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**Abstract**
Lake Cadagno is characterised by a compact chemocline with high concentrations of purple
sulfur bacteria (PSB). 2D-DIGE was used to monitor the global changes in the proteome of
*Candidatus* “Thiodictyon syntrophicum” strain Cad16\(^T\) both in the presence and absence
of light. This study aimed to disclose details regarding the dark CO\(_2\) assimilation of the
PSB, as this mechanism is often observed but is not yet sufficiently understood. Our results
showed the presence of 17 protein spots that were more abundant in the dark, including
three enzymes that could be part of the autotrophic dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle,
normally observed in archaea.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Proteomics
Association (EuPA). Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

1. Introduction
Lake Cadagno is a crenogenic meromictic lake located in the
Piora valley at 1921 m above sea level in the southern Swiss
Alps (46°33′ N, 8°43′ E). This lake is characterised by a
narrow chemocline found at a depth of approximately 12 m that
contains high concentrations of sulfates; steep gradients of
oxygen, sulfide, and light; and a turbidity maximum that
correlates with a dense community of phototrophic sulfur
bacteria (10\(^7\) cells ml\(^{-1}\)) [1–3]. This community is composed
of species belonging to two distinct groups: the purple
sulfur bacteria (PSB; family Chromatiaceae) and the green sulfur
bacteria (GSB; family Chlorobiaceae) [4]. Although both groups
oxidise sulfur compounds for anoxygenic photosynthesis,
they also exhibit three major structural and/or metabolic...
differences [5]. First (1) the pigments composition (BChl and carotenoids) that allows a different structure of the light-harvesting antenna and a different type of photosynthetic reaction centre. In GSB pigments are organised into high structured organelles known as chlorosomes [6,7], while two light-harvesting complexes (LH1 and LH2) are observed in PSB [8]. The second main difference (2) is in the CO2 fixation pathway. PSB normally fix CO2 using the Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle (CBB cycle) while all GSB use the reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle [9,10]. The last but not the least difference (3) is in the deposition of sulfur globules inside or outside the cell, for PSB or GSB respectively [11].

Phototrophic sulfur bacteria are important for the primary production in many stratified lakes and have been observed to contribute with value as high as 80% of the total CO2 fixation in some meromictic lakes [12]. In Lake Cadagno, the chemocline’s contribution to the total primary production has been estimated to reach 40% despite its small volume (approximately 10% of the total lake volume). Interestingly, high rates of CO2 assimilation have also been recorded in the dark [13]. However, the mechanism of dark CO2 fixation, especially from PSB, remains largely unknown [14,15]. Recently, the rates of CO2 assimilation of the most abundant phototrophic sulfur bacteria from the chemocline in Lake Cadagno were measured using both nano-scale secondary-ion mass spectrometry (nanoSIMS) [16] and 14CO2 quantitative assimilation in dialysis bags [17]. According to these studies, the strongest CO2 assimilators in the light and in the dark are populations of the large-celled PSB Chromatium okenii and the small-celled PSB Candidatus “Thiodictyon syntrophicum” respectively [18]. Moreover, the PSB Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” population is also a strong CO2 assimilator in the presence of light. Although it only represents approximately 2% of the total chemocline’s bacterial population, Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” appears to play a key role in CO2 fixation in Lake Cadagno, both in the presence and absence of light [17].

The elucidation of cellular metabolisms in response to different environmental conditions requires the use of a combination of different techniques that can record metabolic adaptations under different environmental conditions. Comparative proteomics allows a global analysis of differentially expressed functional and regulatory protein [19–23]. Two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (2D-PAGE) is generally applied for separating large numbers of proteins and measuring their differential expression levels by comparing spot intensities [24,25]. Two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) has been implemented as a powerful alternative to conventional 2D-PAGE for quantitative expression analysis [26,27]. Proteins from 2D-PAGE or 2D-DIGE are commonly identified by peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) [28,29].

The major aim of this study was to elucidate the CO2 assimilation processes of the PSB Candidatus “Thiodictyon syntrophicum” strain Cad16T in light and especially in the dark by proteomic analysis. The total proteins were extracted in the light and in the dark from bacterial suspensions that were incubated with a photoperiod of 12 h of light followed by 12 h of dark during approximately 10 days. Sample extracts from the light and dark were compared using 2D-DIGE followed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry identification using the 7,3-Mbp draft genome of strain Cad16T as database.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Media and growth conditions

Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T [18] was grown in Pfennig’s Medium 1 [30]: 0.25 g of KH2PO4 l−1, 0.34 g of NH4Cl l−1, 0.5 g of MgSO4 7H2O l−1, 0.25 g of CaCl2 2H2O l−1, 0.34 g of KCl l−1, 1.5 g of NaHCO3 l−1, 0.02 mg of vitamin B12 l−1 and 0.5 ml of trace element solution SL12 l−1. The medium was prepared in 21 bottles using a flushing gas composed of 80% N2 and 20% CO2 according to Widdel and Bak [31] and was reduced by the addition of 1.10 mM Na2S 9H2O and adjusted to a pH of approximately 7.0. Cultures were incubated at room temperature (20–23 °C) and subjected to cycles of 12 h of light followed from 12 h of dark until the cultures reach the density of approximately 107 cells per ml−1 (about 10 days). The light intensity was set up at 6 µE m−2 s−1 generated with incandescent 60 W bulbs. Bacterial growth was followed by measuring the optical density of culture aliquots at 650 nm using a UV/VIS Spectrometer Lambda 25 (Perkin-Elmer Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Concentrations of sulfide in the cultures were measured daily and adjusted to about 1.00 mM throughout the experiments.

