Acromyrmex leaf-cutting ants have simple gut microbiota with nitrogen-fixing potential
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Ants and termites have independently evolved obligate fungus-farming mutualisms, but their gardening procedures are fundamentally different, as the termites predigest their plant substrate whereas the ants deposit it directly on the fungus garden. Fungus-growing termites host diverse gut microbiota, but bacterial gut communities in fungus-growing leaf-cutting ants have not been investigated, so it is unknown whether and how they are specialized on an exclusively fungal diet. Here we characterized the gut bacterial community of Panamanian *Acromyrmex* species, which are dominated by four bacterial taxa: *Wolbachia*, *Rhizobiales*, and two *Entomoplasmatales* taxa. We show that the *Entomoplasmatales* can be both intracellular and extracellular across different gut tissues, *Wolbachia* is mainly intracellular and the *Rhizobiales* species is strictly extracellular and confined to the gut lumen, where it forms biofilms along the hindgut cuticle supported by an adhesive matrix of polysaccharides. Tetracycline diets eliminated the *Entomoplasmatales* symbionts but hardly affected *Wolbachia* and only moderately reduced the *Rhizobiales*, suggesting that the latter are protected by the biofilm matrix. We show that the *Rhizobiales* symbiont produces bacterial NifH proteins that have been associated with the fixation of nitrogen, suggesting that these compartmentalized hindgut symbionts alleviate nutritional constraints emanating from an exclusive fungus garden diet reared on a substrate of leaves.
ria in the fungus gardens of *Atta* leafcutter ants, but without investigating their gut bacterial communities (32).

We tested these expectations in *Acromyrmex* leaf-cutting ants. Using 16S-454 and 16S-Miseq sequencing, we determined the major bacterial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (representing a cluster of bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences of ≧97% similarity, typically interpreted as representing a bacterial species) associated with the digestive system of these ants. We then used a combination of fluorescence microscopy and electron microscopy to investigate the localization of the major bacterial OTUs across gut tissues, the lumen, and the surrounding fat bodies to make inferences about their putative adaptive roles. We subsequently kept ants on sterile sugar solutions with and without the antibiotic tetracycline and monitored changes in the prevalence of dominant gut bacteria. Finally, we focused on an extracellular *Rhizobiales* species that was restricted to the hindgut lumen and discovered that these bacteria are embedded in a biofilm-like matrix of polysaccharides and produce NiF proteins, which are known to mediate the reduction of free nitrogen to the bioavailable NH₃.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ant collection and maintenance, sterile diets, DNA extractions, 454 pyrosequencing, and Illumina Miseq sequencing.** Ant colonies were collected in Gamboa, Republic of Panama. We used 11 *Acromyrmex* lab colonies for 454 sequencing (eight *A. echinatior*, two *A. octospinosus*, and one *A. volckmanii*) and 13 partly overlapping *Acromyrmex* colonies for Miseq sequencing: six new colonies (sampled both in the field and after being transferred to the lab) and seven lab colonies, more than 2 years after collection (six of them had already been sequenced with 454). This double procedure was chosen because we were seeking to verify that bacterial gut communities could be reproduced across sequencing platforms and to elucidate their susceptibility to changes in rearing conditions (field versus 3 months in the lab versus >2 years in the lab). An overview of the sampling and experimental procedures is provided in Table S1 in the supplemental material. DNA for both 454 and Miseq sequencing was extracted with the same methods (see details below), and all lab colonies were maintained in rearing rooms at ca. 25°C and 70% relative humidity (RH) under a 12 h photoperiod.

The ant workers that were reared on artificial diets were collected from lab colony Ae150 and were picked from the fungus gardens with forceps and placed in groups of 15 in sterile petri dishes (90 by 15 mm), which had an inverted screw cap in the middle that served as liquid food vial. Control experiments used petri dishes with 15 workers across four basic feeding regimes, i.e., FG (fructose [5%, wt/vol] plus glucose [5%, wt/vol]), FGY (fructose [5%, wt/vol] plus glucose [5%, wt/vol] plus yeast extract [2%, wt/vol]), S₅ (sucrose [10%, wt/vol]), S₉ (sucrose [10%, wt/vol] plus yeast [2%, wt/vol]), and the antibiotic treatments used a fully comparable set of feeding regimes (FGT, FGTY, ST₅, and SYT) with 1 mg/ml tetracycline added. The S and ST treatments were duplicated (S₅ and ST₅) with 20 and 60 ant workers, respectively, and all diet components were dissolved in sterile distilled water and filter sterilized. For an overall idea of the experimental setup, see Fig. S1B to D in the supplemental material. Petri dishes were monitored every second day for ant mortality.

To obtain an estimate of the gut bacterial diversity of the ants on different diets without killing them, we collected fecal droplets once a week from 5 of the 15 workers from each group (days 7, 14, 21, and 28) and stored them at −80°C until DNA extraction. Toward the end of the experiment (days 28 and 35), we dissected 2 to 5 living ants from each group (2 ants for each of the initial treatments [FG, FGY, S₅, SY, FGT, FGTY, ST₅, and SYT] and 5 ants from the duplicated treatments [S₅ and ST₅]) collected all gut tissues, and pooled them into single treatment and control samples per colony.

