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ABSTRACT Biofilm-forming microorganisms switch between two forms: free-living planktonic and sessile multicellular. Sessile communities of yeast biofilms in liquid medium provide a primitive example of multicellularity and are clinically important because biofilms tend to have other growth characteristics than free-living cells. We investigated the genetic basis for yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, biofilm on solid surfaces in liquid medium by screening a comprehensive deletion mutant collection in the Σ1278b background and found 71 genes that were essential for biofilm development. Quantitative northern blots further revealed that AIM1, ASG1, AVT1, DRN1, ELP4, FLO8, FMP10, HMT1, KAR5, MIT1, MRPL32, MSS11, NCP1, NPR1, PEP5, PEX25, RIM8, RIM101, RGT1, SFB8, SPC2, STB6, STP22, TEC1, VDF24, VPS20, VTC3, YBL029W, YBL029C-A, YFL054C, YGR161W-C, YIL014C-A, YIR024C, YKL151C, YNL200C, YOR034C-A, and YOR223W controlled biofilm through FLO11 induction. Almost all deletion mutants that were unable to form biofilms in liquid medium also lost the ability to form surface-spreading biofilm colonies (mats) on agar and 69% also lost the ability to grow invasively. The protein kinase A isoform Tpk3p functioned specifically in biofilm and mat formation. In a tpk3 mutant, transcription of FLO11 was induced three-fold compared with wild-type, but biofilm development and cell–cell adhesion was absent, suggesting that Tpk3p regulates FLO11 positive posttranscriptionally and negative transcriptionally.

The study provides a resource of biofilm-influencing genes for additional research on biofilm development and suggests that the regulation of FLO11 is more complex than previously anticipated.

Many microorganisms have the ability to form the multicellular, sessile, surface-bound communities known as biofilms. Biofilm formation has been described in prokaryotes such as the Gram-negative Pseudomonas aeruginosa and the eukaryotic yeasts S. cerevisiae, Candida albicans, and Candida glabrata (Hawser and Douglas 1994; Reynolds and Fink 2001; Hall-Stoodley et al. 2004). Cells in biofilms are reported to have a higher degree of diversity, so they have more possible fates than cells in free-living planktonic form. One consequence of this diversity is the high number of antibiotic-persistent cells in P. aeruginosa biofilms, a result of the high frequency of slow-growing or dormant cells in mature biofilms (Nguyen et al. 2011).

Although the molecular basis for biofilm development and biofilm cell diversification has been studied extensively in bacteria, less is known about the genetic basis for biofilm formation and cell diversification in eukaryotic microbes such as yeasts. Laboratory S. cerevisiae strains have, in some cases, been selected to not form biofilms (Liu et al. 1996). The trait can reappear in suppressor mutants that derepress expression of the cell wall protein Flo11p or other members of the Flo protein family that induce cell–cell adhesion (Fichtner et al. 2007; Torbensen et al. 2012). The S. cerevisiae strain Σ1278b naturally forms biofilm in liquid medium on solid surfaces such as polystyrenes because it expresses Flo11p (Reynolds and Fink 2001). In addition to its importance for biofilm formation, Flo11p is also essential for other morphotypes, including haploid-invasive growth on complex solid medium and diploid-pseudohyphal growth.
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1999). The pH-sensitive Rim101p pathway regulates transcription factors (Roberts and Fink 1994; Köhler et al. 2002; Rupp et al. 1999). The pH-sensitive Rim101p pathway regulates FLO11 (Barrales et al. 2008; Bayly et al. 2005; Lamb and Mitchell 2003), and the response is believed to include components from the endo-
somal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT), because ESCRT I, II, and III proteins are required for activation of Rim101p and transcription of FLO11 (Sarode et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2004). Nutrient levels regulate FLO11 transcription through other pathways. Amino acid levels influence FLO11 transcription via the general control nondepressible (GCN) pathway (Braus et al. 2003), which indu-
ces transcription on amino acid starvation (Lucchini et al. 1984). The presence of amino acids induces the Ssy1p-Ptp3p-Ssy5p-sensor com-
pound, which regulates FLO11 transcription through amino acid per-
meases (Torbensen et al. 2012). Furthermore, glucose depletion induces FLO11 via the AMP kinase homolog Snf1p by inactivating the transcriptional repressors Nrg1p and Nrg2p (Kuchin et al. 2002; Van De Velde and Thevelein 2008). Low glucose level is also known to induces transcription of FLO11 through G protein-coupled glucose receptor Gpr1p, cAMP (Van De Velde and Thevelein 2008), the pro-
tein kinase A (PKA) isoform Tpk2p, and the competing transcription regulators Sfl1p and Flo8p (Robertson and Fink 1998; Rupp et al. 1999). FLO11 is repressed when Sfl1p is bound and a noncoding RNA is transcribed in the FLO11 promoter and in a transcriptionally permisive state when Flo8p is bound to the FLO11 promoter and the ncRNA gene is transcriptionally inactive (Bumgarner et al. 2009). Flo8p activity is thought to facilitate the binding of other positive transcription factors such as Tec1p, Ste12p, and Pol II that reinforce the active state of the FLO11 promoter (Bumgarner et al. 2012). An interesting aspect of FLO11 regulation is the toggle switch that results from competition between Sfl1p and Flo8p and leads to variegated FLO11 expression (Bumgarner et al. 2009, 2012). Because of variable expression, only a subpopulation of cells expresses FLO11 and con-
tributes to cell–cell adhesion. Variegated FLO11 expression is seen in pseudohyphal and invasive growth and could play a role in develop-
ment of biofilm in liquid medium. In contrast to FLO11 induction through active Tpk2p, the PKA Tpk3p is reported to repress FLO11. This has been shown with tpk3 mutants that have three-fold higher levels of FLO11 mRNA than wild-
type TPK3 cells and show more robust invasive and pseudohyphal growth (Robertson and Fink 1998). Because FLO11 expression is re-
pressed in a tpk3 tpk2 double mutant, Tpk3p is thought to inhibit Tpk2 activity (Robertson and Fink 1998). FLO11 regulation has mainly been investigated under conditions in which cells grow invasively or form mats or pseudohyphae (Brückner and Mösch 2011). Because the growth conditions essential for biofilm in liquid medium are very different from those favoring mats and pseudohyphal and invasive growth, it is unknown if the transcriptional program that regulates biofilm also regulates the other FLO11-dependent phenotypes. On abiotic surfaces, biofilms are formed in synthetic media with glucose as the carbon source (Torbensen et al. 2012; Reynolds and Fink 2001), whereas surface-spreading biofilm formation and invasive growth both occur on complex solid medium, and pseudohyphal growth is formed by diploid cells on solid, synthetic, nitrogen-poor medium (Reynolds and Fink 2001; Gimeno et al. 1992; Roberts and Fink 1994). A recent screen for genes essential for mat formation and invasive and pseudohyphal growth found limited overlap between genes regulating the three phenotypes (Ryan et al. 2012), suggesting a dedicated transcriptional program for FLO11-dependent biofilm formation. In addition to FLO11, other FLO genes and conditions might influence biofilms, including genes regu-
ling the extracellular matrix that strengthens the three-dimensional structure of biofilms (Kuthan et al. 2003; Vachova et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2000), and quorum signaling that might coordinate the develop-
mental program. Quorum signaling is reported for yeast, but its involvement in biofilm development is unknown (Chen and Fink 2006; Smukalla et al. 2008; Palkova et al. 1997).

