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Termites host a gut microbiota of diverse and essential symbionts that enable specialization on dead plant material; an abundant, but nutritionally imbalanced food source. To supplement the severe shortage of dietary nitrogen (N), some termite species make use of diazotrophic bacteria to fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂). Fungus-growing termites (subfamily Macrotermitinae) host a fungal exosymbiont (genus Termitomyces) that provides digestive services and the main food source for the termites. This has been thought to obviate the need for N₂-fixation by bacterial symbionts. Here, we challenge this notion by performing acetylene reduction assays of live colony material to show that N₂ fixation is present in two major genera (Macrotermes and Odontotermes) of fungus-growing termites. We compare and discuss fixation rates in relation to those obtained from other termites, and suggest avenues of research that may lead to a better understanding of N₂ fixation in fungus-growing and other termites.

Keywords: macrotermitinae, Macrotermes, nitrogenase, nifH, Odontotermes, symbiosis

INTRODUCTION

The success of termites has been attributed to their ability to consume nutritionally imbalanced food sources, allowing them to exploit otherwise inaccessible niches (Brune, 2014). Since the first observations of termites surviving on pure cellulose by Cleveland (1925), biologists have explored the mechanisms through which termites overcome the two inherent problems of this diet: the decomposition of plant-cell walls and the acquisition of sufficient nitrogen (N) (Breznak, 1982; Higashi et al., 1992). Through decades of study, and with the advent of modern molecular methods, we now know that termites are obligately associated with symbiotic microorganisms – mainly gut bacteria – that make this possible (Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termites host a gut microbiota of diverse and essential symbionts that enable specialization on dead plant material; an abundant, but nutritionally imbalanced food source. To supplement the severe shortage of dietary nitrogen (N), some termite species make use of diazotrophic bacteria to fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂). Fungus-growing termites (subfamily Macrotermitinae) host a fungal exosymbiont (genus Termitomyces) that provides digestive services and the main food source for the termites. This has been thought to obviate the need for N₂-fixation by bacterial symbionts. Here, we challenge this notion by performing acetylene reduction assays of live colony material to show that N₂ fixation is present in two major genera (Macrotermes and Odontotermes) of fungus-growing termites. We compare and discuss fixation rates in relation to those obtained from other termites, and suggest avenues of research that may lead to a better understanding of N₂ fixation in fungus-growing and other termites.
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The success of termites has been attributed to their ability to consume nutritionally imbalanced food sources, allowing them to exploit otherwise inaccessible niches (Brune, 2014). Since the first observations of termites surviving on pure cellulose by Cleveland (1925), biologists have explored the mechanisms through which termites overcome the two inherent problems of this diet: the decomposition of plant-cell walls and the acquisition of sufficient nitrogen (N) (Breznak, 1982; Higashi et al., 1992). Through decades of study, and with the advent of modern molecular methods, we now know that termites are obligately associated with symbiotic microorganisms – mainly gut bacteria – that make this possible (Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termite gut microbes provide the enzymes needed to degrade plant polymers, synthesize amino acids, recycle nitrogenous waste, and fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973; Potrikus and Breznak, 1981; Bentley, 1984; Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termite gut microbes provide the enzymes needed to degrade plant polymers, synthesize amino acids, recycle nitrogenous waste, and fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973; Potrikus and Breznak, 1981; Bentley, 1984; Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termite gut microbes provide the enzymes needed to degrade plant polymers, synthesize amino acids, recycle nitrogenous waste, and fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973; Potrikus and Breznak, 1981; Bentley, 1984; Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010).

Assistance from termite gut microbes in the degradation of plant polymers has received substantial attention (Brune, 2014). In contrast, the role symbionts play in balancing the N economy – called ‘the second major symbiosis in termites’ by Higashi et al. (1992) – has not been approached in a consistent manner, and the relative importance of symbiotic diazotrophs (N₂ fixing bacteria) in termite feeding on different substrates remains unclear (Higashi et al., 1992; Eggleton and Tayasu, 2001; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termite gut microbes provide the enzymes needed to degrade plant polymers, synthesize amino acids, recycle nitrogenous waste, and fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973; Potrikus and Breznak, 1981; Bentley, 1984; Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termite gut microbes provide the enzymes needed to degrade plant polymers, synthesize amino acids, recycle nitrogenous waste, and fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973; Potrikus and Breznak, 1981; Bentley, 1984; Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010). Termite gut microbes provide the enzymes needed to degrade plant polymers, synthesize amino acids, recycle nitrogenous waste, and fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973; Potrikus and Breznak, 1981; Bentley, 1984; Bignell, 2000; Brune and Ohkuma, 2010).
outside the nest (two-piece nesters) (Hidaka et al., 1987; Higashi et al., 1992). The one-piece nesters represent the ancestral lifestyle of termites, and the progressive separation of food and nest (two-piece) is evolutionarily derived (Yamada et al., 2007; Nalepa, 2015). The severe shortage of N in the diet of one-piece nesting termites (as low as 0.03% in dead wood; Breznak, 1982) necessitates supplementary N acquisition, and the association with symbiotic gut diazotrophs is thus ancestral in termites (Dietrich et al., 2014; Nalepa, 2015). Since the 1960s, evidence for such associations has accrued through testing for N\textsubscript{2} fixation activity using the acetylene reduction assay (ARA), and for the incorporation of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2} in termite biomass through stable isotope analyses (for a review, see Breznak, 2000; Figure 1A).