The differential protein expressions of Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T at autotrophic growth conditions in the light and in the dark were investigated. How say above, bacterial cells were exposed to a photoperiod of 12 h of light (07:00–19:00) followed by 12 h of dark (19:00–07:00) for approximately 10 days until they reached an optical density of approximately OD650 = 0.6 corresponding to approximately 107 cells per ml−1. Then, the total proteins were extracted after 4 h of light (at 11:00) and again 12 h later after 4 h of dark (at 23:00) always following the cycles of light and dark of 12 h each. Three independent cultures were used to ensure the biological reproducibility of the experiment, and prior to the 2D-DIGE experiment, each protein extract was previously analysed on silver-stained 2-DE gels in triplicate.

2.2. Total cell count

Cell concentrations of pure bacterial cultures were determined using samples fixed with 4% formaldehyde (final concentration) and stained with 0.001% (w/v) 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (final concentration). Ten µl of each fixed and stained sample were deposited onto polycarbonate filters as described in Hobbie et al. [32] and observed at 100-fold magnification using an epifluorescence microscope (Axiolab, Zeiss Germany) and the filter set F31 (Zeiss, Germany). Twenty fields of 0.01 mm2 were counted, and cell densities were expressed as the mean number of cells ml−1 ±standard error).

2.3. Protein extraction

The total proteins were extracted from cells from exponentially growing cultures with an OD650 of ca. 0.6, which corresponds to approximately 1.0 × 107 cells per ml−1. The
Each combined labelled sample (150 µg of protein) was resuspended in solubilisation buffer (SB: 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 30 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.5, 4% (w/v) CHAPS, 40 mM DTT, 1% (v/v) 3–10 NL IPG buffer, 0.002% (w/v) bromophenol blue) prior to isoelectric focusing (IEF). IEF separation, was performed in 24 cm DryStrips with a nonlinear pH 3–10 gradient (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). The PlusOne DryStrip Cover fluid was used to fill the 24 cm ceramic holders (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) containing the DryStrips, which were then introduced into an Ettan®IPGphor 3 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). After 18 h of strip rehydration at 18 °C, the IEF programme was performed at 18 °C under the following steps: 300 V for 4 h, 300–1000 V gradient for 70 min, 3000 V for 4 h, 3000–6000 V gradient for 2 h, 6000 V for 1 h, 6000–8000 V gradient for 2 h, and 8000 V until a total voltage of 120,000 V h was reached. The isoelectricfocused strips were incubated in equilibration solution (ES: 0.5 M Tris–HCl, pH 8.5, 6 M urea, 30% (v/v) glycerol, 2% (w/v) SDS) for 15 min with the addition of 2.0% (w/v) DTT, then for 10 min in ES with the addition of 2.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide. The second dimension of separation, SDS–PAGE, was performed in an EttanTM DALTsix Electrophoresis System (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) following the manufacturer’s instructions for overnight migration (80 V, 10 mA per gel). The isoelectricfocused strips were applied onto a 12% polyacrylamide gel casted in low-fluorescence glass plates for EttanTM DALT using a DALTsix Gel Caster (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Immediately after electrophoresis, the gels were scanned in an EttanTM DIGE Imager (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) following the manufacturer’s instructions and default parameters. The differences in the protein expression levels were analysed using the Melanie© 7.0 software [Geneva Bioinformatics (GeneBio) SA, Geneva, Switzerland]. The Cy3/Cy5 2D-DIGE image overlays were obtained for bi-fluorescence by processing the individual scanned images with ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/).

### 2.5. Proteins identification

For protein characterisation, the 2D-DIGE gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 after the fluorescence image acquisition [35]. The differentially expressed protein spots were picked manually using a scalpel and digested using trypsin gold enzymes following the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega AG, Dübendorf, Switzerland). A volume of 0.5 µl of each sample was loaded onto a FlexiMass™ target well, which was overlaid with 0.5 µl of a saturated α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid solution in 50% (v/v) acetonitrile, 50% (v/v) dH₂O and 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and crystallised by air drying. The peptide mix PepMix1 (LaserBio Labs, Valbonne, France) was used as a standard for calibration. Peptide mass fingerprints (PMFs) of tryptic peptides were collected by an AXIMA Confidence matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) (Shimadzu Biotech, Manchester, UK). Carbamidomethylation of cysteine (Cys) and oxidation of methionine (M) as fixed and variable modifications, respectively, were taken into account for database searching.

### Table 1 – CyDye labelling scheme and gel setup for 2D-DIGE analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gel #</th>
<th>CyDye</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>Light 1 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cy5 Dark 1 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cy2</td>
<td>Internal standard (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>Dark 1 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Light 1 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cy2</td>
<td>Internal standard (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>Light 2 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cy5 Dark 2 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cy2</td>
<td>Internal standard (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>Dark 2 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Light 2 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cy2</td>
<td>Internal standard (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>Light 3 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cy5 Dark 3 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cy2</td>
<td>Internal standard (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>Dark 3 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cy5 Light 3 (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cy2</td>
<td>Internal standard (50 µg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquid bacterial cultures (500 ml) were centrifuged for 15 min at 15,000 × g, and the pellets were washed thrice with PBS 1x and twice with 0.5 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8. The washed pellets were resuspended in 2 ml of lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 30 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.5, 4% (w/v) CHAPS) and were incubated overnight at −20 °C. The samples were sonicated (SONOPULS HD 2070, Bandelin Electronics, Germany) for 5 cycles of 15 s each at 25% of the maximal power, with a pause of 5 min on ice between each cycle, and then centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000 × g at 4 °C. The supernatants were washed twice with acetone for 60 min at −20 °C to eliminate all pigments that could interfere with the dyes during the 2D-DIGE experiment. After centrifugation for 10 min at 15,000 × g, the protein concentrations present in the pigment-free supernatants were measured by Bradford assays (Bio-Rad, Reinach BL, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions [33]. The samples were then aliquoted into 50 µg portions and stored at −80 °C.

