UCP1 Induction during Recruitment of Brown Adipocytes in White Adipose Tissue Is Dependent on Cyclooxygenase Activity
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Abstract

Background: The uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) is a hallmark of brown adipocytes and pivotal for cold- and diet-induced thermogenesis.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Here we report that cyclooxygenase (COX) activity and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) are crucially involved in induction of UCP1 expression in inguinal white adipocytes, but not in classic interscapular brown adipocytes. Cold-induced expression of UCP1 in inguinal white adipocytes was repressed in COX2 knockout (KO) mice and by administration of the COX inhibitor indomethacin in wild-type mice. Indomethacin repressed β-adrenergic induction of UCP1 expression in primary inguinal adipocytes. The use of PGE2 receptor antagonists implicated EP4 as a main PGE2 receptor, and injection of the stable PGE2 analog (EP3/4 agonist) 16,16-dm PGE2 induced UCP1 expression in inguinal white adipose tissue. Inhibition of COX activity attenuated diet-induced UCP1 expression and increased energy efficiency and adipose tissue mass in obesity-resistant mice kept at thermoneutrality.

Conclusions/Significance: Our findings provide evidence that induction of UCP1 expression in white adipose tissue, but not in classic interscapular brown adipose tissue is dependent on cyclooxygenase activity. Our results indicate that cyclooxygenase-dependent induction of UCP1 expression in white adipose tissues is important for diet-induced thermogenesis providing support for a surprising role of COX activity in the control of energy balance and obesity development.
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Introduction

The two types of adipose tissues, white (WAT) and brown (BAT), have opposite functions in whole body energy homeostasis. Whereas white adipocytes store excess energy as fat, brown adipocytes contain a large number of mitochondria dedicated to convert fat into heat through uncoupled respiration. The uncoupling of respiration and the resulting heat dissipation depend on the expression of the uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1). UCP1 is an integral membrane protein unique to brown adipocyte mitochondria, where it acts as a proton channel to uncouple oxidative phosphorylation by dissipating the proton gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane [1]. In mice, an increased content of UCP1 in adipose tissue mitochondria is strongly linked to protection against diet-induced obesity. This is true whether increased UCP1 expression is induced by transgenic expression of UCP1 itself [2;3], of forkhead box 2 (FOXC2) [4], of PR domain containing 16 (PRDM16) [5] or by disruption of the RIHBP subunit of protein kinase A [6;7], eukaryotic translation initiation factor E1-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) [8], cell death inducing DFFA like effector A and C (Cidea and Cidec/Fsp27) [9], the p160 coregulator TIF2 [10] or retinoblastoma Rb [11–13].
Although it has been estimated that 50 g of brown adipocytes would be sufficient to burn 20% of the daily energy intake [14], BAT has traditionally been considered to be virtually absent and of no physiological relevance in adult humans. This view has recently changed dramatically with the demonstration of functional BAT in adult humans [15–19] adding to the observation of brown-like multilocular adipocytes expressing UCP1 interspersed within human WAT [20–22]. Actually, UCP1 mRNA has been detected in all adipose tissues in adult humans, and it has been estimated that 1 in 100–200 adipocytes in human intraperitoneal adipose tissue expresses UCP1 [23].

Classic interscapular brown adipocytes and brown-like adipocytes found in WAT depots appear to originate from distinct lineages. Brown pre-adipocytes derived from the interscapular region (iBAT) demonstrate myogenic gene expression [24] and classic brown adipocytes arise from Myf5 expressing progenitors [25]. In contrast, brown-like adipocytes appearing in white adipose tissue by β-adrenergic stimulation (“brite adipocytes”) appear to originate from another lineage, much closer to white adipocytes [26–29] and display different molecular markers [30]. Several lines of evidence suggest that the number of brown-like adipocytes in WAT depots might influence whole body energy balance. Increased occurrence of brown-like adipocytes within WAT depots is a feature of mouse strains resistant to dietary obesity, such as the A/J strain [31;32], and reduced adiposity associated with aP2-transgenic expression of UCP1 is linked to increased energy dissipation in white, but not interscapular brown, adipose tissue [33]. Human obesity is associated with a reduced expression of UCP1 and other thermogenesis related genes in WAT depots [34;35]. Thus, identification of factors controlling induction of UCP1 expression and an increase in the number of brown-like adipocytes in white depots obviously deserves further attention.