The fungus-growing termite sub-family Macrotermiteinae, including the major genera Macrotermes and Odontotermes, are abundant in sub-Saharan Africa, and associate with basidiomycete Termitomyces fungi, which grow on a medium of termite feces (the fungus comb). The fungus has been suggested to provide the means to compensate for the C:N imbalance through concentration of N and selective elimination of C via respiration (Eggleton and Tayasu, 2001; Nobre et al., 2011), which could potentially explain the much higher N-content of fungus material, which has been estimated in e.g., Macrotermes natalensis to be approximately 7% (Rohrmann, 1978). As a result, N\textsubscript{2} fixation has been thought to be absent or insignificant in fungus-growing termites, as their access to N is hypothetically nutritionally less constrained than that of other termites (Higashi et al., 1992; Eggleton and Tayasu, 2001). Considering those hypotheses but also recent work suggesting that different castes of fungus-growing termites may have different nutritional requirements as they have distinct diets and gut microbiota (Hongoh et al., 2006), we examined whether symbiotic N\textsubscript{2}-fixation takes place in fungus-growing termites by performing ARA on two termite species, M. natalensis and Odontotermes badius. We compare our findings with previous work on members of other termite sub-families and suggest that diazotrophic fixation should be considered present also in this sub-family.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Termites and comb material from two colonies of O. badius and two colonies of M. natalensis were collected in January and February 2015 and maintained in the dark at room temperature at the Forestry and Agriculture Biotechnology Institute\(^1\), University of Pretoria. Six to ten individuals per caste were placed in triplicate 45 mL glass vials stoppered with rubber septa and containing moist filter paper for ARA. In M. natalensis: major workers, minor workers, major soldiers, and minor soldiers were assayed separately; in O. badius: major and minor workers were assayed together, and the single soldier caste was assayed separately. A further three vials contained fungus comb pieces (~0.5 g) without termites. Four milliliter headspace atmosphere was removed using a gas-tight syringe and replaced with an equal volume of acetylene, resulting in an atmosphere containing approximately 10% acetylene. Vials were subsequently incubated at room temperature for 2 h, after which a 6 mL gas sample was removed and stored in evacuated vials (Labco, Ceredigion, UK) including three vials for negative controls (without addition of acetylene). Gas samples were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) at the Plant Production and Soil Science Department, University of Pretoria as follows: ethylene production was measured by extracting 1 mL of gas from the headspace of each flask in a gas chromatograph (GC 2025; Shimadzu, Japan) according to the method described by Turner and Gibson (1980). A flame ionization detector was used with an oven temperature of 200°C, column temperature

\(^1\)http://www.fabinet.up.ac.za/
of 130°C, a gas flow of: air (400), H₂ (40), He carrier gas (40) and running time was 3 min. Ethylene peak areas were calibrated against a standard curve calculated from pure (99.9%) standards of known concentration. Following the experiment, samples were dried at 60°C for 48 h and weighed. Ethylene areas were converted to nmol C₂H₄/g dw/hr (gram dry weight per hour). Since gas samples were taken at a single time point, C₂H₄ values from ARAs represent an estimate within a given fixation range; this, and possible differences between our methods and those in previous works, precluded statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Positive AR activity was detected in all colonies (Figure 1B). Ethylene was not detected in the negative controls of live termites in the absence of acetylene. In M. natalensis, the highest AR activity was in major workers, minor workers and minor soldiers, while major soldiers showed lower activity and the fungus comb showed the lowest activity (Figure 1B). In O. badius, the highest activity was found in workers and then in soldiers, while the fungus comb showed very low AR activity (Figure 1B).

DISCUSSION

For almost a century it has been hypothesized that termites may acquire their necessary N through microbial N₂ fixation (Cleveland, 1925; Peklo, 1946). Early work using the ARA demonstrated that N₂ fixation is present (Benemann, 1973; Breznak et al., 1973), that rates are highest in workers, and that fixation is performed by gut bacteria (French et al., 1976). Several termite species are able to acquire atmospheric N₂ with the help of N₂-fixing bacteria (Täyasu et al., 1994; Desai and Brune, 2012), including both lower and higher termites (Figure 1A). Since the necessity for N₂ fixation arises from the N-poor diet, N₂ fixation can be suppressed when termites are fed on N-rich diets (Breznak et al., 1973; Meuti et al., 2010; Desai and Brune, 2012), a pattern also observed in a comparative study (He et al., 2013) between the dung-feeding (N-rich) Amitermes wheeleri and the wood-feeding (N-poor) Nasutitermes: the latter with an overrepresentation of nitrogenase genes and a higher abundance of one of the major N₂ fixing taxa in termites, the Spirochaetes.

