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Some phagotrophic organisms can retain chloroplasts of their photosynthetic prey as so-called kleptochloroplasts and maintain their function for shorter or longer periods of time. Here we show for the first time that the dinoflagellate *Dinophysis acuta* takes control over “third-hand” chloroplasts obtained from its ciliate prey *Mesodinium* spp. that originally ingested the cryptophyte chloroplasts. With its kleptochloroplasts, *D. acuta* can synthesize photosynthetic as well as photoprotective pigments under long-term starvation in the light. Variable chlorophyll fluorescence measurements showed that the kleptochloroplasts were fully functional during 1 month of prey starvation, while the chlorophyll *a*-specific inorganic carbon uptake decreased within days of prey starvation under an irradiance of 100 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. While *D. acuta* cells can regulate their pigmentation and function of kleptochloroplasts they apparently lose the ability to maintain high inorganic carbon fixation rates.
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INTRODUCTION

Some free-living phagotrophic protists sequester chloroplasts from their algal prey and utilize them for shorter or longer time; a life style that is common among ciliates, dinoflagellates and radiolarians (e.g., Stoecker et al., 2009; Johnson, 2011). In many such species, however, other prey cell organelles are retained as well. Red tide ciliates, *Mesodinium* spp., ingest certain cryptophyte prey species, and sequester not only the chloroplasts (Johnson et al., 2006; Moeller et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2012), but also a number of other prey cell organelles, such as mitochondria, the prey nucleus, and the nucleomorph (a reduced former nucleus of an earlier endosymbiont found in cryptophytes). These red-pigmented *Mesodinium* spp. can keep the ingested prey organelles functionally active for several months gaining enough photosynthesize for survival in periods of low prey abundance (Johnson and Stoecker, 2005; Hansen and Fenchel, 2006; Johnson et al., 2006, 2007; Smith and Hansen, 2007). In fact, the ingestion of only a single cryptophyte prey cell per day (~1% of daily carbon needs) is sufficient to maintain maximum growth rate (Smith and Hansen, 2007). The red-pigmented *Mesodinium* spp. can control the division of its ingested prey organelles (Hansen and Fenchel, 2006), but this ability seems to get lost after a few cell divisions in prey-starved cultures probably due to loss of prey nuclei material (Johnson et al., 2007; Moeller et al., 2011). Red-pigmented *Mesodinium* spp. display photoacclimation, i.e., increases in cellular photosynthetic pigments at low irradiance and a change in photosynthesis vs. irradiance response curves (Johnson et al., 2006; Moeller et al., 2011).
In other protist species, only prey chloroplasts are sequestered and typically only remain functional in the predator cell for hours up to a few days. However, in some cases such kleptochloroplasts are kept active over several weeks, allowing kleptochloroplastidic predators to survive periods of prey starvation a lot better than purely heterotrophic protists (Stoecker et al., 2009). One conspicuous case is found among the dinoflagellate genus *Dinophysis*, which are associated with diarrheic shellfish poisoning worldwide. Species within this genus have chloroplasts of cryptophyte origin and the first culture of a *Dinophysis* spp. was established by feeding it a red-pigmented *Mesodinium* spp. suggesting that its cryptophyte chloroplasts were supplied from the ciliate prey (Park et al., 2006). This was later confirmed by Kim et al. (2012) and *Dinophysis* spp. can thus employ “third-hand” chloroplasts. A total of eight species have now been cultured all relying on red-pigmented *Mesodinium* spp. as prey (Hansen et al., 2013). *Dinophysis* spp. use a peduncle to suck out the contents of the ciliate prey. *Dinophysis* do not ingest cryptophytes directly and thus rely entirely on *Mesodinium* spp. for the supply of chloroplasts and food. There are no direct evidence suggesting that *Dinophysis* spp. may feed other protists, detritus, or bacteria (Poulsen et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2013).