It is intriguing that the cold-induced occurrence of brown-like adipocytes and UCP1 requires the presence of the β3-adrenergic receptor in previously white adipose tissue, but not in interscapular brown adipose tissue [36]. Furthermore, the presence of the β3-adrenergic receptor is required for full stimulation of energy expenditure and oxygen consumption in white adipose tissue [37].

Adipocytes from lean rats have higher isoproterenol-stimulated prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) synthesis, than adipocytes from obese Zucker rats [38]. We therefore hypothesized that prostaglandins or related products synthesized by cyclooxygenases (COXs) might be involved in the recruitment of brown adipocytes in white depots. The COXs have previously been implicated in adipogenesis [39–41], but no specific role has been assigned. Here, we demonstrate that COX activity is crucially involved in the induction of UCP1 expression in WAT providing further evidence for a role of COXs in the control of energy balance and obesity development. In view of the worldwide epidemic of obesity and associated metabolic disorders it is obviously of importance to identify pathways that can be manipulated genetically or pharmacologically and regulate the induction of UCP1 expression and recruitment of brown-like adipocytes in white adipose tissues.

Results

COX1 and COX2 protein expression is upregulated in iWAT during cold treatment

When mice are kept at 28°C, close to thermoneutrality, the majority of the adipocytes in the inguinal white adipose depot (iWAT) – the major subcutaneous depot in the mouse – appear as UCP1-negative, spherical unilocular adipocytes [42]. In iWAT from warm-acclimated mice, only endothelial cells and macro-phages stained positive for COX1 and COX2, respectively (Figure 1A and B). However, when mice were transferred to a cold environment, the emerging multilocular adipocytes stained positive for COX1 and COX2. In particular, cells that appeared to be in a transition state between uni- and multilocular cells stained strongly (Figure 1A and B). Western blotting demonstrated increased expression of COX1 and COX2 in iWAT, and also in iBAT, after cold exposure (Figure 1C). Real time qPCR analysis verified that genes preferentially expressed in brown vs. white adipose tissue, such as UCP1, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma coactivator 1α (PGC1α), type II thyroxine deiodinase (Dio2), cytochrome C oxidase subunit 8b (Cox8b), epithelial V like antigen 1 (Eva1) and Cidea were all highly induced in iWAT upon cold exposure, whereas expression of 4E-BP1 and of nuclear receptor interacting protein 140 (Nrip1/ RIP140) was reduced (Figure 1D). Immunohistochemical staining of iBAT from cold-treated mice demonstrated that adipocytes stained positive for COX2, whereas only endothelial cells stained positive for COX1 (Figure S1A). The lack of COX1 and COX2 expression in adipocytes from iBAT in warm-acclimated mice was verified by analysis of protein and RNA isolated from fractionated adipose tissue, in which COX1 and COX2 was detected solely in the stromal vascular fraction (Figure S1B).

Inhibition of COX activity represses induction of UCP1 expression

Differently treated mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) lacking the retinoblastoma (Rb) gene, resemble brown or brown-like adipocytes in demonstrating β-adrenergic induction of UCP1 expression [43]. To achieve a robust induction of UCP1 expression, differentiated Rb-/- MEFs were treated with a combination of isoproterenol and 9-cis retinoic acid [44]. Just as cold exposure increased COX1 and COX2 mRNA and protein levels in brown-like adipocytes (Figure 1), isoproterenol/9-cis retinoic acid treatment increased COX1 and COX2 mRNA and protein expression (Figure 2A and B) in this model system. Upregulation of COX1 and COX2 expression in Rb-/- adipocytes was accompanied by increased production of PGE2, the primary prostaglandin produced by mature adipocytes [45;46], but not of PGF2α and 6-keto-PGF1α (Figure 2C). This indicates that Rb-/- adipocytes resemble mature adipocytes in producing PGE2 as the major prostaglandin species.

