\).

In fact, for any adjunction \(L \dashv R\) between \(\mathbf{C}\) and \(\mathbf{D}\), we get a monad \((T, \mu, \eta)\) on \(\mathbf{D}\), where

\[
\begin{align*}
T & = R \cdot L \\
\mu A & = R \left[ \text{id}_A \right] L : T (T A) \to T A \\
\eta A & = \left[ \text{id}_A \right] : A \to T A
\end{align*}
\]
11. Maps

Database indexes are essentially maps $\text{Map } K V = V^K$. Maps $(-)^K$ from $K$ form a monad (the $\text{Reader}$ monad in Haskell), so arise from an adjunction.

The \textit{laws of exponents} arise from this adjunction, and from those for products and coproducts:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Map } 0 \ V & \simeq 1 \\
\text{Map } 1 \ V & \simeq V \\
\text{Map } (K_1 + K_2) \ V & \simeq \text{Map } K_1 \ V \times \text{Map } K_2 \ V \\
\text{Map } (K_1 \times K_2) \ V & \simeq \text{Map } K_1 \ (\text{Map } K_2 \ V) \\
\text{Map } K \ 1 & \simeq 1 \\
\text{Map } K \ (V_1 \times V_2) & \simeq \text{Map } K \ V_1 \times \text{Map } K \ V_2 : \text{merge}
\end{align*}
\]
12. Indexing

Relations are in 1-to-1 correspondence with set-valued functions:

\[ \text{Rel} \xrightarrow{\perp} \text{Set} \]

where \( J \) embeds, and \( E \ R : A \rightarrow \text{Set} \ B \) for \( R : A \sim B \).

Moreover, the correspondence remains valid for bags:

\[ \text{index} : \text{Bag} \ (K \times V) \cong \text{Map} \ K \ (\text{Bag} \ V) \]

Together, \( \text{index} \) and \( \text{merge} \) give efficient relational joins:

\[ x \ f \odot_{\bowtie} g \ y = \text{flatten} \ (\text{Map} \ K \ cp \ (\text{merge} \ (\text{groupBy} \ f \ x, \text{groupBy} \ g \ y))) \]

\( \text{groupBy} : (V \rightarrow K) \rightarrow \text{Bag} \ V \rightarrow \text{Map} \ K \ (\text{Bag} \ V) \)

\( \text{flatten} \ : \text{Map} \ K \ (\text{Bag} \ V) \rightarrow \text{Bag} \ V \)
13. Pointed sets and finite maps

Model \textit{finite maps} \( \text{Map}_* \) not as partial functions, but \textit{total} functions to a \textit{pointed} codomain \((A, a)\), i.e. a set \( A \) with a distinguished element \( a : A \).

Pointed sets and point-preserving functions form a category \( \text{Set}_* \).

There is an adjunction to \( \text{Set} \), via

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Set}_* & \xrightarrow{\bot} & \text{Set} \\
\downarrow \text{Maybe} & \circ & \downarrow \text{U} \\
\text{Set}_* & \xleftarrow{\bot} & \text{Set}
\end{array}
\]

where \( \text{Maybe} A \cong 1 + A \) adds a point, and \( \text{U} (A, a) = A \) discards it.

In particular, \((\text{Bag} A, \emptyset)\) is a pointed set. Moreover, \( \text{Bag} f \) is point-preserving, so we get a functor \( \text{Bag}_* : \text{Set} \to \text{Set}_* \).

Indexing remains an isomorphism:

\[
\text{index} : \text{Bag}_* (K \times V) \cong \text{Map}_* K (\text{Bag}_* V)
\]
14. Graded monads

A catch: finite maps aren’t a monad, because

\[ \eta a = \lambda k \to a : A \to \text{Map} \ K \ A \]

in general yields an infinite map.

However, finite maps are a *graded monad*: for monoid \((M, \otimes, \epsilon)\),

\[ \mu X : T_m (T_n X) \to T_{m \otimes n} X \]
\[ \eta X : X \to T_\epsilon X \]

satisfying the usual laws. These too arise from adjunctions*.

We use the monoid \((\mathbb{K}, \times, 1)\) of finite key types under product.
15. Conclusions

- *Monad comprehensions* for database queries
- Structure arising from *adjunctions*
- Equivalences from *universal properties*
- Fitting in *relational joins*, via indexing
- To do: calculating *query optimisations*
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