It has recently been shown that *Dinophysis acuta* and *D. caudata*, grown together with red *Mesodinium* spp. under low irradiance have an elevated cellular Chl *a* content compared to cells grown at high irradiance (Rial et al., 2013). *Dinophysis* cells grown under low irradiance contain more and larger kleptochloroplasts as compared to cells grown at high irradiance (Nielsen et al., 2012, 2013). This suggests that *Dinophysis* spp. may have the capacity to photoregulate, but how is this possible when neither prey nuclei nor nucleomorphs are retained by *Dinophysis* spp. remains unknown.

The apparent regulation of kleptochloroplasts in *Dinophysis* spp. could work in three ways, alone or in combination: (i) Behavioral regulation: *Dinophysis* spp. may increase the number of chloroplasts incorporated via ingestion when grown under low irradiance and may fuse ingested chloroplasts leading to the observed increase in chloroplast size and cellular Chl *a* content; (ii) Photoregulation: *Dinophysis* spp. may be able to produce Chl *a* and other photosynthetic pigments, while the photosynthetic light response curves are unaffected; (iii) Photoacclimation: If *Dinophysis* spp. perform photoacclimation, an increase in cellular Chl *a* concentration as well as a change in the photosynthetic light response curve is expected. This would indicate full control over the acquired chloroplasts. In the latter two cases, the genes involved in either of such regulations must have been transferred to the host cell. A few chloroplast housekeeping genes have been found in the genome of *Dinophysis acuminata*, but it is unlikely that they alone allow for either photoregulation or photoacclimation (Wisecaver and Hackett, 2010).

In the present study, we investigated the photoregulation potential of *D. acuta* cells deprived of prey while being subjected to low irradiance to test whether photoregulation or photoacclimation occurs in sequestered chloroplasts of cryptophyte origin. For this, we employed a suite of different experimental techniques quantifying cell devisions, photosynthetic performance, inorganic carbon (Ct) uptake, and respiration of *D. acuta* in cultures starved at the initiation of the experiments and incubated at different irradiance levels. We hypothesize that *D. acuta* is only capable of the first of the three options listed above, behavioral regulation, and thus will display no, or limited, control over its kleptochloroplasts during starvation. This would include the lack of ability to synthesize Chl *a* and limited adaptation of photosynthetic light curve response parameters. Thus, we expect to find an exponential decline in cellular Chl *a* content as well as declining values of Ct assimilation rate and photosynthetic performance, as cells divide during prey starvation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Organisms and Culture Conditions**

Cultures of the cryptophyte *Teleaulax amphioxeia* (K-1837; SCCAP) and the ciliate *Mesodinium rubrum* (MBL-DK2009) were established from water samples from Helsingør Harbor in 2009. Cultures of *M. rubrum* were fed *T. amphioxeia* at a predator:prey ratio of 1:10 twice a week. Cultures of *D. acuta* were established in June 2010 from the North Sea (Nielsen et al., 2013). *M. rubrum* was added as prey organism twice per week at a predator:prey ratio of ~1:10 to allow mixotrophic growth. Only *M. rubrum* cultures that had completely removed their cryptophyte prey were used to feed *D. acuta*. All three species were grown in f/2 medium (Guillard, 1983) based on autoclaved detritus, or bacteria (Poulsen et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2013). It has recently been shown that *D. acuta* and *D. caudata*, grown together with red *Mesodinium* spp. under low irradiance have an elevated cellular Chl *a* content compared to cells grown at high irradiance (Rial et al., 2013). *Dinophysis* cells grown under low irradiance contain more and larger kleptochloroplasts as compared to cells grown at high irradiance (Nielsen et al., 2012, 2013). This suggests that *Dinophysis* spp. may have the capacity to photoregulate, but how is this possible when neither prey nuclei nor nucleomorphs are retained by *Dinophysis* spp. remains unknown.

