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Abstract. We report the discovery of the gamma-ray burst GRB 000131 and its optical afterglow. The optical identification was made with the VLT 84 hours after the burst following a BATSE detection and an Inter Planetary Network localization. GRB 000131 was a bright, long-duration GRB, with an apparent precursor signal 62 s prior to trigger. The afterglow was detected in ESO VLT, NTT, and DK1.54m follow-up observations. Broad-band and spectroscopic observations of the spectral energy distribution revealed a sharp break at optical wavelengths which is interpreted as a Lyα absorption edge at 6700 Å. This places GRB 000131 at a redshift of $z = 4.50 \pm 0.015$. The inferred isotropic energy release in gamma rays alone was $\sim 10^{54}$ erg (depending on the assumed cosmology). The rapid power-law decay of the afterglow (index $\alpha = 2.25$, similar to bursts with a prior break in the lightcurve), however, indicates collimated outflow, which relaxes the energy requirements by a factor of 200. The afterglow of GRB 000131 is the first to be identified with an 8-m class telescope.
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1. Introduction

Since the first detections of soft X-ray [Costa et al., 1997], optical [van Paradijs et al., 1997], and radio (Frail and Kulkarni, 1997) afterglows to gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), 19 optical afterglows have been detected.

For the current sample of 12 GRBs with well-determined redshifts, the median redshift is $z_{\text{median}} = 1.1$ with a very large root-mean-square variation of $\sim 0.8$. While a photometric redshift of $z \approx 5$ has been proposed for GRB 980329 (Fruchter, 1999), the highest spectroscopic redshift determination for a GRB so far is $z = 3.418$ for GRB 971214 (Kulkarni et al., 1998). This redshift was determined from the spectrum of a faint Lyman Break galaxy that was found at the position of the optical afterglow (see also Odewahn et al., 1998). The highest redshift determined directly from absorption lines in the spectrum of an optical afterglow is $z = 2.0404 \pm 0.0008$ for GRB 000301C (Smette et al., 2000; Fynbo et al., 2000). Several authors have speculated about the possibility of detecting GRBs at even higher redshifts than achieved so far. Wijers et al. (1998) and Lamb & Reichart (2000) estimate that GRBs should be detectable at very high redshifts ($z \gtrsim 5$) based on the assumption that GRBs are associated with star formation and by using models for the cosmic star formation rate as a function of redshift. Blain & Natarajan (2000) propose to use the opposite strategy, namely to use the observed redshift distribution of GRBs to measure the (uncertain) cosmic star formation rate as a function of redshift.
Unfortunately, afterglows have been detected in only \( \lesssim 40 \) percent of all well-localized (SAX, RXTE, IPN) GRBs (e.g., Fynbo et al. 2000). It is not clear why most GRBs with no apparent optical afterglow (‘dark bursts’) are so abundant. Possible explanations are rapid decay (Groot et al., 1998), reddening in the immediate environment and host galaxy (e.g., Jensen et al., 2000), intrinsic optical faintness (Taylor et al., 2000), very high redshifts (Lamb & Reichart, 2000) or a combination of these effects. To shed light on dark bursts, one obvious strategy is to set deeper optical limits by using large telescopes and to determine the decay slopes and colours (see Fynbo et al. 2000).

In this Letter we present the first attempt to identify the afterglow of a GRB with an 8-m class telescope. The attempt was successful in that the observations led to the discovery of the afterglow and the subsequent determination of the decay slope, spectral energy distribution and record high redshift. In the following we document the gamma-ray, optical, and infrared observations, and discuss the properties of the gamma-ray burst and afterglow.

### 2. Detection of the gamma-ray burst

GRB 000131 was observed by Ulysses, Konus/Wind, NEAR, and CGRO-BATSE on 2000 Jan. 31.624 UT. After 56 hours, it was localized via InterPlanetary Network (IPN) timing to two alternate 55 arcmin error boxes (Hurley et al., 2000a), one of which was ruled out by the independent BATSE location (Kippen, 2000). From the Ulysses, Konus/Wind, and NEAR data its 25–100 keV fluence was \( \sim 10^{-5} \text{ erg/cm}^2 \).

BATSE detected the event (Trigger #7975) in a partial data gap, so the standard catalog data products such as flux, fluence, and duration are not available. However, by analyzing other data types from BATSE the relevant parameters could be estimated. The results are given in Table 1. The peak flux is in the top 5%, and the fluence in the top 7% of all BATSE GRBs. The spectrum is well fit by a standard GRB model (Band et al., 1993) and shows a typical spectral evolution (Preece et al., 2000).