To obtain the DNA samples for 454 pyrosequencing, ant workers were anesthetized on ice, surface sterilized by submerging them into absolute ethanol for 60 s, and then rinsed with sterilized distilled water. The ants were dissected in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) under a stereo microscope and stored at −80°C until DNA extraction. Five workers from each colony were dissected and all gut tissues collected, pooled in one sample, and frozen. All DNA samples were extracted from these frozen samples using the Qiagen blood and tissue kit following the manufacturer’s instructions and including an extra step where glass beads of 0.5 mm were added and the lysate was vortexed for 30s. All samples were reeled in 150 μl AE elution buffer. Bacterial DNA amplification and 454 pyrosequencing were performed as described previously (33). Extracted DNA for the Miseq sequencing was sent to the Microbial Systems Laboratory at the University of Michigan for library preparation and sequencing.

**Analyses of 454 and Miseq data.** The 454 data were analyzed using mothur (v.1.33.3) (34) after nine rounds of filtering as described in the standard operating procedure (SOP) protocol with a few modifications (35) (page accessed July 2014): (i) sequences with homopolymer stretches longer than 10 bases were removed, (ii) the filtered sequences were aligned against the Silva 111 nonredundant database (36), and (iii) sequences were assigned to taxonomic groups using the Bayesian classifier implemented in mothur with a confidence threshold of 80% while using the same Silva database. In these filtering steps we also included the pre cluster command, based on the algorithm developed by Huse et al. (37), and we removed all reads assigned to mitochondria, chloroplasts, *Archaea*, or *Eukaryota*. We did not exclude “unknown sequences” but did not find any either after the classification was completed. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were obtained by generating a distance matrix with pairwise distance lengths smaller than 0.15. The data were then clustered, and each OTU was classified with a 97% similarity cutoff using the same databases as before.

Rarefaction tables were constructed with mothur using pseudoreplicate OTU data sets containing between 1 and 13,927 sequences with 1,000 iterations per pseudoreplicate, and the curves were visualized in Microsoft Excel 2013. The final OTU table was rarefied at 5,800 reads and used for all downstream analyses, including the calculation of Euclidean distances that were used for principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) in R. The read counts of the four most abundant OTUs were transformed to percentages, entered into JMP 10.0, and used to perform nonparametric Spearman tests for correlations that could suggest mutual exclusiveness or reinforcement.

For the Miseq data analysis, we also used mothur (v.1.33.3) (34) and performed several rounds of filtering as described in the SOP protocol (38) (page accessed October 2014), with the only difference being that sequences were assigned to taxonomic groups using the Bayesian classifier implemented in mothur with a confidence threshold of 80%. The final OTU table was rarefied at 28,000 reads and used for all downstream analyses, including the calculation of Euclidean distances that were used for PCoA in R. We used an analysis of variance (ANOVA) regression to correlate Miseq relative abundances with quantitative PCR (qPCR) absolute gene copy numbers for a random selection of samples (see below).

We retrieved OTU sequences from both data sets using python scripts and compared them to each other and to specific probes using the BLAST algorithm with a 1e−50 E value cutoff and 50% identity (39). In order to design primers and probes from the retrieved OTUs, sequences were aligned using the Map to Reference algorithm incorporated in Geneious software v.4.8.5 and v.7.0.6 (40).

For the ant survival analyses, we used Cox proportional hazards models (with censoring), carried out with the coxph function of the Survival package in R (version 3.1.1), following assessment of proportional hazards using cox.zph (41, 42). The cofactors included the substrate, the presence of yeast, or the presence of tetracycline. Data were plotted using the survival analysis function in JMP 10.0. Effects of the different components of the diets on the presence/absence of certain bacterial groups in the guts and the fecal droplets were compared using pairwise multivariate
correlations across all samples. We constructed 2-by-2 contingency tables examining each of the bacterial species and diet components and evaluated their distribution frequencies using Pearson χ² tests in JMP 10.0. To validate bacterial presence in fecal droplets, we collected samples from the ants in experimental petri dishes at days 7, 14, 21, 26, and 28 and used a Cox proportional hazard model (with censoring) to analyze the data under the assumption that the number of days of bacterial survival in guts as sampled from fecal droplets was equal to the number of days of obtaining positive bacterial signals by dissections during the 4 weeks of monitoring (see Fig. 51C in the supplemental material). The diet groups that had positive bacterial signals in the fecal droplets until the last day of monitoring were considered censored.

**PCRs.** To identify \textit{nifH} sequences, we used a previously described protocol (14) and sequences identified in colony Ae150 (accession number KP256164) to design \textit{nifH}-specific primers (C8_{\text{nifH}}/F;R; see Table S3 in the supplemental material). These were then used either directly or to perform the second step of a nested PCR in combination with primers in the protocol described previously and targeting the same region (14, 32). PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation for 3 min at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, and 30 s at 72°C and a 7-min final extension at 72°C. All PCR products were gel purified (QIAquick gel extraction kit [Qiagen] or Montage gel extraction kit [Millipore]) and sent to MWG for sequencing. At least 20 bacterial colonies from each cloning were checked with PCR using the C8-nifH primers, and 10 positive PCR products from each cloning were sent to MWG for sequencing.