The apparent regulation of kleptochloroplasts in *Dinophysis* spp. could work in three ways, alone or in combination: (i) Behavioral regulation: *Dinophysis* spp. may increase the number of chloroplasts incorporated via ingestion when grown under low irradiance and may fuse ingested chloroplasts leading to the observed increase in chloroplast size and cellular Chl *a* content; (ii) Photoregulation: *Dinophysis* spp. may be able to produce Chl *a* and other photosynthetic pigments, while the photosynthetic light response curves are unaffected; (iii) Photoacclimation: If *Dinophysis* spp. perform photoacclimation, an increase in cellular Chl *a* concentration as well as a change in the photosynthetic light response curve is expected. This would indicate full control over the acquired chloroplasts. In the latter two cases, the genes involved in either of such regulations must have been transferred to the host cell. A few chloroplast housekeeping genes have been found in the genome of *Dinophysis acuminata*, but it is unlikely that they alone allow for either photoregulation or photoacclimation (Wisecaver and Hackett, 2010).

In the present study, we investigated the photoregulation potential of *D. acuta* cells deprived of prey while being subjected to low irradiance to test whether photoregulation or photoacclimation occurs in sequestered chloroplasts of cryptophyte origin. For this, we employed a suite of different experimental techniques quantifying cell devisions, photosynthetic performance, inorganic carbon (Ct) uptake, and respiration of *D. acuta* in cultures starved at the initiation of the experiments and incubated at different irradiance levels. We hypothesize that *D. acuta* is only capable of the first of the three options listed above, behavioral regulation, and thus will display no, or limited, control over its kleptochloroplasts during starvation. This would include the lack of ability to synthesize Chl *a* and limited adaptation of photosynthetic light curve response parameters. Thus, we expect to find an exponential decline in cellular Chl *a* content as well as declining values of Ct assimilation rate and photosynthetic performance, as cells divide during prey starvation.

**Experimental Design**

**Experiment 1**

This experiment was designed to study photoregulation in a recently prey starved culture of *D. acuta*. A culture of *D. acuta* was maintained in 750 ml tissue culture flasks filled with 500 ml culture medium under a photon irradiance of 100 µmol photons m−2 s−1 with prey for at least 2 weeks prior to the experiment and was eventually allowed to deplete its ciliate prey (below detection limit which was a few cells ml−1). At the initiation of the experiment, subsamples of the culture were split in two and poured into 270 ml tissue culture flasks to capacity at a *D. acuta* cell density of 200 cells ml−1 (in triplicate). One set
of flasks were maintained at 100 µmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (\(I_{100}\)), while the other set of flasks was shifted to a photon irradiance of 15 µmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (\(I_{15}\)). Subsamples were withdrawn on day 3, 6, 9, 13, and 29 (\(I_{100}\)), and day 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, and 30 (\(I_{15}\)) for measurements of cell concentration, \(^{14}\)C fixation, algal pigment composition using both fluorometry and HPLC techniques, photosynthetic capacity using variable chlorophyll fluorimetry, and \(O_2\) optode-based respiration measurements (see descriptions of these techniques below).
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yield, $F_v/F_m$ as measured by the pulse saturation method with pulse-amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorimeters (Schreiber et al., 1994). Individual cells were imaged with a variable chlorophyll fluorescence imaging system (RGB Microscopy PAM, Walz GmbH, Germany; Trampe et al., 2011), while bulk culture samples were investigated in a cuvette-based chlorophyll fluorimeter (MULTI-COLOR-PAM; Walz GmbH, Germany). Detailed descriptions of the two types of PAM measurements, and definitions of the parameters used, are provided in the Supplementary Material, Section Material and Methods.

Sample preparation – Imaging PAM
1.5 ml subsamples of *D. acuta* culture were transferred to 1.5 ml tubes and centrifuged at 43 g for 2 min. The 1.4 ml supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in the remaining 0.1 ml yielding a concentrated sample facilitating a low search time for cells of interest at high magnification under the microscope. Control experiments showed no significant effect on cell structure or photosynthetic capacity by the treatment (data not shown). A droplet (16.5 μL) of concentrated sample was transferred to a special treated microscope slide displaying a permanently positive charge (Superfrost Ultra Plus, Thermo Scientific, Gerhard Menzel GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany) resulting in good cell adhesion by electrostatic attraction, limiting motion of cells during imaging. Variable chlorophyll fluorescence imaging measurements rely on recording of consecutive images when calculating photosynthetic parameters, and it is thus crucial that there is no cell movement during the saturation pulse. The sample was sealed with a cover glass using petroleum jelly (Vaseline™) at the periphery to avoid evaporation, and the slide was placed at 12°C in a thermostated slide holder (see details in Trampe et al., 2011).