### 2.4. 2D-DIGE analysis

The protein extracts were labelled with Cy2, Cy3 and Cy5 CyDye DIGE Fluor minimal dyes (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) for 30 min in the dark according to the manufacturer’s instructions for the labelling process (8 pmol per 50 µg protein). In brief, 50 µg of protein from light or dark conditions of three independent biological replicates were individually combined pair wise and 50 µg of Cy2-labelled internal standard was added to each gel (see Table 1), as recommended by the manufacturer.
The following search parameters were used in all MASCOT searches: tolerance of one missed cleavage and a maximum error tolerance of 0.3 Da in the MS data and 0.8 Da in the MS/MS data. All peptide PMFs and peptide fragmentation fingerprints (FFS) data were searched using MASCOT search engine (http://www.matrixscience.com; [36]) against an in-house database containing the draft genome of strain Cad16T in addition to public databases (NCBI nr and Swissprot). Identifications were accepted based on the significant MASCOT Mowse score and direct correlation between the identified protein and its estimated molecular mass and pI determined from the 2D-gel. A MASCOT score of 64 corresponds to p < 0.05 for mass fingerprint experiments, while a MASCOT score of 37 corresponds to p < 0.05 for MS/MS sequencing; these thresholds were chosen as the cutoff for a significant hit.

2.6. Draft genome of strain Cad16T

The draft genome sequence of Candidatus ‘Thiodictyon syntrophicum’ strain Cad16T was determined by pyrosequencing in the laboratory of Dr. S.C. Schuster (Z. Liu, K. Vogl, N.-U. Frigaard, L.P. Tomsho, S.C. Schuster and D.A. Bryant, unpubl. data) at the Genomics Core Facility of Pennsylvania State University. Paired-end reads from GX-20 FLX Titanium chemistries were assembled into 1352 primary contigs of a total of 7.3 Mbp. A total of 7063 ORFs were detected by annotation using a pipeline based on FGENESB software (Softberry, Inc., USA), Artemis (Sanger Institution, UK), and custom-made Perl scripts (ActivePerl, ActiveStateInc., Vancouver, BC, USA). The genome sequencing project has been assigned the bioproject number PRJNA32527 in GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject).

2.7. Analysis of the total mRNA during autotrophic growing conditions

To determine a relationship between the protein spots that were analysed and their actual gene expression, the total mRNA of Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T was extracted and converted to cDNA prior to Solexa paired-end sequencing [37]. Transcriptome analysis was performed from the cells of strain Cad16T that were incubated under the same conditions of growth that were applied for the proteomics analysis. The total mRNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Zug, Switzerland) and enriched for mRNA using the MicrobExpress kit (Ambion, Zug, Switzerland) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Conversion to cDNA was performed using the MessageAmp II Bacteria kit (Ambion, Zug, Switzerland) and the SuperScript ds-cDNA Synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Zug, Switzerland) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The cDNA was subjected to Solexa paired-end sequencing (2 × 75 bp) of a 200-bp insert library (Beijing Genome Institute). Paired-end sequencing was used rather than single-end sequencing to increase the number of bases available for the analysis of the transcriptome.

Fig. 1 – The protein expression patterns of Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T separated in a 24 cm, pH 3–10 nonlinear strip and a 12% polyacrylamide gel. The proteins that were extracted in the presence of light were labelled with Cy3 (green), and those extracted during the dark phase were labelled with Cy5 (red), both of which were submitted to 2D-DIGE analysis. Proteins more abundant in the light are characterised by an “L” before the number, and those in the dark are characterised by a “D”. Non-regulated proteins that were present in the same quantity in both conditions are characterised by an “N” before the number. (For interpretation of the references to color in this text, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Differentially expressed proteome analysis (2D-DIGE)