16S rRNA gene-specific primers were constructed in Geneious for \textit{EntAcro1} (Entomoplasmatales), \textit{RhiAcro1} (Rhizobiales), and \textit{EntAcro2} (Entomoplasmatales) (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). The specificity of the primers was confirmed by PCR, cloning, and Sanger sequencing of various PCR products from different colonies, which showed that the primers amplify the expected sequences (data not shown). To detect \textit{WolAcro1} (Wolbachia), we used the wsp-specific primers (43). PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation for 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at the annealing temperature (see Table S3 in the supplemental material), and 30 s at 72°C and a 7-min final extension at 72°C.

**qPCR.** A number of \textit{A. echinatior} colonies (four lab [\geq 2 years] and two field) and \textit{A. octospinosus} colonies (two lab [\geq 2 years] and one field) were used to evaluate the accuracy of the relative abundances of the four major OTUs (\textit{EntAcro1}, \textit{EntAcro2}, \textit{RhiAcro1}, and \textit{WolAcro1}) obtained by 454 and MiSeq sequencing. We targeted three out of the four major OTUs discovered in our study for which we had 16S rDNA gene-specific primers, i.e., Entom_{F}/Entom_{A} R for \textit{EntAcro1}, Entom_{F}/Entom_{B} R for \textit{EntAcro2}, and Phyllo_{F}/Phyllo_{R} for \textit{RhiAcro1} (see Table S3 in the supplemental material) in reactions with SYBR Premix \textit{Ex Taq} (TakaRa Bio Inc., St. Germain en Laye, France) on the MX3000P system (Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Reactions took place in a final volume of 20 μl containing 10 μl buffer, 8.3 μl double-distilled water (ddH₂O), 0.4 μl of each primer (10 μM), 0.4 μl ROX standard, and 0.5 μl template DNA. PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation for 2 min at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at the annealing temperature (see Table S3 in the supplemental material), and 30 s at 72°C, followed by dissociation curve analysis. All quantitative PCRs (qPCRs) were replicated, and the cycle threshold (C_{T}) mean was used as a measure of relative gene abundance. Each run included two negative controls with no added template. All data were normalized relative to the ant EF-1α gene as a control (44). For each gene that we analyzed, the initial template concentration was calculated from a standard curve with PCR product in 10-fold dilution series of known concentration, as quantified by NanoDrop. To evaluate whether the MiSeq relative abundances correlated with the bacterial 16S rRNA gene copy numbers, we used ANOVA regression analysis in JMP 10.0.

**FISH.** Five to 10 ant workers from colony Ae150 for \textit{A. echinatior} and colony Ao492 for \textit{A. octospinosus} were dissected in PBS, and their guts were placed in 4% paraformaldehyde and left for at least 24 h. For the permeabilization, deproteinization, and hybridization, we followed a previously described protocol (45). For the hybridization step, we used 0.75 μg/μl specific labeled probes (see Table S3 in the supplemental material) targeting bacteria belonging to the class \textit{Mollicutes} (order \textit{Entomoplasmatales}) and the class \textit{Alphaproteobacteria} (orders \textit{Rhizobiales} and \textit{Rickettsiales} [\textit{Wolbachia}]). As negative controls, we used reverse probes for \textit{Entomoplasmatales} and \textit{Rhizobiales} (see Table S3 in the supplemental material), which gave faint diffuse signals in the fat bodies that probably originated from lipid droplets of significantly different size and intensity than the bacterium-specific signals (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). To check permeabilization of cell membranes, we used DAPI (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining as a positive control in each experiment because it has high cell permeability, and we thereby confirmed that our specific probes were able to cross cell membranes similarly to DAPI. We thus considered a signal as being specific when it was absent from the negative controls and colocalized with the DAPI bacterial staining. The fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) images were inspected and photographed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope equipped with ZEN 2009 software and a Leica TCS SP2 microscope.

**Immunofluorescence (IF) staining.** Dissected tissues (digestive tract and fat body) of large workers were fixed in cold methanol (20 min, −20°C) and then permeabilized in cold acetone (5 min, −20°C). Samples were subsequently rinsed three times with PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST) at room temperature (RT) and incubated for 5 min in PBST. This was followed by incubation of tissues for 1 h with 6 μg/ml affinity-purified anti-NiFH antibody (Agrisera, AS01 021A) diluted in PBS-TBSA (PBS, 0.1% [v/v] Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin [BSA]). The specificity of the global NiFH protein antibody has been checked with Western blots by the manufacturer against a series of bacterial NiFH proteins, and has, among others, predicted specificity for \textit{Rhizobium melliloti} (Agrisera, AS01 021A). As negative controls, fixed and permeabilized tissues were incubated for 1 h with PBS-TBSA and without primary antibody (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). All samples were washed three times with PBST before being incubated in the dark with a goat anti-chicken IgY conjugated to Dylight 488 (Pierce, SA5-10070) for 45 min and being washed twice with PBST. Finally, the tissues were mounted in Vectashield medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, H-1500) and viewed under a SP5 Leica confocal microscope with 10× and 63× objectives.