Sample preparation – MULTI-COLOR PAM
For each sample, 1000 (Experiment 1) or 300 (Experiment 2) cells were isolated with a drawn out Pasteur pipette and transferred several times through sterile-filtered seawater before final transfer into quartz cuvettes and the volume was adjusted to 1 ml using 30 psu sterile-filtered f/2 medium. Samples were stirred with a magnetic stirrer during measurements.

Inorganic Carbon Uptake and Respiration

14C Incorporation
Subsamples (1–2 ml) were removed from each culture flask for photosynthesis measurements. A total of 80 *D. acuta* cells were picked from the subsamples and washed in f/2 medium by micromanipulation using a stereoscope to remove all prey. A total of 40 *D. acuta* cells were transferred to each of two 20 ml glass scintillation vials containing 2 ml f/2 medium, and 20 μL of a NaH14CO3 stock solution (specific activity = 100 μCi ml−1; Carbon-14 Centralen, Denmark) was added. One vial was incubated for ~3 h under the temperature irradiance, while the other was kept in complete darkness (by wrapping in several layers of aluminum foil). After incubation, a 100 μL sub-sample was transferred to a new vial containing 200 μL phenylethylamine for determination of specific activity (see Skovgaard et al., 2000 for a detailed method description). The remaining 1.9 ml were acidified with 2 ml 10% glacial acetic acid in methanol, and evaporated overnight at 60°C to remove all inorganic carbon. The residue was then re-dissolved in 2 ml Milli-Q water. All vials were treated with 10 ml Packard Insta-Gel Plus scintillation cocktail, and disintegrations per minute were measured using a Packard 1500 Tri-Carb liquid scintillation analyzer with automatic quench correction. The photosynthetic activity (PA, pg C cell−1 h−1) per cell was calculated as follows:

$$PA = \frac{DPM \times [DIC]}{14C \times h \times N}$$

where DPM is disintegrations min−1 (in 1.9ml) in the light corrected for dark values, DIC is the concentration of DIC (pg C ml−1), 14C is the specific activity (disintegrations min−1 ml−1), h is the incubation time, and N is the number of cells in the vial (1.9 ml). DIC concentrations were measured on 1 ml subsamples using an infrared gas analyzer (ADC 225 MK3 Gas analyzer, Analytic Development Co. Ltd., Hoddesdon, England) as described in detail elsewhere (Nielsen et al., 2007). Glass vials with screw caps were used for DIC samples allowing no headspace, and the samples were analyzed within a few hours.

Respiration Measurements
Respiration rates of *D. acuta* were measured in 1.8 ml glass vials (n = 4) equipped with calibrated optical O2 sensor spots with optical isolation (Pyroscience GmbH, Germany). The O2-dependent luminescence of each sensor spot was monitored contactless across the vial wall using an optical fiber cable fixed at one end to the glass vial using a solid plexi-glass adaptor and connected at the other end to a fiber-optic O2 meter (FireSting, PyroScience, Germany). The sensor spot readout was calibrated from readings in anoxic and fully aerated medium. A total of 400 *Dinophysis* cells were isolated by micropipetting and washed 3 times in sterile filtered (pore size 0.22 μm) f/2 culture medium prior to addition to each of the 4 glass vials containing 1 ml sterile f/2 medium. A glass bead was added to each of the vials that were filled to capacity with sterile filtered medium and carefully sealed avoiding any air bubbles inside the closed vials. The vials were mounted on a modified whirley mixer run at low speed to homogenize internal O2 gradients in the sample (Trampe et al., 2015). The measurements were done in darkness in a temperature regulated room (15°C), and O2 was measured with the built-in temperature correction of the O2 meter. The O2 concentration in the glass vials was measured for 1–3 h, and the linear decrease in O2-concentration (μmol l−1 s−1) was converted into cellular respiration rate (pg C cell−1 day−1) by assuming a respiratory quotient of 1.