In the current work, we investigated the molecular basis for Σ1278b biofilm development, including the extent to which biofilm development was dependent on FLO11. To do this, we screened a global collection of deletion mutants in the Σ1278b background for biofilm-forming ability on a solid abiotic surface. The extent to which the molecular program for biofilm formation overlapped with other FLO11-dependent phenotypes was investigated by testing bio-
film-deficient mutants for ability to form mats and grow invasively. We found that a substantial fraction of Σ1278b cells were not part of the biofilm but existed as planktonic cells. Genes involved in the planktonic phenotype were identified by screening for Σ1278b mutants with more biofilm-phenotype cells in the total cell mass. Our study gives comprehensive insight into the molecular program controlling biofilm development in the genetic tractable yeast S. cerevisiae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains
S. cerevisiae Σ1278b YS-11 (MATa can1::STE2p-sPHIS5 hyp1:: STE3p-LEU2 his3::HisG leu2Δ ura3Δ) was used as a reference strain (Boone Lab, University of Toronto) (Ryan et al. 2012). The 4019 deletion mutants of Σ1278b YS-11 were from Ryan et al. (2012) and have the same barcodes and deletions as the S288c collection (Giaever et al. 2002).

Media
Synthetic complete (SC) media for biofilm formation on polystyrene were made as previously described (Guthrie and Fink 1991), with the exception that amino acids and nucleotides were added in the following concentrations: adenine sulfate 20 mg/liter; uracil 38 mg/liter; L-
histidine 38 mg/liter; L-arginine 38 mg/liter; L-tryptophan 38 mg/liter; L-methionine 38 mg/liter; L-tyrosine 15 mg/liter; L-leucine 57 mg/liter; L-isoleucine 57 mg/liter; L-lysine 57 mg/liter; L-phenylalanine 48 mg/liter; L-valine 57 mg/liter; and L-threonine 57 mg/liter. Yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) complex medium was made as described (Guthrie and Fink 1991) using 20 g/liter agar for invasive growth and 3 g/liter for mats.