**Electron microscopy.** Large workers of \textit{A. echinatior} (Ao150) were dissected in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and ant digestive tracts were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma) in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 2.5 h. This was followed by washings in the same buffer and postfixation in 1% OsO₄ for 1 h, after which samples were placed in a 1% aqueous solution of uranyl acetate and left for 12 h at 4°C. Samples were then dehydrated in an ethanol series and acetone and embedded in Agar 100 Resin (Agar Scientific Ltd.) or Spurr low-viscosity resin (Ted Pella Inc.). Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and Reynolds lead citrate and examined with a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (JEM 100 SX [JEOL] or CM100 [FEI]).

**Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining.** Digestive tracts from large workers of \textit{A. octospinosus} (Ao492), taken either directly from their colony’s fungus garden or after having spent 2 weeks on sterile sucrose diets, were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) overnight at +4°C and subsequently dehydrated via a graded alcohol series and Histoclear (Sigma), followed by embedding in Paraplast Plus (Sigma). Sections were cut at 3 to 4 μm and dried on a hot plate at 36°C. After dewaxing and rehydration, sections were treated with 1% aqueous periodic acid for 10 min, washed for 5 min in running tap water, immersed in Schiff’s reagent (Sigma) for 15 min, and washed for 10 min in running tap water to develop the color. Finally, sections were dehydrated...
RESULTS

16S-454 and 16S-Miseq sequencing. Using a 97% sequence identity cutoff, we identified a total of 180 bacterial OTUs from the 454-pyrosequencing (see Table S4A in the supplemental material). Rarefaction curves were approaching saturation in all but one sample (Ao492), indicating that coverage was generally sufficient for community structure analyses. The four most abundant OTUs belonged to the Mollicutes (Entomoplasmatales [EntAcro1, and EntAcro2]) and Alphaproteobacteria (Rhizobiales [RhiAcro1] and Wolbachia [WolAcro1]) and jointly accounted for >97% of the reads per sample (Fig. 1A; see Table S4A in the supplemental material). Although the rarefaction curve for Ao492 did not plateau (see Fig. S3A in the supplemental material), this OTU also was included in the analyses because the four dominant OTUs were all present.

The ranked sample prevalences of OTUs 5 to 14 never exceeded 0.71%, while none of the other OTUs exceeded 0.07% per sample (see Table S4A in the supplemental material). RhiAcro1 and WolAcro1 were present in all 11 samples, and all samples had at least one of the Entomoplasmatales species, as EntAcro1 was found in nine samples, EntAcro2 was found in six samples, and five samples had both. OTU 5 was also an Entomoplasmatales (EntAcro3, found in 9 samples), but OTU 6 (ActAcro1) was an Actinomycetales (Pseudonocardia) that was 99% identical to one of the two vertically transmitted cuticular actinomycete symbionts (Ps1) of A. echinatior and A. octospinosus (33, 46, 47). This OTU was found in the single gut sample of A. volcanus and in one of the two A. octospinosus gut samples but not in the eight A. echinatior samples. None of the other OTUs was restricted to or specific for any of the three Acromyrmex ant species (see Table S4A in the supplemental material). We further characterized the RhiAcro1, EntAcro1, and EntAcro2 OTUs using Sanger sequencing and obtained 982-bp, 1,282-bp, and 1,340-bp sequences, respectively, while the WolAcro1 OTU has been characterized previously (48, 49). Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees showed that RhiAcro1 is closely related to Rhizobiales strains identified in Trachymyrmex urichi of the attine lineage (see Fig. S4A in the supplemental material), while EntAcro1 appeared to be closely related to Mesoplasma lactucae and EntAcro2 to Entomoplasmia freundtii (see Fig. S4B in the supplemental material).

To validate whether the overall rank order of dominant gut OTUs was independent of lab or field conditions during sampling, we sequenced a comparable set of dissected guts from field and lab colonies on a Miseq platform. Rarefaction curves were approaching saturation for all samples (see Fig. S4B in the supplemental material), indicating that coverage was sufficient for community structure analyses. Wolbachia was similarly dominant in A. echinatior and A. octospinosus gut samples from
the field, whereas *EntAcro1* and *RhiAcro1* were abundant in field guts of *A. octospinosus* but rare in field guts of *A. echinatior* (*EntAcro1* was abundant in one but <1% in two other field colonies, and *RhiAcro1* was <1% in all three field colonies). Once again, *EntAcro1*, *EntAcro2*, *WolAcro1*, and *RhiAcro1* accounted jointly for >97% of the reads per sample (Fig. 1; see Table S4B in the supplemental material), but this time there were two exceptions, Ao708(F) and Ao710(3m), that had an additional *Entomoplasmatales* OTU (*EntAcro10*), in respective abundances of 31% and 24%.