A representative 2D-DIGE image (Table 1, gel 1) showing the fluorescent levels of the total proteins extracted in the light (Cy3, green) and in the dark (Cy5, red) is shown in Fig. 1. More than 1400 protein spots were detected during the analysis of the 2D-DIGE gel using Melanie 7.0 software. Among them, 56 protein spots had an ANOVA p-value < 0.05. These protein spots were selected as showing a differential expression that was statistically significant. Considering 1.5-fold to be the minimal level of differential expression, the expression levels of 40 protein spots were modified when Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T was exposed to the light compared to their exposure to 4 h of darkness. Sixteen spots from the 56 protein spots showing an ANOVA p-value < 0.05 were excluded from the analysis because they were below the differential expression threshold ratio of 1.5 measured through the fluorescence intensity of the signal. Among the 40 differentially expressed spots satisfying the ANOVA and intensity parameters, 23 spots were more abundant in the presence of the light, and 17 spots were more abundant in the dark. The differentially expressed proteins were identified by peptide mass
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot number as given on the 2-D gel images</th>
<th>Ordered Locus Names of the best match of Cad16T genome</th>
<th>Protein encoded by the best matching gene in Cad16T genome</th>
<th>Experimental Molecular weight (Mw) induced by kDa</th>
<th>Isoelectric Point (pI)</th>
<th>Number of matching peptides used for Mascot searches</th>
<th>% of sequence coverage of the PMF match</th>
<th>Anova P</th>
<th>Fold Expression (positive light; negative dark)</th>
<th>Number of Reads Mapping to Gene (mRNA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Thd3346</td>
<td>50S ribosomal protein L28</td>
<td>58,149</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>2157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Thd6617</td>
<td>NADPH-dependent glutamate synthase alpha chain and related oxidoreductases</td>
<td>60,153</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>1038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Thd73787</td>
<td>Outer membrane protein (porin)</td>
<td>69,523</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>4340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>Thd3392</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit alpha</td>
<td>55,095</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>Thd3787</td>
<td>Outer membrane protein (porin)</td>
<td>69,523</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>Thd2499</td>
<td>Outer membrane protein/protective antigen OMA87</td>
<td>86,955</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>Thd5879</td>
<td>Transcription termination factor Rho</td>
<td>45,458</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>Thd6580</td>
<td>Poly(R)-hydroxyalkanoic acid synthase subunit PhaE</td>
<td>40,707</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>Thd4312</td>
<td>Uncharacterised conserved protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>21,660</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0230</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>gi</td>
<td>390,952,282</td>
<td>Amino acid ABC transporter substrate-binding protein (Thiocystis violascens DSM 198)</td>
<td>33,657</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>Thd5116</td>
<td>ABC-type molybdate transport system, periplasmic component</td>
<td>26,330</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>3 (PFF)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L12</td>
<td>Thd5760</td>
<td>Uncharacterised protein conserved in bacteria (tellurite res. TerB)</td>
<td>17,976</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L13</td>
<td>Thd5501</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>19,319</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L14</td>
<td>Thd2504</td>
<td>Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)</td>
<td>19,442</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L15</td>
<td>Thd0032</td>
<td>Elongation factor G (EF-G) family</td>
<td>17,738</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>3755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L16</td>
<td>Thd3751</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>9907</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot number as given on the 2-D gel images</td>
<td>Ordered Locus Names of the best match of Cad16T genome</td>
<td>Protein encoded by the best matching gene in Cad16T genome</td>
<td>Experimental Molecular weight (Mw) inducted by kDa</td>
<td>Isoelectric Point (pI)</td>
<td>Number of matching peptides used for Mascot searches</td>
<td>% of sequence coverage of the PMF match</td>
<td>Anova P</td>
<td>Fold Expression (positive light; negative dark)</td>
<td>Number of Reads Mapping to Gene (mRNA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L17 Thd6554</td>
<td>L17 Thd6554 phasin (PhaP)</td>
<td>L17 Thd6554 phasin (PhaP)</td>
<td>15,774</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L18 Thd6554</td>
<td>L18 Thd6554 phasin (PhaP)</td>
<td>L18 Thd6554 phasin (PhaP)</td>
<td>15,774</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19 Thd4286</td>
<td>L19 Thd4286 hypothetical protein (SpoVT/AbdB like domain)</td>
<td>L19 Thd4286 hypothetical protein (SpoVT/AbdB like domain)</td>
<td>8373</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L20 Thd2631</td>
<td>L20 Thd2631 Dissimilatory sulfite reductase (desulfoviridin), gamma subunit (DarC/TuaE)</td>
<td>L20 Thd2631 Dissimilatory sulfite reductase (desulfoviridin), gamma subunit (DarC/TuaE)</td>
<td>12,680</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>1 (PFF)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L21 Thd4540</td>
<td>L21 Thd4540 Co-chaperonin GroES (HSP10)</td>
<td>L21 Thd4540 Co-chaperonin GroES (HSP10)</td>
<td>10,520</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L22 Thd6562</td>
<td>L22 Thd6562 Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein</td>
<td>L22 Thd6562 Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein</td>
<td>20,832</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L23 Thd4906</td>
<td>L23 Thd4906 Molecular chaperone (small heat shock protein) Hsp20</td>
<td>L23 Thd4906 Molecular chaperone (small heat shock protein) Hsp20</td>
<td>17,812</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Thd2748</td>
<td>D1 Thd2748 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>D1 Thd2748 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>27,831</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>−2.05</td>
<td>1645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Thd2748</td>
<td>D2 Thd2748 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>D2 Thd2748 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>27,831</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>−4.22</td>
<td>1645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Thd1984</td>
<td>D3 Thd1984 Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>D3 Thd1984 Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>53,964</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>−3.88</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 Thd4019</td>
<td>D4 Thd4019 Maltose-binding periplasmic proteins/domains (MalE)</td>
<td>D4 Thd4019 Maltose-binding periplasmic proteins/domains (MalE)</td>
<td>42,270</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>−1.88</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5 Thd6555</td>
<td>D5 Thd6555 Acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase</td>
<td>D5 Thd6555 Acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase</td>
<td>40,614</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>−1.80</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6 Thd5260</td>
<td>D6 Thd5260 Malate/lactate dehydrogenases</td>
<td>D6 Thd5260 Malate/lactate dehydrogenases</td>
<td>35,171</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
<td>−2.24</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7 Thd6937</td>
<td>D7 Thd6937 Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>D7 Thd6937 Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>19,781</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
<td>−1.85</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8 Thd1849</td>
<td>D8 Thd1849 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>D8 Thd1849 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>26,932</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>−2.20</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9 Thd0849</td>
<td>D9 Thd0849 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>D9 Thd0849 Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase</td>
<td>33,930</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>−3.34</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D10 Thd6937</td>
<td>D10 Thd6937 Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>D10 Thd6937 Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>19,781</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>−2.81</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11 Thd0844</td>
<td>D11 Thd0844 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase</td>
<td>D11 Thd0844 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase</td>
<td>28,025</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>−1.85</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot number as given on the 2-D gel images</td>
<td>Ordered Locus Names of the best match of Cad16T genome</td>
<td>Protein encoded by the best matching gene in Cad16T genome</td>
<td>Experimental Molecular weight (Mw) in kDa</td>
<td>Isoelectric Point (pI)</td>
<td>Number of matching peptides used for Mascot searches</td>
<td>% of sequence coverage of the PMF match</td>
<td>Anova P</td>
<td>Fold Expression (positive light; negative dark)</td>
<td>Number of Reads Mapping to Gene (mRNA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12 Thd3915</td>
<td>Parvulin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase Peroxiredoxin</td>
<td>36,171</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>−2.85</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13 Thd1338</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin</td>
<td>27,023</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>−2.75</td>
<td>411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D14 Thd0914</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin</td>
<td>24,285</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>−2.12</td>
<td>575</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D15 Thd2858</td>
<td>Uncharacterised protein conserved in bacteria (tellurite res. TerB)</td>
<td>25,972</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1 (PFF) **</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0201</td>
<td>−2.07</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16 Thd2672</td>
<td>Superoxide dismutase, manganese-dependent Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>21,228</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>3 (PFF) **</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>−2.13</td>
<td>301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D17 Thd4647</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (no conservative domain)</td>
<td>22,294</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>−3.34</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 Thd5863</td>
<td>Periplasmic glucans biosynthesis protein</td>
<td>59,079</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.7305</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2 Thd0697</td>
<td>Enolase</td>
<td>45,200</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1278</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>519</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3 Thd5907</td>
<td>Ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase, large subunit (CbbM)</td>
<td>51,271</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.1757</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4 Thd6175</td>
<td>Fructose/tagatose bisphosphate aldolase</td>
<td>38,472</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.4588</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5 Thd6763</td>
<td>Spermidine/putrescine-binding periplasmic protein</td>
<td>39,817</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.9825</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6 Thd4648</td>
<td>Gluconolactonase</td>
<td>38,824</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.1500</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7 Thd1255</td>
<td>Phosphoribulokinase</td>
<td>33,289</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.1635</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8 Thd1255</td>
<td>Phosphoribulokinase</td>
<td>33,289</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.5560</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9 Thd0660</td>
<td>Superoxide dismutase, manganese-dependent</td>
<td>22,142</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>4° (PFF) **</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7871</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N10 Thd2537</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin</td>
<td>17,358</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.2339</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N11 Thd2730</td>
<td>Predicted 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>36,268</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.2910</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N12 Thd5951</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein L.9</td>
<td>15,334</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.1741</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N13 Thd6339</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein L7/L12</td>
<td>12,979</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.1247</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
<td>6534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List of proteins identified by MALDI-TOF MS/MS Candidatus “Thiodictyon syntrophicum” strain Cad16T proteins from minimal autotrophic medium (Pfennig’s medium) after 4 h of light (11:00 = L1-22) and after 4 h of dark (23:00 = D1-18) in a continuous photoperiod of 12 h of light followed by 12 h of dark (7:00–19:00) light/dark mean ANOVA < 0.05 and 1.5-fold expression.