The BATSE light curve is shown in Fig. 1. The event consists of several spikes, most of which show a clear asymmetry, the leading edge being the steepest. A weak pulse lasting \( \approx 7 \) s is observed 62 s prior to the burst trigger. Its arrival direction is consistent (within a 12 degree error) with that of the main pulse. This spike is therefore most likely part of the burst. In this case the overall duration is about 170 s, i.e. significantly longer than measured by T90. The presence of this ‘precursor’ is reminiscent of that displayed by GRB 991216 (Kippen et al., 1999; Hurley, 2000a). However, in the case of GRB 000131, no long-lasting tail (\( \gamma \)-ray afterglow) is observed.

### 3. Localization and identification of the afterglow

The late localization of the GRB complicated the detection of the optical afterglow, expected to be significantly fainter than the limit of existing sky surveys. Furthermore, the (presumed power-law) decay of the afterglow would be so slow that observations separated by several days would have to be compared before any probable candidate source could be established.

Images of the InterPlanetary Network (IPN) error box were obtained with the FORS1 instrument on Antu (ESO VLT UT1), starting 84 h after the burst. Four 120 s exposures in the B, V and R bands of each of two FORS1 fields, covering the error box (see Fig. 2), were acquired under good seeing conditions (see Table 2 for a log of the observations). On the same night we acquired I–band exposures with a total integration time of 3600 s, using DIFOSC on the Danish 1.54-m telescope on La Silla. From this first set of exposures no candidate optical transient could be identified. A subsequent set of images with the same exposure times was acquired 135 h after the burst, under less favorable seeing conditions. Comparing these two epochs, one source located at R.A. = 6h13m31.08, Dec. = -51°56’41”7...
M.I. Andersen et al.: VLT identification of the optical afterglow of the gamma-ray burst GRB 000131 at $z = 4.50$

4. Follow-up observations and data analysis

Additional images of the field were obtained on 2000 Feb. 8.1 UT. The source was detected in a deep FORS1 R–band exposure and was found to have faded by 1.9±0.2 mag relative to the first epoch confirming its transient nature. As the source, based on the V, R and I photometry, appeared to be very red, we also acquired near-infrared (JHKs) images with the ESO New Technology Telescope (NTT). A final set of R and I band images were acquired with FORS1 on 2000 Mar. 5.0 UT. A log of our photometric observations and the standard calibrated magnitudes of the afterglow is given in Table 2. All image reductions were performed in the IRAF environment.

4.1. Image analysis and photometry

The afterglow was detected at low signal-to-noise in most of the images, which made it essential to use PSF photometry to derive the magnitudes. We used DAOPHOT-II (Stetson, 1987) within IRAF to derive PSF magnitudes of all point sources in the images. In general, when deriving PSF magnitudes, the center and amplitude (magnitude) of the PSF is fitted. For objects close to the detection limit, fitting the centroid introduces a bias in the magnitude of up to one magnitude, because of a tendency to fit the PSF to a nearby noise spike. If instead DAOPHOT is used on objects with accurately known pixel coordinates, the magnitude can be derived without re-centering the object during the PSF fit. In addition to removing the magnitude bias, deriving the PSF magnitude without re-centering also has the advantage that magnitudes down to the 2-$\sigma$ level can be obtained. We could therefore use the accurate position of the afterglow, as determined from the first epoch images, to derive reliable magnitudes from the observations at later epochs. Limiting magnitudes were obtained by adding a set of artificial point sources to the images at locations of apparently blank sky, setting the limiting magnitude at the level where DAOPHOT ALLSTAR could recover 95% of the point sources.

Table 2. Journal of our observations of GRB 000131.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>Epoch (2000,UT)</th>
<th>Seeing (&quot;)</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>$T_{exp}$ (sec)</th>
<th>mag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Feb 4.133</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>&gt;25.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Feb 4.135</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>24.66±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Feb 4.137</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>23.26±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.54</td>
<td>Feb 4.190</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>22.03±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Feb 6.176</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>&gt;25.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Feb 6.183</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>24.35±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.54</td>
<td>Feb 6.170</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>&gt;23.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Feb 8.091</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>25.13±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTT</td>
<td>Feb 8.046</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>&gt;22.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTT</td>
<td>Feb 8.076</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>22.25±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTT</td>
<td>Feb 8.103</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Ks</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>21.47±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Mar 5.025</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>&gt;25.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLT</td>
<td>Mar 5.017</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>&gt;24.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Magnitudes of internal reference stars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>15.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>17.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>19.68</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>15.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnote 1: IRAF is the Image Analysis and Reduction Facility made available to the astronomical community by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by AURA, Inc., under contract with the U.S. National Science Foundation.
The frames were reduced by first subtracting a mean sky, obtained from frames acquired just before and after the source frame. Before using the frames for sky subtraction, stars were eliminated with an automatic star finder coupled with a background interpolation algorithm. Then, a differential dome-flat correction was applied, and the frames were registered to fractional pixels and combined. We calibrated the photometry with standard stars taken from Persson et al. (1998), acquired directly before and after the source observations. The standards were placed in five different positions on the detector, and reduced in the same way as the source frames. Standard star and source photometry was corrected for atmospheric extinction using the mean ESO La Silla extinction coefficients given in Engels et al. (1981). Formal photometric accuracy, as judged from the standard deviation of the standard star observations, is about 0.03 mag.