The gut microbiotas of these *A. echinatior* field colonies were often excessively dominated by *Wolbachia* (45.7%, 98.1%, and 99.6%) (Fig. 1) and showed consistent directional change toward *Rhizobiales* 3 months after colonies were moved to the lab to become similar to the gut microbiota of *A. octospinosus* (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material).

Principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on weighted Euclidean distances obtained from both the 454 and Miseq runs confirmed that the microbiota differed in a quantitative rather than a qualitative manner across sampling categories (Fig. 1; see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). The relative abundances of *EntAcro1* and *EntAcro2* were significantly negatively correlated (Spearman $\rho = -0.858$; $P = 0.0007$), whereas a number of other prevalences also showed signs of positive or negative correlation (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material) but without reaching significance. PCoA comparison of the four focal OTUs in the six samples that were sequenced on both platforms further showed that OTUs were highly reproducible in four cases and satisfactorily reproducible in the two other cases (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). To validate our relative abundance estimates, we performed qPCR using 16S rRNA gene-specific primers on a subset of the samples sequenced with Miseq, which showed that relative abundances obtained from the Miseq samples satisfactorily predicted the bacterial 16S rRNA gene copy numbers for *EntAcro1*, *EntAcro2*, and *RhiAcro1* (see Fig. S7 in the supplemental material).

**Localization, morphology, and robustness of *Mollicutes* and *Alphaproteobacteria* against tetracycline.** We designed probes specific for *Mollicutes* and *Alphaproteobacteria* OTUs (see Table S3 in the supplemental material for probe specificity details) and used fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) and confocal microscopy to examine different gut tissues of worker ants from *A. echinatior* (Fig. 2A). This showed that *Entomoplasmatales* were present in the fat body cells (Fig. 2B) and all gut tissues (Fig. 2C, E, and F) of *A. echinatior* and *A. octospinosus*: the Malpighian tubules (Fig. 2C), the ileum (Fig. 2E), and the rectum (Fig. 2F). However, *RhiAcro1* appeared to be restricted to the hindgut (ileum and rectum) (Fig. 2G and H), while *WolAcro1* was present sparsely in the hindgut (Fig. 2G) and more abundantly in the fat body cells (Fig. 2D), the latter confirming results from a previous *A. octospinosus* study (44).

We further investigated the morphology and localization of these bacteria using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in *A. echinatior*. This showed that the *Entomoplasmatales* had a coccoïd shape, an approximate diameter of 0.7 µm, and no bacterial cell wall (Fig. 2I and J) and that rod-shaped *Rhizobiales* could be recognized by dense cytoplasm, an average diameter of 0.4 µm, and a length range of 0.8 to 2.7 µm (Fig. 2K). *Wolbachia* was also distinct because of its typical three-layer envelope and heterogeneous cytoplasm (Fig. 2L). TEM analysis confirmed the distribution patterns that we found by FISH microscopy (Fig. 2B to H) and refined the resolution of the cellular localization of the bacteria. *Mollicutes* could thus be seen to occur across almost all gut tissues, both intracellularly (Fig. 2I) and extracellularly in the gut, where dividing cells could sometimes be observed (Fig. 2I), while *Rhizobiales* occurred only extracellularly in the hindgut lumen (Fig. 2G and H) and *Wolbachia* mostly intracellularly in the fat body cells (Fig. 2D), as also shown previously (44).

To assess the robustness of bacterial symbionts in and around the guts (in fat body cells and gut tissues), ants were deprived of their fungus gardens and fed on different artificial sugar diets, which showed that *Wolbachia* prevalence was not, and *RhiAcro1* prevalence was only moderately, affected by tetracycline, whereas *EntAcro1* and *EntAcro2* disappeared from all gut and fat body tissues when ants spent 28 days on such diets (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). We also examined the presence of bacteria in the ant fecal droplets with PCR, as the antibiotic treatment should make them disappear when free living in the gut lumen. This showed that the two *Entomoplasmatales*, which are normally found in *Acromyrmex* fecal droplets, could no longer be retrieved after ants had been kept on tetracycline for 14 days, while *RhiAcro1* prevalence in fecal droplets decreased much more slowly, a decline that was mostly due to the nonfungal diet with only a minor additional effect of tetracycline (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Similar patterns of decline were found in the guts, with tetracycline accelerating the disappearance of the *Entomoplasmatales* species but only slightly affecting *RhiAcro1* until more than a month had passed. *Wolbachia* has previously been reported, albeit in highly variable cell numbers, from fecal droplets of both *A. echinatior* and *A. octospinosus* (44, 50) and was only sporadically found in the feces of the ants that we took directly from fungus gardens or exposed to prolonged artificial sugar diets. Such diets completely eliminated *Wolbachia* from the fecal droplets but never from the gut tissues, suggesting that a fungal diet may be essential for maintaining these bacteria in the gut lumen (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

*NifH* protein production and colocalization with *Rhizobiales* in the hindgut. Using degenerate primers, we identified multiple sequences of the *nifH* bacterial gene for nitrogenase reductase, with colony Ae342 having three such sequences (pairwise identities of 89.9%), nine other colony samples having one *nifH* sequence, and colony Ae505 having zero. A maximum-likelihood tree using these and closely related sequences showed that 10/12 sequences are transcribed and closely related sequences showed that 10/12 sequences are closely related to *nifH* sequences originating from other *Rhizobiales* bacteria and that 2/12 sequences (18c8_Ae342 and QC8_Ae342) are equally related to *nifH* sequences originating from both *Rhizobiales* and non-*Rhizobiales* bacteria (see Fig. S8 in the supplemental material). Using microdissections and *nifH*-specific PCR, we found in two separate experiments that *nifH* sequence signals were abundant in the hindgut but weak and irregular in the Malpighian tubules and fat body cells (Fig. 3A) and that keeping workers on a sterile sucrose solution without fungus garden food for up to 15 days maintained *nifH* genes only in the hindguts (Fig. 3A).