RESULTS
Changes in Photosynthetic Pigments in *D. acuta* Starved of Prey
No ciliate prey cells were observed during enumeration of *Dinophysis* cells for the entire duration the prey starvation experiment. *D. acuta* cultures subjected to prey starvation at high photon irradiance ($I_{100} = 100 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) increased
from 200 to 1400 cells ml\(^{-1}\) during 1 month of incubation, equivalent to an average growth of 2.8 cell divisions in total (Experiment 1, Figure 1A). The subculture that was exposed to low photon irradiance \(I_{15} \) = 15 \(\mu\)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) increased in cell concentration from 200 to 1000 cells ml\(^{-1}\) and thus had <2.3 cell divisions during the 1 month of incubation (Figure 1A). The cellular Chl \(a\) concentration in \(D.\) \(acuta\) incubated at \(I_{100}\) decreased exponentially from the initial \(\sim 53-16 \) pg Chl \(a\) cell\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1B), leading to an overall increase in Chl \(a\) concentration from 11 to a maximum of 26 ng Chl \(a\) ml\(^{-1}\) at Day 16 (Figure 1C). Cells incubated at \(I_{15}\), however, maintained their cellular Chl \(a\) concentration during the 1 month long incubation, even though cells divided 2.3 times. This led to an increase in the amount of Chl \(a\) in these incubations from 11 to 46 ng Chl \(a\) ml\(^{-1}\) at Day 30, indicating a higher net production of Chl \(a\) production in prey-starved \(Dinophysis\) cells grown at \(I_{15}\), compared to those at \(I_{100}\) (Figure 1C). For comparison, well fed cultures of \(D.\) \(acuta\) grown at \(I_{100}\) had a cellular Chl \(a\) concentration of \(\sim 40 \) pg Chl \(a\) cell\(^{-1}\) (Experiment 2).

Cultures exposed to \(I_{100}\) exhibited a slight increase in the alloxanthin:Chl \(a\) ratio from 0.86 to \(\sim 1.2\) over the first 10 days. Hereafter, the ratio remained constant indicating that Chl \(a\) was initially lost at a faster rate than alloxanthin (Figure 2A). With cultures exposed to \(I_{15}\), a significant decrease in the alloxanthin:Chl \(a\) ratio from 0.86 to 0.7 was observed over the first 2 weeks indicating dilution due to growth, which was followed by an increase of the alloxanthin:Chl \(a\) ratio reaching \(\sim 1\) at the end of the experiment. In vivo measurements of Chl \(a\) and phycoerythrin showed that the changes in phycoerythrin content under the two experimental irradiance regimes matched those found in Chl \(a\) (Figure 2B).

**Single-Cell Variable Chlorophyll Fluorescence Imaging**

In well fed cultures of \(D.\) \(acuta\), incubated at an irradiance of 100 \(\mu\)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), microscopy revealed a broad distribution of cellular Chl \(a\) with hotspots clearly defining 4–5 chloroplast centers with high fluorescence. Maximum PSII quantum yields \((F_{v}/F_{m})\) of \(\sim 0.5\) in these centers indicated a healthy and functioning photosynthetic apparatus (Figure 3A). During the starvation experiment at \(I_{100}\), we found a continuously declining Chl \(a\) coverage in the cells with incubation time (Figures 3B–D). After a slight increase in \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) over the first 2 days, \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) decreased with the declining chlorophyll coverage (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figure S1). At \(I_{15}\) we observed a rapid increase in \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) to \(\sim 0.6\) over the first 3 days, which remained high even after 10 days, while the Chl \(a\) coverage had decreased a bit (Figures 3E,F; Supplementary Figure S1). After 30 days, we still observed an even coverage, almost similar to the well-fed cells, with similar condensation in four chloroplast centers, and with a sustained high level of \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) (Figure 3G).