At the time of observations, about 8 days after the IPN detection, the afterglow was very faint and thus only marginally detected in H (3σ) and K (2.5σ). In J there is apparently a source, but it is displaced about 1 pixel from the location of the afterglow. A PSF magnitude of this source could only be derived if re-centering during the PSF fit was allowed. To maintain consistency in our magnitudes, we have therefore chosen to disregard this apparent source and only give the proper limiting magnitude. The H and K magnitudes are both very close to the detection limit. It was verified that magnitudes at this level can be reliably derived by performing photometry of a large number of artificially added stars of the same magnitude.

4.4. Spectroscopy

Starting 2000 Feb. 8.09 UT, we obtained spectroscopic observations, using FORS1 configured with a 300 lines/mm grism, a cut-on order sorter filter and a 0′′7 slit. The wavelength range covered was 3800 Å – 8200 Å, with a resolution of 9 Å and with order overlap from about 7600 Å. The total integration time was 10800 s, divided into 6 exposures of 1800 s. During the spectroscopic observations, the seeing ranged from 0′′55 to 0′′9. The combined spectrum was flux calibrated relative to the flux standard LTT3218. The continuum is not well detected at visible wavelengths but is weakly detected in the red part of the spectrum, with a signal-to-noise around 1 per spectral bin of 2.5Å in regions that are not dominated by sky lines. The spectral slope is consistent with the broad-band photometry. The spectrum does not show signs of statistically significant emission lines, as could be expected from a host galaxy.

5. Discussion

The temporal and spectral behavior of optical gamma-ray burst afterglows are in general observed to follow a power law, such that \( F_\nu(t, \nu) \propto t^{-\alpha} \nu^{-\beta} \) \((\alpha, \beta > 0)\), where \( t \) is the time elapsed since the GRB event. The fireball model provides the theoretical framework for this behavior and also gives the relation between the temporal slope \( \alpha \) and the spectral slope \( \beta \) in various regimes. Sari et al. (1998), Piran (1999), and Meszaros
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Table 4. GRB afterglows with rapidly decaying light curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRB</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t_{break}</th>
<th>R(t=4 d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>980326</td>
<td>2.10 ±0.13</td>
<td>0.66 ±0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980519</td>
<td>2.22 ±0.04</td>
<td>0.80 ±0.08</td>
<td>0.55 ±0.17</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>990510</td>
<td>2.18 ±0.05</td>
<td>0.61 ±0.12</td>
<td>0.80 ±0.35</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>991208</td>
<td>2.20 ±0.20</td>
<td>0.75 ±0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000131</td>
<td>2.25 ±0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000301C</td>
<td>2.29 ±1.00</td>
<td>0.55 ±0.04</td>
<td>4.39 ±1.52</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

et al., 1998). If the afterglow is collimated (a jet), the temporal slope is modified and may change during the transition from the observer being inside the jet cone to being outside the jet cone, caused by the slowing-down of the jet (Rhoads, 1999). This results in a break in the light curve, such as those observed in GRB 980519 (Jaunsen et al., 2000), GRB 990123, GRB 990510 (Stanek et al., 1999; Harrison et al., 1999), GRB 990705 (Masetti et al., 2000a), GRB 991216 (Halpern et al., 2000), and GRB 000131C (Jensen et al., 2000). In the following, we will base our discussion on the assumption that the afterglow can be described within the framework of the fireball model.