To investigate whether some *nifH* sequences are transcribed into active NifH proteins we performed immunofluorescence (IF) confocal microscopy with a specific anti-NifH antibody. This showed that NifH proteins were present only toward the cuticular boundaries of the ileum and rectum, where DAPI staining revealed that these NifH protein signals were localized...
in or immediately next to bacterial DAPI signals (Fig. 3B). TEM confirmed that only Rhizobiales bacteria were localized close to the cuticle of the hindgut lumen (Fig. 3C) and that these bacteria are surrounded by a matrix that might facilitate both biofilm formation and attachment to the cuticle of the rectum and ileum (Fig. 3D and E). Rhizobiales were most abundant in the ileum (Fig. 3E), and PAS staining of hindgut sections showed consistent red staining corresponding to abundant polysaccharides in

FIG 2 Distribution and structural organization of dominant bacteria in gut tissues of Acromyrmex leaf-cutting ants. (A) Schematic diagram of gut tissues sampled. (B and C) FISH of Entomoplasmatales (green, EntomA_Cy3 probe) and Rhizobiales (red, Phyllo_Cy5 probe) in a fat body cell (B) and a Malpighian tubule (C), showing that Entomoplasmatales (Mollicutes) are always present but Rhizobiales are absent. (D) Wolbachia (green, Wolb_Cy3 and W2_Cy3 probes; red, wsp_Cy5 probe) in a fat body cell. (E and F), Entomoplasmatales (Mollicutes) (green, Entom_A488 probe) in optical sections of parts of the ileum (E) and rectum (F) where alphaproteobacteria are absent (Phyllo_Uni_Cy5 probe). (G and H) Wolbachia (green, Wolb_Cy3 probe) and Rhizobiales (red, Phyllo_Cy5 probe) in other sections of the ileum (G) and rectum (H). White arrows indicate Entomoplasmatales (Mollicutes) (B to F); yellow arrows Wolbachia (D and G), and arrowheads Rhizobiales (G and H); frames in matching colors (G and H) show bacteria at higher magnification. DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). Mollicutes were present in almost all tissues examined (A to F). Rhizobiales were present only in the ileum (G) across the cuticle (marked with c), the epithelium (marked with e), and the rectum (H), and Wolbachia was observed only sporadically in the lumen but abundantly in the fat body cells (D and G). (I) Electron microscopy images of an Entomoplasmatales bacterium in a fat body cell, with the inset showing that the bacterial cell wall is lacking and black arrowheads indicating that cells are surrounded by a plasma membrane and a membrane of host origin. (J) Dividing Entomoplasmatales in the lumen of the rectum, with the inset showing the single plasma membrane that is characteristic of free-living Entomoplasmatales. (K) A rod-shaped Rhizobiales bacterium in the ileum. (L) A Wolbachia bacterium in a fat body cell, with the inset and black arrowheads showing its typical endosymbiotic three-layered envelope. Scale bars are 10 μm (B to H) and 0.5 μm (I to L). Critical interpretational images presented in this figure were also obtained for A. octospinosus and did not reveal any significant differences from A. echinatior.
the matrix where the *Rhizobiales* bacteria occurred (Fig. 3F and G).

**DISCUSSION**

**Simple gut microbiota, uniform diets, and intriguing actinomycetes.** Our results matched the expectation that the gut microbiota of fungus-ingesting *Acromyrmex* leaf-cutting ants should be dominated by relatively few OTUs. A bacterial gut community dominated by few OTUs (what we refer to as “simple” here) has also been found in other eusocial insects with relatively uniform diets, such as honeybees and bumblebees feeding on pollen and nectar (5, 28, 51) and cephalotine ants, which are mostly honeydew-collecting functional herbivores (6). Our results add yet another functionally herbivorous ant genus to the known *Rhizobiales* hosts (6, 14) but also provide novel specifications about the location and function of these gut bacteria. In particular, no other study has combined FISH, TEM, and anti-NifH IF to localize these major endosymbionts of herbivorous ants (6, 14), showing that they are compartmentalized, aided by what appears to be biofilm formation, and colocated with bacterial NifH proteins,