Assay for biofilm
Precultures were grown overnight at 30°C in synthetic medium with 0.2% glucose and 100 mM NH₄Cl. Cells were subsequently inoculated
into synthetic medium to OD600nm 0.1 for 2 hr before biofilm assays. Assays were in 200 μL in flat-well polystyrene microtiter plates (Frisenette). Cell density of the total population or of biofilm or planktonic subpopulations was recorded at OD450nm at indicated time points. Planktonic subpopulations were measured by removing nonadhering cells by pipetting and measuring cell density in new microtiter wells. Biofilm subpopulations were measured by addition of 200 μL fresh medium to adhering cells and measuring OD450nm. To visualize biofilms, crystal violet (HT901-8FOZ; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to wells for 24 hr at a final concentration of 0.05%. Planktonic cells and medium were removed and wells were washed four times with 200 μL H2O. Biofilms were dried and resuspended in 170 μL 96% ethanol for 1 hr. Biomass was determined at OD595nm.

**Biofilm screens of the deletion strain collection**

Deletion mutants were grown on solid YPD for 2 d. Cells were subsequently transferred to 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plates (Frisenette) containing 200 μL SC medium with 0.2% glucose. Cells were propagated for 46 hr or 96 hr at 30°C and biomass was determined at OD600nm using a Synergy1H Hybrid Reader (Biotek). All OD600nm). Normalized bio

**Data analysis**

Crystal violet biofilm measurements for Σ1278b YS-11 mutants were normalized to the total biomass and log-transformed as ln(OD595nm/OD600nm). Normalized biofilm scores were used to determine the median biofilm score for each mutant for both 46 hr and 96 hr. Median values were used for all further analysis (Supporting Information, File S5 and File S6). Samples with a total biomass less than OD600nm <0.01 were excluded from analysis. Replicate biofilm assays of the parental YS-11 strain (n = 288) showed normalized biofilm values that followed a Gaussian distribution at both 46 hr and 96 hr. The average normalized biofilm value ±2σ of the parental strain was used to determine mutants that had a significantly different biofilm score at 46 hr and 96 hr. Mutants with median-normalized biofilm scores less than 0.584 were considered to form significantly less biofilm than the parental strain and mutant with scores more than 1.972 were considered to form significantly more biofilm than the parental strain.

**Synthetic genetic array**

Selection of tpk3 geneX double mutants in the parental (MATa can1Δ::STE2p-spHIS5 lep1Δ::STE3p-LEU2 his3::HisG leu2Δ ura3Δ) background was essentially conducted as described previously (Tong et al. 2001).

**Confocal laser scanning microscopy**

Overnight cultures were diluted to OD600nm 0.1 in synthetic complete medium (0.2% glucose) and incubated on Rinzl plastic coverslips (Electron Micoscropy Sciences) for 96 hr at 30°C. Biofilms were washed twice in saline before 30 min of FUN-1 (Invitrogen) staining. Imaging used a plan-Neofluar 40x/1.3 oil microscopy objective with a Zeiss LSM510 microscope.

**RNA FISH**

RNA FISH was conducted essential as described previously (McIsaac et al. 2013) using Stellaris probes from Biosearch Technologies.

Thirty-five 20mer Quasar 670 probes covering the stretch +9 to +708 of FLO11 were used for detection of FLO11 mRNA, whereas 35 20mer Quasar 570 probes covering the stretch +2 to +701 of ACT1 were used as positive hybridization control. Precultures were grown overnight at 30°C in synthetic medium. Cells were subsequently inoculated into synthetic medium to OD600nm 0.1 for 20 hr before fixation. Cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at 30°C followed by 4-hr incubation at 5°C. Expression of FLO11 was subsequently recorded with a Zeiss LSM780 microscope. Cells were counted as recordable if they were labeled with the ACT1 probe. All ACT1 positive cells were subsequently recorded for their expression of FLO11 mRNA by counting cells with one or more red foci as positive for FLO11 mRNA. A total of 1329 wild-type cells, 1167 sfi1 cells, and 542 flo11 cells were counted as blinded samples. The flo11 cells served a negative control for FLO11 mRNA expression. None of the flo11 cells showed any signal for FLO11 mRNA labeling.

**Glucose concentration**

Glucose concentration was determined enzymatically with a glucose assay kit (GAGO-20; Sigma-Aldrich) adjusted for use with microtiter plates.

**Invasive growth**

Invasive growth was assayed essentially as described previously (Roberts and Fink 1994). Cells were patched on solid 2.0% agar YPD using an inoculation loop and propagated for 3 d at 30°C. Plates were covered with water for 30 min and shaken carefully, and nonadhering cells were removed. Complete colonies or colonies with portions remaining in or on the agar were categorized as invasive.

**Mat formation**

Cells were patched in the center of 25 ml YPD with 0.3% agar and incubated for 5 d at room temperature (22°–25°). Colonies with a structured hub and spokes were categorized as mat formers. Colonies that were completely smooth were categorized as having lost the ability to form mats.