To investigate the importance of COX activity for induction of UCP1 expression, we treated differentiated Rb-/- adipocytes with isoproterenol/9-cis retinoic acid in the absence or presence of the general COX inhibitor indomethacin. Indomethacin prevented induction of UCP1 mRNA and protein expression (Figure 2D and E), thus suggesting the intriguing possibility that COX activity is required for induction of UCP1.

To examine if COX activity was required also in primary adipocytes, we induced cells from the stromal vascular fraction of iBAT and iWAT to differentiate and then treated the mature adipocytes with the β-adrenergic agonist isoproterenol in the absence and presence of indomethacin. Interestingly, indomethacin inhibited isoproterenol-induced UCP1 expression in cells derived from iWAT but not from iBAT (Figure 3A), indicating that COX activity is required for β-adrenergic induction of UCP1 expression in adipocytes from iWAT, but not in iBAT adipocytes. In keeping with this notion, indomethacin only marginally attenuated induction of UCP1 expression in the WT-1 cell model representing interscapular brown adipocytes (Text S1, Figure S2) [47].

To investigate the role of COX activity during induction of UCP1 expression in iWAT and iBAT in vivo, we treated warm-
acclimated mice with the COX inhibitor indomethacin and transferred the mice to 4°C. Measurements of rectal temperature revealed that mice treated with indomethacin had slightly, but significantly lower body temperature (Figure 3B). As expected, UCP1 expression was induced in both iBAT and iWAT in vehicle-treated mice (Figure 3C and D). While indomethacin treatment only slightly attenuated cold-induced UCP1 expression in iBAT, it almost completely prevented the induction of UCP1 expression in iWAT (Figure 3C and D). Thus, COX activity appeared to be necessary for cold-induced UCP1 expression in iWAT, but not in iBAT. In addition, indomethacin treatment attenuated cold-induced enhancement of PGC1α, Dio2, Cox8b, Eva1 and Cidea expression in iWAT, while preventing cold-induced repression of RIP140 and 4E-BP1 expression in iWAT (Figure 3D).

**Forced expression of COX2 induces UCP1 expression in Rb⁻/⁻ adipocytes**

Since indomethacin attenuated β-adrenergically stimulated UCP1 expression in Rb⁻/⁻ adipocytes and primary inguinal...
adipocytes, but not in WT-1 cells and primary interscapular brown adipocytes, we again used Rb<sup>2</sup>/<sup>2</sup> adipocytes as a model system for “brite” adipocytes. To investigate the relative importance of COX1 and COX2 activities in mediating induction of UCP1 expression in such cells, we treated Rb<sup>2</sup>/<sup>2</sup> adipocytes with isoproterenol/9-cis retinoic acid in the absence and presence of selective COX1 and COX2 inhibitors. As shown in Figure 4A, selective inhibition of COX1 and COX2 with SC560 or NS398, respectively, partially prevented UCP1 induction, whereas a combination of these inhibitors or treatment with the non-selective inhibitor indomethacin fully prevented UCP1 induction. Accordingly, activities of both COX1 and COX2 seem necessary for full UCP1 induction.

To further examine the relative importance of COX1 and COX2 for prostaglandin synthesis and UCP1 expression, these enzymes were retrovirally expressed both singly and in combination in Rb<sup>2</sup>/<sup>2</sup> MEFs (Figure 4B). The cells were induced to differentiate, and on day 8, the medium was replaced by fresh medium, which was harvested 24 h later and analyzed for PGE<sub>2</sub> content. The level of PGE<sub>2</sub> was higher when the cells were transduced with COX2 alone or in combination with COX1, than with COX1 alone (Figure 4C). These results, together with the fact that PGE<sub>2</sub> formation in adipose tissue in COX2 KO mice is significantly lower than in COX1 KO mice [48], point to COX2 expression as being of major importance for PGE<sub>2</sub> production. In accordance with this, forced expression of COX1 alone was unable to induce UCP1 expression (Figure 4D). However, UCP1 expression was significantly induced by forced expression of COX2 alone or in combination with COX1 (Figure 4D). Increased expression of UCP1 was accompanied with increased expression of PGC1α, Dio2, Cox8b, Eval and Cidea, as well as reduced expression of RIP140, but not 4E-BP1 (Figure 4D).