5.1. The light curve of the afterglow

The three epochs of R-band photometry can be fitted by a power-law decline with $\alpha = 2.25 \pm 0.19$ and a $\chi^2 = 0.06$, as shown in Fig. 4. A power-law decline with this slope is typical for post-break evolution of GRB afterglow emission. A value of $2.0 < \alpha < 2.5$ has been observed in several other afterglows, namely GRB 980326 (Groot et al., 1998), GRB 980519 (Jaunsen et al., 2000), GRB 990510 (Stanek et al., 1999; Harrison et al., 1999; Holland, 2000), GRB 991208 (Hurley et al., 1999; Castro-Tirado et al., 2000), and GRB 000301C (e.g., Jensen et al. 2000). The light curve of GRB 000131 is plotted together with the light curves of these afterglows in Fig. 4. The main characteristics of these systems are summarized in Table 4. In all cases the favoured interpretation of such a steep slope is post-break decay of a collimated outflow. The light-curve breaks predicted in this scenario have indeed been observed (see Holland et al. 2000) and are believed to have occurred prior to the first observations in the other systems with $\alpha > 2$. The simplest interpretation of the GRB 000131 afterglow light curve is therefore that of a collimated outflow seen after the jet has slowed down.

5.2. Spectral energy distribution

The optical afterglow was detected in VRIHK, but at different epochs. By assuming achromatic evolution of the afterglow (which is consistent with other afterglows), following the $\alpha = 2.25$ power-law decline, the spectral energy distribution can be calculated for a given epoch. In Fig. 5 we plot the derived spectral energy distribution at 3.50 days after the burst trigger, i.e., at the time of the first VRI observations. Also given are the upper limits derived from our B and J observations. The spectral energy distribution has been corrected for Galactic reddening, using $E(B-V)=0.056$ (Schlegel et al., 1998).

For steep-decay afterglows, like those shown in Fig. 4, the large values of $\alpha$ and the relatively small values of $\beta$ favour a scenario involving a sideways expanding jet. In this case spec-
central slopes between \((\alpha - 1)/2\) and \(\alpha/2\) are expected in the fireball model, depending on the value of the cooling frequency. With \(\alpha = 2.25\), this implies \(0.63 < \beta < 1.13\), with a preference for the low value (cf. Table 4).

The spectral energy distribution shown in Fig. 5 does not resemble a power-law with a single index \(\beta\). This is not an artifact of extrapolating the H and K band data points from Feb. 8 to Feb. 4, as a power-law fit to the V, R, and I data points only results in a \(\chi^2 = 7.1\) and \(\beta = 4.90\), which is much steeper than the value of about 1 typically observed in GRB afterglows (see Table 4). Moreover, for the H and K fluxes to be in accordance with this fit, an unphysical value of \(\alpha\) of about 7.0 in the near-IR is required. Thus, a power-law spectral energy distribution is ruled out.

We have explored whether the strongly curved shape of the spectral energy distribution can be explained by reddening in the host galaxy (as in eg. GRB 000301C, Jensen et al. 2000, or in GRB 971214, Dal Fiume et al. 2000). We find that no physically plausible reddening laws can transform a power-law spectrum into the observed shape.

The most likely interpretation of the spectral break is therefore the onset of Lyman forest blanketing, hence implying that GRB 000131 was at a high redshift \((z \geq 4)\). To examine this interpretation further we have fitted the spectral energy distribution with power-laws modified by the effects of Lyman forest blanketing and internal reddening in the host galaxy. This technique was first used by Fruchter (1999) for GRB 980329 and discussed in detail by Reichart (2000). For the reddening we use the SMC extinction law assumed to best represent a chemically less-evolved environment at redshifts of 4 to 5. To model the effects of Lyman forest blanketing we follow Møller and Jakobsen (1999): for each pair of values of \(\beta\) and \(z\), the visual rest-frame absorption \(A_V\) and the \(\chi^2\) of the fit is obtained. We find that all values of \(\beta\) allowed by the fireball model are possible, with a preference for low values. The corresponding range of internal reddening is \(0.11 < A_V < 0.20\), with a preference for high values. For values of \(\beta\) around 0.65, the possible range \((2 \sigma)\) of redshifts is \(4.38 < z < 4.70\), while the redshift is \(4.55 < z < 4.69\) for \(\beta = 1.0\). This result is not affected by the choice of reddening law.

5.3. The spectroscopic redshift of GRB 000131

Our analysis of the photometric observations implies the presence of a Ly\(\alpha\) absorption edge in the range 6500 Å to 6900 Å. This implication is confirmed by the spectroscopic observations. After smoothing the FORS1 spectrum to a resolution of 30 Å a clear indication of such an absorption edge at 6700 Å is revealed. The recorded spectrum was very faint, at the level of 79 nJy predicted by the model. Beyond 7200 Å the spectrum is very smooth and follows the model spectrum shown in Fig. 5 together with a model of the spectrum, assuming a redshift of 4.5, \(\beta = 0.70\) and a reddening, \(A_V = 0.18\), as derived from a fit to the photometry (see Fig. 5).