**Northern blot**

RNA for northern dot blots was purified from mutants and the parental strain, YS-11, grown for 96 hr in square 120-mm Petri dishes (Frisenette). Total RNA was purified as previously described (Torbensen et al. 2012). All samples were treated with DNase and tested for removal of DNA by PCR using ACT1 primers 5’-TGGATTCTGGTATGTTCTAGC-3’ and 5’-GAACGAGCTTGAACACC-3’. Samples that still contained DNA were treated further with DNase until no trace of DNA was detected by PCR. DNase-treated RNA, 2 μg in 3 μL, was dropped onto Hydrobond-N+ membranes (GE Healthcare) and dried. Membranes were wrapped in plastic wrap and RNA was cross-linked by UV light for 30 sec at 302 nm. One set of membranes was hybridized to a FLO11 [32P]-labeled probe and another set was hybridized to a [32P]-labeled ACT1 probe. Hybridization and probes were as described (Torbensen et al. 2012). Hybridization to each dot was recorded with a Storm 840 phosphorimager (Bio-Rad), and Molecular Dynamics ImageQuant TL software was used for quantification. FLO11 transcript levels were normalized to ACT1 transcript levels and the average normalized FLO11 transcript level was calculated from three independent experiments for each mutant and parental strain.
RESULTS

*S. cerevisiae* Σ1278b formation of mixed biofilm and planktonic populations is FLO11-dependent

In low glucose, haploid *S. cerevisiae* Σ1278b forms biofilm on polystyrene (Reynolds and Fink 2001). We tested this phenotype in liquid synthetic medium with different carbon sources and found that haploid Σ1278b formed a biofilm when cultured in 0.2% glucose, 1% maltose, 1% glycerol, or 1% ethanol, whereas biofilm formation was repressed in 2% glucose (Figure 1A). Further examination of biofilms formed in 0.2% glucose revealed that only 25% of cells were part of the biofilm (Figure 1B). Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) revealed that the proportion of biofilm-forming cells decreased substantially in a flo11 mutant, confirming that FLO11 was responsible for biofilm formation (Figure 1, C and D). Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) revealed that only a minor fraction of reference cells expressed FLO11 mRNA (21%, n = 1329) in the synthetic 0.2% glucose medium (Figure 1F) corresponding to the fraction of cells participating in biofilm (Figure 1B). The proportion of cells expressing FLO11 mRNA increased to 73% (n = 1167) in a sfl1 mutant (Figure 1H), suggesting that SFL1 took part in participation of cells in a planktonic and biofilm-forming subpopulation (Figure 1B). This hypothesis was supported by CLSM of biofilm formed by sfl1 and the reference strain (Figure 1, C and E). Both strains formed microcolonies, and microcolonies formed by the sfl1 mutant appeared to be larger than those of the reference strain (Figure 1, C and E and Figure S1). Based on these initial experiments, we expected that other molecular factors involved in biofilm development and repression of biofilm could be found.

Screening of a Σ1278b deletion collection for genes essential for biofilm development

To identify genes essential for biofilm in liquid medium in Σ1278b, as well as genes that regulate the proportion of biofilm-forming cells in a population, we screened a complete library of Σ1278b haploid mutants deleted in 4019 nonessential genes for biofilm-forming ability. The mutants were constructed by substituting each open reading frame (ORF) in Σ1278b (MATα can1Δ::STE2p-spHIS5 lyp1Δ::STE3p-LEU2 his3::HisG leu2Δ::ura3Δ) with a kanMX cassette derived from the S288c deletion collection (Ryan et al. 2012). Thus, mutant alleles in the Σ1278b mutant collection were identical to the mutant alleles in the S288c collection (Giaever et al. 2002).

The biofilm screen was conducted by growing each of the 4019 mutants in liquid synthetic complete medium with 0.2% glucose and ammonium. Biofilm development was tested in triplicate after 46 hr and 96 hr by staining with crystal violet and removing planktonic cells. Mutants varied greatly in the amount of biomass formed (Figure 2A). To compensate for differences in biomass, values from crystal violet staining were normalized to the total cell mass of planktonic and biofilm-forming subpopulation (Figure 1B). This hypothesis was supported by CLSM of biofilm formed by sfl1 and the reference strain (Figure 1, C and E). Both strains formed microcolonies, and microcolonies formed by the sfl1 mutant appeared to be larger than those of the reference strain (Figure 1, C and E and Figure S1). Based on these initial experiments, we expected that other molecular factors involved in biofilm development and repression of biofilm could be found.

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
Figure 2 Screening of a Σ1278b deletion mutant collection for genes essential in biofilm development. Mutants deleted for one of 4019 nonessential genes (indicated as geneX in MATα can1Δ::STE2p-spH155 lys1Δ::STE3p-LEU2 his3::HisG leu2::ura3Δ geneX::kanMX) were tested for biofilm formation on polystyrene at 30°C in liquid SC with 0.2% glucose and NH₄Cl medium. (A) Total biomass (OD₆₀₀nm) vs. biofilm formation measured at OD₅₉₅nm, after crystal violet staining. Black circles = all data points for each mutant; red line = correlation between cell density and crystal violet staining; dotted red line = ±2σ. (B) Distribution of normalized biofilm from individual mutants as ln(cystall violet dyed biofilm/total biomass) compared with cell mass. Black circles = all data points for each mutant; red line = correlation between biomass and normalized biofilm; dotted red line = ±2σ. (A and B) Values obtained after 96 hr of biofilm development. (C) Histograms representing median normalized biofilm values of the 4019 deletion mutants assayed in triplicate. Black line = normalized biofilm values for the parental wild-type Σ1278B strain (n = 288) showing Gaussian distribution after 48 hr of growth. Biofilm distribution of the parental strain after 48 hr was used to set cut-off to average ±2σ. Blue bars = biofilms of mutants that formed significantly less biofilm than the parental strain; yellow bars = biofilm values of mutants that formed significantly more biofilm than the wild-type strain. (D) As in (C) after 96 hr of growth. Biofilm distribution of the parental strain after 96 hr of growth was used to set cut-offs to average ±2σ. A complete list of mutants and median normalized biofilm values are listed File S1 and File S2.