* Average ratio of the protein fold expression (abundance) between total cellular proteins isolated from Light (L) vs. Dark (D), The minimal level of differential expression was selected >1.5-fold with P value < 0.05. Proteins that have fold expression 0.5 are considered as stable proteins (N).

** Proteins identified by PFF with one or more independent peaks of the PMF spectrum.

*** Proteins not present in the transcriptome analysis report.
fingerprints (PMF) or peptide fragmentation fingerprinting (PFF) (Table 2). The reliability of the protein identification was assessed on the basis of Mascot scores (p-value < 0.05) using the draft genome of strain Cad16T as a reference database (in-house database).

The transcriptome isolated from strain Cad16T in the light was used to confirm the expression of the analysed protein spots (Table 2; right column: Number of Reads Mapping to Gene (mRNA)).

3.2. Protein spots more abundant in the presence of light

Among the 23 spots that were more abundant in the presence of light, we found proteins that were predominantly involved with (a) protein biosynthesis, (b) storage biosynthesis, (c) sulfur compound oxidation and photosynthesis (d), trans-membrane transport and (e) other metabolic processes.

3.2.1. Protein biosynthesis

During the light phase, strain Cad16T over-expressed many proteins involved in protein biosynthesis, such as ribosomal proteins and enzymes needed for gene transcription (Table 2, spots L1, L7, L14, L15, L21 and L23). The transcription of genes, performed by RNA polymerase, is ended by the transcription terminator factor Rho (Table 2, spot L7), which binds to the transcription terminator pause site and stops mRNA transcription [38]. The ribosome links the amino acids and translates the genes found on the mRNA into proteins. In prokaryotes, the ribosome is composed of two subunits of 30S and 50S. The large subunit catalyses peptide bond formation [39]. The ribosome of Escherichia coli contains 22 proteins in the small subunit, labelled S1–S22, and 36 proteins in the large subunit, labelled L1–L36 [40]. In this study, L28 showed an up-regulation during the light period (Table 2, spot L1). During the translational step, the ribosome interacts with different GTPase factors as well as initiation factors (IFs), elongation factors (EFs) and release factors (RFs) via its conserved C-terminal domain [41]. Initiation factors IF1, IF2 and IF3 are involved in the initiation of protein synthesis to form the 70S initiation complex. Elongation factors EF-Tu, EF-Ts and EF-G (Table 2, spot L15) are needed for the extension of protein synthesis. Release factors RF1, RF2 and RF3 regulate the steps during the termination phase of translation. Lastly, ribosome recycling factor (RF) (Table 2, spot L14), along with EF-G, catalyses the recycling of the ribosomal subunits [42].

The efficient folding of many newly synthesised proteins depends on assistance from molecular chaperones. Molecular chaperones, such as the heat shock proteins (HSPs), are among the more abundant cytosolic proteins found in cells from the three kingdoms: eukaryotes, bacteria and archaea. Their role is to recognise and bind nascent polypeptide chains and partially folded protein intermediates, preventing their aggregation and misfolding, both under normal conditions and when cells are exposed to stresses such as high temperature [43]. Protein spots L21 and L23 (Table 2), which were more abundant under the light conditions, corresponded to chaperones HSP10 and HSP20, respectively.