The model spectrum is normalized to the R-magnitude of the afterglow, obtained immediately before the spectroscopic observations began, and corrected for slit losses of 35%, as would result from using a 0′.7 slit in a seeing of about 0′.7. The model spectrum is seen to be in very good agreement with the binned spectrum for wavelengths below 7200 Å. The average observed flux in the Lyman forest region (between Ly\(\alpha\) and Ly\(\beta\)) is \(55 \pm 15\) nJy, which is in reasonable agreement with the level of 79 nJy predicted by the model. Beyond 7200 Å the spectrum is dominated by an atmospheric band and strong sky lines, which are not well resolved at a spectral resolution of 9 Å. This effectively renders the spectrum useless in this spectral region.

As the spectral region from 6590 Å to 6810 Å is essentially free from sky lines, the location of the absorption edge is well defined (see Fig. 5). We measure its location to be at 6701 \(\pm 2.5\) Å from which a redshift of 4.511 \(\pm 0.002\) is inferred. The reality of the absorption edge and the interpretation that it is due to Ly\(\alpha\) is most convincingly seen by integrating the flux of the spectrum and the model, as shown in Fig. 7. The integrated spectrum is very smooth and follows the model nicely on the red side of the edge, while there are significant
deviations on the blue side of the edge. Comparing 100 Å intervals on the blue and red side of the absorption edge, the standard deviation of the spectrum is found to be 65% larger on the blue side, consistent with the interpretation that the blue side is located in the Lyman forest. Hence, the VLT spectrum is in excellent agreement with the photometric observations and provides independent evidence that the redshift of GRB 000131 is $z \approx 4.5$.

In the VLT spectrum of GRB 000301C, Jensen et al. (2000) detected a strong damped Ly$\alpha$ absorption line at the redshift of the GRB. If a similar damped Ly$\alpha$ absorption line is present in the spectrum of GRB 000131 the redshift as determined from the spectral break will be slightly overestimated since the red wing of the damping profile will move the spectral break 10–20 Å (depending on the H$\alpha$ column density) towards the red. Therefore, the inferred redshift from the spectral break depends on the assumed H$\alpha$ column density of the GRB self absorption. With the signal-to-noise of the spectrum, it is not possible to constrain the H$\alpha$ column density. An indirect indication that GRB 000131 had significant self absorption is obtained from the estimate of $A_V$. Assuming an SMC extinction law and minimum dust-to-gas ratio (Pei, 1992) and the maximum value of $A_V$, as derived from the fit of the spectral energy distribution, an H$\alpha$ column density of up to $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ is estimated. An estimate of the likely error of the redshift may therefore be obtained by fitting a H$\alpha$ line corresponding to this column density to the spectrum. We derive a redshift of $4.490 \pm 0.002$ for this column density, which allows us to conservatively conclude that the redshift of GRB 000131 is $z = 4.500 \pm 0.015$.

6. Conclusions

Based on the temporal and positional coincidence of the transient object with GRB 000131, and the fact that it was located at a cosmological distance, we conclude that this transient object was the optical afterglow of GRB 000131. Assuming a Hubble constant of 65 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_0 = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, a redshift of 4.50 implies a distance modulus of 48.24. Hence, the total energy release assuming isotropic emission is $\approx 1.1 \times 10^{54}$ erg. This is only a factor of $\sim 3$ smaller than the isotropic equivalent energy for the most energetic event so far, GRB 990123 (Andersen et al., 1999; Kulkarni et al., 1999). However, the combination of the decay slope $\alpha$ and the constraints on the spectral slope $\beta$ indicate that the afterglow was due to a collimated jet. The upper limit on the break epoch, $t_{\text{break}} < 3.5$ days, implies a lower limit on the jet opening angle of $\theta > 7^\circ n^{1/8} > 7^\circ$, where $n$ is the density of the ambient medium (in units of cm$^{-3}$), which is larger than 1 in star-forming regions. From the lower limit on the opening angle we infer a lower limit on the released energy of $\sim 5 \times 10^{51}$ erg.

This work shows that 8-m class telescopes may be used successfully to detect faint R $> 23$ optical afterglows even in fields with very bright stars. This is necessary in order to resolve why $\gtrsim 60\%$ of all attempts to detect optical afterglows of GRBs are currently unsuccessful (Fynbo et al., 2000). The apparent brightness of the GRB 000131 afterglow was similar to that of GRB 990123. GRB 000131 does therefore provide the first observational evidence that it is possible to obtain high resolution optical spectra of GRBs at very high redshift, if the afterglow is identified at an early time. High redshift GRBs will therefore no doubt prove to be an extremely valuable tool for not only the understanding of the GRB environment but also for the study of the Lyman forest and of cosmology.
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