Biofilm genes form a large complex regulatory network
To obtain insight into the mechanisms that regulate biofilm development, all 171 biofilm-related genes were grouped into functional categories according to their gene ontology (GO) process (Table 1). Among the genes with a positive effect on biofilm when deleted were several functional groups of genes encoding proteins with mitochondrial function (Table 1 and File S4), and genes encoding respiratory chain components (P = 4.56e⁻⁸) and mitochondrial ribosomal proteins (P = 2.19e⁻¹⁶) were especially overrepresented. To analyze if the increased proportion of biofilm-forming cells in these mutants was dependent on FLO11, we made FLO11 northern blots of representative mutants with reduced mitochondrial function (Figure 3A and File S5). No change in FLO11 expression was observed in seven of the tested mutants representing reduced mitochondrial function, suggesting that posttranscriptional mechanisms acted on the FLO11 gene product, other FLO genes were responsible for the increase in biofilm, or mutants with impaired mitochondrial function affected a non-Flo11-dependent biofilm mechanism.

Among the 71 genes that were essential for biofilm development were several that were essential for invasive and pseudohyphal growth (Table 1). These included components of the PKA pathway (FLO8, RAS2, TPK3), a MAP kinase pathway (TEC1), the Rim pathway (RIM101, RIM8), and the GCN pathway (GCN4), as well as FLO11 transcription factors that have not been assigned to specific signaling pathways (MIT1, MFG1, MSS11) (Gagiano et al. 2003; Ryan et al. 2012; Cain et al. 2012; Braus et al. 2003; Barrales et al. 2008; Roberts and Fink 1994; Rupp et al. 1999; Robertson and Fink 1998). We also found genes encoding components of the ESCRT complexes that are essential for regulation of FLO11 (SNF8, STP22, VPS36, VPS20, VPS25) (Sarode et al. 2011). Additionally, the GO revealed a number of genes not previously associated with FLO11 expression such as AGT9 and VTC3, which are involved in membrane invagination, the vacuolar amino acid transporter AVT1, and NPR1, a regulator of amino acid transporter endocytosis. Identification of these genes suggested that vacuolar function or transport of one or more proteins to the vacuole was essential for biofilm development. In addition, there is also a group of genes involved in NADH repair such as NADHX epimerase (YNL200C) and NADHX dehydratase (YKL151C). In all, we identified 58 genes not previously associated with biofilm formation or FLO11 expression (File S3).

Because formation of biofilm in the parental strain was dependent on FLO11 expression (Figure 1D), we tested the level of FLO11 mRNA in the mutants that did not form biofilm. Quantitative northern blots for FLO11 mRNA revealed that 38 mutants had significantly less
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expected, flo11, flo8, mit1, msu11, rim8, rim101, snf8, teg1, and vps20 had lower levels of FLO11 mRNA. A large number of genes not previously implicated in FLO11 regulation were also found to reduce FLO11 transcription or mRNA stability when deleted (AIM1, ASGI, AVT1, DRN1, ELP4, FMP10, HMT1, KARS, MRPL32, NCP1, NPR1, PEP5, PEX25, RGT1, SPC2, STB6, STP22, VID24, VTC3, YBL029W, YBL029C-A, YFL054C, YGR161W-C, YIL014C-A, YIR024C, YKL151C, YNL200C, YOR034C-A, YOR223W).
Several factors known to regulate FLO11 expression did not appear as regulators in the biofilm screen. Most notably, deletion of PKA isoform 2 did not significantly affect biofilm development (Figure 4 and File S1, File S2). The involvement of Tpk2p in FLO11 transcription and adhesive invasive phenotypes was previously determined by testing on rich complex medium (Robertson and Fink 1998). We found that on liquid synthetic medium, a tpk2 mutant formed 33%-50% of the amount of biofilm of the wild-type strain (Figure 4A). The Tpk2p homolog Tpk3p, however, was essential for biofilm development (Figure 4A). The positive effect of Tpk3p on biofilm formation was not at the transcriptional level, because the tpk3 mutant had a 2.7-fold increase in FLO11 transcript compared with wild-type (Figure 4B and File S5). Hence, Tpk3p appeared to have two roles in FLO11 regulation: partial repression of FLO11 transcription but induction of Flo11p expression at a posttranscriptional level. To investigate if Flo11p was expressed in the cell wall of the tpk3 mutant, we tested the mutant for cell–cell adhesion. The tpk3 cells grown in liquid synthetic biofilm medium did not adhere to each other as seen for the wild-type strain (data not shown). Hence, the tpk3 mutant did not appear to express functional Flo11p.
To identify genes involved in regulation of FLO11 by Tpk3p at the posttranscriptional level, we screened a library of tpk3 geneX double mutants for ability to suppress the tpk3 phenotype and fully restore biofilm formation. Double mutants were made by crossing a bio
flm (Figure S2). The screen identified 35 mutant alleles that suppressed the tpk3 biofilm phenotype (File S6). However, none of these genes encoded known components of the PKA pathway or components of translation or RNA processing that suggested a pathway or complex through which Tpk3p acted on FLO11.