**FIG 3** Presence of *Rhizobiales* bacteria and bacterial nifH genes and NifH proteins in the hindguts of *Acromyrmex octospinosus* leaf-cutting ant workers. (A) nifH-specific PCR of DNA extracted from *A. octospinosus* guts, showing weak positive signals in fat body and Malpighian tubule cells and a strong signal in the rectum/ileum, whereas only the strong rectum/ileum signal could be retrieved from ants that were kept on a sucrose diet for 15 days. All signals were confirmed to be nifH by Sanger sequencing and shown to be either identical or most closely related to known nifH sequences of *Rhizobiales* (10/12 sequences) or to give similarly close matches to both *Rhizobiales* and non-*Rhizobiales* bacteria (2/12 sequences [18cR_Ae342 and QC8_Ae342]) (see the text for details). (B) Immunofluorescence image confirming the NifH protein (bright red dots) close to the cuticle of the ileum and covering or being directly adjacent to the bacterial DNA signals (blue dots, stained by DAPI). The host DNA of the epithelium (e) was also visible. The inset frames show magnifications of red-stained dots representing NifH and DAPI signals. (C to E) Electron microscopy image showing *Rhizobiales* bacteria close to the rectal cuticle and surrounded by a low-density matrix (C), at a higher magnification (D), and similarly in the ileum (E). (F and G) Polysaccharides detected by PAS staining in the ileums of ants kept for 2 weeks on a sterile sucrose diet without a fungus garden, showing the *Rhizobiales* biofilm at low (F) and high (G; rectangle frame in panel F) magnification. Scale bars are 10 μm (B), 1 μm (C to E), 50 μm (F), and 10 μm (G).
whose expression is usually tightly regulated by oxygen and nitrogen levels (52).

When comparing prevalences of dominant gut bacteria in field and lab samples from the same Panamanian field site, we generally found a good correspondence (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), except that \textit{RhiAcro1} and \textit{EntAcro1} were sparse in the three \textit{A. echinatior} field colonies (see Fig. S5 and Table S4B in the supplemental material). This may be related to the habitats of \textit{A. echinatior} (open, partly sunlit areas) and \textit{A. octospinosus} (forest) being clearly distinct and to \textit{A. echinatior} having somewhat higher fungal proteinase activity in their field fungus gardens than \textit{A. octospinosus} (53). The natural forage of \textit{A. echinatior} colonies may thus be less nitrogen poor than the leaf fragments cut by \textit{A. octospinosus} workers, but lab colonies of both species received the same bramble leaves (\textit{Rubus sp.}), a type of forage that likely resembles natural \textit{A. octospinosus} forage more than natural \textit{A. echinatior} forage. \textit{Wolbachia} prevalences are known to differ between lab and field colonies of Panamanian \textit{A. octospinosus}, as they significantly increase in prevalence when colonies are moved indoors, possibly due to relaxed resource constraints (44).

Our results on fungus-growing leaf-cutting ants complement recent gut microbiota studies in fungus-growing termites. These \textit{Macrotermes} independently evolved farming of another basal myrmicine lineage, \textit{Termiomyctes}, but retained the termite habit of predigesting wood fragments and leaf litter during a first gut passage before depositing primary feces as the substrate in which their fungal symbiont grows (54, 55). This broad diet of foraging workers and soldiers explains their complex gut microbiota (23, 56), but a resident \textit{Macrotermes} queen was shown to have a simple gut community dominated by a single genus (\textit{Bacillus}, >98% joint prevalence), consistent with consuming only fungal food provided by the nursing workers (23). It thus appears that substrate ingestion rather than substrate handling may be decisive for the variability of bacterial gut communities of fungus-farming eusocial insects.

Low prevalences of cuticular \textit{Pseudonocardia} bacteria were found in the worker guts of \textit{A. volcanus} and \textit{A. octospinosus} (\textit{ActAcro1}, 0.71% of the reads in Av520 and 0.38% of the reads in AoDani). Panamanian \textit{Acromyrmex} species differ in their typical abundance of cuticular \textit{Pseudonocardia} actinomycetes, with \textit{A. volcanus} workers having very high coverage on their body (also in foragers), \textit{A. octospinosus} workers having intermediate coverage, and \textit{A. echinatior} workers having the lowest coverage (reference 57 and personal observations), similar to our frequencies of detection of these bacteria in the guts (see Table S4 in the supplemental material). Further work will be needed to investigate whether the occasional presence of \textit{ActAcro1} (99% similar to Ps1 and 97% similar to Ps2 [33]) in the guts of \textit{Acromyrmex} species has adaptive significance or is merely due to cuticular bacteria being ingested during allogrooming.

\textbf{Spatial distributions of bacterial species within the \textit{Acromyrmex} gut.} \textit{RhiAcro1} was restricted to the hindgut, while \textit{WolAcro1} and the \textit{Entomoplasmatales} species were not (Fig. 2) (44). The latter two usually occur intracellularly, which apparently necessitates an extra plasma membrane of ant origin to live in the host cytoplasm (Fig. 2I, J, and L). Such extra plasma membranes have also been found in close relatives of \textit{Entomoplasmatales} living in human reproductive organs (58) and have been hypothesized to protect bacteria against host immune defenses, a function that may also be relevant in \textit{Wolbachia} (59, 60). The significant tendency toward mutual exclusion between \textit{EntAcro1} and \textit{EntAcro2} suggests that similar symbionts may compete for the same niche space in the host and that complex additional interactions between the four dominant gut bacteria may exist, as \textit{WolAcro1} had a negative effect on \textit{EntAcro1} and \textit{RhiAcro1} but a positive effect on \textit{EntAcro2}. However, these correlations should be tested in larger-scale and more in-depth studies to confirm mutual exclusiveness or reinforcement.