Cold-induced UCP1 expression is attenuated in iWAT in COX2 KO mice

To confirm the importance of COX2 for UCP1 induction in iWAT, wild-type and COX2 KO mice were challenged with a cold environment after warm acclimation. The wild-type mice defended their body temperature better than the COX2 KO mice (Figure 5A). The COX2 KO mice develop severe nephropathy and are susceptible to peritonitis in early life [49]; therefore, KO and wild-type littermates 6 weeks of age were used in this experiment. Unfortunately, we were unable to collect sufficient amounts of iWAT from these young mice to detect UCP1 or COX by Western blotting. However, as expected, cold-induced UCP1 mRNA expression was attenuated in iWAT in COX2 KO mice (Figure 5B). Cold-induced expression of Dio2 and Cidea was also attenuated in iWAT in the COX2 KO mice and PGC1α expression also tended to be attenuated (Figure 5B). Moreover, the cold-induced reduction of RIP140 expression was prevented in the COX2 KO mice (Figure 5B). Expression of Cox8b, Eval and 4E BP1 was, however, not significantly different in iWAT from wild-type and COX2 KO mice, suggesting that inhibition of both COX1 and COX2 might be necessary to attenuate cold-induced changes in the expression of these genes. As expected, we observed no differences in UCP1 expression in iBAT in COX2 KO and wild-type mice, and surprisingly, cold-treated COX2 KO mice had significantly higher expression of PGC1α in iBAT than did wild-type mice (Figure 5B).

PGE<sub>2</sub> induces UCP1 expression via activation of the EP<sub>3</sub>/EP<sub>4</sub> receptors

PGE<sub>2</sub> is reported to mediate its action by interacting with four subtypes of PGE receptors, the EP<sub>1</sub>, EP<sub>2</sub>, EP<sub>3</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> receptors [50], but may also bind to the prostaglandin F (FP) receptor with an
In order to probe the relative importance of these receptors in mediating the possible effect of PGE2 on induction of UCP1, expression of the EP and FP receptors was measured in adipose tissue and in Rb<sup>−/−</sup> adipocytes. All receptors were expressed in both white and brown adipose tissue, whereas no expression of the EP<sub>3</sub> receptor could be detected in Rb<sup>−/−</sup> adipocytes (Figure 6A), implying a minor if any role of this receptor in mediating the PGE<sub>2</sub> response in those cells. Thus, Rb<sup>−/−</sup> adipocytes were treated with isoproterenol and 9-cis retinoic in the absence or presence of AL8810, AH6809, or AH23848, that are FP-, EP<sub>1</sub>/EP<sub>2</sub> and EP<sub>4</sub> receptor antagonists, respectively. Isoproterenol-stimulated UCP1 expression was not affected by the FP receptor antagonist, but slightly attenuated by the EP<sub>1</sub>/EP<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist and strongly attenuated by the EP<sub>4</sub> antagonist (Figure 6B). Reduced expression of UCP1 was accompanied by reduced expression of PGC1α and Cidea (Figure 6B). To verify the importance of PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling via the EP<sub>4</sub> receptor with a possible minor contribution by the EP<sub>3</sub> receptor, mice were injected with an EP<sub>3</sub>/EP<sub>4</sub> receptor agonist [52], the stable PGE<sub>2</sub> analogue 16,16-dimethyl-PGE<sub>2</sub>. As predicted, qPCR analysis revealed that UCP1 expression was induced in iWAT, but not in iBAT (Figure 6C). Together, the in vitro and in vivo results suggest that PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced UCP1 expression at least in part is mediated via the EP<sub>3</sub>/EP<sub>4</sub> receptors with EP<sub>4</sub> being the predominant receptor involved.