### Genes essential for biofilm formation are also essential for mat formation on semisolid medium

Next, we tested biofilm-deficient mutants for other FLO11-dependent phenotypes (Figure 5, A–C). Mat formation was tested on complex medium with 0.3% agar. Colonies that formed a structured hub and spokes were considered mats, whereas unstructured, smooth colonies were deemed to have lost the ability to form mats (Figure 5B). Of the 71 mutants that lost the ability to form a biofilm in liquid medium on polystyrene, 69 were also unable to form mats, revealing a nearly complete overlap between genes involved in biofilm in synthetic

| Table 1 Biofilm gene GO processes for 71 genes that were essential for biofilm development and 100 genes that induced biofilm when deleted (all gene names are given in Table S3) |
| Mutants Making Significantly Less Biofilm | Mutants Making Significantly More Biofilm |
| GO Process Annotation | No. of Mutants | GO Process Annotation | No. of Mutants |
| Biological process unknown | 19 | Mitochondrion organization | 38 |
| Transcription from RNA polymerase promoter | 12 | Mitochondrial translation | 23 |
| Protein targeting | 11 | Protein complex biogenesis | 13 |
| Response to chemical | 7 | Cellular respiration | 10 |
| Invasive growth in response to glucose limitation | 5 | Biological process unknown | 10 |
| Pseudohyphal growth | 5 | Cell wall organization or biogenesis | 8 |
| Carbohydrate metabolic process | 5 | Cellular amino acid metabolic process | 8 |
| Protein complex biogenesis | 5 | Sporulation | 6 |
| Regulation of transport | 4 | Transmembrane transport | 5 |
| Nucleobase-containing small molecule metabolic process | 4 | Carbohydrate metabolic process | 5 |
| Transmembrane transport | 4 | DNA replication | 4 |
| Mitochondrion organization | 4 | Mitotic cell cycle | 4 |
| Endosomal transport | 3 | Monocarboxylic acid metabolic process | 3 |
| Sporulation | 3 | Cofactor metabolic process | 3 |
| Cell wall organization or biogenesis | 3 | RNA splicing | 3 |
| Signaling | 3 | Oligosaccharide metabolic process | 2 |
| Membrane invagination | 2 | Membrane invagination | 2 |
| Protein maturation | 2 | Protein glycosylation | 2 |
| Organelle fusion | 2 | Telomere organization | 2 |
| Cellular amino acid metabolic process | 2 | Cytokinesis | 2 |
| Lipid metabolic process | 2 | Cytoplasmic translation | 2 |
| Amino acid transport | 1 | Ion transport | 2 |
| Protein folding | 1 | DNA-templated transcription, initiation | 1 |
| Ribosomal large subunit biogenesis | 1 | Vesicle organization | 1 |
| Cellular ion homeostasis | 1 | Cellular ion homeostasis | 1 |
| Cytoplasmic translation | 1 | Meiotic cell cycle | 1 |
| Protein farnesylation | 1 | Golgi vesicle transport | 1 |
| | | Nucleobase-containing small molecule metabolic process | 1 |

If two GOs capture identical genes, then only one GO is mentioned (lowest p-value). http://www.yeastgenome.org/cgi-bin/GO/goTermFinder.pl.
medium and mats formation on semisolid complex medium (Figure 5, D and E and Figure S3). Next, invasive growth was tested on complex medium with 2% agar by growing cells in patches for 2 d and washing the resulting colonies with water (Figure 5C). Colonies that left no macroscopic traces of cells after washing were considered noninvasive, whereas colonies that remained on plates after washing were considered invasive (Figure 5C and Figure S4). Of the 69 mutants that did not form mats or biofilm in synthetic medium, 49 also did not show invasive growth (Figure 5, D and E), revealing a core group of 49 genes essential for the haploid phenotypes of mat and biofilm formation in synthetic medium and invasive growth.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we found that the S. cerevisiae strain S1278b showed a stable, dimorphic growth pattern on polystyrene in liquid synthetic complete medium, with both planktonic and biofilm-forming cells. 