To our knowledge, the localization of insect-associated \textit{Rhizobiales} has been investigated in only two previous studies and only at the overall organ level: one on \textit{Tetraponera} ants (21) and one on \textit{Odontotaenius} beetles (12). Our TEM and PAS analyses show that \textit{Acromyrmex Rhizobiales} have the characteristic rod-shaped morphology of this genus (61) and are embedded in hindgut biofilms with a polysaccharide matrix, as it has been demonstrated that the PAS reagent specifically stains polysaccharides (62). This may help these \textit{RhiAcro1} cultures to adhere to the hindgut lining and to maintain robustness when tetracycline reduces or terminates cell divisions. The ability of proteobacteria to synthesize extracellular polysaccharides for biofilm production has previously been demonstrated in host tissues of other insects (63) and on abiotic surfaces, usually mediated by a polar adhesive that is commonly found in \textit{Alphaproteobacteria} (64).

\textbf{Putative functions of \textit{Rhizobiales}, \textit{Entomoplasmatales}, and \textit{Wolbachia} in \textit{Acromyrmex}.} \textit{RhiAcro1} and \textit{WolAcro1} appear to be obligatorily associated with Panamanian \textit{Acromyrmex} as symbionts, because they were present in all samples investigated (Fig. 1; see Table S4 in the supplemental material) and were impossible to remove when feeding ants sugar solutions with tetracycline (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). This is consistent with earlier studies showing that \textit{Wolbachia} can survive for a month or more without proliferating (65), since a bacteriostatic antibiotic drug like tetracycline inhibits the growth but does not destroy the bacterial cells. Close relatives of \textit{RhiAcro1} have been found in several other, mostly functionally herbivorous, ant species (6, 14, 66, 67), but \textit{Mollicutes (Entomoplasmatales)}, like \textit{EntAcro1 (Mesorhizobium)} and \textit{EntAcro2 (Entomoplasmatales)}, have mostly been found associated with predatory ants such as \textit{Formica}, generalists such as \textit{Polyrhachis}, and especially army ants, most notably in the subfamily \textit{Aenictinae}, which are specialized predators of other ants and termites (68–70). In general, \textit{Entomoplasmatales} are mostly intracellular pathogens and are not known to be part of biofilms, and a fairly close \textit{Mycoplasma} relative is known to be sensitive to tetracycline (71), consistent with the rapid demise of \textit{EntAcro1} and \textit{EntAcro2} in our feeding experiments.

The possible function of the two \textit{Entomoplasmatales} species remains enigmatic. Finding these bacteria intracellularly and in high cumulative abundances (see Table S4 in the supplemental material) in healthy ant colonies would appear to be incompatible with these bacteria having a direct pathological impact on their host fitness. This interpretation is consistent with no bacterial symbionts of ants having so far been virulent in the pathogenic sense, and multiple mutualistic functions having been suggested (6, 14, 70). The prevalence of \textit{Entomoplasmatales} in several predatory ants (including army ants) and fungus-growing ants (they are also dominant in other higher attine ant species in Panama [P. Sapountzis et al., unpublished data]) suggests that their function might be somehow related to the processing of chitin, the main component of the cuticles of insect prey and fungal cell walls ingested by leaf-cutting ants, in spite of the insects pro-
duc ing their own chitinases. This and the fact that Entomoplasma-
tales species associated with Acromyrmex ants vary in their poten-
tial mutual exclusiveness and correlations with Wolbachia abound 
offer interesting questions for further research.

Rhizobiales closely related to RhiAcro1 and other potentially 
nitrogen-fixing endosymbionts have been identified in several 
ant species with protein-poor diets (6, 14, 20, 21, 32), while Blochmania complements the diet of Camponotus ants (19, 72), suggesting that these bacteria alleviate nitrogen limitation and enhance colony growth. The combination of FISH, TEM, and anti-NiH immu-
nostaining allowed us to show that NiH proteins are indeed pro-
duced in the very same hindgut compartments where Rhizobiales were found, providing indications that these bacteria may actively 
contribute nitrogen to the symbiosis. Tissue localization data in 
our present study and a previous one (44) show that Wolbachia is 
abundantly present in various nonreproductive tissues and in a 
free-living state in the crop (foregut) of A. octospinosus, suggesting 
that it may be a mutualist with an as-yet-unknown function (44), 
also because no clear reproductive manipulations by Wolbachia 
infections (male killing, feminization, or cytoplasmic incompati-
bility) have so far been demonstrated in ants (73, 74). All four 
OTUs that cumulatively make up more than 97% of the 
Acromyrmex gut microbiota may thus be mutualists, but much further 
work will be needed to specify the metabolic networks of these 
bacteria and to evaluate their benefits to the fungus-farming 
symbiosis.
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