Inhibition of COX activity increases adiposity and energy efficiency in obesity resistant Sv129 mice

Diet-induced thermogenesis protects several mouse strains against obesity [53–55]. Since it appears that the protection against diet-induced obesity is related to increased occurrence of brown-like adipocytes in white depots [56;57], we aimed to investigate the hypothesis that indomethacin could also attenuate diet-induced UCP1 expression and thereby increase the propensity for diet-induced obesity in Sv129 mice. Since it was recently demonstrated that UCP1-deficient mice become obese when housed at thermoneutrality [58], we predicted that the most pronounced effect of COX inhibition would be observed for mice kept under thermoneutral conditions. Accordingly, we fed Sv129 mice a very high-fat diet with or without indomethacin
Figure 4. UCP1 expression is induced by forced expression of COX2 alone or in combination with COX1 in cultured cells. A. Rb<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs were induced to differentiate as described in experimental procedures. Differentiated adipocytes were treated with vehicle or isoproterenol (100 nM) and 9-cis-retinoic acid (1 μM) in the absence and presence of the COX1 inhibitor SC560 (50 nM) or the COX2 inhibitor NS398 (5 μM), alone or in combination, or with indomethacin (1 μM), for 24 h. Expression of UCP1 was measured by RT-qPCR. The bars represent mean ± standard error. The experiment was performed in triplicates and repeated 2 times. B–D. Rb<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs were retrovirally transduced with empty vector, vector encoding COX1 or COX2, or both. The transduced cells were selected and induced to differentiate and analyzed for COX1 and COX2 expression by Western blotting (B). PGE<sub>2</sub> levels were measured in cell media (C). RNA was isolated on day 8 and expressions of genes were measured by RT-qPCR (D). The bars represent mean ± standard error. Different letters indicate statistically significant difference (p<0.05). The experiments were performed in triplicates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011391.g004
supplementation for 4 weeks while keeping the mice at 28–30°C. As demonstrated in Figure 7A, indomethacin supplementation led to a higher weight gain. Energy intake was slightly, but not statistically significantly lower (data not shown). However, energy intake relative to body weight gain was significantly lower in mice that received the indomethacin-supplemented high-fat diet (Figure 7A). Mice fed the diet supplemented with indomethacin also had more WAT in different depots, but not iBAT (Figure 7B). Histological analysis revealed that the adipocytes in both iWAT and iBAT appeared normal, but adipocytes in iWAT in mice fed the high-fat diet supplemented with indomethacin were slightly larger (Figure 7C and D). As expected, feeding mice a high-fat diet lead to augmented expression of UCP1 in both iBAT and iWAT in vehicle-treated mice (Figure 7E). Reduced expression of UCP1 in iWAT in mice fed a high-fat diet supplemented with indomethacin was accompanied with reduced expression of Cox8b. Expression of PGC1α, Dio2, Eva1, Cidea, 4E-BP1 and RIP140 was not affected by inclusion of indomethacin with the high-fat diet (Figure 7E). Collectively, these results underscore the notion that inhibition of COX activity attenuates the acquisition of “brite” adipocytes in white adipose depots with an accompanying increase in feed efficiency leading to accumulation of more adipose tissue. Obviously, other mechanisms may contribute to the increase in feed efficiency, but the lack of “brite” adipocyte recruitment seems a key player.

**Discussion**

The unique energy-dissipating ability of UCP1 makes control of its expression and activation potential targets for the development of novel drugs for the treatment of obesity and obesity-associated diseases. Here we present evidence that COX activity and COX-derived PGE2 are intimately linked to induction of UCP1 expression in iWAT, but not in iBAT. Thus, cold-induced expression of UCP1 in iWAT was repressed in mice treated with the general COX inhibitor indomethacin, and in COX2 KO mice.
mice. Also, injection of a stable analog of the COX2 downstream product PGE2, 16,16-dimethyl-PGE2, induced UCP1 expression in iWAT. Forced expression of COX2, alone or in combination with COX1, induced UCP1 expression in a cell model resembling inguinal adipocytes. Finally, the inhibition of COX activity not only attenuated diet-induced UCP1 expression in iWAT, but also increased weight gain in Sv129 mice kept at thermoneutrality.