**Figure 3** Heat map of FLO11 mRNA levels in mutants with reduced biofilm. FLO11 mRNA levels used for the heat map were measured by northern dot blots of mutants grown for 96 hr in 0.2% glucose synthetic medium. FLO11 mRNA levels were normalized to ACT1 mRNA levels and the wild-type ACT1:FLO11 mRNA ratio was set as 0. Mutants with significantly altered FLO11 mRNA levels compared with the parental strain are indicated with asterisks (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, n = 3). (A) Transcript levels of FLO11 in deletion mutants with increased biofilm formation compared with the parental strain. (B) Transcript levels of FLO11 in deletion mutants that had lost the ability to form biofilm. Numerical values of FLO11 mRNA levels are listed in File S5.

medium and mats formation on semisolid complex medium (Figure 5, D and E and Figure S3). Next, invasive growth was tested on complex medium with 2% agar by growing cells in patches for 2 d and washing the resulting colonies with water (Figure 5C). Colonies that left no macroscopic traces of cells after washing were considered noninvasive, whereas colonies that remained on plates after washing were considered invasive (Figure 5C and Figure S4). Of the 69 mutants that did not form mats or biofilm in synthetic medium, 49 also did not show invasive growth (Figure 5, D and E), revealing a core group of 49 genes essential for the haploid phenotypes of mat and biofilm formation in synthetic medium and invasive growth.

**Figure 4** TPK3 is essential for biofilm development. (A) The percentage of cells forming a biofilm on polystyrene compared with planktonic cells was determined as described in the legend for Figure 1B using OD$_{450}$nm to determine the biomass of the total population and the biofilm subpopulation. Wild-type = green circle; flo11 mutant = black triangles; tpk1 mutant = purple diamond; tpk2 mutant = blue cross; tpk3 mutant = turquoise square. (B) FLO11 mRNA levels in the wild-type and the tpk3 mutant. Relative FLO11 mRNA levels were normalized to ACT1 mRNA levels and the average wild-type ACT1:FLO11 mRNA ratio was set as 1. Both experiments were performed in triplicate (File S5). (C) Model of Tpk3p transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of FLO11. Green arrows, positive regulation; red bars, negative regulation. Experimental evidence for the interaction between Tpk2p and Tpk3p is also available (Robertson and Fink 1998).

**Figure 3** Heat map of FLO11 mRNA levels in mutants with reduced biofilm. FLO11 mRNA levels used for the heat map were measured by northern dot blots of mutants grown for 96 hr in 0.2% glucose synthetic medium. FLO11 mRNA levels were normalized to ACT1 mRNA levels and the wild-type ACT1:FLO11 mRNA ratio was set as 0. Mutants with significantly altered FLO11 mRNA levels compared with the parental strain are indicated with asterisks (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, n = 3). (A) Transcript levels of FLO11 in deletion mutants with increased biofilm formation compared with the parental strain. (B) Transcript levels of FLO11 in deletion mutants that had lost the ability to form biofilm. Numerical values of FLO11 mRNA levels are listed in File S5.
a significant reduction in \( FLO11 \) mRNA levels when deleted, suggesting that biofilm was primarily regulated through transcriptional induction of \( FLO11 \) (Figure 3). A majority of genes have not previously been associated with \( FLO11 \) regulation and the regulatory network underlying \( FLO11 \) thus appears to be much more diverse than previously suggested.

Some of the novel regulators are probably directly involved in \( FLO11 \) regulation while others might have an indirect effect on \( FLO11 \). For example, \( Npr1p \) might be an indirect factor. \( Npr1p \) is essential for correct targeting of plasma membrane proteins such as the ammonium permease \( Mep2p \) and the general amino acid permease \( Gap1p \) (De Craene et al. 2001; Vandenbol et al. 1990; Lorenz and Heitman 1998). Both \( Mep2p \) and \( Gap1p \) are involved in \( FLO11 \) expression in some strain backgrounds (Lorenz and Heitman 1998; Torbensen et al. 2012). Therefore, the reduction of biofilm formation and expression of \( FLO11 \) in an \( npr1 \) mutant is likely to be a consequence of intracellular retention of \( Mep2p \) and/or \( Gap1p \). Although the biofilm assay uncovered several \( FLO11 \) regulators, a number of genes previously described as essential for \( FLO11 \) expression were not found. This was partly the result of a stringent cutoff in the biofilm assay that led to a large number of false negatives. The absence of some known \( FLO11 \) regulators in the biofilm assay could also mean that certain gene products are only conditionally essential for \( FLO11 \) expression. Hence, some genes might be essential for \( FLO11 \)-dependent pseudohyphal growth but not \( FLO11 \)-dependent biofilm development (Ryan et al. 2012). One example is the two PKAs, \( Tpk2p \) and \( Tpk3p \). \( Tpk2p \) is essential for \( FLO11 \) expression in pseudohyphal growth, whereas \( Tpk3p \) partially represses \( FLO11 \) transcription under these conditions (Robertson and Fink 1998). We found that \( Tpk2p \) was not essential for biofilm formation, although detailed analysis of the \( tpk2 \) mutant revealed that \( Tpk2p \) contributed substantially to the biofilm phenotype (Figure 4A). \( Tpk3p \) appears to have two effects on biofilm formation. First, a 2.7-fold increase in \( FLO11 \) mRNA in the \( tpk3 \) mutant suggested that \( Tpk3p \) partially repressed \( FLO11 \) expression in biofilms (Figure 4B). Second, deletion of \( TPK3 \) led to complete biofilm loss (Figure 4A), despite the presence of \( FLO11 \) mRNA.