**Bulk Measurements of Photochemical and Non-photochemical Quenching**

Measurements on bulk cell samples yielded more insight into the photochemical, and non-photochemical quenching processes in starved \(D.\) \(acuta\) cultures. The maximum PSII quantum yield \((F_{v}/F_{m})\) was on average higher for cells at \(I_{15}\) (0.74 \pm 0.04; average \pm SE, \(n = 18\)) than \(I_{100}\) (0.65 \pm 0.05, \(n = 21\); Figure 4A). In both treatments, \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) showed an initial increase during the 1 week, but only cells at \(I_{15}\) were able to maintain a high \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) of \(\sim 0.76\), indicating better photosynthetic capacity than cells at \(I_{100}\). The change in \(F_{v}/F_{m}\) resulted primarily from the change in
The maximum relative electron transport rate (rETR_max), and the initial slope of the light response curves (α rETR) showed no changes between the treatments (Figures 4B,C). For cultures incubated at I_{100}, the average rETR_max and α rETR values were 76.3 ± 8.14 and 0.25 ± 0.01 (n = 21), respectively. Cultures at I_{15} showed average rETR_max and α rETR values of 88.2 ± 2.58 and 0.31 ± 0.02 (n = 18), respectively, (Figures 4B,C).

**Inorganic Carbon Uptake and Respiration before and during Starvation**

Inorganic carbon uptake was 138 ± 6 pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} (average ± SE) in well fed cultures of *D. acuta* at I_{100}, equivalent to 2.21 ± 0.94 ng C cell^{-1} day^{-1} (light:dark cycle of 16:8 h), leading to a Chl a specific rate of 3.5 ± 0.5 pg C pg Chl a^{-1}. The inorganic carbon uptake of *D. acuta* cells subjected to starvation at I_{100} decreased exponentially from 177 ± 14 to 23.7 ± 4.2 pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} at Day 16 (equivalent to 2.83 ± 0.23 and 0.38 ng C cell^{-1} day^{-1}, respectively, Figure 5A), i.e., a 6.1-fold decrease in photosynthetic carbon fixation. This translated into a significant decline in the Chl a-specific carbon uptake from the initial 3.4 to 1.0 pg C pg Chl a^{-1} h^{-1} at Day 16 (one-way ANOVA, P < 0.05; Figure 5B). The following 2 weeks, the inorganic carbon uptake rate dropped further to 16.8 ± 1.1 pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} (=0.27 ± 0.02 ng C cell^{-1} day^{-1}), but the Chl a-specific carbon uptake stayed constant (1.07 pg C pg Chl a^{-1} h^{-1}).

Under low irradiance (I_{15}), inorganic carbon uptake remained constant for the first 17 days at 33.1 ± 2.8 pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} (=0.53 ± 0.03 ng C cell^{-1} day^{-1}), and dropped only marginally to 20.7 ± 1.9 pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} (=0.33 ± 0.03 ng C cell^{-1} day^{-1}) after 1 month of incubation. Thus, the Chl a-specific inorganic carbon uptake rates of the starved low irradiance *D. acuta* stayed constant at ~0.6–0.7 pg C µg Chl a^{-1} h^{-1} during the entire experiment.

Well-fed cells of *D. acuta* had a respiration rate of 86.5 ± 0.16 pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} at I_{100}, equivalent to 2.08 ± 0.38 ng C cell^{-1} day^{-1} (average ± SE, n = 9). No difference in respiration rate was observed as a function of prey starvation after 1 day of starvation in either of the light treatments, thus data were merged for each irradiance (One-way ANOVA, P > 0.05). Data on respiration of prey starved cells are only available until day 16 and 17 for the high and low irradiances, respectively. Starved cells had approximately halved their respiration rates [42.9 ± 7.1 (n = 11) and 36.2 ± 6.6 (n = 12) pg C cell^{-1} h^{-1} at I_{100} and I_{15}, respectively]; respiration rates at the two irradiances were not statistically different (Two way-ANOVA, P > 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study documents for the first time that kleptochloroplasts (without prey nuclear material) taken up by *D. acuta* exhibit photoregulation, where photosynthetic pigments are produced to improve growth under both high and low irradiances. However, we saw no changes in photosynthesis vs. irradiance parameters like α rETR or max rETR during 1 month of starvation, similar to what is usually found in regular
algae displaying photoacclimation (e.g., MacIntyre et al., 2001). Thus, we found photoregulation but not true photoacclimation in *D. acuta*.