The association between diet-induced thermogenesis and the recruitment of brown adipose tissue was first noted more than 30 years ago and believed to involve the classical brown adipose tissue located in the interscapular region [59]. The anti-obesity role of UCP1 was challenged by the finding that UCP1 KO mice were not obese [60]. However, the recent demonstration that UCP1 ablation per se induced obesity when the mice were kept at thermoneutrality [61] clearly indicates that UCP1 is important in diet-induced energy dissipation at thermoneutrality. Our data indicate that inhibition of COX activity increased weight gain and concomitantly attenuated diet-induced UCP1 expression in iWAT, but not iBAT in Sv129 mice kept at thermoneutrality, pointing to a novel role of COX activity in the control of energy balance and the development of obesity. These results are in line with our earlier observation that enhanced cAMP signaling in response to an increased glucagon/insulin ratio led to an increased COX-mediated PGE2 production. This was accompanied by increased expression of UCP1 in iWAT, but not in iBAT, and decreased feed efficiency [62]. Although neither COX1 KO nor COX2 KO mice are obese, COX2−/− KO mice have more adipose tissue than wild-type littermates when fed an obesogenic diet [48]. The reason why COX2−/−, but not COX2 KO mice were reported to be prone to obesity is not clear. However, these studies were not performed at thermoneutrality [48]. A similar phenomenon is actually seen in GLUT4 KO mice, where the majority of GLUT4−/+ mice develop diabetes [63]. Moreover, release of PGE2 from adipose tissue in COX2−/− mice was reported to be reduced compared to adipose tissue from wild-type mice [48] and adipose tissue cultures obtained from obese rats have lower PGE2 release rates than cultures from lean rats [30]. In addition, microsomal prostaglandin

**Figure 6.** UCP1 expression is attenuated by an EP4 receptor antagonist in Rb−/− adipocytes and induced by the EP4 receptor agonist 16,16dmPGE2 in vivo. A. Expressions of EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 receptor and PGE2 receptors were measured by RT-qPCR in iBAT and iWAT isolated from warm- and cold-acclimated mice, and in Rb−/− adipocytes treated with vehicle or isoproterenol (100 nM) and 9-cis-retinoic acid (1 µM). B. Rb−/− adipocytes were treated with vehicle or isoproterenol (100 nM) and 9-cis-retinoic acid (1 µM) by RT-qPCR in absence and presence of AL8810, AH6809, or AH23848, which are EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 receptor antagonists, respectively. Expressions of genes were measured by RT-qPCR. The bars represent mean ± standard error. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p<0.05). C. C57BL/6J mice were subcutaneously injected with vehicle or 16,16dmPGE2 (50 µM/kg) every 12 h for 48 h. Expressions of genes were measured by RT-qPCR. The bars represent mean ± standard error (n = 5). * indicates statistical significant difference between vehicle and 16,16 dmPGE2 treated mice (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011391.g006

**Figure 7.** Indomethacin prevents high-fat diet-induced UCP1 expression in iWAT but not iBAT in the obesity-resistant Sv129 mouse strain. Mice were fed a very high-fat diet (VHF) with or without indomethacin supplementation (16 ppm) for 4 weeks at a temperature of 28–30 °C. One group of mice was killed before the experiment started. A. Body weight gain and energy intake relative to body weight gain. B. Different adipose tissue depots were dissected and weighed. C and D. Representative paraffin-embedded representative sections from iWAT and iBAT were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The scale bars represent 50 µM. E. Expressions of genes in iWAT and iBAT were measured by RT-qPCR. The bars represent mean ± standard error (n = 6). * indicates statistical significant difference (p<0.05) between different groups.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011391.g007
E. synthesize 1 (mPGES1) expression is reported to be downregulated in iWAT and eWAT in obese mice [64], suggesting a dysregulation of prostaglandin synthesis in obesity.