---

Figure 5 Most genes essential for biofilm are also essential for invasive growth and surface-spreading biofilm formation. (A) Biofilms in microtiter plates stained with crystal violet as described in Figure 2A legend. Color indicates biofilm. Wt, wild-type. \( \Sigma 1278b \) parental strain (MATa can1::STE2p-spHIS5 lyp1::STE3p-LEU2 his3::HisG leu2 ura3Δ; flo11Δ, flo11::kanMX in the wild-type background. (B) Mat formation of wild-type and lack of mat by \( flo11 \) on solid YPD (2% agar) after 3 d at room temperature (22-25°C). (C) Invasive growth of wild-type and \( flo11 \) mutant. Invasive growth was tested after 3 d on solid YPD (2% agar) at 30° by washing colonies gently with water to remove nonadhering cells. (D) Diagram of deletion mutants that lost the ability to form biofilm and mats and grow invasively. (E) List of phenotypes of mutants with gene deletion that eliminated biofilm formation. Images of mat formation and invasive growth of all mutants are in Figure S3 and Figure S4.
in the tpk3 mutants, Tpk3p thus must also affect posttranscriptional levels of FLO11, as indicated in the model in Figure 4C. The positive role of Tpk3p in biofilm development is thus opposite to its role in pseudohyphal growth. Tpk3p is reported to be essential for redistribution of polysomes on glucose starvation (Ashe et al. 2000) and might also be involved in polysome maintenance of, for example, polysomes with FLO11 mRNA. This would explain why the tpk3 mutant did not form a biofilm despite the high levels of FLO11 mRNA.

Although a number of genes previously described as regulating FLO11 were not found in our screen, we did find a strong correlation between genes essential for biofilm formation and genes essential for two other FLO11-related phenotypes (Figure 5, D and E). Of the 71 mutants that did not form a biofilm, 69 also lost the ability to form mats, even though the growth conditions for the two phenotypes are very different. Mats are formed at room temperature on semisolid complex medium and are characterized by large, flat colonies with cable-like structures and a central hub. In our experiments, biofilms were formed in liquid synthetic medium at 30°C. A smaller subset of 49 genes involved in biofilm and mat formation was also essential for invasive growth on solid complex medium. Although both invasive growth and mat formation are assayed on complex agar medium, the overlap between genes essential for invasive growth and mat formation was smaller than the overlap of genes essential for mat and biofilm formation. This result suggested that a single genetic program mediated the three developmental phenotypes of biofilm and mat formation and invasive growth, whereas at least one other genetic program was specific for mat and biofilm formation (Figure 5, D and E). The common genetic program between the three phenotypes appeared to be almost entirely at the level of FLO11 transcription. Only a few genes, ICT1, TOM70, VOA1, YGR266W, YLR126C, and YOL098C, did not affect FLO11 mRNA levels significantly when deleted (Figure 3B).

Several of the genes we identified as involved in biofilm formation were recently reported to be involved in biofilm formation or biofilm-related phenotypes, although their function has not been linked to FLO11 expression. Ryan et al. (2012) found 655 genes essential for mat formation, whereas 211 genes were found to be essential for structured colony morphology (Voordeckers et al. 2012). Furthermore, Granek et al. (2013) reported that RGT1 was within a quantitative trait locus found using a biofilm-forming clinical isolate of S. cerevisiae. We compared genes essential for biofilm and mat (this study) and genes essential for mat/surface-spreading biofilm identified by Ryan et al. (2012) and found an overlap of 38 genes (File S7). Both studies are based on the use of the same deletion strain collection and the a priori assumption was an overlap of 69 genes. Some of the discrepancies in our findings might be ascribed to the method by which mutants were recorded as biofilm and mat formers. Although Ryan et al. (2012) applied colony size as a measure of mat formation, we used colony morphology to determine if mutants formed mats and the adhering proportion of a population to determine biofilm.

CONCLUSION
A genome-wide screen of yeast mutants identified that 71 genes were essential for biofilm development. Half of the genes were required for FLO11 transcription, but only a small subset is previously described as regulators of FLO11 transcription. These results revealed that the regulation of biofilm formation and FLO11 expression is far more complex than previously anticipated. The results of this study will be beneficial for our research in yeast biofilm in general for identification of targets for antifungal drugs and new targets for studying biofilms, mats, and pseudohyphal and invasive growth. Biofilm formed by genetically identical eukaryotic cells might be considered as a primitive form of multicellularity. In this context, the current study therefore provides genetic data for understanding of the development programs for primitive multicellularity in a eukaryotic organism.
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