Under low irradiance, photoregulation enabled *D. acuta* to maintain their cellular Chl a and phycoerythrin contents even though cells divided several times. We also found that the concentration of the alloxanthin increased relative to Chl a in cells exposed to high irradiance, while this pigment was initially reduced in cells incubated under low irradiance. While the role of alloxanthin as a light harvesting or photoprotective pigment in cryptophytes is not well established, the few available reports suggest that the alloxanthin:Chl a ratio in cryptophytes is elevated at high irradiances indicating that it may have photoprotective properties (Schlüter et al., 2000; Laviale and Neveux, 2011) and alloxanthin may thus play a similar role in *D. acuta*.

The literature provides no evidence for division of kleptochloroplasts in *Dinophysys* spp., suggesting that the cellular number of chloroplasts will decrease during cell division (Minnhagen et al., 2008). Hence, the ability of *Dinophysys* to maintain cellular photosynthetic pigment concentrations may potentially lead to enlargement (rather than division) of individual chloroplasts (Nielsen et al., 2013). Enlargement of kleptochloroplasts has recently been shown in other dinoflagellates such as *Nisultodinium aeruginosum* and *N. myripyrenoides*, where a 20-fold enlargement of the chloroplasts was observed within 120 min after ingestion in *N. aeruginosum* (Yamaguchi et al., 2011; Onuma and Horiguchi, 2015). Up to 10-fold enlargement of ingested chloroplasts has also been observed in the katablepharid *Hatena arenicola* (Yamaguchi et al., 2011). However, in all these cases, prey nuclei and nucleomorphs were retained by the host cell, which is not the case in *Dinophysys* spp.

Variable chlorophyll fluorescence data suggested that chloroplasts in *D. acuta* were competent with maximum PSII quantum yields ($F_{v}/F_{m}$) between 0.5 and 0.8 throughout the duration of the experiment (30 days). These values are similar to what is usually found in well-functioning microalgae (Falkowski and Raven, 2007). Similar observations have been obtained on *D. caudata* cells starved for up to 85 days (Park et al., 2008), where $F_{v}/F_{m}$ began to decline after 24 days of starvation, and reached 0.4 after 45 days of starvation, i.e., at the same time as cell divisions stopped. Thereafter, $F_{v}/F_{m}$ decreased steadily over the next days and reached zero after 85 days of starvation. We saw no indications of photodamage. Photodamage would be characterized by a decrease in $\phi_{NPQ}$, which is one of the most important safety valves for the regulation of light harvest (Müller et al., 2001). Also, if the cells were photodamaged we would have expected to see a consecutive decrease of $qP$ (Mackey et al., 2008), which gives information if photosynthetic efficiency has been altered by a changed proportion of functional reaction centers. In our case, $\phi_{NPQ}$ was relatively stable (~20%) and $qP$ was constant in high light (60–70%) throughout the experiment, so the cells were unlikely to experience photodamage.

The prey species of *Dinophysys* spp., i.e., the red *Mesodinium* spp. retain ingested cryptophyte nuclei for up to 100 days and these have been shown to be transcriptionally active (Johnson et al., 2007; Lasek-Nesselquist et al., 2015). However, it has also been shown that the expression of a cryptophyte nuclear-encoded
gene for the plastid-targeted protein, LHCC10, involved in plastid function, declined in Mesodinium cells as the sequestered nuclei disappeared from the population (Johnson et al., 2007). In the red Mesodinium spp., Fv/Fm values of 0.54–0.66 have been observed when grown for up to a month without prey (Johnson et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2014).

Another kleptochloroplastidic dinoflagellate, Amylax triacantha, also preys on red Mesodinium spp. like Dinophysis spp. (Kim et al., 2014). Besides the cryptophyte chloroplasts, A. triacantha also retains cryptophyte mitochondria, cryptophyte nuclei and nucleomorphs. However, unlike in M. rubrum, the ingested cryptophytes are kept as independent packages. In A. triacantha cultures subjected to prey starvation, Fv/Fm remains at 0.6 for the first 5–8 days, whereafter it declines over time to reach Fv/Fm values of ~0.05 after 25 days of prey starvation in conjunction with the loss of cryptophyte nuclear material, i.e., a much faster decline in photosynthetic capacity compared to the red Mesodinium spp.