PGE2 mediates its action by interacting with four subtypes of PGE2 receptors, the EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 receptors [30]. Using the Rbβ2 brown-like adipocytes, we show that β-adrenergic stimulation of UCP1 expression is attenuated by an EP3 receptor antagonist. This combined with our finding that injection of the EP3/EP4 receptor agonist 16,16-dimethyl-PGE2 increased expression of UCP1 in iWAT indicates that the action of PGE2 is predominantly mediated via the EP4 receptor with a possible minor contribution by the EP3 receptor. Most EP3 KO mice die shortly after birth, and no adipose tissue phenotype has been reported for the few surviving pups [65]. Similarly, to our knowledge, no adipose tissue phenotype has been reported for the EP3 KO mice.

Collectively, our findings strongly indicate that both cold- and diet-induced expression of UCP1 in iWAT, but not in iBAT, requires COX activity and most likely PGE2 formation. Furthermore, our results point to differential roles of induction of UCP1 expression in iWAT and iBAT in the context of diet- and cold-induced thermogenesis. We suggest that whereas UCP1 in iWAT plays an important role in protection against obesity, UCP1 in iBAT is essential for temperature adaptation. Upon cold challenge, body temperatures were only slightly lower in wild-type mice compared to non-treated wild-type mice. This is in line with the earlier finding that UCP1 expression is blunted in iWAT, but not in iBAT, in cold-adapted β3 adrenergic receptor KO mice [66].

The importance of COX in diet-induced expression of UCP1 in iWAT and diet-induced thermogenesis is underscored by our demonstration that inclusion of the general COX inhibitor indomethacin in the diet augmented high-fat diet-induced obesity in Sv129 mice kept at thermoneutrality irrespectively of UCP1 expression in iBAT. Increased expression of UCP1 in iWAT with accompanying increased thermogenic activity coupled with unchanged or even reduced BAT activity has been observed in several genetically modified lean mouse models such as RIP140 [67], Caveolin 1 [68], Fsp27 [69], hormone sensitive lipase [70] and genetically modified lean mouse models such as RIP140 [67], pRb-deficient mice [11;73] and in mice overexpressing FOXC2 [74], protection against diet-induced obesity is accompanied by an increased R-immunity ratio, rendering PKA somewhat more sensitive to cAMP, which is accompanied by an increased occurrence of brown adipocytes in WAT. Last, it should be recalled that in aP2-UCP1 transgenic mice, both endogenous UCP1 expression and respiration are actually reduced in iBAT [75]. UCP1 expression, respiration and total oxidative capacity are, however, strongly induced in WAT and the oxidative capacity of WAT is sufficient for the changes of total energy balance induced by the transgene [76]. In keeping with the earlier notion that i) mouse strains that have more UCP1-expressing adipocytes in their BAT depots are protected against diet-induced obesity [77;78] and ii) brown-like multilocular adipocytes expressing UCP1 are detected interspersed within white adipose tissue in humans [20;21;79], we suggest that factors influencing UCP1 expression in white adipose tissue are of particular importance for the regulation of energy balance and the development of obesity also in humans.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The animal experiments were approved by the Norwegian Animal Health Authorities, ID 819 and 888. Care and handling were in accordance with local institutional recommendations.
performed in duplicates as described earlier [83]. Primer sequences are available on request.

Western blotting

Preparation of extracts from mouse tissues or whole cell dishes, electrophoresis, blotting, visualization and stripping of membranes were performed as described [84]. Primary antibodies used were goat anti-COX1, goat anti-COX2, rabbit anti-UCP1 and rabbit anti-TFHB antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Secondary antibodies were horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse, anti-goat or anti-rabbit antibodies obtained from DAKO.

Immunohistochemistry

COX1 (M-20; sc 1754) and COX2 (M-19; sc 1747) antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, diluted 1:500 on cryosections and 1:100 on paraffin-embedded sections (for COX1). Lung [85] and skin [56] were used as positive control for both COX1 and COX2 antibodies.

Histological analyses

Parts of adipose tissue were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PB buffer for 24 h, washed in PB, dehydrated in ethanol, embedded in paraffin after 2 ×10 min xylene treatment. Sections (8 µm thick) of the embedded tissue sections were subjected to standard hematoxylin and eosin staining.
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