We found positive, caste-specific AR activity in live M. natalensis and O. badius termites, but almost no activity in the fungus comb (Figure 1B). This supports that fixation takes place within the termite gut and not in the external fungus comb. The higher fixation rates in workers than soldiers is consistent with the fact that workers consume the foraged plant material and fungus comb, while soldiers and larvae are trophically dependent, relying on nutrition via protodeal trophallaxis from workers (Eggleton, 2010). These differences in feeding strategy and consequently symbiotic roles of the gut bacteria has been shown in Macrotermes gilvus to be reflected in the composition of the gut microbiota, which cluster more by termite caste and age than by colony (Hongoh et al., 2006).

Even if diazotrophic AR rates in fungus-growing and wood-eating termites are not quantitatively comparable, mainly because previous studies quantified rates per gram of fresh while we used dry material, AR rates in fungus-growing termites are likely to be lower than what has been reported in wood-eating termites (Figure 1). Nevertheless, although fungus-growing termites may be less nutritionally constrained by their lifestyle than other termites (Brune and Ohkuma, 2010), this does not rule out that N₂ fixation may be functionally important, although the contribution of N₂ fixation to the N budget remains to be quantified. This challenges the notion that N₂ fixation should not be important in fungus-growing termites that has arisen, because the fungal diet obviates the need for costly fixation (Breznak, 1982). Fungus-growing termites have been proposed to rely on methanogenesis by gut Archaea and respiration by the fungus comb to eliminate carbon (C); contributing to balance the high C:N-ratio of their forage (Higashi et al., 1992; Eggleton and Tayasu, 2001). In a recent metagenomic analysis in Odontotermes yunnanensis the authors did not manage to amplify nifH genes (Liu et al., 2013). Similarly, a previous study in Odontotermes formosanus found only few functional nifH genes compared to those found in wood-eating termites (Yamada et al., 2007), and these were believed to belong to a "pseudo" nifH clade (Ohkuma et al., 1999). The latter finding has, however, been challenged by recent work (Zheng et al., 2016). Thus, targeted work to elucidate the presence and expression of nifH and the responsible producers is needed.

It has been suggested that the bacteria responsible for N₂ fixation in termites are often abundant gut bacterial taxa in the Bacteroidetes, Spirochaetes, and Clostridia (Ohkuma et al., 1996, 1999; Lilburn et al., 2001; Warnecke et al., 2007; Yamada et al., 2007; Burnum et al., 2011; Du et al., 2012) (reviewed in Brune, 2014), which indeed are abundant in fungus-growing termite guts (Otani et al., 2014; Poulsen et al., 2014; reviewed in Brune, 2014). The high diversity of nifH genes or transcripts discovered in some of the above studies (Ohkuma et al., 1999; Yamada et al., 2007), and previous work, indicates that other gut bacterial taxa may also contribute (Potrikus and Breznak, 1977; Doolittle et al., 2008; Isanapong et al., 2012; Wertz et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2016). In addition, the lower termites harbor unique flagellated protists in their guts that play a key role in host nutrition; these protists harbor bacterial endosymbionts (Hongoh et al., 2008; Ohkuma et al., 2015) or ectosymbionts (Desai and Brune, 2012) that may also fix N₂.

To obtain a more fundamental understanding of N₂ fixation in fungus-growing and other termites, as Figure 1 documents the generally fragmented efforts, we propose that future work should seek to: (i) thoroughly characterize the responsible diazotrophs across termites, including fungus-growing and soil feeding termites, where fixation has also been proposed to be less important (Breznak, 1982; Ohkuma et al., 1999); (ii) couple N₂ fixation rates with detailed trophic habits of different termite castes, as fixation rates are likely to depend on differences in diets due to differences in food sources and nutritional requirements, as indicated by our current findings (Figure 1B);
(iii) investigate differences in fixation rates using N-isotopes rather than AR, which would be more suitable for functional comparisons and quantification of the importance of incorporation of N; (iv) cultivate, when possible, N₂-fixing bacteria from different termite species, castes, and ages to evaluate their activity in vitro, and (v) better incorporate culture-independent methods such as metagenomics to allow for characterisation of nitrogenase genes in gut bacteria and advanced microscopy approaches to pinpoint gut compartment where N₂ fixation takes place (cf. Warnecke et al., 2007; Sapountzis et al., 2015).
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