The large number of proteins involved in protein biosynthesis, storage mechanisms (see Section 3.2.2 below), and photosynthesis (see Section 3.2.3 below) suggested a cell state characterised by an active metabolism in the presence of a relatively high content of nutrients and energy, which could be used for protein biosynthesis during cell growth. The increased expression of the enzyme NADPH-dependent glutamate synthase beta chain (Table 2, spot L2), which is responsible for the production of amino acids, confirmed the high energetic state of the cells by promoting the turnover of NAD(P)H that originated from photosynthesis.

3.2.2. Storage biosynthesis

Interestingly, 3 proteins (Table 2, spots L8, L17 and L18) involved in a storage mechanism that produces intracellular granules of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) were over-expressed under the light conditions. This mechanism has been observed in a wide variety of microbes that accumulate PHAs as a carbon and energy storage reserve when essential nutrients, such as nitrogen or phosphorus, are limited but carbon sources are in excess [44,45]. A typical PHA that is accumulated in microbial cells is poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB), which consists of 1000–30,000 hydroxy fatty acid monomers, forming a granule in the cytoplasm. PHB synthesis in Alcaligenes eutrophus is stimulated by both high intracellular concentrations of NAD(P)H and high ratios of NAD(P)H/NAD(P)+ which also inhibit citrate synthase activity and thereby facilitating the metabolic flux of acetyl-CoA to the PHB synthetic pathway [46]. The most important enzyme in the biosynthesis of this storage substance is the PHA synthase. PHA synthases currently are divided into four classes depending on their subunit composition and substrate specificity. It has been shown in other species of PSB, such as Allochromatium vinosum and Thiocystis violacea [47,48], that the presence of a Class III PHA synthase consists of two subunits (PhaC and PhaE). This enzyme is responsible for the final step of the synthesis, in which PHB is synthesised from (R)-3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA molecules and free CoA is released. However, the process typically starts from 2 acetyl-CoA molecules that are coupled together to form acetoacetyl-CoA in a condensation reaction that is catalysed by 3-ketothiolase (PhaA), and this product is subsequently stereoselectively reduced to (R)-3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA in a reaction catalysed by NADPH-dependent acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (PhaB). However, PHB can also be synthesised from an intermediate of the beta-oxidation/bio-synthesis of fatty acids. The molecule of trans-2-enoyl-CoA is converted to (R)-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA via (R)-specific hydration, which is catalysed by (R)-specific enoyl-CoA hydratase (Pha) [49–51]. The storage granules of PHA require other associated proteins to protect their hydrophobic core from the cytoplasm, all of which play a role in regulating the number and sizes of the granules produced. The most abundant granule-associated protein is an amphiphilic protein known as phasin (PhaP) [52].

The over-expression of proteins involved in this storage mechanism in strain Cad16T, such as the PhaE subunit of PHA synthase and phasins (PhaP), suggested the presence of sufficient amounts of both carbon sources and reducing factors in the cells during the light period, likely originating from photosynthetic [53]. This storage mechanism is commonly observed in phototrophic and chemotrophic bacteria [54].
3.2.3. Sulfur compound oxidation and photosynthesis
Purple sulfur bacteria can grow photo-autotrophically using inorganically reduced sulfur compounds (i.e. $S^{2-}$, $S^0$ and $S_2O_3^{2-}$) as electron donors for CO$_2$ fixation via the CBB cycle [11]. The photochemical reaction centre complex uses light energy to transfer electrons from reduced sulfur compound to NAD(P)$^+$ [55]. Dissimilatory sulfite reductase (DsrrAB, a tetramer composed of two alpha and two beta subunits) is responsible for the oxidation of the sulfide ($S^{2-}$) to sulfite ($SO_3^{2-}$) to generate electrons for photosynthetic CO$_2$ reduction. This enzyme is also required for the oxidation of intracellularly stored sulfur granules, especially in sulfur-storing PSB [56]. DsrrAB has been reported to bind another subunit, called gamma subunit DsrrC [57,58]. This gamma subunit (DsrrC) was more abundant in our organism during the light period (Table 2, spot L20), suggesting an over-expression of the dissimilatory sulfite reductase enzyme.

The photosynthetic reaction centre (PSI) is also responsible for generating the proton motive force necessary for ATP generation by the ATP synthase. The PSI subunit alpha (spot L4) was more abundant in the light. This ubiquitous enzyme is present in the plasma membrane of bacteria, the thylakoid membrane of chloroplasts, and the inner mitochondrial membrane. ATP synthase is a transmembrane protein, and for this reason it is composed of two distinct regions: the hydrophobic $F_0$, which is embedded in the membrane and allows the transit of protons, and the hydrophilic $F_1$, a complex composed of five types of subunits: $\alpha_3$ (Table 2, spot L4), $\beta_3$, $\gamma_1$, $\delta_1$ and $\epsilon_1$ [59]. At low activity of the proton motive force, the flow is reversed, and the enzyme functions as a proton-pumping ATPase. In many bacterial species (mostly anaerobic), the reverse reaction is essential for life, when neither the respiratory chain nor the photosynthetic proteins are able to generate the proton motive force. In this way, many important cellular functions, such as flagellar motility or ion-nutrient transmembrane transport are supported.