Available evidence thus suggests that the cryptophyte chloroplasts are not independent entities, which will function on their own inside a host cell. In the red Mesodinium spp. and in A. triacantha the photosynthetic performance of kleptochloroplasts is coupled to the sequestration of prey nuclei and nucleomorph material. This is not the case in Dinophysis spp. Thus, it seems that the function of chloroplasts in Dinophysis may depend upon genes, which in the past have been transferred from prey nuclei and nucleomorphs to the dinoflagellate genome.

Very little is known about the transfer of genes from the cryptophyte genome to the genome of Dinophysis spp., and only one species, D. acuminata, has been investigated (Wisecaver and
Hackett, 2010). Five proteins, complete with plastid-targeting peptides that may function in photosystem stabilization and metabolite transport, have been found encoded in the nuclear genome of D. acuminata (Wisecaver and Hackett, 2010). It seems unlikely that those genes alone allow for the extensive regulation of photosynthetic and photoprotective pigments that we observed in D. acuta, and more genes controlling photoregulation in D. acuta and other Dinophysis spp. remain to be identified.

The Chl a-specific inorganic carbon uptake dropped dramatically in cells grown at high irradiance indicating that, although D. acuta was able to produce Chl a, this did not translate into increased inorganic carbon uptake. There may be several reasons for this observation: First, since Dinophysis spp. only sequester the chloroplasts from their prey, any loss of genes involved in the regulation of RuBisCO that are located in the cryptophyte nuclei are lost and this will strongly affect inorganic carbon fixation. Such genes may come from the prey nucleus, but they may also come from the nucleomorph. The Rubisco regulatory protein, CbbX, for instance, is encoded by the cryptophyte nucleomorph in cryptophytes (Maier et al., 2000).

Second, RuBisCo is located in the single terminally placed pyrenoid, contained by every chloroplast in most algae (Holdsworth, 1971; Giordano et al., 2005; García-Cuetos et al., 2010). As D. acuta cannot divide the acquired chloroplasts, it will also be unable to divide its pyrenoids, which seems to be a key missing control factor, lacked by these protists to fully regulate and divide the sequestered “third hand” chloroplasts.

In purely phototrophic dinoflagellates, respiration rates typically account for ~20 and ~40% of gross photosynthesis in the exponential and stationary growth phase, respectively (Geider and Osborne, 1989; Geider, 1992; López-Sandoval et al., 2014). In the kleptochloroplastidic D. acuta, respiration rates accounted for ~50% of gross photosynthesis in well fed cells, and that percentage increased when the cells were subjected to prey starvation. Under high irradiance, D. acuta cells became full of storage material (unpublished observations, probably lipids and starch; Durand-Clement et al., 1988) upon initiation of prey starvation. Under low irradiance, D. acuta cells stop growing and divide the sequestered “third hand” chloroplasts.

In conclusion, we found strong evidence of photoregulation via production of photosynthetic and photoprotective pigments in the klepto-chloroplastidic dinoflagellate, D. acuta, which only retains the chloroplasts from its prey. No direct evidence of changes in photosynthesis vs. irradiance parameters and thus no signs of photoacclimation were observed in D. acuta incubated at different irradiances, and thus no signs of photoacclimation were found. A decrease in Chl a-specific inorganic carbon uptake in prey-starved cells indicated a dilution of carbon fixing units (Rubisco) among daughter cells in combination with the production of Chl a. However, in their natural environment D. acuta and the >100 species that belong to the genus Dinophysis may also indirectly photoregulate via increased retention of kleptochloroplasts, when prey cells are available. It is also possible that Dinophysis cells may indirectly achieve “photoacclimation” by ingestion of photoacclimated prey cells and maintaining a higher number of chloroplasts. Our data point to a hitherto unstudied role of gene transfer from prey to D. acuta that may enable it to regulate the function of its kleptochloroplast, although the exact genetic and biochemical mechanisms remain to be identified.
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