The photosynthetic lifestyle of the PSB from Lake Cadagno and especially of Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16$^T$, was previously investigated [13,14,16–18]. We were therefore surprised to find a relatively low number of expressed proteins related to photosynthesis, such as RuBisCO. It is known that many bacteria have microcompartment that concentrate the metabolically related enzymes to increase their efficiency and to control the flow and concentrations of substrates and intermediates [60,61]. During the CO$_2$-fixing process, different phototrophic bacteria showed the presence of carboxysome-like microcompartments that encapsulate RuBisCO and carbonic anhydrase and thereby enhance carbon fixation by increasing the levels of CO$_2$ in the vicinity of RuBisCO [62,63]. All the genes that are involved in the formation of a carboxysome-like microcompartment are present immediately after the type I RuBisCO cbbL and cbbS genes in the draft genome of strain Cad16$^T$ (Genbank: JQ693375–JQ693380). In our analysis, these large, complex carboxysome shell structures could be lost during the extraction of the total proteins or during the protein separation process, and for this reason, we did not observe the type I RuBisCO (CbbL or CbbS) in our gels. However, by our results the simple explanation was the absence of relevant difference in the expression between the light and the dark condition of these proteins.

3.2.4. Transmembrane transport proteins
Additional proteins that showed strong expression in Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16$^T$ in the presence of light were those involved in transmembrane transport, such as unspecific porins (Table 2, spots L3, L5 and L6) and more specifically, ABC transporter (Table 2, spots L10 and L11). Porins are proteins that cross cellular membranes in Gram-negative bacteria and act like a pore, through which different types of molecules up to 600 Da can diffuse. Their expression is regulated by the environmental conditions of growth. Porins allow cells to fine tune the uptake of appropriate nutrients and to protect themselves optimally against external factors, such as osmotic pressure and temperature [64]. ABC transporters are transmembrane proteins that utilise energy from the hydrolysis of ATP to transport various substrates across the membrane. Proteins are classified as ABC transporters based on the sequence and organisation of their ATP-binding cassette (ABC) domain(s), and they are specific for the uptake of a large variety of nutrients, such as sugars, amino acids, peptides, inorganic ions and vitamins [65,66]. Moreover, it was shown that ABC transporters are important in the antibiotic resistance in different bacteria [67–69]. Many secondary metabolites (e.g. antibiotics and toxins) are toxic to the microorganisms that produce them, so ABC transporters would avoid inhibition of growth of the producing strain by preventing the drug from going back to the cell, acting as a one-way in-out pump.

The increased expression of the transmembrane transport proteins suggested a strong interaction between the cells and their environment for the uptake of nutrients and the excretion of metabolites.

3.2.5. Other metabolic processes
Other cellular metabolic processes were also highlighted while analysing the total proteins that were extracted from Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16$^T$ in the presence of light. The methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (MCP) (Table 2, spot L22), a component of the chemotactic response system in bacteria and archaea, governs the migration of bacteria towards chemical attractants and away from repellents by translating temporal changes in the levels of chemical stimuli into a modulation of the cell’s swimming direction [70]. MCP is a transmembrane sensor protein in bacteria, allowing the detection of concentrations of molecules in the extracellular matrix [71]. Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16$^T$ is highly metabolically active in the light and uses this MCP to search for nutrients. It could be that the expression of this gene is an automatic reply to external stimuli, e.g. the need of substances involved in some metabolic pathways [72].

Moreover, five other spots more abundant in the presence of light (Table 2, spots L9, L12, L13, L16 and L19) were identified as “hypothetical proteins” or “Uncharacterised conserved protein”. However, conserved domains were recognised in two of this spots (Table 2, spots L12 and L19) using BLASTp analysis (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Spot L12 showed a tellurium cassette component in its sequence. In the past, prior to the development of antibiotics, tellurite compounds were used
to treat conditions such as leprosy, tuberculosis, dermatitis, cystitis and eye infections. It has been proposed that telurite toxicity is due to its strong oxidising ability and its ability to replace sulphur in various cellular functions [73]. An analysis of the protein spot L19 highlighted the presence of a SpoVT/AbrB-like domain. In Bacillus subtilis, this domain appears to be involved in the transcription activation of the repression of genes expressed during the transition state between the exponential and the stationary phase. Antibiotic resistance protein B (AbrB) is a representative of a large superfamily of known and putative transcription factors that includes transition-state regulators, putative regulators of cell wall biosynthesis, regulators of phosphate uptake, and a large number of proteins of as yet unknown activity [74]. However, we must be cautious and use this identification by BLASTp as a strictly hypothetical identification. Unfortunately, the hypothetical proteins of spots L9, L13 and L16 did not show any conserved domains in their gene sequence, and for this reason, their function remain unknown. These uncharacterised proteins and those showing a conserved domain were likely proteins with unknown functions, or they were not yet annotated in our draft genome (e.g. between 2 contigs).

3.3. Protein spots more abundant in the dark

Among the 17 protein spots that were more abundant in the dark, we found proteins that were mainly involved with (a) isomerases, (b) carbon dioxide fixation, (c) stress metabolism and (d) other metabolic processes.

3.3.1. Isomerases

The main proteins expressed by Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T in the dark belonged to the family of isomerases, especially to the peptidyl-prolyl isomerases (PPIs) and protein disulphide isomerase (PDI) (Table 2, spots D1, D2, D8, D9 and D12). PPIs promote proper protein folding by increasing the rate of transition of probe residues between the cis and trans states, and they also possess a chaperone-like activity. Proteins with prolyl isomerase activity include cyclophilin, FKBPfs, and parvulin, although larger proteins can also contain prolyl isomerase domains [75]. PDI is an enzyme that catalyses the formation and breakage of disulphide bonds via its four thioredoxin-like domains. PDI can act also as a chaperone by aiding in the reactivation of denatured proteins that do not contain cysteine residues. In E. coli, 2 prologue proteins of PDI (DsbC and DsbG) are located in the periplasmic space outside the cytoplasm [76].

It is difficult to interpret the considerable number of isomerases that were more abundant in the dark; however, they could play a certain chaperone role through the use of the stored substances produced during the phototrophic activity in the light.

3.3.2. Carbon dioxide fixation

The ability of Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T to fix CO2 in the dark was previously observed in several other experimental analyses; however, the mechanism involved in the dark assimilation is not yet understood [15,17]. We found three protein spots that were more abundant in the dark (Table 2, spots D5, D6 and D11) and were identified as components involved in different pathways of CO2 fixation [9]. The first enzyme, the malate dehydrogenase (MDH) (Table 2, spot D6), is an enzyme part of the reverse tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle found especially in anaerobic bacteria (e.g. GSB) and of the dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate (DC/HB) cycle found at the present day only in some archaean species [77,78]. This enzyme reversibly reduces the oxaloacetate in malate using the oxidation of NADH to NAD+*. Interestingly, also the other two enzymes up-regulated in the dark could be part of the DC/HB cycle, catalysing the 2 last reactions of the cycle: the oxidation of 3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA in acetacetyl-CoA using NAD(P) as an electron acceptor catalyses by the enzyme 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (Table 2, spot D11), and the oxidation of acetacetyl-CoA in 2 acetyl-CoA catalyses by the enzyme acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase (Table 2, spot D5). However, these two enzymes could also be part of the last step of the beta-oxidation of fatty acid and PHB granules. The degradation of the PHB granules produced during the day in the presence of light (see the Section 3.2.2 before) might produce acetyl-CoA and reducing power in the form of NAD(P)H. Both substrates are potentially used in the CO2 fixing process (rTCA or/and DC/HB cycle or others) during the dark period. Therefore, the rTCA or the DC/HB cycle have to be investigated more in detail in strain Cad16T.

3.3.3. Stress metabolism

Proteins involved in the oxidative stress response (superoxide and hydrogen peroxide) were also detected (Table 2, spots D13, D14 and D16). Superoxide dismutase is an enzyme that catalyses the dismutation of superoxide into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. It plays an important antioxidative role in cells that are exposed to oxygen. Peroxiredoxins are a ubiquitous family of antioxidant enzymes that use thioredoxin (Trx) to detoxify hydrogen peroxide. Moreover, these types of proteins can also act as molecular chaperones and, most importantly, as regulators in the 24h internal circadian clock of many organisms [79–81]. Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T was grown in autotrophic Pfennig’s medium (see Section 2) without the presence of oxygen in the gas phase; therefore, the up-regulation of these proteins, especially peroxiredoxin, could be linked to the circadian rhythm mechanism. Indeed, the cellular ROS balance is important for robust 24h circadian clock function, as was recently described in Neurospora [82].

Another possible explanation for these anti-stress enzymes comes from one of the key enzymes of the DC/HB cycle. In fact, the 4-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase is considered a “radical enzyme” that uses radicals as intermediates and enables the metabolism of otherwise refractory compounds. Because they are highly reactive towards dioxygen, radicals are often found during catalysis by enzymes from anaerobic microorganisms [83–85]. An hypothetical enhanced activity of 4-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase in the dark most likely produced more dangerous free radicals, which were limited by the simultaneous increased expression of antioxidant enzymes.

3.3.4. Other metabolisms

Similar to what was observed from proteins that were more abundant in the light, we also found a membrane transport mechanism that was highlighted in the dark. A maltose ABC
transporter periplasmic protein (Table 2, spot D4) was found to be more abundant during the dark phase.

Moreover, 5 protein spots that were more abundant in the dark (Table 2, spots D3, D7, D10, D15 and D17) were identified as “hypothetical proteins”. Unfortunately, no conserved domains were found after online pBLAST analysis for four of the five spots. A specific domain was assigned only to spot D15, which identified tellurite resistance from the domain, similar to the protein spot L12 that was also more abundant in the light.

3.4. Proteins with unvaried abundance

Protein spots with similar expression level between the light/dark conditions were also analyses. From the 13 selected spots (see Table 2; N1–N13), we found important housekeeping proteins, such as ribosomal proteins and periplasmic glucan biosynthesis proteins (Table 2, spots N1, N12 and N13). Interesting proteins potentially involved in the stress metabolism (Table 2, spots N9 and N10) or implicated in other cellular mechanisms such as the circadian rhythm (see Section 3.3.3) were also found. Furthermore, the presence of type II RuBisCO protein (Table 2, spot N3) in the unchanging expressed protein confirmed recent results that showed a constant expression of the cbbM gene throughout a day in strain Cad16T [17].

4. Conclusion

We present here the first analysis of the expression changes in the proteome between the light and dark phases of a PSB grown in anoxic autotrophic conditions. Our goal was to investigate the mechanisms behind the high capacity of these bacteria, and especially of the PSB Candidatus “T. syntrophicum” strain Cad16T, to assimilate inorganic carbon in the dark. While the mechanism of CO2 fixation in the presence of light provided by the CBB cycle is relatively well known [86], the assimilation of inorganic carbon in the dark remains poorly understood. In this study, we showed that 3 enzymes that were more abundant in the dark could be part of the anaerobic dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate (DC/HB) cycle, which represents an autotrophic CO2 fixation pathway that is found in some archaea [9]. The substrates needed for this process such as reducing power and energy could be provided by the degradation of the storage globules of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB), which synthesis was shown to be more abundant during the light conditions. In conclusion, the abundant presence of enzymes potentially involved in the autotrophic DC/HB cycle in the dark suggests to us a possible explanation for the high capacity shown by PSB, and especially in strain Cad16T, to fix CO2 in the absence of light. In the future we will try to learn more about the effective capacity of strain Cad16T to fix CO2 via this cycle. The scheme of Fig. 2 summarise the majors metabolic reactions in presence of light (Fig. 2A) or in the dark (Fig. 2B).
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